Problem 5

Name: Cheng Chen

ID:40222770 function: tan(x) Concordia University

SOEN 6011: Software Engineering Processes 17 July 2022

1 Description about the trace to the requirements

- FR1:All the input of the test cases below are in radians, which are with the expected output according to the radians' status.
- FR2::All the input of the test cases below are real numbers with only one period ".".
- FR3:Every test case only includes one input number.
- NFR1: The local test environment is java version "12.0.1" 2019-04-16
 Java(TM) SE Runtime Environment (build 12.0.1+12)
 Java HotSpot(TM) 64-Bit Server VM (build 12.0.1+12, mixed mode, sharing)

2 Test Cases

All test cases below are written based on Tips/Guidelines for writing test cases with some editings.[1] The expected outouts were calculated and given by the calculator on the mobile phone.

- 1. Test Case 1
 - input:0.0
 - output by Algorithm 1:0.0
 - output by Algorithm 2:0.0
 - expected output:0.0
 - Algorithm 1 result:Pass
 - Algorithm 2 result:Pass
- 2. Test Case 2
 - input:0.15
 - output by Algorithm 1:0.151135
 - output by Algorithm 2:0.151135
 - \bullet expected output:0.151135
 - Algorithm 1 result:Pass
 - Algorithm 2 result:Pass
- 3. Test Case 3
 - input:0.30
 - \bullet output by Algorithm 1:0.309336
 - output by Algorithm 2:0.309336
 - expected output:0.309336
 - Algorithm 1 result:Pass
 - Algorithm 2 result:Pass

4. Test Case 4

- input:0.45
- output by Algorithm 1:0.483055
- output by Algorithm 2:0.483055
- expected output:0.483055
- Algorithm 1 result:Pass
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

5. Test Case 5

- input:0.60
- output by Algorithm 1:0.684136
- output by Algorithm 2:0.684136
- expected output:0.684136
- Algorithm 1 result:Pass
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

6. Test Case 6

- input:0.75
- \bullet output by Algorithm 1:0.931590
- output by Algorithm 2:0.931596
- expected output:0.931596
- Algorithm 1 result:Fail
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

7. Test Case 7

- input:0.90
- \bullet output by Algorithm 1:1.260011
- output by Algorithm 2:1.260158
- expected output:1.260158
- Algorithm 1 result:Fail
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

8. Test Case 8

- input:1.05
- output by Algorithm 1:1.740870
- output by Algorithm 2:1.743315
- expected output:1.743315
- Algorithm 1 result:Fail
- ullet Algorithm 2 result:Pass

9. Test Case 9

- input:1.20
- \bullet output by Algorithm 1:2.540713
- \bullet output by Algorithm 2:2.572151
- expected output:2.572151
- Algorithm 1 result:Fail
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

10. Test Case 10

- input:1.35
- output by Algorithm 1:4.084290
- output by Algorithm 2:4.455221
- expected output:4.455221
- Algorithm 1 result:Fail
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

11. Test Case 11

- \bullet input:1.50
- output by Algorithm 1:14.100190
- output by Algorithm 2:14.101419
- expected output:14.101419
- Algorithm 1 result:Fail
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

12. Test Case 12

- input:1.57
- output by Algorithm 1:1235.828402
- output by Algorithm 2:1255.765591
- \bullet expected output:1255.765591
- Algorithm 1 result:Fail
- Algorithm 2 result:Pass

3 Total

- Algorithm 1 accuracy: 41.60%
- Algorithm 2 accuracy: 100.00%

conclusion: Algorithm 2 has the better performance. Algorithm 1 met problems when the input x is over 0.60.

Referenties

 $[1] \ \ STC \ Admin, \ Tips/Guidelines \ for \ writing \ test \ cases, \ Software testing class.com, \ 2012.$