

# CSC410 Assignment 2 Question 1

Liang Chen 1005735126

Joshua Han 1005109669

Yiqi Zhi 1004892725

## 1.1. Solution:

Given the definition of bit vector frameworks:

$L = (P(D), \sqcap)$  for some finite set  $D$  where  $P(D)$  is the powerset of  $D$  and  $\sqcap$  is either set union ( $\cup$ ) or set intersection ( $\cap$ );

$F: P(D) \rightarrow P(D)$

$\forall S \subseteq D, \exists \text{ some } K, G \subseteq D \text{ s.t. } f(S) = (S \cap K) \cup G \text{ where } f \in F$

WTS Live Variable Analysis is a bit vector framework

Based on the set up of Live Variable Analysis, we have:

$D$ : the set of all variables in a program, and the number of variables in the program is finite, saying  $n$

Data flow fact  $P(D)$ : the power set of  $D$ , with size  $2^n$ , i.e. each element in this power set  $P(D)$  is a possible set of variables in this program. Besides, since any element in  $P(D)$  is a set of some variables, it is also a subset of  $D$ , i.e. an element of  $P(D) \subseteq D$

$\sqcap$ : the set union

Domain: semilattice  $(P(D), \cup, P(D))$

Direction: backward

$F$ :  $IN[B] = f(OUT[B])$  where  $B$  is some basic block in the program, specifically speaking,

$$IN[B] = (OUT[B] / Kill[B]) \cup Gen[B]$$

$OUT[B]$ : the set of live variables at the exit point of basic block  $B$ . Since for every point of this program, the state of live variables  $\in P(D)$ , we have  $OUT[B] \in P(D)$ , i.e.  $OUT[B] \subseteq D$

$IN[B]$ : the set of live variables at the entry point of basic block  $B$ . Since for every point of this program, the state of live variables  $\in P(D)$ , we have  $IN[B] \in P(D)$ , i.e.  $IN[B] \subseteq D$

$Kill[B]$ : the set of variables killed in basic block  $B$ , i.e. elements that were written/redefined in block  $B$ .  $Kill[B] \in P(D)$ , i.e.  $Kill[B] \subseteq D$

$$Kill[B]^c = D \setminus Kill[B]$$

$$Kill[B]^c \in P(D)$$

$$Kill[B]^c \subseteq D$$

Gen[B]: the set of variables that were read,  $\text{Gen}[B] \in P(D)$ , i.e.  $\text{Gen}[B] \subseteq D$

Assume for a given basic block B, OUT[B] of this block is an arbitrary variable set.  
Based on the specified statements in this block, we always can find Kill[B] and Gen[B]  
Correspondingly,  $\text{Kill}[B]^c$  also exists. And by set operation properties, we have:

$$(\text{OUT}[B] \setminus \text{Kill}[B]) \cup \text{Gen}[B] = (\text{OUT}[B] \cap \text{Kill}[B]^c) \cup \text{Gen}[B]$$

Thus, we have:

- Meet the definition of lattice for bit vector frameworks:  
 $L = (P(D), \sqcup)$  for finite set D the set of all variables in a program, where  $P(D)$  is the powerset of D and  $\sqcup$  is the set union ( $\cup$ ) in live variable analysis ( semilattice  $(P(D), \cup, P(D))$  )
- Meet the Transfer function's requirements:  
 $F: P(D) \rightarrow P(D)$ , where inputs are the set of live variables at exit of basic block and outputs are the set of live variables at the entry of basic block, both  $\text{IN}[B]$  and  $\text{OUT}[B] \subseteq D$ ;  
We always can find  $\text{Kill}[B]$ ,  $\text{Kill}[B]^c$  and  $\text{Gen}[B]$  for any basic block, where  $\text{Kill}[B]$ ,  $\text{Kill}[B]^c$  and  $\text{Gen}[B]$  all  $\subseteq D$ ;  
 $\text{IN}[B] = (\text{OUT}[B] \cap \text{Kill}[B]^c) \cup \text{Gen}[B]$  holds

Q.E.D

## 1.2 Solution:

Given:

bit vector framework is a monotone framework

$L = (P(D), \sqcap)$  for some finite set  $D$  where  $P(D)$  is the powerset of  $D$  and  $\sqcap$  is either set union ( $\cup$ ) or set intersection ( $\cap$ );

$F: P(D) \rightarrow P(D)$

$\forall S \subseteq D, \exists \text{ some } K, G \subseteq D \text{ s.t. } f(S) = (S \cap K) \cup G \text{ where } f \in F$

WTS bit vector framework is a Distributive framework

WTS  $\forall x, y, f(x \sqcap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$ .

Since  $\sqcap$  is either a set union ( $\cup$ ) or a set intersection ( $\cap$ ), we want to prove by cases.

Case 1:  $\sqcap$  is set union ( $\cup$ )

$$f(x \sqcap y) = f(x \cup y)$$

$$f(x \cup y) = ((x \cup y) \cap K) \cup G \text{ for some } K, G \subseteq D$$

$$f(x \cup y) = ((x \cap K) \cup (y \cap K)) \cup G$$

$$f(x \cup y) = ((x \cap K) \cup G) \cup ((y \cap K) \cup G)$$

$$f(x) = (x \cap K) \cup G \text{ by definition of bit vector framework}$$

$$f(y) = (y \cap K) \cup G \text{ by definition of bit vector framework}$$

Plug back into original function of  $f(x \cup y)$ , we have

$$f(x \cup y) = f(x) \cup f(y)$$

$$f(x \cup y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$$

$$f(x \sqcap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$$

Case 2:  $\sqcap$  is set intersection ( $\cap$ )

$$f(x \sqcap y) = f(x \cap y)$$

$$f(x \cap y) = ((x \cap y) \cap K) \cup G \text{ for some } K, G \subseteq D$$

$$f(x \cap y) = ((x \cap K) \cap (y \cap K)) \cup G$$

$$f(x \cap y) = ((x \cap K) \cup G) \cap ((y \cap K) \cup G)$$

$$f(x) = (x \cap K) \cup G \text{ by definition of bit vector framework}$$

$$f(y) = (y \cap K) \cup G \text{ by definition of bit vector framework}$$

Plug back into original function of  $f(x \cap y)$ , we have

$$f(x \cap y) = f(x) \cap f(y)$$

$$f(x \cap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$$

$$f(x \sqcap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$$

Thus, we draw the conclusion that bit vector frameworks are distributive in both cases.

Q.E.D

### 1.3 Solution

Counterexample of an unreal-used analysis which is distributive but not bit-vector framework (A.K.A: PMS):

Possible maximum number of assignment statements executed in a program with no loops (i.e. no while/ for loops)

- Definition:  
We say the PMS is the maximum possible number of assignment statements that the program could execute along a path from entry to exit.
- Dataflow fact D:  
 $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . i.e.  $n$  is the total number of assignment statements in the given program, a finite non-negative natural number.
- Meet operation  $\sqcap$ : max, return the maximum value between the two operands (i.e. for input  $x$  and  $y$ , if  $x \geq y$  then  $x \sqcap y$  returns  $x$ , or  $y$  otherwise)
- Lattice:  $L = (D, \max)$
- Direction: forward
- Initialization:  $IN[B] = 0$
- Boundary:  $OUT[Entry] = 0$
- Transfer function:  
Input  $IN[B]$ : before entering block  $B$ , there are multiple possible paths that can reach this point. For each path, the program will execute a different number of assignment statements, input would be the maximum number of assignment statements being executed amongst all these different paths  
Output  $OUT[B]$ : maximum possible number of assignment statements executed when exiting block  $B$

$OUT[B] = IN[B] + 1$  if the statement in block  $B$  is an assignment

$OUT[B] = IN[B]$  otherwise

$IN[B] = \max (all\ OUT[P])$  where  $P$  are all predecessors of  $B$

WTS:

- Transfer function is monotonic
- this framework is distributive but not a bit-vector one

Proof 1:

WTS Transfer function is monotomic

ETS:

$$x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow f(x) \sqsubseteq f(y)$$

Since we have:  $x \sqsubseteq y \Leftrightarrow x \sqcap y = x$

Also we defined:  $x \sqcap y = \max(x, y)$

We gained:  $x \sqcap y = \max(x, y) = x$ , i.e.  $\sqsubseteq = \geq$

Thus, for lattice  $L = (D, \max)$ ,  $\sqsubseteq = \geq$

Now back to the proof, we are given  $x \sqsubseteq y$  and thus have:  $x \geq y$

By the definition of transfer function:

if in this node an assignment is executed,  $f(x) = x + 1$ ;  $f(y) = y + 1$ ; and thus  $f(x) \geq f(y)$  holds;

if in this node no assignment is executed,  $f(x) = x$ ;  $f(y) = y$ ; and thus  $f(x) \geq f(y)$  still holds;

We can say: if  $x \geq y$ , then  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ .

Thus, we have:

$$x \sqsubseteq y \Rightarrow f(x) \sqsubseteq f(y)$$

Proof 2:

WTS this framework is distributive but not a bit-vector one

We can tell from the transfer function that the transfer function in our analysis is not a set operation (inseparable) and thus CAN NOT be expressed as the format of bit vector transfer function, which is obviously not a bit vector framework.

However, we still need to prove it is distributive

$$\text{ETS: } f(x \sqcap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$$

Case 1: assignment statement is executed in block B

$$x \sqcap y = \max(x, y)$$

$$f(x \sqcap y) = f(\max(x, y)) = \max(x, y) + 1$$

$$f(x) = x + 1$$

$$f(y) = y + 1$$

$$f(x) \sqcap f(y) = \max(f(x), f(y)) = \max(x + 1, y + 1) = \max(x, y) + 1$$

$$\text{Thus, } f(x \sqcap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$$

Case 2: no assignment statement is executed in block B

$$x \sqcap y = \max(x, y)$$

$$f(x \sqcap y) = f(\max(x, y)) = \max(x, y)$$

$$f(x) = x$$

$$f(y) = y$$

$$f(x) \sqcap f(y) = \max(f(x), f(y)) = \max(x, y)$$

$$\text{Thus, } f(x \sqcap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$$

From the two cases shown above, we proved  $f(x \sqcap y) = f(x) \sqcap f(y)$  always hold, i.e. it is distributive framework.

(Note: there are also some other real-life analyses such as truly-live variables and possibly-uninitialized variables, which are IFDS (interprocedural, finite, distributive, subset problems) but not bit-vector problems as well)

Q.E.D