

# Crowd Labelling EM Derivation

Matthew Alger  
The Australian National University

August 2, 2016

In this document, I elaborate on the derivation of the expectation-maximisation formulae from Yan et al. [2010]. Notation etc. is taken from Yan et al. [2010]. I only consider the case of binary labels.

## 1 Formulation

We have  $N$  data points  $\{\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_N\}$ , where  $\vec{x}_i \in \mathbb{R}^D$ . We also have a set of labels  $\{y_1^{(1)}, \dots, y_n^{(1)}, \dots, y_1^{(T)}, \dots, y_N^{(T)}\}$ , where  $y_i^{(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}_2$  is the (potentially incorrect) binary label assigned to  $\vec{x}_i$  by annotator  $t$ . We want to train a classifier to predict labels of new data points, we want to estimate the groundtruth labels  $\{z_1, \dots, z_N\}$  where  $z_i \in \mathbb{Z}_2$ , and we want to model the quality of each annotator's labels. Let  $\vec{x}$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  be random variables representing data points, labels, and groundtruths, respectively. The classification task is then to model  $p(z \mid \vec{x})$ . Define matrices to represent the data:

$$\begin{aligned} X &= [\vec{x}_1^T; \dots; \vec{x}_N^T] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D} \\ Y &= [y_1^{(1)}, \dots, y_1^{(T)}; \dots; y_N^{(1)}, \dots, y_N^{(T)}] \in \mathbb{Z}_2^{N \times T} \\ Z &= (z_1, \dots, z_N) \in \mathbb{Z}_2^N \end{aligned}$$

We assume that annotator labels depend on both the data point and the groundtruth, that annotator labels have annotator-dependent noise, and that annotator labels follow a Bernoulli distribution:

$$\begin{aligned} p(y_i^{(t)} \mid \vec{x}_i, z_i, \vec{w}_t, \gamma_t) &= (1 - \eta_t(\vec{x}_i \mid \vec{w}_t, \gamma_t))^{|y_i^{(t)} - z_i|} \eta_t(\vec{x}_i \mid \vec{w}_t, \gamma_t)^{1 - |y_i^{(t)} - z_i|} \\ \eta_t(\vec{x}_i \mid \vec{w}_t, \gamma_t) &= \sigma(\vec{w}_t^T \vec{x}_i - \gamma_t) \end{aligned}$$

We use logistic regression to model the posterior distribution:

$$p(z_i = 1 \mid \vec{x}_i, \alpha, \beta) = \sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta)$$

The parameters of the model are  $\vec{\theta} = \{\vec{\alpha}, \beta, \vec{w}_1, \dots, \vec{w}_T, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_T\}$ .

## 2 Expectation-Maximisation

We can find optimum values of the parameters by maximising the log-likelihood  $p(Y \mid X, \vec{\theta})$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\theta}^* &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\vec{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \log p(y_i^{(t)} \mid \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}) \\ &= \operatorname{argmax}_{\vec{\theta}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{t=1}^T \log \sum_{z_i=0}^1 p(y_i^{(t)}, z_i \mid \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

noting that we assume independence between labels of different data points and labels from different annotators. Since  $z_i$  are latent variables, we must use expectation-maximisation.

For the expectation step, we want to evaluate  $p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)}, \vec{\theta})$  for  $i = 1, \dots, N$ . We can write this in terms of the parameters:

$$\begin{aligned}
p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)}, \vec{\theta}) &= \frac{1}{A_i} p(z_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)} | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_i} \prod_{t=1}^T p(z_i, y_i^{(t)} | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_i} \prod_{t=1}^T p(y_i^{(t)} | \vec{x}_i, z_i, \vec{\theta}) p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}) \\
&= \frac{1}{A_i} \prod_{t=1}^T p(y_i^{(t)} | \vec{x}_i, z_i, \vec{w}_t, \gamma_t) p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\alpha}, \beta)
\end{aligned}$$

$A_i$  is a normalisation term given by

$$A_i = \sum_{z_i=0}^1 p(z_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)} | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}).$$

To simplify notation, let  $\tilde{p}(z_i) = p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)}, \vec{\theta})$ .

For the maximisation step, we want to set

$$\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}} = \underset{\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^N Q_i(\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}, \vec{\theta})$$

where

$$Q_i(\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}, \vec{\theta}) = \sum_{z_i=0}^1 p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)}, \vec{\theta}) \log p(\vec{x}_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)}, z_i | \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}).$$

Once again, we need to write this in terms of the parameters.

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_i(\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}, \vec{\theta}) &= \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \tilde{p}(z_i) \log p(\vec{x}_i, y_i^{(1)}, \dots, y_i^{(T)}, z_i | \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{p}(z_i) \log p(\vec{x}_i, y_i^{(t)}, z_i | \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{p}(z_i) \log(p(y_i^{(t)}, z_i | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) p(\vec{x}_i | \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}})) \\
&= T \log p(\vec{x}_i | \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) + \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{p}(z_i) \log p(y_i^{(t)}, z_i | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) \\
&= T \log p(\vec{x}_i | \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) + \\
&\quad \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{p}(z_i) (\log p(y_i^{(t)} | \vec{x}_i, z_i, \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) + \log p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}})) \\
&= T \log p(\vec{x}_i | \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}) + \\
&\quad \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{p}(z_i) (\log p(y_i^{(t)} | \vec{x}_i, z_i, \vec{w}_t^{\text{new}}, \gamma_t^{\text{new}}) + \log p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\alpha}^{\text{new}}, \beta^{\text{new}}))
\end{aligned}$$

Then the maximisation step is

$$\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}} = \underset{\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}}{\operatorname{argmax}} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{p}(z_i) (\log p(y_i^{(t)} | \vec{x}_i, z_i, \vec{w}_t^{\text{new}}, \gamma_t^{\text{new}}) + \log p(z_i | \vec{x}_i, \vec{\alpha}^{\text{new}}, \beta^{\text{new}}))$$

noting that  $T \log p(\vec{x}_i \mid \vec{\theta}^{\text{new}})$  is the same for all  $\vec{\theta}^{\text{new}}$  as  $x_i$  is observed. To simplify notation, let

$$f(\vec{\theta}) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \sum_{t=1}^T \tilde{p}(z_i) (\log p(y_i^{(t)} \mid \vec{x}_i, z_i, \vec{w}_t, \gamma_t) + \log p(z_i \mid \vec{x}_i, \vec{\alpha}, \beta)).$$

where  $\tilde{p}(z_i)$  is evaluated using the old value of  $\vec{\theta}$ .

### 3 Gradients of the Optimisation Target

In this section, I derive the gradients of  $f$  with respect to the parameters  $\vec{\theta}$ .

#### 3.1 $\nabla_{\vec{\alpha}} f(\vec{\theta})$

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\vec{\alpha}} f(\vec{\theta}) &= T \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \nabla_{\vec{\alpha}} (\tilde{p}(z_i) \log p(z_i \mid \vec{x}_i, \vec{\alpha}, \beta)) \\ &= T \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{z_i=0}^1 \tilde{p}(z_i) \nabla_{\vec{\alpha}} \log p(z_i \mid \vec{x}_i, \vec{\alpha}, \beta) \\ &= T \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{p}(z_i = 1) - \tilde{p}(z_i = 0)) \nabla_{\vec{\alpha}} \log \sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta) \\ &= T \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{p}(z_i = 1) - \tilde{p}(z_i = 0)) \frac{\nabla_{\vec{\alpha}} \sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta)}{\sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta)} \\ &= T \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{p}(z_i = 1) - \tilde{p}(z_i = 0)) \frac{\sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta)(1 - \sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta))}{\sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta)} \vec{x} \\ &= T \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{p}(z_i = 1) - \tilde{p}(z_i = 0)) (1 - \sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta)) \vec{x} \end{aligned}$$

#### 3.2 $\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\vec{\theta})$

The derivative is mostly identical to the derivative for  $\nabla_{\vec{\alpha}} f(\vec{\theta})$ , but with  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta}(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta) = 1$ .

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \beta}(\vec{\theta}) = T \sum_{i=1}^N (\tilde{p}(z_i = 1) - \tilde{p}(z_i = 0)) (1 - \sigma(\vec{\alpha}^T \vec{x}_i + \beta))$$

## References

Yan Yan, Rómer Rosales, Glenn Fung, Mark W Schmidt, Gerardo H Valadez, Luca Bogoni, Linda Moy, and Jennifer G Dy. Modeling annotator expertise: Learning when everybody knows a bit of something. In *International conference on artificial intelligence and statistics*, pages 932–939, 2010.