

### Problem 1: Exercise 16.12

Solve the following linear programs using simplex method:

(a) Maximize  $-4x_1 - 3x_2$  subject to

$$\begin{aligned} 5x_1 + x_2 &\geq 11 \\ -2x_1 - x_2 &\leq -8 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 &\geq 7 \\ x_1, x_2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Introduce slack variables  $x_3, x_4, x_5$ , we will have:

$$\begin{aligned} 5x_1 + x_2 - x_3 &= 11 \\ -2x_1 - x_2 + x_4 &= -8 \\ x_1 + 2x_2 - x_5 &= 7 \\ x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

We are trying to minimize  $4x_1 + 3x_2$

$$C = [4, 3, 0, 0, 0]$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 11 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 7 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we are using Two-Phase simplex method to solve this problem.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 11 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

We must update the last row :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 11 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 8 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 7 \\ -8 & -4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -26 \end{bmatrix}$$

Take  $\alpha_{11}$  as pivot:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/5 & -1/5 & 0 & 0 & 1/5 & 0 & 0 & 11/5 \\ 0 & 3/5 & 2/5 & -1 & 0 & 2/5 & 1 & 0 & 18/5 \\ 0 & 9/5 & 1/5 & 0 & -1 & -1/5 & 0 & 1 & 24/5 \\ 0 & -12/5 & -3/5 & 1 & 1 & 8/5 & 0 & 0 & 42/5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Take  $\alpha_{31}$  as pivot:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 2/9 & 0 & 1/9 & 2/9 & 0 & 1/9 & 5/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/3 & -1 & 1/3 & -1/3 & 1 & -1/3 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & 1/9 & 0 & -5/9 & -1/9 & 0 & 5/9 & 8/3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1/3 & 1 & -1/3 & 4/3 & 0 & 4/3 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Take  $\alpha_{23}$  as pivot:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 2/3 & 1/3 & 0 & 2/3 & -1/3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 1 & -1 & 3 & -1 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1/3 & -2/3 & 0 & -3 & 2/3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we can remove column 6-8.

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2/3 & 1/3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1/3 & -2/3 & 2 \\ 4 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Updating the last row, we can have:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -2/3 & 1/3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -3 & 1 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1/3 & -2/3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 5/3 & 0 & -18 \end{bmatrix}$$

All the reduced cost coefficients are nonnegative, hence the optimal solution is

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the optimal value is 18.

## Problem 2: Exercise 16.12

The dual problem: Maximize  $11\lambda_1 + 8\lambda_2 + 7\lambda_3$  subject to:

$$\begin{aligned} 5\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 &\leq 4 \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 &\leq 3 \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

If we introduce slack variables  $\lambda_4$  and  $\lambda_5$ , we will have :

$$\begin{aligned} 5\lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 &= 4 \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 2\lambda_3 + \lambda_5 &= 3 \\ \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} 5 & 2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 4 \\ 1 & 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ -11 & -8 & -7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Take  $\alpha_{11}$  as pivot to get :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2/5 & 1/5 & 1/5 & 0 & 4/5 \\ 0 & 3/5 & 9/5 & -1/5 & 1 & 11/5 \\ 0 & -18/5 & -24/5 & 11/5 & 0 & 44/5 \end{bmatrix}$$

Take  $\alpha_{23}$  as pivot to get :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1/3 & 0 & 2/9 & -1/9 & 5/9 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 1 & -1/9 & 5/9 & 11/9 \\ 0 & -2 & 0 & 5/3 & 8/3 & 44/3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Take  $\alpha_{12}$  as pivot to get :

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 1 & 0 & 2/3 & -1/3 & 5/3 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -1/3 & 2/3 & 2/3 \\ 6 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 2 & 18 \end{bmatrix}$$

All the reduced cost coefficients are nonnegative, hence the optimal solution is

$$\lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 5/3 \\ 2/3 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the optimal value is 18.

### Problem 3: Exercise 20.2 b.

Find local extremizers for the following optimization problem:

Minimize:  $4x_1 + x_2^2$   
subject to :  $x_1^2 + x_2^2 = 9$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2) &= 4x_1 + x_2^2 \\ h(x_1, x_2) &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 9 \\ \nabla f &= [4 \quad 2x_2] \\ \nabla h &= [2x_1 \quad 2x_2] \end{aligned}$$

By the Lagrange condition:

$$\begin{aligned} 4 - \lambda \times 2x_1 &= 0 \\ 2x_2 - \lambda \times 2x_2 &= 0 \\ x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 9 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda = -1$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= [2 \quad \sqrt{5}] \\ \mathbf{x} &= [2 \quad -\sqrt{5}] \end{aligned}$$

If  $\lambda = \frac{2}{3}$ , we have:  $\mathbf{x} = [-3 \quad 0]$

If  $\lambda = -\frac{2}{3}$ , we have:  $\mathbf{x} = [3 \quad 0]$

Since we want maximizers only, we keep  $\lambda = -1$ . Now we want to show that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= [2 \quad \sqrt{5}] \\ \mathbf{x} &= [2 \quad -\sqrt{5}] \end{aligned}$$

are indeed the maximizers. The Hessian matrix is :

$$\begin{aligned} H(x_1, x_2) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} + \lambda \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

To find the tangent space we have :

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \pm\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

So the tangent space is  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}a, & a \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}a, & a \end{bmatrix}$  Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}a, & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}a \\ a \end{bmatrix} &= -\frac{5}{2}a^2 < 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}a, & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\sqrt{5}}{2}a \\ a \end{bmatrix} &= -\frac{5}{2}a^2 < 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{x} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -\sqrt{5} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

are indeed the maximizers.

#### Problem 4: Exercise 20.9

Find all maximizers of the function:  $f(x_1, x_2) = \frac{18x_1^2 - 8x_1x_2 + 12x_2^2}{2x_1^2 + 2x_2^2}$  In this problem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \\ \mathbf{Q} &= \begin{bmatrix} 18 & -4 \\ -4 & 12 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then we can have :

$$\mathbf{P}^{-1}\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -2 \\ -2 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$$

The eigenvalues are  $\lambda = 10$  and  $\lambda = 5$ , Since we are looking for maximizer, we keep  $\lambda = 10$  only. Now we will show that the  $\begin{bmatrix} -\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \end{bmatrix}$  and is indeed the maximizer.

The Hessian is

$$H(x_1, x_2) = 2\mathbf{Q} - 2\lambda\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -8 \\ -8 & -16 \end{bmatrix}$$

To find the tangent space we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{bmatrix} 4x_1^*, 4x_2^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} &= 0 \\ \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 2a \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & 2a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} -8 & -8 \\ -8 & -16 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ 2a \end{bmatrix} = -104a^2 < 0$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x} &= \left[ -\frac{2}{\sqrt{10}}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \right] \\ \mathbf{x} &= \left[ \frac{2}{\sqrt{10}}, -\frac{1}{\sqrt{10}} \right] \end{aligned}$$

are indeed the maximizers.

### Problem 5: Exercise 21.2

Find local extremizers for :

- $x_1^2 + x_2^2 - 2x_1 - 10x_2 + 26$ , subject to  $\frac{1}{5}x_2 - x_1^2 \leq 0, 5x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 \leq 5$
- $x_1^2 + x_2^2$ , subject to  $x_1 \geq 0, x_2 \geq 0, x_1 + x_2 \geq 5$
- $x_1^2 + 6x_1x_2 - 4x_1 - 2x_2$ , subject to  $x_1^2 + 2x_2 \leq 1, 2x_1 - 2x_2 \leq 1$

(a)

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 - x_1 - 10x_2 + 26 \\ g_1(\mathbf{x}) &= \frac{1}{5}x_2 - x_1^2 \leq 0 \\ g_2(\mathbf{x}) &= 5x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - 5 \leq 0 \\ \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) &= [2x_1 - 1, 2x_2 - 10] \\ \nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}) &= [-2x_1, \frac{1}{5}] \\ \nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}) &= [5, \frac{1}{2}] \end{aligned}$$

Write the KKT condition:

$$\begin{aligned} (2x_1 - 1) - 2\mu_1x_1 + 5\mu_2 &= 0 \\ (2x_2 - 10) + \frac{1}{5}\mu_1 + \frac{1}{2}\mu_2 &= 0 \\ \mu_1(\frac{1}{5}x_2 - x_1^2) + \mu_2(5x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 - 5) &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu_1 = 0$  and  $\mu_2 = 0$ , we have

$$\mathbf{x} = [1, 5]$$

which does not satisfy  $g_2(x) \leq 0$ , so no feasible solutions.

If  $\mu_1 \neq 0$  and  $\mu_2 = 0$ , we have only one feasible solution:

$$\mathbf{x} = [-1.02, 5.2]$$

If  $\mu_1 = 0$  and  $\mu_2 \neq 0$ , we do not have only one feasible solution.

If  $\mu_1 \neq 0$  and  $\mu_2 \neq 0$ , we have only one feasible solution:

$$\mathbf{x} = [-1 + \sqrt{2}, 3 - 2\sqrt{2}]$$

(b)

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1^2 + x_2^2 \\g_1(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1 \geq 0 \\g_2(\mathbf{x}) &= x_2 \geq 0 \\g_3(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1 + x_2 - 5 \geq 0 \\\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) &= [2x_1, \quad 2x_2] \\\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}) &= [1, \quad 0] \\\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}) &= [0, \quad 1] \\\nabla g_3(\mathbf{x}) &= [1, \quad 1]\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}2x_1 + \mu_1 + \mu_3 &= 0 \\2x_2 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 &= 0 \\x_1 &\geq 0 \\x_2 &\geq 0 \\\mu_1 x_1 + \mu_2 x_2 + \mu_3(x_1 + x_2 - 5) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$  and  $\mu_3 \neq 0$  then  $x_1 = x_2 = \frac{5}{2}$

After trying different combination of  $\mu_1$ ,  $\mu_2$  and  $\mu_3$  The only extremizer is  $(\frac{5}{2}, \frac{5}{2})$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned}f(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1^2 + 6x_1x_2 - 4x_1 - 2x_2 \\g_1(\mathbf{x}) &= x_1^2 + 2x_2 - 1 \leq 0 \\g_2(\mathbf{x}) &= 2x_1 - 2x_2 - 1 \leq 0 \\\nabla f(\mathbf{x}) &= [2x_1 + 6x_2 - 4, \quad 6x_1 - 2] \\\nabla g_1(\mathbf{x}) &= [2x_1, \quad 2] \\\nabla g_2(\mathbf{x}) &= [2, \quad -2]\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}(2x_1 + 6x_2 - 4) + \mu_1(2x_1) + 2\mu_2 &= 0 \\(6x_1 - 2) + 2\mu_1 - 2\mu_2 &= 0 \\x_1^2 + 2x_2 - 1 &\leq 0 \\2x_1 - 2x_2 - 1 &\leq 0 \\\mu_1(x_1^2 + 2x_2 - 1) + \mu_2(2x_1 - 2x_2 - 1) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

If  $\mu_1 = 0$  and  $\mu_2 = 0$ : we can get  $x_1 = \frac{1}{3}$  and  $x_2 = \frac{5}{9}$ , which will make  $x_1^2 + 2x_2 - 1 = \frac{2}{9} > 0$ , not feasible.

If  $\mu_1 = 0$  and  $\mu_2 \neq 0$ : we can get  $[1/7, \quad x_2 = 9/14]$

If  $\mu_1 \neq 0$  and  $\mu_2 = 0$ : we can not get any solutions.

If  $\mu_1 \neq 0$  and  $\mu_2 \neq 0$ : we can get only one feasible point  $[-1 - \sqrt{2}, 3 + 2\sqrt{2}]$ .