4180/5180: Reinforcement Learning and Sequential Decision Making (Fall 2022)	Christopher Amato
Northeastern University	Due Sep 21, 2022

Exercise 1: Multi-armed Bandits

Please remember the following policies:

- Exercise due at 11:59 PM EST Sep 21, 2022.
- Submissions should be made electronically on Canvas. Please ensure that your solutions for both the written and programming parts are present. You can upload multiple files in a single submission, or you can zip them into a single file. You can make as many submissions as you wish, but only the latest one will be considered.
- For <u>Written</u> questions, solutions may be handwritten or typeset. If you write your answers by hand and submit images/scans of them, please please ensure legibility and order them correctly in a single PDF file.
- The PDF file should also include the figures and answers from the **Plot** questions.
- For both <u>Plot</u> and <u>Code</u> questions, submit your source code in Jupyter Notebook (.ipynb file) along with reasonable comments of your implementation. Please make sure the code runs correctly.
- You are welcome to discuss these problems with other students in the class, but you must understand and write up the solution and code yourself. Also, you *must* list the names of all those (if any) with whom you discussed your answers at the top of your PDF solutions page.
- Each exercise may be handed in up to two days late (24-hour period), penalized by 10% per day late. Submissions later than two days will not be accepted.
- Contact the teaching staff if there are medical or other extenuating circumstances that we should be aware of.
- Notations: RL2e is short for the reinforcement learning book 2nd edition. x.x means the Exercise x.x in the book.
- 1. 1 point. (RL2e 2.2) Exploration vs. exploitation.

Written: Consider a k-armed bandit problem with k=4 actions, denoted 1, 2, 3, and 4. Consider applying to this problem a bandit algorithm using ε -greedy action selection, sample-average action-value estimates, and initial estimates of $Q_1(a)=0$, for all a. Suppose the initial sequence of actions and rewards is $A_1=1, R_1=-1, A_2=2, R_2=1, A_3=2, R_3=-2, A_4=2, R_4=2, A_5=3, R_5=0$. On some of these time steps the ε case may have occurred, causing an action to be selected at random. On which time steps did this definitely occur? On which time steps could this possibly have occurred?

2. 1 point. (RL2e 2.4) Varying step-size weights.

<u>Written:</u> If the step-size parameters, α_n , are not constant, then the estimate Q_n is a weighted average of previously received rewards with a weighting different from that given by Equation 2.6. What is the weighting on each prior reward for the general case, analogous to Equation 2.6, in terms of the sequence of step-size parameters?

3. 2 points. Bias in Q-value estimates.

This question is required for 5180 and extra credit for 4180

Written: Recall that $Q_n \triangleq \frac{R_1 + ... + R_{n-1}}{n-1}$ is an estimate of the true expected reward q_* of an arbitrary arm a. We say that an estimate is biased if the expected value of the estimate does not match the true value, i.e., $\mathbb{E}[Q_n] \neq q_*$ (otherwise, it is unbiased).

(a) Consider the *sample-average* estimate in Equation 2.1. Is it biased or unbiased? Justify your answer with brief words and equations.

For the remainder of the question, consider the exponential recency-weighted average estimate in Equation 2.5. Assume that $0 < \alpha < 1$ (i.e., it is strictly less than 1).

(b) If $Q_1 = 0$, is Q_n (for n > 1) biased? Justify your answer with brief words and equations.

- (c) Derive condition(s) for Q_1 for when Q_n will be unbiased.
- (d) Show that Q_n is an unbiased estimator as $n \to \infty$ (which is often referred to as asymptotically unbiased).
- (e) Why should we expect that the exponential recency-weighted average will be biased in practice? Think about what happens to Q_1 or α in practice.

4. 1 point. (RL2e 2.9) Gradient Bandit

<u>Written:</u> Show that in the case of two actions, the soft-max distribution is the same as that given by the logistic, or sigmoid, function often used in statistics and artificial neural networks.

For the remainder of this assignment, we provide you with the 10-armed bandit environment described in Section 2.3. You are asked to implement the ϵ -greedy and the upper confidence bound (UCB) strategies with incremental update and test them using the 10-armed bandit environment. Please read the description of the 10-armed bandit problem carefully and make sure you understand it.

5. 2 points. Reproducing Figure 2.2. (RL2e page 29)

<u>Code:</u> Implement the ε -greedy algorithm with incremental updates. Note that in the graph: "All the methods formed their action-value estimates using the sample-average technique (with an initial estimate of 0). See equation 2.1"

Plot: Reproduce both plots shown in Figure 2.2, with the following modifications:

- We provide you with the scaffolding code to run experiments and to plot figures.
- Use 10³ steps with 2000 independent runs (same as in text) for the final report. Make sure that all methods are evaluated on the same set of 2000 10-armed bandit problems. To test your implementation, you can start with small runs (e.g., 100) and steps (e.g., 100).
- In each subplot, you have to show three curves corresponding to $\epsilon = 0, 0.01, 0.1$, respectively.
- We provide the code for plotting. In particular, we add an extra constant upper bound line corresponding to the best possible average performance in the running trials, based on the known true expected rewards $q_*(a)$. That is, the line should correspond to $\max_a q_*(a)$, averaged over all trials. For each curve, we also plot confidence bands corresponding to $(1.96 \times \text{ standard error})$ of the rewards. The standard error of the mean is defined as the standard deviation divided by \sqrt{n} : $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$. This corresponds to a $\sim 95\%$ confidence interval around the average performance. In other words, our uncertainty in the average performance decreases as the number of trials increases. See the following for an example of plotting a confidence band in matplotlib.pyplot: https://matplotlib.org/3.3.1/gallery/lines_bars_and_markers/fill_between_demo.html#example-confidence-bands
- Specifically, the plotting function is named *plot_curves* in Jupyter Notebook. Please read the function carefully and make sure the input arguments are valid.
- For the Average reward figure, the x axis is the steps and the y axis is the averaged reward for each step over all runs. For example, at step t, we run N trails and receives N rewards $[r_1, r_2, ..., r_N]$. Then, the average reward at step t is computed as $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i}{N}$.
- For the Optimal action figure, the x axis is the steps and the y axis is the percentage of selecting the optimal action at each step. For example, at step t, we run N trails and select N actions $[A_1, A_2, ..., A_N]$. We can compute the percentage of the optimal action at step t as $\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathbb{1}_{A_i=a_i}}{N}$, where A_i is the selected action at step t for the i-th trail and a_i is the optimal action for the i-th trail.

<u>Written:</u> What are the average rewards that the algorithm converges to using different ϵ values? Why does the algorithm converge to different average rewards for different ϵ ? Explain briefly.

- 6. **2 points.** Reproducing and supplementing Figures 2.3 (RL2e page 34) and 2.4. (RL2e page 36)

 Code: Implement the ε-greedy algorithm with optimistic initial values, and the bandit algorithm with UCB action selection. **Plot:** Reproduce the plots shown in both Figures 2.3 and 2.4, with the following modifications:
 - We provide you with the scaffolding code to run experiments and to plot figures.
 - Use 10³ steps with 2000 independent runs (same as in text) for the final report. Make sure that all methods are evaluated on the same set of 2000 10-armed bandit problems. To test your implementation, you can start with smaller runs (e.g., 100) and steps (e.g., 100).

• Figure 2.3 should show % optimal action and Figure 2.4 should show the average reward. Both plots should each contain 5 curves using the following parameters:

```
- \varepsilon-greedy (Q_1 = 0, \varepsilon = 0)

- \varepsilon-greedy (Q_1 = 5, \varepsilon = 0)

- \varepsilon-greedy (Q_1 = 0, \varepsilon = 0.1)

- \varepsilon-greedy (Q_1 = 5, \varepsilon = 0.1)

- UCB (c = 2)
```

• Use the provided plotting function ($plot_curves$), plot the confidence bands corresponding to (1.96× standard error) of the rewards and show the constant upper bound line corresponding to the best possible average performance in your trials, based on the known true expected rewards $q_*(a)$. That is, the line should correspond to $\max_a q_*(a)$, averaged over all trials.

Written: We have seen the spike for optimistic initialization in class (Figure 2.3, Figure 2.4 in RL2e). Observe that UCB also produce spikes in the very beginning in both the two reproduced figures. Explain in your own words why the spikes appear (both the sharp increase and sharp decrease). Analyze and use your experimental data as further empirical evidence to back your reasoning.