

Username: YALE UNIVERSITY ENGINEERING & APPLIED SCIENCE LIBRARY **Book:** Modern Compiler Implementation in ML. No part of any chapter or book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form by any means without the prior written permission for reprints and excerpts from the publisher of the book or chapter. Redistribution or other use that violates the fair use privilege under U.S. copyright laws (see 17 USC107) or that otherwise violates these Terms of Service is strictly prohibited. Violators will be prosecuted to the full extent of U.S. Federal and Massachusetts laws.

5.3 TYPE-CHECKING EXPRESSIONS

The structure `Semant` performs semantic analysis – including type-checking – of abstract syntax. It contains four functions that recur over syntax trees:

```
type venv = Env.venventry Symbol.table
type tenv = ty Symbol.table

transVar: venv * tenv * Absyn.var -> expty
transExp: venv * tenv * Absyn.exp -> expty
transDec: venv * tenv * Absyn.dec -> {venv: venv, tenv: tenv}
transTy:      tenv * Absyn.ty  -> Types.ty
```

The type-checker is a recursive function of the abstract syntax tree. I will call it `transExp` because we will later augment this function not only to type-check but also to translate the expressions into intermediate code. The arguments of `transExp` are a value environment `venv`, a type environment `tenv`, and an expression. The result will be an `expty`, containing a translated expression and its Tiger-language type:

```
type expty = {exp: Translate.exp, ty: Types.ty}
```

where `Translate.exp` is the translation of the expression into intermediate code, and `ty` is the type of the expression.

To avoid a discussion of intermediate code at this point, let us define a dummy `Translate` module:

```
structure Translate = struct type exp = unit end
```

and use `()` for every `exp` value. We will flesh out the `Translate.Exp` type in [Chapter 7](#).

Let's take a very simple case: an addition expression $e_1 + e_2$. In Tiger, both operands must be integers (the type-checker must check this) and the result will be an integer (the type-checker will return this type).

In most languages, addition is *overloaded*: the `+` operator stands for either integer addition or real addition. If the operands are both integers, the result is integer; if the operands are both real, the result is real. And in many languages if one operand is an integer and the other is real, the integer is implicitly converted into a real, and the result is real. Of course, the compiler will have to make this conversion explicit in the machine code it generates.

Tiger's nonoverloaded type-checking is easy to implement:

```
fun transExp(venv,tenv,
             Absyn.OpExp{left,oper=Absyn.PlusOp,right,pos}) =
  let val {exp=_, ty=tyleft} = transExp(venv,tenv,left)
      val {exp=_, ty=tyright} = transExp(venv,tenv,right)
  in case tyleft of Types.INT => ()
      | _ => error pos "integer required";
     case tyright of Types.INT => ()
      | _ => error pos "integer required";
     {exp=(), ty=Types.INT}
  end
```

This works well enough, although we have not yet written the cases for other kinds of expressions (and operators other than `+`), so when the recursive calls on `left` and `right` are executed, a `Match` exception will be raised. You can fill in the other cases yourself (see [page 121](#)).

It's also a bit clumsy. Most of the recursive calls to `transExp` will pass the exact same `venv` and `tenv`, so we can factor them out using nested functions. The case of checking for an integer type is common enough to warrant a function definition, `checkInt`. We can use a local structure definition to abbreviate a frequently used structure name such as `Absyn`. A cleaned-up version of `transExp` looks like:

```

structure A = Absyn

fun checkInt ({exp,ty},pos) = (...)

fun transExp(venv,tenv) =
  let fun trexp (A.OpExp{left,oper=A.PlusOp,right,pos}) =
        (checkInt(trexp left, pos);
         checkInt(trexp right, pos);
         {exp=(), ty=Types.INT})
      | trexp (A.RecordExp ...) = ...
  in
  end

```

TYPE-CHECKING VARIABLES, SUBSCRIPTS, AND FIELDS

The function `trexp` recurs over `Absyn.exp`, and `trvar` recurs over `Absyn.var`; both these functions are nested within `transExp` and access `venv` and `tenv` from `transExp`'s formal parameters. In the rare cases where `trexp` wants to change the `venv`, it must call `transExp` instead of just `trexp`.

```

and trvar (A.SimpleVar(id,pos)) =
  (case Symbol.look(venv,id)
   of SOME(E.VarEntry{ty}) =>
        {exp=(), ty=actual_ty ty}
    | NONE => (error pos ("undefined variable "
                        ^ S.name id);
              exp=(), ty=Types.INT))
| trvar (A.FieldVar(v,id,pos)) = ...
in trexp
end

```

The clause of `trvar` that type-checks a `SimpleVar` illustrates the use of environments to look up a variable binding. If the identifier is present in the environment *and* is bound to a `VarEntry` (not a `FunEntry`), then its type is the one given in the `VarEntry` (Figure 5.8).

The type in the `VarEntry` will sometimes be a "NAME type" (Program 5.7), and all the types returned from `transExp` should be "actual" types (with the names traced through to their underlying definitions). It is therefore useful to have a function, perhaps called `actual_ty`, to skip past all the NAMES. The result will be a `Types.ty` that is not a NAME, though if it is a record or array type it might contain NAME types to describe its components.

For function calls, it is necessary to look up the function identifier in the environment, yielding a `FunEntry` containing a list of parameter types. These types must then be matched against the arguments in the function-call expression. The `FunEntry` also gives the result type of the function, which becomes the type of the function call as a whole.

Every kind of expression has its own type-checking rules, but in all the cases I have not already described the rules can be derived by reference to the *Tiger Language Reference Manual* (Appendix A).