# Chapter 11: Computations in a functor context III Monad transformers

Sergei Winitzki

Academy by the Bay

2019-01-05

#### Computations within a functor context: Combining monads

Programs often need to combine monadic effects (see code)

- "Effect"  $\equiv$  what else happens in  $A \Rightarrow M^B$  besides computing B from A
- Examples of effects for some standard monads:
  - Option computation will have no result or a single result
  - ▶ List computation will have zero, one, or multiple results
  - ► Either computation may fail to obtain its result, reports error
  - ▶ Reader computation needs to read an external context value
  - ▶ Writer some value will be appended to a (monoidal) accumulator
  - ► Future computation will be scheduled to run later
- How to combine several effects in the same functor block (for/yield)?

- The code will work if we "unify" all effects in a new, larger monad
- Need to compute the type of new monad that contains all given effects

#### Combining monadic effects I. Trial and error

There are several ways of combining two monads into a new monad:

- If  $M_1^A$  and  $M_2^A$  are monads then  $M_1^A \times M_2^A$  is also a monad
  - lacktriangle But  $M_1^A imes M_2^A$  describes two separate values with two separate effects
- ullet If  $M_1^A$  and  $M_2^A$  are monads then  $M_1^A+M_2^A$  is usually not a monad
  - lacksquare If it worked, it would be a choice between two different values / effects
- ullet If  $M_1^A$  and  $M_2^A$  are monads then one of  $M_1^{M_2^A}$  or  $M_2^{M_1^A}$  is often a monad
- Examples and counterexamples for functor composition:
  - ▶ Combine  $Z \Rightarrow A$  and List<sup>A</sup> as  $Z \Rightarrow List^A$
  - ► Combine Future [A] and Option [A] as Future [Option [A]]
  - ▶ But Either[Z, Future[A]] and Option[Z  $\Rightarrow$  A] are not monads
  - ► Neither Future[State[A]] nor State[Future[A]] are monads
- The order of effects matters when composition works both ways:
  - ▶ Combine Either  $(M_1^A = Z + A)$  and Writer  $(M_2^A = W \times A)$ 
    - \* as  $Z + W \times A$  either compute result and write a message, or all fails
    - \* as  $(Z + A) \times W$  message is always written, but computation may fail
- Find a general way of defining a new monad with combined effects
- Derive properties required for the new monad

### Combining monadic effects II. Lifting into a larger monad

If a "big monad" BigM[A] somehow combines all the needed effects:

```
// This could be valid Scala... // If we define the various
val result: BigM[Int] = for { // required "lifting" functions:
                                       def lift_1[A]: Seq[A] \Rightarrow BigM[A] = ???
   i \leftarrow lift_1(1 \text{ to } n)
   j \leftarrow lift_2(Future\{ q(i) \})
                                       def lift_2[A]: Future[A] \Rightarrow BigM[A] = ???
   k \leftarrow lift_3(maybeError(j))
                                       def lift_3[A]: Try[A] \Rightarrow BigM[A] = ???
} yield f(k)
```

• Example 1: combining as BigM[A] = Future[Option[A]] with liftings:

```
def lift<sub>1</sub>[A]: Option[A] ⇒ Future[Option[A]] = Future.successful(_)
def lift<sub>2</sub>[A]: Future[A] \Rightarrow Future[Option[A]] = _.map(x \Rightarrow Some(x))
```

Example 2: combining as BigM[A] = List[Try[A]] with liftings:

```
def lift_1[A]: Try[A] \Rightarrow List[Try[A]] = x \Rightarrow List(x)
def lift<sub>2</sub>[A]: List[A] \Rightarrow List[Try[A]] = _.map(x \Rightarrow Success(x))
```

#### Remains to be understood:

- Finding suitable laws for the liftings; checking that the laws hold
- Building a "big monad" out of "smaller" ones, with lawful liftings
  - ▶ Is this always possible? Unique? Are there alternative solutions?
- Ways of reducing the complexity of code; make liftings automatic

4 / 20

#### Laws for monad liftings I. Identity laws

Whatever identities we expect to hold for monadic programs must continue to hold after lifting  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  values into the "big monad" BigM

- We assume that  $M_1$ ,  $M_2$ , and BigM already satisfy all the monad laws Consider the various functor block constructions containing the liftings:
- Left identity law after lift₁
  // Anywhere inside a for/yield: // Must be equivalent to...
  i ← lift₁(M₁.pure(x)) i = x
  j ← bigM(i) // Any BigM value. j ← bigM(x)
  lift₁(M₁.pure(x)).flatMap(b) = b(x) in terms of Kleisli composition (◊): (pure<sub>M₁</sub>; lift₁): x⇒BigM<sup>x</sup> ◊ b: x⇒BigM<sup>y</sup> = b with f: x⇒M<sup>y</sup> ◊ g: y⇒M<sup>z</sup> ≡ x ⇒ f(x).flatMap(g)
  Right identity law after lift₁
  // Anywhere inside a for/yield: // Must be equivalent to...
  x ← bigM // Any BigM value. x ← bigM
- b.flatMap(M<sub>1</sub>.pure andThen lift<sub>1</sub>) = b in terms of Kleisli composition:

i = x

- $b^{:X\Rightarrow \mathsf{BigM}^Y} \diamond (\mathsf{pure}_{M_1}; \mathsf{lift}_1)^{:Y\Rightarrow \mathsf{BigM}^Y} = b$
- The same identity laws must hold for M<sub>2</sub> and lift<sub>2</sub> as well

 $i \leftarrow lift_1(M_1.pure(x))$ 

### Laws for monad liftings II. Simplifying the laws

 $(\mathsf{pure}_{M_1}^{}, \mathsf{lift}_1)$  is a unit for the Kleisli composition  $\diamond$  in the monad  $\mathtt{BigM}$ 

- $\bullet$  But the monad  ${\tt BigM}$  already has a unit element, namely  ${\tt pure}_{{\tt BigM}}$
- $\bullet$  The two-sided unit element is always unique:  $u=u \diamond u'=u'$
- So the two identity laws for  $(pure_{M_1}, lift_1)$  can be reduced to one law:  $pure_{M_1}, lift_1 = pure_{BigM}$

Refactoring a portion of a monadic program under  $\mathtt{lift_1}$  gives another law:

```
// Anywhere inside a for/yield, this...

i \leftarrow lift_1(p) // Any M_1 value.

j \leftarrow lift_1(q(i)) // Any M_1 value.

j \leftarrow lift_1(p(i)) // Any M_1 value.

j \leftarrow lift_1(p(i)) // Now lift it.
```

 $lift_1(p).flatMap(q andThen lift_1) = lift_1(p flatMap q)$ 

- Rewritten equivalently through  ${\sf flm}_M: (A\Rightarrow M^B)\Rightarrow M^A\Rightarrow M^B$  as  ${\sf lift_1}^\circ, {\sf flm}_{\sf BigM} (q^\circ, {\sf lift_1}) = {\sf flm}_{M_1} q^\circ, {\sf lift_1}$  both sides are functions  $M_1^A\Rightarrow {\sf BigM}^B$
- Rewritten equivalently through  $\operatorname{ftn}_M: M^{M^A} \Rightarrow M^A$ , the law is  $\operatorname{lift_1}^{\circ}\operatorname{fmap}_{\operatorname{BigM}}\operatorname{lift_1}^{\circ}\operatorname{ftn}_{\operatorname{BigM}} = \operatorname{ftn}_{M_1}^{\circ}\operatorname{lift_1} \operatorname{both}$  sides are functions  $M_1^{M_1^A} \Rightarrow \operatorname{BigM}^A$
- In terms of Kleisli composition  $\diamond_M$  it becomes the **composition law**:  $(b^{:X\Rightarrow M_1^Y}\circ lift_1) \diamond_{\mathsf{BigM}} (c^{:Y\Rightarrow M_1^Z}\circ lift_1) = (b\diamond_{M_1} c)\circ lift_1$
- Liftings lift
   ind lift
   must obey an identity law and a composition law
  - ▶ The laws say that the liftings **commute with** the monads' operations

#### Laws for monad liftings III. The naturality law

Show that  $lift_1 : M_1^A \Rightarrow BigM^A$  is a natural transformation

- It maps  $pure_{M_1}$  to  $pure_{BigM}$  and  $flm_{M_1}$  to  $flm_{BigM}$ 
  - ▶ lift<sub>1</sub> is a **monadic morphism** between monads  $M_1^{\bullet}$  and BigM<sup>•</sup>
  - example: monad "interpreters"  $M^A \Rightarrow N^A$  are monadic morphisms

The (functor) naturality law: for any  $f: X \Rightarrow Y$ ,

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{lift}_1 \circ \mathsf{fmap}_{\mathsf{BigM}} f &= \mathsf{fmap}_{M_1} f \circ \mathsf{lift}_1 \\ M_1^X \xrightarrow{\quad \mathsf{lift}_1 \quad} &\to \mathsf{BigM}^X \\ \mathsf{fmap}_{M_1} f^{:X \Rightarrow Y} \middle\downarrow \qquad \qquad & \bigvee_{\mathsf{fmap}_{\mathsf{BigM}}} f^{:X \Rightarrow Y} \\ M_1^Y \xrightarrow{\quad \mathsf{lift}_1 \quad} &\to \mathsf{BigM}^Y \end{split}$$

Derivation of the functor naturality law for lift<sub>1</sub>:

- Express fmap as fmap<sub>M</sub> $f = \text{flm}_M(f_{?}, \text{pure}_M)$  for both monads
- Given  $f: X \Rightarrow Y$ , use the law  $\mathsf{flm}_{M_1} q \circ \mathsf{lift_1} = \mathsf{lift_1} \circ \mathsf{flm}_{\mathsf{BigM}} (q \circ \mathsf{lift_1})$  to compute  $\mathsf{flm}_{M_1} (f \circ \mathsf{pure}_{M_1}) \circ \mathsf{lift_1} = \mathsf{lift_1} \circ \mathsf{flm} (f \circ \mathsf{pure}_{M_1}) \circ \mathsf{lift_1} = \mathsf{lift_1} \circ \mathsf{flm} (f \circ \mathsf{pure}_{\mathsf{BigM}}) = \mathsf{lift_1} \circ \mathsf{fmap}_{\mathsf{BigM}} f$

A monadic morphism is always also a natural transformation of the functors

#### Monad transformers I: Motivation

- Combine  $Z \Rightarrow A$  and 1 + A: only  $Z \Rightarrow 1 + A$  works, not  $1 + (Z \Rightarrow A)$ 
  - ▶ It is not possible to combine monads via a natural bifunctor  $B^{M_1,M_2}$
  - It is not possible to combine arbitrary monads as  $M_1^{M_2^{ullet}}$  or  $M_2^{M_1^{ullet}}$ 
    - **★** Example: state monad  $St_S^A \equiv S \Rightarrow A \times S$  does not compose
- The trick: for a fixed base monad  $L^{\bullet}$ , let  $M^{\bullet}$  (foreign monad) vary
- Call the desired result the "L's monad transformer",  $T_L^{M,\bullet}$ 
  - ► In Scala: LT[M[\_]: Monad, A] e.g. ReaderT, StateT, etc.
- $T_L^{M,\bullet}$  is generic in M but not in L
  - No general formula for monad transformers seems to exist
  - ▶ For each base monad *L*, a different construction is needed
  - ► Some monads *L* do not seem to have a transformer (?)
- To combine 3 or more monads, compose the transformers:  $T_{L_1}^{T_{L_2}^{M,*}}$ 
  - ► Example in Scala: StateT[S, ListT[Reader[R, ?], ?], A]
- This is called a monad stack but may not be functor composition
  - ▶ because e.g. State[S, List[Reader[R, A]]] is not a monad

#### Monad transformers II: The requirements

A monad transformer for a base monad  $L^{\bullet}$  is a type constructor  $T_{\iota}^{M,\bullet}$ parameterized by a monad  $M^{\bullet}$ , such that for all monads  $M^{\bullet}$ 

- $T_L^{M,\bullet}$  is a monad (the monad M transformed with  $T_L$ )
- "Lifting" a monadic morphism lift  $I^M: M^A \leadsto T_I^{M,A}$
- "Base lifting" a monadic morphism blift :  $L^A \sim T_L^{M,A}$ ▶ The "base lifting" could not possibly be natural in  $L^{\bullet}$
- ullet Transformed identity monad (Id) must become L, i.e.  $T_{\iota}^{\mathsf{Id},ullet}\cong L^{ullet}$
- $T_{i}^{M,\bullet}$  is monadically natural in  $M^{\bullet}$  (but not in  $L^{\bullet}$ )
  - $T_{L}^{M,\bullet}$  is natural w.r.t. a monadic functor  $M^{\bullet}$  as a type parameter
  - ▶ For any monad  $N^{\bullet}$  and a monadic morphism  $f: M^{\bullet} \sim N^{\bullet}$  we need to have a monadic morphism  $T_I^{M,\bullet} \leadsto T_I^{N,\bullet}$  for the transformed monads:  $\operatorname{mrun}_{L}^{M}: (M^{\bullet} \rightsquigarrow N^{\bullet}) \Rightarrow T_{L}^{M,\bullet} \rightsquigarrow T_{L}^{N,\bullet}$  commuting with lift / blift
    - \* If we implement  $T_I^{M,\bullet}$  only via M's monad methods, naturality will hold
  - ▶ Cf. traverse:  $L^A \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow F^B) \Rightarrow F^{L^B}$  natural w.r.t. applicative  $F^{\bullet}$
  - ▶ This can be used for lifting a "runner"  $M^A \rightsquigarrow A$  to  $T_L^{M,\bullet} \rightsquigarrow T_L^{\mathsf{ld},\bullet} = L^{\bullet}$
- "Base runner": lifts  $L^A \sim A$  into a monadic morphism  $T_I^{M,\bullet} \sim M^{\bullet}$ ; so  $\operatorname{brun}_{I}^{M}:(L^{\bullet} \leadsto \bullet) \Rightarrow T_{I}^{M,\bullet} \leadsto M^{\bullet}, \text{ must commute with lift and blift}$

2019-01-05

#### Monad transformers III: First examples

Recall these monad constructions:

- If  $M^A$  is a monad then  $R \Rightarrow M^A$  is also a monad (for a fixed type R)
- If  $M^A$  is a monad then  $M^{Z+A\times W}$  is also a monad (for fixed W, Z)

This gives the monad transformers for base monads Reader, Writer, Either:

```
type ReaderT[R, M[], A] = R \Rightarrow M[A] type EitherT[Z, M[], A] = M[Either[Z, A]] type WriterT[W, M[], A] = M[(W, A)]
```

- ReaderT wraps the foreign monad from the outside
- EitherT and WriterT must be composed *inside* the foreign monad Remaining questions:
- What are transformers for other standard monads (List, State, Cont)?
  - ► These monads do not compose (neither "inside" nor "outside" works)!
  - How to derive a monad transformer for an arbitrary given monad?
    - For monads obtained via known monad constructions?
    - For monads constructed via other monad transformers?
    - ▶ Is it always possible? (unknown; may be impossible for some monads)
  - For a given monad, is the corresponding monad transformer unique?
  - How to avoid the boilerplate around lift? (mtl-style transformers)

### Monad transformers IV: The zoology of monads

Need to select the correct monad transformer construction, per monad:

- "Composed-inside", base monad is inside foreign monad:  $T_L^{M,A} = M^{L^A}$ 
  - Examples: the "single-value monads" OptionT, WriterT, EitherT
- "Composed-outside" the base monad is outside:  $T_L^{M,A} = L^{M^A}$ 
  - ▶ Examples: ReaderT; SearchT for search monad  $S[A] = (A \Rightarrow Z) \Rightarrow A$
  - More generally: all rigid monads have "outside" transformers
    - \* A rigid functor has the method fuseIn:  $(A \Rightarrow R^B) \Rightarrow R^{A \Rightarrow B}$
- "Recursive": interleaves the base monad and the foreign monad
  - ► Examples: ListT, NonEmptyListT, FreeMonadT
- Monad constructions: defining a transformer for new monads
  - ▶ Product monads  $L_1^A \times L_2^A$  product transformer  $T_{L_1}^{M,A} \times T_{L_2}^{M,A}$
  - "Consumer-choice" monads  $H^A \Rightarrow A$  composed-outside transformer
  - Free pointed monads  $A + L^A$  transformer  $M^{A+T_L^{M,A}}$
- "Irregular": none of the above constructions work, need something else
  - ►  $T_{\mathsf{State}}^{M,A} = S \Rightarrow M^{\mathsf{S} \times A}; \ T_{\mathsf{Cont}}^{M,A} = (A \Rightarrow M^R) \Rightarrow M^R; \text{ "selector" } F^{A \Rightarrow P^Q} \Rightarrow P^A$ - transformer  $F^{A \Rightarrow T_P^{M,Q}} \Rightarrow T_P^{M,A}; \text{ codensity } \forall R. \ (A \Rightarrow M^R) \Rightarrow M^R$
- ullet Examples of monads  $K^A$  for which no transformers exist? (not known)
  - $ightharpoonup T_{Cod}$ ,  $T_{Sel}$ , and  $T_{Cont}$  transformers have no blift, brun, or mrun

### Composed-inside transformers I

Base monad  $L^{\bullet}$ , foreign monad  $M^{\bullet}$ , transformer  $T_L^{M, \bullet} \equiv T^{\bullet} \equiv M^{L^{\bullet}}$ 

- Monad instance: use the natural transformation  $seq^A: L^{M^A} \Rightarrow M^{L^A}$ 
  - pure  $_T: A \Rightarrow M^{L^A}$  is defined as pure  $_T = pure_M^{\circ}$ , pure  $_L^{\uparrow M}$
  - $\operatorname{ftn}_T: T^{T^A} \Rightarrow T^A$  is defined as  $\operatorname{ftn}_T = \operatorname{seq}^{\uparrow M}_t \operatorname{ftn}_L^{\uparrow M \uparrow M}_t \operatorname{ftn}_M$

$$T^{T^A} \equiv M^{L^{M^{L^A}}} \xrightarrow[\mathsf{fmap}_M \left(\mathsf{seq}^{L^A}\right)]{} \rightarrow M^{M^{L^A}} \xrightarrow[\mathsf{fmap}_M \left(\mathsf{fmap}_M \mathsf{ftn}_L\right)]{} M^{M^{L^A}} \xrightarrow[\mathsf{ftn}_M]{} M^{L^A} \equiv T^A$$

- Monad laws must hold for T<sup>A</sup> (must check this separately)
  - ► This depends on special properties of seq, e.g.  $pure_L^{\circ}$ ,  $seq = pure_L^{\uparrow M}$  (*L*-identity);  $pure_M^{\uparrow L}{\circ} seq = pure_M$  (*M*-identity)
    - **★** See example code that verifies these properties for  $L^A \equiv E + W \times A$
    - ★ It is not enough to have any traversable functor L<sup>•</sup> here!
- Monad transformer methods for  $T_L^{M,\bullet} \equiv M^{L^{\bullet}}$ :
  - ▶ Lifting, lift :  $M^A \Rightarrow M^{L^A}$  is defined as lift = pure  $\int_{L^A}^{L^A}$
  - ▶ Base lifting, blift :  $L^A \Rightarrow M^{L^A}$  is equal to pure<sub>M</sub>
  - ▶ Runner, mrun :  $(∀B.M^B \Rightarrow N^B) \Rightarrow M^{L^A} \Rightarrow N^{L^A}$  is equal to id
  - ▶ Base runner, brun :  $(\forall B.L^B \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow M^{L^A} \Rightarrow M^A$  is equal to fmap<sub>M</sub>

## \* Composed-inside transformers II. Proofs

Base monad  $L^{ullet}$ , foreign monad  $M^{ullet}$ , transformer  $T_L^{M,ullet} \equiv T^{ullet} \equiv M^{L^{ullet}}$ 

- Identity laws for the monad  $T^{\bullet}$  hold if they hold for  $L^{\bullet}$  and  $M^{\bullet}$  and if the properties  $\operatorname{pure}_{L^{\circ}}^{\uparrow M} \operatorname{seq} = \operatorname{pure}_{M}^{\uparrow L} \operatorname{seq} = \operatorname{pure}_{M}^{\downarrow L} \operatorname{hold}$
- pure  $_T^{\uparrow T}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_T$  = id. Proof: pure  $_T$  = pure  $_M$   $\circ$  pure  $_L^{\uparrow M}$  = pure  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_M$  (naturality); for all f:  $f^{\uparrow T} = f^{\uparrow L \uparrow M}$  and f  $\circ$  pure  $_M$  = pure  $_M$   $\circ$   $f^{\uparrow M}$  (naturality); so pure  $_T^{\uparrow T}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_T$  is (pure  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_M$ )  $\circ$   $f^{\uparrow L \uparrow M}$   $\circ$  (seq  $\circ$   $f^{\uparrow M}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_M$ ) = pure  $_L^{\uparrow L \uparrow M}$   $\circ$  pure  $_M^{\uparrow M}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_M^{\uparrow M}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_M^{\uparrow M}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_L^{\uparrow L}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_L^{\uparrow L}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_L^{\uparrow L}$   $\circ$  ftn  $_L$   $\circ$  pure  $_L^{\uparrow L}$
- Identity law for lift:  $pure_{\underline{\mathcal{M}},\underline{\hat{f}}}$  lift =  $pure_T$  (this is the definition of  $pure_T$ )
- Composition law: lift; lift<sup>†</sup> ; ftn<sub>T</sub> = ftn<sub>M</sub>; lift. Proof: ftn<sub>M</sub>; pure<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup> = pure<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup>; ftn<sub>M</sub> and pure<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup>; (pure<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup>; seq<sup>↑M</sup>); ftn<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup>; ftn<sub>M</sub> = (pure<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup>; seq<sup>↑M</sup>); (pure<sub>L</sub><sup>↑L</sup>↑M↑M; ftn<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup>↑M); ftn<sub>M</sub> = pure<sub>L</sub><sup>↑M</sup>↑M↑M; ftn<sub>M</sub>
- Identity law for blift:  $pure_{L_{\gamma}^{\circ}}$  blift =  $pure_{T}$ . ( $pure_{L_{\gamma}^{\circ}}$  pure<sub>M</sub> =  $pure_{M_{\gamma}^{\circ}}$  pure<sub>L</sub>
- Composition law: blift; blift $^{\uparrow T}$ ; ftn $_{T} = \text{ftn}_{L}$ ; blift. Proof: pure $_{M}$ ; pure $_{M}^{\uparrow L\uparrow M}$ ; (seq $^{\uparrow M}$ ; ftn $_{L}^{\uparrow M\uparrow M}$ ; ftn $_{M}$ ) = pure $_{M}$ ; (pure $_{M}^{\uparrow M}$ ; ftn $_{L}^{\uparrow M\uparrow M}$ ); ftn $_{M}$  = pure $_{M}$ ; (ftn $_{L}^{\uparrow M}$ ; pure $_{M}^{\uparrow M}$ ); ftn $_{M}$  = ftn $_{L}$ ; pure $_{M}$ ; (pure $_{M}^{\uparrow M}$ ; ftn $_{M}$ ) = ftn $_{L}$ ; blift
- Runner laws follow from naturality of id and fmap

13 / 20

### Rigid monads. Definitions

- A **rigid monad**  $R^{\bullet}$  has a composed-outside transformer,  $T_R^{M,A} \equiv R^{M^A}$ 
  - Examples:  $R^A \equiv A \times A$  and  $R^A \equiv Z \Rightarrow A$  are rigid;  $R^A \equiv 1 + A$  is not
  - ▶ For any monad M, we then have seq :  $M^{R^A} \Rightarrow \bar{R}^{M^A}$  defined by

$$\mathsf{seq} = \mathsf{pure}_{M}^{\uparrow R \uparrow M}; \mathsf{pure}_{R}; \mathsf{ftn}_{T}$$

$$M_{\text{fmap}_{M}(\text{fmap}_{R}(\text{pure}_{M}))}^{R^{A}} \xrightarrow{\text{pure}_{R}} R^{M^{R^{M^{A}}}} = T^{T^{A}} \xrightarrow{\text{ftn}_{T}} T^{A} \equiv R^{M^{A}}$$

 $\quad \text{``Nested'' associativity law: } \mathsf{flm}_R g^{:A\Rightarrow R^{M^B}} = \mathsf{pure}_M^{\uparrow P} \circ \mathsf{flm}_T g^{:A\Rightarrow R^{M^B}}$ 

#### Examples and constructions of rigid monads:

- Rigid: Id, Reader, and  $R^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow A$  (where H is a contrafunctor)
  - ▶ The construction  $R^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow A$  covers  $R^A \equiv 1$ ,  $R^A \equiv A$ ,  $R^A \equiv Z \Rightarrow A$
- $\bullet$  The composition of rigid monads,  $R_1^{R_2^A}$  , is a rigid monad
- ullet The product of rigid monads,  $R_1^A imes R_2^A$ , is a rigid monad
- The selector monad  $S^A \equiv F^{A \Rightarrow R^Q} \Rightarrow R^A$  is rigid if  $R^A$  is rigid

#### Rigid functors, their laws and structure I

- A rigid functor  $R^{\bullet}$  has the method fuseIn:  $(A \Rightarrow R^B) \Rightarrow R^{A \Rightarrow B}$ 
  - ▶ Rigid monads are rigid functors since fi = seq with  $M^A \equiv Z \Rightarrow A$
  - ► Compare with fuseOut:  $R^{A\Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow A \Rightarrow R^B$ , which exists for any functor
    - \* Implementation: fo  $h^{:R^{A\Rightarrow B}} = x^{:A} \Rightarrow (f^{:A\Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow fx)^{\uparrow R} h$
- Nondegeneracy law: fuseOut(fuseIn(x)) == x or fi; fo = id
- fi must be natural in both type parameters
  - Naturality: fi  $(f, g^{\uparrow R}) = (q^{:A\Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow q, g)^{\uparrow R}$  (fi f) for  $\forall f^{:A\Rightarrow R^B}$ ,  $g^{:B\Rightarrow C}$  and fi  $(f, g) = (q^{:B\Rightarrow C} \Rightarrow f, g)^{\uparrow R}$  (fi g) for  $\forall f^{:A\Rightarrow B}$ ,  $g^{:B\Rightarrow R^C}$
- Connection between monadic flatMap and applicative ap for monadic R:
  - flm :  $(A \Rightarrow R^B) \Rightarrow R^A \Rightarrow R^B$
  - ightharpoonup ap:  $R^{A \Rightarrow B} \Rightarrow R^{A} \Rightarrow R^{B}$
  - ▶ The connection is flm = fi; ap and ap = fo; flm
    - ★ However, here we need to flip the order of *R*-effects in ap
  - ► Connection between ap and fo is fo x a = ap x (pure a)
- If flm = fig ap then fig fo = id. Proof: set  $x^{:R^{A\Rightarrow B}} = \text{fi } h^{:A\Rightarrow R^B}$  and get fo x = ap(fi h)(pure a) = flm h(pure a) = h a, so fo(fi h) = h
- Conversely: If fi% fo = id and ap = fo% flm then flm = fi% ap. Proof: fi% ap = fi% fo % flm = flm

#### Rigid functors, their laws and structure II

Examples and constructions of rigid functors (see code):

- $R^A \equiv H^A \Rightarrow Q^A$  is a rigid functor (not monad) if  $Q^A$  is a rigid functor
- Not rigid:  $R^A \equiv W \times A$ ,  $R^A \equiv E + A$ , List<sup>A</sup>, Cont<sup>A</sup>, State<sup>A</sup>

Use cases for rigid functors:

- A rigid functor is pointed: a natural transformation  $A \Rightarrow R^A$  exists
- ullet A rigid functor has a single constructor because  $R^1\cong 1$
- Handle multiple  $M^{\bullet}$  effects at once: For a rigid functor  $R^{\bullet}$  and any monad  $M^{\bullet}$ , have "R-valued M-flatMap":  $M^{A} \times (A \Rightarrow R^{M^{B}}) \Rightarrow R^{M^{B}}$
- Uptake monadic API: For a rigid functor  $R^{\bullet}$ , can implement a general refactoring function, refactor:  $((A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow C) \Rightarrow (A \Rightarrow R^B) \Rightarrow R^C$ , to transform a program  $p(f^{A\Rightarrow B}) : C$  into  $\tilde{p}(\tilde{f}^{:A\Rightarrow R^B}) : R^C$

## Composed-outside transformers for rigid monads I

Base rigid monad  $R^{\bullet}$ , foreign monad  $M^{\bullet}$ , transformer  $T_R^{M,\bullet} \equiv T^{\bullet} \equiv R^{M^{\bullet}}$ 

- Monad instance: define the Kleisli category with morphisms  $A \Rightarrow R^{M^A}$
- pure  $_T: A \Rightarrow R^{M^A}$  is defined by  $pure_T \equiv pure_M^{\circ}$ ,  $pure_R = pure_R^{\circ}$ ,  $pure_M^{\uparrow R}$ 
  - ▶ If  $R^{M^{\bullet}}$  is a monad then we can define seq :  $M^{R^{\bullet}} \sim R^{M^{\bullet}}$ 
    - \* seq = pure $M^{\uparrow R \uparrow M}$ ; pure $M^{\circ}$ ; ftn $M^{\tau}$  as  $M^{R^{\bullet}} \sim M^{R^{M^{\bullet}}} \sim R^{M^{R^{M^{\bullet}}}} \sim R^{M^{\bullet}}$
  - ▶ Choosing  $M^A \equiv Z \Rightarrow A$ , we get seq = fi :  $(Z \Rightarrow R^A) \Rightarrow R^{Z \Rightarrow A}$

Define rigid monads via the existence of composed-outside transformers

- Monad transformer methods for  $T_R^{M,\bullet} \equiv R^{M^{\bullet}}$ :
  - ▶ Lifting, lift :  $M^A \Rightarrow R^{M^A}$  is equal to pure<sub>M</sub>
  - ▶ Base lifting, blift :  $R^A \Rightarrow R^{M^A}$  is equal to pure  $M^{\uparrow R}$
  - ▶ Runner, mrun :  $(\forall B.M^B \Rightarrow N^B) \Rightarrow R^{M^A} \Rightarrow R^{N^A}$  is equal to fmap<sub>R</sub>
  - ▶ Base runner, brun :  $(\forall B.R^B \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow R^{M^A} \Rightarrow M^A$  is equal to id

### Rigid monads: Open questions

- What properties of fi :  $(A \Rightarrow R^B) \Rightarrow R^{A \Rightarrow B}$  define rigid monads?
  - ► The law fi; fo = id does not appear to be sufficient
  - ▶ Not clear if fi; fo = id follows from monadicity of  $R^{M^{\bullet}}$
- A (generalized) functor from Kleisli category to "applicative" category?
  - ▶ Identity law: fi (pure<sub>R</sub>) = pure<sub>R</sub> (id) this holds
  - ► Composition law:  $fi(f \diamond_R g) = (p \times q \Rightarrow p; q)^{\uparrow R} (fif \bowtie fig)$

★ not clear whether this holds

Define the rigid monad transformer using fi?

• Define  $\diamond_T$  by  $f \diamond_T g \equiv \text{fo}((p \times q \Rightarrow p \diamond_M q)^{\uparrow R} (\text{fi } f \bowtie_R \text{fi } g))$ 

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (A \Rightarrow R^{M^B}) & \diamond_T & (B \Rightarrow R^{M^C}) & \xrightarrow{\text{define } \diamond_T \text{ as}} & (A \Rightarrow R^{M^C}) \\ & & & \downarrow^{\text{fi}} & & \downarrow^{\text{fo}} & & \text{fo} \\ R^{A \Rightarrow M^B} & \bowtie_R & R^{B \Rightarrow M^C} & \rightarrow R^{(A \Rightarrow M^B) \times (B \Rightarrow M^C)} & \xrightarrow{\text{fmap}_R(\diamond_M)} & R^{A \Rightarrow M^C} \\ \end{array}$$

- not clear whether this holds
  - ⋆ not clear whether associativity can be shown to hold in general

## Invalid attempts to create a general monad transformer

General recipes for combining two functors  $L^{\bullet}$  and  $M^{\bullet}$  all fail:

- "Fake" transformers:  $T_L^{M,A} \equiv L^A$ ; or  $T_L^{M,A} \equiv M^A$ ; or just  $T_L^{M,A} \equiv 1$ 
  - ▶ no lift and/or no base runner and/or  $T_L^{Id,A} \not\equiv L^A$
- Functor composition, disjunction, or product:  $L^{M^{\bullet}}$ ,  $M^{L^{\bullet}}$ ,  $L^{\bullet} + M^{\bullet} -$  not a monad in general;  $L^{\bullet} \times M^{\bullet} -$  no lifting  $M^{\bullet} \leadsto L^{\bullet} \times M^{\bullet}$
- Making a monad out of functor composition:
  - free monad over  $L^{M^{\bullet}}$ , Free  $L^{M}$  lift violates lifting laws
  - free monad over  $L^{\bullet} + M^{\bullet}$ , Free  $L^{\bullet} + M^{\bullet} \text{lift}$  violates lifting laws
    - \* Laws will hold after interpreting the free monad into a concrete monad
  - ▶ codensity monad over  $L^{M^{\bullet}}$ :  $F^{A} \equiv \forall B. (A \Rightarrow L^{M^{B}}) \Rightarrow L^{M^{B}}$  no lift
- Codensity-*L* transformer:  $Cod_L^{M,A} \equiv \forall B. (A \Rightarrow L^B) \Rightarrow L^{M^B} \text{no lift}$ 
  - ▶ applies the continuation transformer to  $M^A \cong \forall B. (A \Rightarrow B) \Rightarrow M^B$
- Codensity composition:  $F^A \equiv \forall B. (M^A \Rightarrow L^B) \Rightarrow L^B$  not a monad
  - ▶ Counterexample:  $M^A \equiv R \Rightarrow A$  and  $L^A \equiv S \Rightarrow A$
- "Monoidal" convolution:  $(L \star M)^A \equiv \exists P \exists Q. (P \times Q \Rightarrow A) \times L^P \times M^Q$ 
  - ▶ combines  $L^A \cong \exists P.L^P \times (P \Rightarrow A)$  with  $M^A \cong \exists Q.M^Q \times (Q \Rightarrow A)$
  - ▶  $L \star M$  is not a monad for e.g.  $L^A \equiv 1 + A$  and  $M^A \equiv R \Rightarrow A$

#### Exercises

- Show that the method pure:  $A \Rightarrow M^A$  is a monadic morphism between monads  $\operatorname{Id}^A \equiv A$  and  $M^A$ . Show that  $1 \Rightarrow 1 + A$  is not a monadic morphism.
- ② Show that  $M_1^A + M_2^A$  is *not* a monad when  $M_1^A \equiv 1 + A$  and  $M_2^A \equiv Z \Rightarrow A$ .
- **3** Derive the composition law for lift written using ftn as  $lift_1$ ; fmap<sub>BigM</sub>  $lift_1$ ; ftn<sub>BigM</sub> =  $ftn_{M_1}$ ;  $lift_1$  from the flm-based law  $lift_1$ ; flm<sub>BigM</sub> (q;  $lift_1)$  = flm<sub>M1</sub>q;  $lift_1$ . Draw type diagrams for both laws.
- Show that the continuation monad is not rigid and does not compose with arbitrary other monads. Show that the list and state monads are not rigid.
- **Show that fo**  $(pure_P(f^{:A\Rightarrow B})) = f; pure_P \text{ for any pointed functor } P.$
- A rigid monad has a pure method because it is a monad, and also another pure method because it is a rigid functor. Show that these two pure methods must be the same.
- **3** Show that  $T_{L_1}^{M,A} \times T_{L_2}^{M,A}$  is the transformer for the monad  $L_1 \times L_2$ .