

# Semiparametric Additive Isotonic Regression

Guang Cheng  
SAMSI & Duke University

- **Introduction**

- Background in non/semi-parametric isotonic regression;

- **Main Results**

- Motivation: Curse of dimensionality;
  - Semiparametric additive isotonic regression;

- **Simulations**

- Iterative algorithm;
  - Simulation results;

- **Discussions**

# Introduction

## Model Setting:

$$Y = H(W) + \epsilon, \tag{1}$$

where  $H(\cdot)$  is monotonic in each of the coordinates of  $W \in R^J$ .

## Applications:

In *Spatial Epidemiology*,  $Y \sim$  the risk of disease;  $W \sim$  distance from different classes of radiation to workers.

In *Biostatistics*,  $Y \sim$  the proportion of some organism killed by a drug;  $W_1 \sim$  the dosage of that drug,  $W_2 \sim$  exposure time to that drug.

### Isotonic Estimator:

$$\hat{H}_n \equiv \arg \min \frac{1}{n} \sum (Y_i - H(W_i))^2 \quad \text{w.r.t. monotonicity constrain.}$$

When  $J = 1$ , we call it *oracle estimator*, denoted as  $\hat{H}_n^{OR}$ .

### Max-min formula:

$$\hat{H}_n^{OR}(W_{(i)}) = \max_{s \leq i} \min_{t \geq i} \frac{\sum_{j=s}^t Y_{[j]}}{t - s + 1}, \quad (2)$$

$$n^{1/3} \left[ \frac{2p_W(w)}{\sigma^2 \dot{H}_0(w)} \right]^{1/3} (\hat{H}_n^{OR}(w) - H_0(w)) \xrightarrow{d} SGCM(B(t) + t^2), \quad (3)$$

where  $B$  is a two-sided Brownian motion.

*SGCM: slope of the greatest convex minorant.*

## Remark

- Comparing to other nonparametric estimation methods, the isotonic regression approach is entirely data-driven due to the built-in monotonicity constraint;
- The isotonic estimator  $\hat{H}_n^{OR}(w)$  can be any monotone function passing through  $\hat{H}_n^{OR}(w_{(i)})$ s;
- In practice, pool-adjacent-violators algorithm is often used for fitting isotonic regression;
- More details can be referred to Brunk (1970).

## Semiparametric Isotonic Regression:

$$Y = X'\beta + H(W) + \epsilon. \quad (4)$$

- $X'\beta \sim$  adjustments for covarites;
- $H(\cdot) \sim$  model nuisance covarites.

Similarly,

$$(\hat{\beta}_n, \hat{H}_n^s) \equiv \arg \min \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X'_i \beta - H(W_i))^2 \right). \quad (5)$$

In terms of the asymptotic behaviors of  $(\hat{\beta}_n, \hat{H}_n^s)$ ,

is model (4) good when  $J$  is arbitrarily large?

## Main Results

**Lemma 1:** Given that  $\epsilon$  has the sub-exponential tail, i.e.

$E(\exp(\gamma|\epsilon|)) < C$  for some  $\gamma, C > 0$ , and  $E(X - E(X|W))^{\otimes 2}$  is strictly positive definite, we have

for  $J = 2$

$$\|\hat{H}_n^s(W) - H_0(W)\|_2 = O_P(n^{-1/4}(\log n)^2),$$

and for  $J \geq 3$

$$\|\hat{H}_n^s(W) - H_0(W)\|_2 = O_P\left(n^{-\frac{1}{4(J-1)}} \log n\right),$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_2$  is  $L_2$  norm.



**Remark:**

- Empirical Processes technique is employed to derive Lemma 1;
- Curse of dimensionality under shape constraints;  
(Stone 1985, spline estimates, under smoothness conditions);
- Convergence Rates in lemma 1 depend on the condition of  $\epsilon$ , e.g.  
 $E|\epsilon|^r < \infty \Rightarrow o_P \left( n^{-1/(4J-4)+1/r} \right)$  for any fixed  $r$ ;
- $\hat{\beta}_n$  is not asymptotically normal since  $H$  does not belong to the P-Donsker class when  $J > 1$ .

## Semiparametric Additive Isotonic Regression:

$$Y = X'\beta + \sum_{j=1}^J h_j(W_j) + \epsilon$$

is proposed such that

- Circumvent the curse of dimensionality;
- $\hat{\beta}_n$  is asymptotically normal;
- $\hat{h}_j$  has the same asymptotic distribution of  $\hat{H}_n^{OR}$  (oracle property).

Note that  $(\hat{\beta}_n, \hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_J) \equiv \arg \min \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X_i'\beta - \sum_{j=1}^J h_j(W_{ij}))^2 \right)$

**Reference:** *Morton-Jones, Diggle, Parker, Dickinson, and Binks (2000)*

## Assumptions:

**A1.** Smoothness conditions on  $h_j$  and the density for  $W_j$ :

$$\inf_{|w_j - w'_j| \geq \delta} |h_j(w_j) - h_j(w'_j)| \gtrsim \delta^\gamma,$$
$$\sup_{0 \leq w_j, w'_j \leq 1} |p_{W_j}(w_j) - p_{W_j}(w'_j)| \lesssim |w_j - w'_j|^\rho,$$

for any  $\delta > 0$  and some constants  $\rho, \gamma > 0$ ;

**A2.** Lipschitz continuous condition on  $E(X|W_j)$ :

$$\|E(X|W_j = w_j) - E(X|W_j = w'_j)\| \lesssim |w_j - w'_j|;$$

**A3.**  $E(X - \sum_{j=1}^J E(X|W_j))^{\otimes 2}$  is strictly positive definite.

Note that the parameter identifiability condition in the additive model is weaker since

$$E(X - \sum_{j=1}^J E(X|W_j))^{\otimes 2} \geq E(X - E(X|W))^{\otimes 2}.$$

Such relaxation allows the interaction term to enter the model:

$$Y = (W_1 W_2) \beta + h_1(W_1) + h_2(W_2) + \epsilon.$$

If  $E(W_1) = E(W_2) = 0$  and  $W_1 \perp W_2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} E(X - E(X|W))^{\otimes 2} &= 0 \\ E(X - \sum_{j=1}^J E(X|W_j))^{\otimes 2} &= Var(W_1)Var(W_2) \end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 1:** Assuming the conditions (A1)-(A3) and the subexponential tail of  $\epsilon$ , we have

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\beta}_n - \beta_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \sigma^2 [E(X - \sum_{j=1}^J E(X|W_j))^{\otimes 2}]^{-1}),$$

$$\hat{h}_j(w) - \hat{H}_n^{OR}(w) = o_P(n^{-1/3}) \text{ for } w \in (0, 1)$$

if  $W$  is pairwise independent.

**References:** *Mammen and Yu (2006) and Huang (2002)*

In the semiparametric additive models with  $h_j \in L_2(P(W_j))$ , the local semiparametric efficiency bound is proved to be:

$$\sigma^{-2} E(X - E(X|\mathcal{F}))^{\otimes 2} = \sigma^{-2} \inf_{\sum f_j \in \mathcal{F}} E(X - \sum_{j=1}^J f_j(W_j))^{\otimes 2},$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the sum of closed  $L_2$  subspaces.

Therefore,

- The asymptotic variance of  $\hat{\beta}_n$  is even smaller than that of local asymptotic efficient estimator since we estimate the projection of  $Y$  onto the sum of closed subspace  $S_1$ , and closed convex cones  $S_{21}, \dots, S_{2J}$  formed by  $h_j(W_j)$ s;
- If the monotonicity of  $h_j$  is ignored,  $\hat{\beta}_n$  will become asymptotically less efficient.

# Simulations

## Iterative Algorithm:

$$\text{Set } S_n(\beta, h_1, \dots, h_J) = \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - X_i' \beta - \sum_{j=1}^J h_j(W_{ij}))^2 \right)$$

Let  $\beta^{(0)} = 0$  (or  $\beta^{(0)} : Y \sim X$ ) and  $k = 0$ .

**$\Lambda$ -step:** Minimize  $S_n(\beta^{(k)}, \Lambda)$  with respect to  $\Lambda$  to obtain  $\Lambda^{(k)} \equiv (h_1^{(k)}, \dots, h_J^{(k)})$  using the backfitting algorithm;

**$\beta$ -step:** Minimize  $S_n(\beta, \Lambda^{(k)})$  with respect to  $\beta$  (OLS:  $(Y - \Lambda^{(k)}(W)) \sim X$ ). Set  $k = k + 1$ , and let  $\beta^{(k)}$  be the minimizer. Go back to  $\Lambda$ -step.

## Remark

- Convergence of the backfitting algorithm in the case that  $S_{21}, \dots, S_{2J}$  are closed convex cones is proved in Dykstra (1983);
- Backfitting estimate  $\hat{h} \equiv (\hat{h}_1, \dots, \hat{h}_J)$  is not unique if there exists a tuple of vectors  $(f_1, \dots, f_J)$  such that  $\sum_{j=1}^J f_j(w_{ij}) = 0$  for any  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $f_j + h_j$  is monotone.



## Simulation Results:

- $W_1 \sim \text{Unif}[-1, 1]$ ;
- $W_2 \sim$  truncated normal within  $[-1, 1]$ ;
- $\epsilon \sim 0.5N(1, 0.5^2) + 0.5N(-1, 1^2)$ ;
- $h_1(w_1) = w_1 \exp(-w_1^2/2)$  and  $h_2(w_2) = \sin(\pi w_2/2)$ ;
- $\beta_0 = 1$ .

Sample size: 100, 300, 600;

For each sample size, 100 datasets

For each dataset, 500 iterations.

**Simulation results for  $\beta$ :**

**M1.** Semiparametric additive isotonic model:

$$Y = (W_1 W_2) \beta + h_1(W_1) + h_2(W_2) + \epsilon;$$

**M1( $h_1$ ).**  $h_1$  is assumed to be known:

$$Y^{(1)} = (W_1 W_2) \beta + h_2(W_2) + \epsilon;$$

**M1( $h_2$ ).**  $h_2$  is assumed to be known:

$$Y^{(2)} = (W_1 W_2) \beta + h_1(W_1) + \epsilon;$$

**M1( $h_1, h_2$ ).**  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are assumed to be known:

$$Y^{(12)} = (W_1 W_2) \beta + \epsilon;$$

Table 1. *Comparison between  $M1$ - $M_1(h_1, h_2)(\beta_0 = 1)$*

n	$M1$	$M1(h_1)$	$M1(h_2)$	$M1(h_1, h_2)$
100	0.985 (0.358)	0.987 (0.242)	1.009 (0.237)	0.992 (0.169)
300	0.989 (0.245)	1.005 (0.145)	0.996 (0.137)	0.998 (0.089)
600	0.991 (0.189)	1.006 (0.141)	0.999 (0.103)	0.999 (0.067)

## Simulation results for $h_1$ :

**M1.** Semiparametric additive isotonic model:

$$Y = (W_1 W_2) \beta + h_1(W_1) + h_2(W_2) + \epsilon;$$

**M2.** Nonparametric additive isotonic model:

$$Y = h_1(W_1) + h_2(W_2) + \epsilon;$$

**M3.** Oracle Model:

$$Y = h_1(W_1) + \epsilon;$$

Table 2. *Comparison between  $M1$ ,  $M2$  and  $M3$  (MISE)*

n	$M1$	$M2$	$M3$	$M1/M2$	$M1/M3$
100	0.138	0.113	0.111	1.220	1.240
300	0.081	0.072	0.073	1.122	1.105
600	0.056	0.045	0.046	1.075	1.044

## Discussions

- Generalize to  $H(Y) = X'\beta + \sum_{j=1}^J h_j(W_j) + \epsilon$  with unknown  $H(\cdot)$ ;
- Model selection problem;
- How to relax the pairwise independence assumption of  $W$ ?

## Reference

BRUNK, H.D. (1970). Estimation of Isotonic Regression (with discussion) In: Puri, M.L. (Ed.), Nonparametric Techniques in Statistical Inference. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

DYKSTRA, R. (1983). An Algorithm for Restricted Least Squares Regression *Journal of the American Statistical Association* **78** 837–842.

HUANG, J. (2002). A note on estimating a partly linear model under monotonicity constraints. *Journal of Statistical Planning and Inference* **107** 345–351.

MAMMEN, E. AND YU, KYUSANG (2007). Additive Isotone Regression  
*IMS Lecture Notes*

MORTON-JONES, T., DIGGLE, P., PARKER, L., DICKINSON, H.O.  
AND BINKS, K. (2000). Additive isotonic regression models in  
epidemiology. *Statistics in medicine* **19** 849–859.

STONE, C.J. (1985). Additive Regression and other nonparametric  
models *Annals of Statistics* **13** 689–705.