

---

## Final Exam

---

Last Name	First Name	SID
Left Neighbor First and Last Name		Right Neighbor First and Last Name

***Rules.***

- Unless otherwise stated, all your answers need to be justified and your work must be shown. Answers without sufficient justification will get no credit.
- All work you want to be graded can be on both the front and back of the sheets in the space provided. Both sides will be scanned/graded.
- You have 10 minutes to read the exam and 160 minutes to complete the exam. (DSP students with  $X\%$  time accommodation should spend  $10 \cdot X\%$  time on reading and  $160 \cdot X\%$  time on completing the exam).
- This exam is closed-book. You may reference two double-sided handwritten sheets of paper. No calculators or phones are allowed.
- Collaboration with others is strictly prohibited. If you are caught cheating, you may fail the course and face disciplinary consequences.

Problem	out of
Problem 1	25
Problem 2	25
Problem 3	32
Problem 4	20
Problem 5	25
Total	127

# 1 Two-State Machine [25 points]

Consider a machine that operates for an  $\text{Exp}(\mu)$  amount of time and then fails. Once it fails, it gets repaired. The repair time is an  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  random variable and is independent of the past. The machine is as good as new after the repair is complete. Let  $X_t$  be the state of the machine at time  $t$ , 1 if it is up and 0 if it is down. This process is modelled as a continuous-time Markov chain (CTMC).

- (a) Write down your SID on the top right corner to get 4 points. (4 points)
- (b) Briefly explain why the rate matrix  $Q$  of the Markov chain is given by:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda & \lambda \\ \mu & -\mu \end{bmatrix}$$

(3 points)

- (c) Let  $P(t) = \{p_{ij}(t)\}_{i,j \in [2]}$  denote the transition probability matrix of  $X(t)$  ( $p_{ij}(t) = \mathbb{P}(X(t) = j | X(0) = i)$ ). Given

$$P(1) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \\ \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \end{bmatrix} + e^{-(\lambda+\mu)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} & -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \\ -\frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} & \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} \end{bmatrix},$$

compute  $P(2)$ . (4 points)

- (d) Determine the stationary distribution of the CTMC. Is the CTMC reversible? Justify your answer. (6 points)
- (e) Suppose the downtime cost of the machine is  $B$  per unit time. What is the minimum revenue rate  $A$  during the uptime needed to break even in the long run? (4 points)
- (f) Suppose the machine is working at time 0. Determine the convergence of the long-run rate of repair completions for this machine (both convergence type and value), i.e.,

$$\frac{\text{number of repairs completed before time } T}{T} \xrightarrow{?} ? \quad (T \rightarrow \infty)$$

(4 points)

(a) SID (4 points).

(b) Omitted. (3 points).

(c) By  $P(2) = P(1)P(1)$  (2 points),

$$P(2) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \\ \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} & \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \end{bmatrix} + e^{-2(\lambda+\mu)} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} & -\frac{\lambda}{\lambda+\mu} \\ -\frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} & \frac{\mu}{\lambda+\mu} \end{bmatrix} \quad (2 \text{ points}).$$

(d)  $\pi = (\mu, \lambda)/(\mu + \lambda)$  (3 points). Reversible (3 points).

- (e) By big theorem, the average revenue is  $A\pi_1 - B\pi_0 = \frac{\lambda A - \mu B}{\mu + \lambda}$  (3 points). To make it positive,  $A > \frac{\mu B}{\lambda}$  (1 points).
- (f) Let  $\tau_i$  denote the time between  $(i-1)$ -th and  $i$ -th repair completion, then by memorylessness,  $\tau_i$  are independent from each other. Notice that  $\tau_i$  is sum of an  $\text{Exp}(\mu)$  random variable and an  $\text{Exp}(\lambda)$  random variable, we have  $\mathbb{E}[\tau_i] = \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . It follows that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{\mu} + \frac{1}{\lambda}, \text{ a.s. (2 points)}$$

Further notice that if  $n$  = number of repairs completed before time  $T$ , then

$$\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \tau_i} \leq \frac{\text{number of repairs completed before time } T}{T} \leq \frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n \tau_i}.$$

Therefore the rate converges almost surely (1 points) to  $\frac{\lambda\mu}{\mu+\lambda}$  (1 points).

## 2 Graphs and Testing [25 points]

- (a) Write down your SID on the top right corner to get 3 points. (3 points)
- (b) An Erdos-Renyi random graph  $G = (V, E)$  is sampled from  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ . We observe the nodes  $V$  and the edges  $E$ . We also have a prior belief that  $p \sim \text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ . For this question, leave your answers in terms of  $\alpha, \beta, n$ , and  $e = |E|$  (the number of edges observed).
- The posterior distribution of  $p$  given the observation  $G$  is  $\text{Beta}(a, b)$ , find  $a$  and  $b$ . (5 points)
  - Find the MAP estimator for  $p$  given  $G$  in terms of  $a$  and  $b$ . (4 points)
  - Find the MMSE estimator for  $p$  given  $G$  in terms of  $a$  and  $b$ . (3 points)

*Hint:  $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$  is a distribution over the interval  $[0, 1]$  with the pdf at point  $x$  being  $c(\alpha, \beta)x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}$  for some normalizing constant  $c(\alpha, \beta)$  that depends on  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . The mean of  $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$  is  $\frac{\alpha}{\alpha+\beta}$ .*

- (c) Let  $V$  be a set of  $n$  nodes. An Erdos-Renyi random graph  $G = (V, E)$  is sampled from  $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$  with the nodes labeled as  $V$ . We observe only the edges  $E$  and not the set of nodes  $V$ . For example, suppose  $n = 6$  and  $V = (a, b, f, k, x, 2)$ . For a random graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we only observe the edge set like  $E = \{(a, 2), (f, a), (k, 2)\}$ . We do not know  $V$  or  $n$ ; by looking at  $E$ , we can conclude that there  $V$  has at least the four elements  $a, 2, f, k$ .

Given the set  $E$ , find the joint MLE estimate for  $n$  and  $p$ . That is, find  $(\hat{n}, \hat{p}) = \arg\max_{n,p} P(E|n, p)$ . (5 points)

*Hint: leave your answers in terms of  $e = |E|$  (the number of edges observed) and  $m$ , where  $m$  is the number of distinct nodes seen in the edge set  $E$ .*

- (d) In a bin, there are four balls of color red, blue, yellow, and green. According to the null hypothesis, the probability of picking a ball  $Y$  is given as

$$H_0: Y \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{Red} & \text{w.p. } 0.1 \\ \text{Blue} & \text{w.p. } 0.2 \\ \text{Yellow} & \text{w.p. } 0.3 \\ \text{Green} & \text{w.p. } 0.4 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

while according to the alternate hypothesis, the probability of picking a ball  $Y$  is given as

$$H_1: Y \text{ is } \begin{cases} \text{Red} & \text{w.p. } 0.15 \\ \text{Blue} & \text{w.p. } 0.3 \\ \text{Yellow} & \text{w.p. } 0.5 \\ \text{Green} & \text{w.p. } 0.05 \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Find an optimal test (and write it clearly in terms of the observation  $Y$ ) that maximizes Probability of Correct Detection (PCD) subject to Probability of False Alarm (PFA)  $\leq 0.5$  (5 points).

(a) SID (3 points).

(b) (i) Let  $f(x|\alpha, \beta) = c(\alpha, \beta)x^{\alpha-1}(1-x)^{\beta-1}$  be the pdf of  $\text{Beta}(\alpha, \beta)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(p|G) &= \frac{P(G|p)P(p)}{P(G)} \quad (1 \text{ points}) \\
 &= \frac{p^e(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-e} \times c(\alpha, \beta)p^{\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\beta-1}}{P(G)} \quad (1 \text{ point}) \\
 &= \frac{c(\alpha, \beta)p^{e+\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-e+\beta-1}}{P(G)} \\
 &= f\left(p|\alpha+e, \beta+\binom{n}{2}-e\right) \quad (2 \text{ points}),
 \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows since we know  $P(p|G)$  is a valid probability distribution and is proportional to  $p^{e+\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-e+\beta-1}$  so it must be a  $\text{Beta}(\alpha+e, \beta+\binom{n}{2}-e)$  distribution (1 point).

(ii)  $\hat{p}_{MAP}$  maximizes  $P(p|G) \propto p^{e+\alpha-1}(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-e+\beta-1}$  (1 point). On taking the  $\log$  and optimizing, we get  $\hat{p}_{MAP} = \frac{e+\alpha-1}{\binom{n}{2}+\alpha+\beta-2}$  (3 point).

(iii)  $\hat{p}_{MMSE} = \mathbb{E}[p|G]$  (1 point).

Since the posterior of  $p$  given  $G$  is  $\text{Beta}(\alpha+e, \beta+\binom{n}{2}-e)$ , we get  $E[p|G] = \frac{\alpha+e}{\alpha+\beta+\binom{n}{2}}$ . (2 point)

(c)

$$P(E|n, p) = p^e(1-p)^{\binom{n}{2}-e} I\{n \geq m\} \quad (3 \text{ points}).$$

For the joint optimization of  $n, p$ , we can first optimize over  $n$  to get  $\hat{n} = m$ . (1 point)

Next, optimizing over  $p$  leads to  $\hat{p} = \frac{e}{\binom{m}{2}}$ . (1 point)

(d) We see that (2 points)

$$L(Y) = \begin{cases} 5/3 & Y = \text{Yellow} \\ 3/2 & Y = \text{Red or Blue} \\ 1/8 & Y = \text{Green} \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

If we set  $L(Y) \geq 5/3$  as the rule for choosing  $H_1$ , then  $\text{PFA} = P_0(\text{Yellow}) = 0.3 < 0.5$ . If we set  $L(Y) \geq 3/2$  as the rule for choosing  $H_1$ , then  $\text{PFA} = P_0(\text{Yellow or Red or Blue}) = 0.6 > 0.5$ .

Observe that the rule that chooses  $H_1$  when  $Y = \text{Yellow or Blue}$ , and  $H_0$  otherwise satisfies the constraint exactly and is optimal (3 points).

*Alternate:* It can be noted that the above is not a Neyman-Pearson type test. In order to get the optimal Neyman-Pearson test, consider the following rule

$$\hat{X}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & y = \text{Yellow} \\ \text{w.p. } \gamma & y = \text{Red or Blue} \\ 0 & y = \text{Green} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Then,  $\text{PFA} = P_0(\text{yellow}) + \gamma P_0(\text{red or blue}) = 0.3 + \gamma 0.3 = 0.5$ . Therefore, set  $\gamma = 2/3$ .

In fact, it is possible to create infinite number of tests by exploiting the ‘functional’ equivalence of red and blue outcome.

### 3 Gaussian Estimation from Two Perspectives [32 points]

Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) be i.i.d. samples from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ . In this problem, we consider Frequentist and Bayesian approaches to estimate  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ .

(a) Write down your SID on the top right corner to get 4 points. (4 points)

(b) **Frequentist:**

(a) Find the maximum likelihood estimation (MLE) of  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ . (8 points)

(b) Are the above MLE estimators unbiased? Justify your claim. (6 points)

(c) **Bayesian:**

(a) Suppose  $\sigma^2$  is known and we have prior  $\mu \sim \mathcal{N}(\theta, \tau^2)$ . Find the maximum a posteriori (MAP) estimator of  $\mu$ . (4 points)

(b) We introduce the following inverse- $\chi^2$  distribution:

The density of inverse- $\chi^2$  distribution  $\text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu, \sigma^2)$  is given by

$$p(x|\nu, \sigma^2) = \begin{cases} \frac{(\nu\sigma^2/2)^{\nu/2}}{\Gamma(\nu/2)} x^{-(1+\frac{\nu}{2})} e^{-\frac{\nu\sigma^2}{2x}}, & \text{if } x > 0 \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Here  $\Gamma(z) := \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$  is the gamma function. The mode and mean of  $\text{Inv-}\chi^2(\nu, \sigma^2)$  are  $\frac{\nu\sigma^2}{\nu+2}$  and  $\frac{\nu\sigma^2}{\nu-2}$  ( $\nu > 2$ ) respectively.

Suppose  $\mu$  is known and we have prior  $\sigma^2 \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2(\theta, \tau^2)$ . Find the MAP estimator of  $\sigma^2$ . (4 points) *Hint: Does the posterior of  $\sigma^2$  also follow inverse- $\chi^2$  distribution?*

(c) Are the above MAP estimators minimum mean square error (MMSE) estimators? Justify your claim. (6 points)

(a) SID (4 points).

(b) (a) The likelihood is given by

$$f(X_1, \dots, X_n | \mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2})^n} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right). \quad (2 \text{ points})$$

Maximizing the logarithm of the above (2 points) yields  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  (2 points),  $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{MLE}}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \hat{\mu})^2$  (2 points).

(b) By simple arithmetic,  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MLE}}$  is (3 points),  $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{MLE}}^2$  is not (3 points).

(c) (a) The posterior is

$$\begin{aligned} f(\mu|X_1, \dots, X_n, \sigma^2) &= C \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2 - \frac{(\mu - \theta)^2}{2\tau^2} \right) \\ &= C_1 \cdot \exp \left( -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2} \right) \mu^2 + \left( \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\theta}{\tau^2} \right) \mu + C_2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $C, C_1, C_2$  are independent of  $\mu$ . It follows that  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MAP}} = \frac{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i}{\sigma^2} + \frac{\theta}{\tau^2}}{\frac{n}{\sigma^2} + \frac{1}{\tau^2}}$  (4 points).

(b) By simple arithmetic

$$\sigma^2|X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Inv-}\chi^2 \left( \theta + n, \frac{\theta\tau^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2}{n + \theta} \right) \text{ (2 points)}$$

Thus  $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{MAP}}^2 = \frac{\theta\tau^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2}{\theta + n + 2}$  (2 points).

(c) Since mean and mode are identical in Gaussian distribution,  $\hat{\mu}_{\text{MAP}}$  is (3 points). Since mean and mode are not identical in inverse- $\chi^2$  distribution,  $\hat{\sigma}_{\text{MAP}}^2$  is not (3 points).



## 4 Two Hypotheses [20 points]

Under  $H_0$ , a random variable has the cumulative distribution function  $F_0(x) = x^2, 0 \leq x \leq 1$ ; and under  $H_1$ , it has the cumulative distribution function  $F_1(x) = x, 0 \leq x \leq 1$ .

- Write down your SID on the top right corner to get 4 points. (4 points)
- Let  $X$  be sampled from  $H_0$  and  $Y$  be sampled from  $H_1$ , independently from each other. Find the linear least squares estimator (LLSE)  $\mathbb{L}(X + Y|X - Y)$ . (6 points)
- What is the Neyman-Pearson test of  $H_0$  vs.  $H_1$ , such that the probability of false alarm (PFA) is  $\alpha$ ? (7 points) What is the probability of correct detection (PCD) of the above test? (3 points)

The density functions are  $f_0(x) = 2x$  vs.  $f_1(x) = 1$ .

- SID (4 points).
- Given the probability density functions  $f_X(x) = 2x$  and  $f_Y(y) = 1$  for  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively, the expected values and variances are calculated as follows: (2 points)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[X] &= \int_0^1 x f_X(x) dx = \frac{2}{3}, \\
 E[Y] &= \int_0^1 y f_Y(y) dy = \frac{1}{2}, \\
 E[X^2] &= \int_0^1 x^2 f_X(x) dx = \frac{1}{2}, \\
 E[Y^2] &= \int_0^1 y^2 f_Y(y) dy = \frac{1}{3}, \\
 \text{var}(X) &= E[X^2] - (E[X])^2 = \frac{1}{18}, \\
 \text{var}(Y) &= E[Y^2] - (E[Y])^2 = \frac{1}{12}.
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $U = X + Y$  and  $V = X - Y$ , we have: (2 points)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[U] &= E[X] + E[Y] = \frac{7}{6}, \\
 E[V] &= E[X] - E[Y] = \frac{1}{6}, \\
 \text{var}(V) &= \text{var}(X) + \text{var}(Y) = \frac{5}{36}, \\
 \text{cov}(U, V) &= \text{var}(X) - \text{var}(Y) = -\frac{1}{36}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the coefficients for the linear least squares estimator  $\mathbb{L}(U|V)$  are (2 points):

$$a = \frac{\text{cov}(U, V)}{\text{var}(V)} = -\frac{1}{5},$$
$$b = E[U] - a \cdot E[V] = \frac{6}{5}.$$

The linear least squares estimator  $\mathbb{L}(U|V)$  is given by:

$$\mathbb{L}(U|V) = \frac{6}{5} - \frac{1}{5}V = \frac{6}{5} - \frac{1}{5}(X - Y).$$

(c) To determine the Neyman-Pearson (NP) test of level  $\alpha$ , we set up the likelihood ratio:

$$\lambda(x) = \frac{f_1(x)}{f_0(x)} = \frac{1}{2x} \text{ (2 points)}$$

We reject  $H_0$  in favor of  $H_1$  when  $\lambda(x)$  is large, or equivalently, when  $x$  is small. The rejection region is therefore  $x \leq c$ , where  $c$  is determined by the test size  $\alpha$ :

$$\alpha = P(X \leq c|H_0) = \int_0^c 2x \, dx = c^2 \text{ (3 points)}$$

Solving for  $c$ , we find:

$$c = \sqrt{\alpha}.$$

It follows that the reject region is  $\{x \leq \sqrt{\alpha}\}$  (2 points).

The probability of correct detection (PCD) is the power of the test, which is the probability that the test correctly rejects  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  is true. The PCD is given by:

$$PCD = P(X \leq c|H_1) = \int_0^c 1 \, dx = c = \sqrt{\alpha} \text{ (3 points)}$$

## 5 Kalman Filters [25 points]

- (a) Write down your SID on the top right corner to get 3 points. (3 points)
- (b) What color is the Pink Panther? (1 point)
- (c) Consider the standard Kalman Filter state updates but with a slight change. The observations have a constant and unknown bias  $\Omega$ , and no other noise. Concretely,  $\forall i \geq 0$ ,

$$X_{i+1} = aX_i \quad (5)$$

$$Y_i = X_i + \Omega. \quad (6)$$

It is given that  $X_0$  and  $\Omega$  are zero-mean random variable and independent, with variances  $\sigma_X^2$  and  $\sigma_\Omega^2$  respectively. We want to do Kalman Prediction, i.e., obtain  $\hat{x}_{i|i-1} = \mathbb{E}[X_i|Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}]$  and the corresponding errors  $\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 = \mathbb{E}[(X_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1})^2]$ . In order to do so for this problem, we would need to keep track of three additional quantities which we define below:

$$\hat{\Omega}_i = \mathbb{E}[\Omega|Y_0, \dots, Y_i]$$

$$\lambda_i^2 = \mathbb{E}[(\Omega - \hat{\Omega}_i)^2]$$

$$\rho_i = \mathbb{E}[(X_{i+1} - \hat{x}_{i+1|i})(\Omega - \hat{\Omega}_i)]$$

- (i) With the understanding that  $\hat{x}_{0|-1} = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$  and  $\hat{\Omega}_{-1} = \mathbb{E}[\Omega]$ , write down the expression for  $\sigma_{0|-1}^2$ ,  $\lambda_{-1}^2$ , and  $\rho_{-1}$ . (3 points)
- (ii) Find the Kalman update for  $\hat{x}_{i+1|i}$ . In other words, find the term  $K_i$  and  $\hat{Y}_i$  below in terms of  $a, \sigma_{i|i-1}^2, \rho_i, \lambda_{i-1}^2, \hat{x}_{i|i-1}, \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}$  and  $Y_i$ . (6 points)

$$\hat{x}_{i+1|i} = a\hat{x}_{i|i-1} + K_i\hat{Y}_i$$

- (iii) Find the Kalman update for  $\hat{\Omega}_i$ . In other words, find the term  $L_i$  and  $\hat{Y}_i$  below in terms of  $a, \sigma_{i|i-1}^2, \rho_i, \lambda_{i-1}^2, \hat{x}_{i|i-1}, \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}$  and  $Y_i$ . (2 points)

$$\hat{\Omega}_i = \hat{\Omega}_{i-1} + L_i\hat{Y}_i$$

- (iv) Find the Kalman update for  $\sigma_{i+1|i}^2$ . In other words, find the term  $\alpha_i$  below in terms of  $a, \sigma_{i|i-1}^2, \rho_{i-1}, \lambda_{i-1}^2$ . (3 points)

$$\sigma_{i+1|i}^2 = a^2\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 - K_i\alpha_i$$

- (v) Find the Kalman update for  $\lambda_i^2$ . In other words, find the term  $\beta_i$  below in terms of  $a, \sigma_{i|i-1}^2, \rho_{i-1}, \lambda_{i-1}^2$ . (3 points)

$$\lambda_i^2 = \lambda_{i-1}^2 - L_i\beta_i$$

- (vi) Find the Kalman update for  $\rho_i$ . In other words, find the term  $\gamma_i$  below in terms of  $a, \sigma_{i|i-1}^2, \rho_{i-1}, \lambda_{i-1}^2$ . (4 points)

$$\rho_i = a\rho_{i-1} - L_i\alpha_i - K_i\beta_i + K_iL_i\gamma_i$$

(a) SID (3 points).

(b) Pink (1 point)

(c) (i) Since  $\hat{x}_{0|-1} = \mathbb{E}[X_0]$ ,  $\sigma_{0|-1}^2 = \sigma_X^2$ . (1 point)

Similarly,  $\lambda_{-1}^2 = \sigma_\Omega^2$  (1 point) and

$\rho_{-1} = \text{Cov}(X_0, \Omega) = 0$  (1 point).

(ii) We note that  $\hat{x}_{i+1|i} = a\hat{x}_{i|i-1} + \frac{\text{Cov}(X_{i+1}, \hat{Y}_i)}{\text{Var}(\hat{Y}_i)} \hat{Y}_i$ . (1 point).

We note that that  $\hat{Y}_i = Y_i - \mathbb{L}[X_i + \Omega | Y_0, \dots, Y_{i-1}] = Y_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}$ . (1 point)

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(X_{i+1}, \hat{Y}_i) &= \text{Cov}(aX_i, Y_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(aX_i, Y_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(aX_i, X_i + \Omega - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) \\ &= a\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + a\rho_{i-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1 \text{ point})$$

where we used  $\text{Cov}(X_i, X_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} + \Omega - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) = \text{Cov}(X_i, X_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1}) + \text{Cov}(X_i, \Omega - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) = \text{Cov}(X_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1}, X_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1}) + \text{Cov}(X_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1}, \Omega - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1})$  by orthogonality (2 points).

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Var}(\hat{Y}_i) &= \text{Var}(X_i + \Omega - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) \\ &= \sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + \lambda_{i-1}^2 + 2\rho_{i-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1 \text{ point})$$

Therefore,  $K_i = \frac{a\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + a\rho_{i-1}}{\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + \lambda_{i-1}^2 + 2\rho_{i-1}}$ .

(iii) We have  $\hat{\Omega}_i = \hat{\Omega}_{i-1} + \frac{\text{Cov}(\Omega, \hat{Y}_i)}{\text{Var}(\hat{Y}_i)} \hat{Y}_i$ . (1 point).

Simplifying,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}(\Omega, \hat{Y}_i) &= \text{Cov}(\Omega, Y_i - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) \\ &= \text{Cov}(\Omega, X_i + \Omega - \hat{x}_{i|i-1} - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1}) \\ &= \lambda_{i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (1 \text{ point})$$

Therefore,  $L_i = \frac{\lambda_{i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1}}{\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + \lambda_{i-1}^2 + 2\rho_{i-1}}$ .

(iv) We have  $\sigma_{i+1|i}^2 = \sigma_{i+1|i-1}^2 - K_i \text{Cov}(X_{i+1}, \hat{Y}_i)$ . (2 point)

Thus,  $\sigma_{i+1|i}^2 = \sigma_{i+1|i-1}^2 - aK_i(\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1})$ . (1 point)

Further,  $\sigma_{i+1|i-1}^2 = \text{Var}(X_{i+1} - \hat{x}_{i+1|i-1}) = \text{Var}(aX_i - a\hat{x}_{i|i-1}) = a^2\sigma_{i|i-1}^2$ .

$\alpha_i = a(\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1})$ .

(v) We have  $\lambda_i^2 = \lambda_{i-1}^2 - L_i \text{Cov}(\Omega, \hat{Y}_i)$ . (2 point)

Thus,  $\lambda_i^2 = \lambda_{i-1}^2 - L_i(\lambda_{i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1})$ . (1 point)

$$\beta_i = (\lambda_{i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1}).$$

(vi)

$$\rho_i = \mathbb{E}[(X_{i+1} - \hat{x}_{i+1|i})(\Omega - \hat{\Omega}_i)]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[(aX_i - a\hat{x}_{i|i-1} - K_i\hat{Y}_i)(\Omega - \hat{\Omega}_{i-1} - L_i\hat{Y}_i)] \text{ (2 points)}$$

$$= a\rho_{i-1} - aL_i(\sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1}) - K_i(\lambda_{i-1}^2 + \rho_{i-1}) + K_iL_i(\lambda_{i-1}^2 + \sigma_{i|i-1}^2 + 2\rho_{i-1}) \text{ (2 points)}.$$