## Topics in Analysis and Linear Algebra

Le Chen

le.chen@emory.edu

Emory University Atlanta GA

Last updated on July 22, 2021

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Summer~Bootcamp~for}\\ {\rm Emory~Biostatistics~and~Bioinformatics}\\ {\rm PhD~Program} \end{array}$ 

July 22 - 28, 2021

Chapter 2. Set Theory

Chapter 3. Real Number System and Calculus

Chapter 4. Topics in Linear Algebra

1

The language and grammar of mathematics.

This part is mostly based on Chapters 1, 3, 4 of

*Hamilton, A. G.*, Logic for mathematicians.  $2^{nd}$  ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques
- § 1.2 Predicate calculus
  - § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
  - § 1.2.2 First order languages
  - § 1.2.3 Interpretations
  - § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
  - § 1.2.5 Prenex form
  - § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques
- § 1.2 Predicate calculus
  - § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
  - § 1.2.2 First order languages
  - § 1.2.3 Interpretations
  - § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
  - § 1.2.5 Prenex form
  - § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

This section is based on Chapter 1 of

*Hamilton, A. G.*, Logic for mathematicians. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

#### § 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing
If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round
If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

#### Napoleon is dead

John owes James two pounds

All eggs which are not square are round

▶ Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead

John owes James two pounds

All eggs which are not square are round

▶ Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

▶ Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will san

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

**Basic assumption:** All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 $A, B, C, \cdots$ : simple statements.

**Basic assumption:** All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 $A, B, C, \cdots$ : simple statements.

**Basic assumption:** All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 $A, B, C, \cdots$ : simple statements.

**Basic assumption:** All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 $A, B, C, \cdots$ : simple statements.

## **Connectives**

not $\boldsymbol{A}$	¬ <i>A</i>	negation
$\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$	A∧B	conjunction
A  or  B	A∨B	disjunction
if $A$ then $B$	$A \rightarrow B$	conditional
A if and only if $B$	A↔B	biconditiona

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing	$A \wedge B$
If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round	$ extbf{\emph{C}} ightarrow  extbf{\emph{D}}$
If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow	$E \rightarrow (F \lor G)$

 $\label{eq:hw} \text{HW Ex. 1 (a)} - (d).$ 

#### § 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

# Negation

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
p & \neg p \\
\hline
T & F \\
F & T
\end{array}$$

# Conjunction

p	q	$p \wedge q$
Т	Т	Т
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F

# Disjunction

р	q	$p \lor q$
Т	Т	Т
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Т
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	Т
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F

# Conditional

р	q	p  o q
Т	Т	Т
${ m T}$	F	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	Т
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Т

# Biconditional

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
Т	Т	${ m T}$
${ m T}$	F	F
$\mathbf{F}$	Т	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${f T}$

# Def. A statement form is an expression involving statement variables and connectives, which can be formed using the rules:

- (i) Any statement variable is a statement form.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are statement forms, then  $(\neg \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{B})$   $(\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B})$  are statement forms.

Def. A statement form is an expression involving statement variables and connectives, which can be formed using the rules:

- (i) Any statement variable is a statement form.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are statement forms, then  $(\neg \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{B})$   $(\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B})$  are statement forms.

Def. A statement form is an expression involving statement variables and connectives, which can be formed using the rules:

- (i) Any statement variable is a statement form.
- (ii) If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are statement forms, then  $(\neg \mathcal{A})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$ ,  $(\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B})$  are statement forms.

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
${ m T}$	Τ	F		
${\bf T}$	F	Т		
${\bf T}$	F	F		
$\mathbf{F}$	T	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F		
F	F	Т		
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F		

0

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
${ m T}$	T	F	Т	
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Т		
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F		
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F		
$\mathbf{F}$	F	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F		

0

Sol.

p	q	r	q∨r	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
T	T	F	Т	
${ m T}$	F	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F		
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F		
$\mathbf{F}$	F	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F		

Sol.

p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Τ	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	Τ	F	Т	
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F		
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F		

10

Sol.

T T T T T T T T T T T T F T T T F F F F T T T F F F T	p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T F T T T F F F F T T T F F T F	Т	Τ	Τ	Т	
T F F F F F T F F T F T T	T	Τ	F	Т	
F T T <b>T</b> F T F F T T	T	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	
F T F F F T	${ m T}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	
F F T	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	
	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F		
	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ		
F' F' F'	$\mathbf{F}$	F	F		

Sol.

T T T T T T T T T T T T F T T T F F T T F T T T F T F T	p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T F T T T F F F F T T T T T	Т	Τ	Τ	Т	
T F F F F F F T T T T T T	${\bf T}$	Τ	F	Т	
F T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	
F T F T	${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	
	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	Т	
F F T	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ		
F F F	F	F	F		

Sol.

TTTT	
1 1 1 1	
T T F T	
T F T T	
T F F F	
F T T T	
F T F T	
F F T T	
F F F	

Sol.

p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
T	T	F	Т	
${\bf T}$	F	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	F	F	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	Т	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	Т	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Т	Т	
F	F	F	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	T	F	Т	
${ m T}$	F	Τ	${ m T}$	
${ m T}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	
F	F	F	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	T	F	Т	${ m T}$
${ m T}$	F	Τ	Т	
${ m T}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	Т	
F	F	F	F	

Sol.

T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T F T T T T F F F F T T T	Τ	Τ	Τ	Т	Т
T F F <b>F</b> F T T <b>T</b>	Τ	Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	Т	${f T}$
F T T T	Τ	F	T	${ m T}$	Т
	Τ	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	
F T F T	F	Τ	T	${ m T}$	
	F	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
F F T T	F	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	
F F F F	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	T	F	Т	${ m T}$
T	F	Τ	${ m T}$	Т
T	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	Τ	F	Т	${f T}$
${ m T}$	F	Τ	${ m T}$	Т
${ m T}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Т	
F	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	

Sol.

T T T T	Т
$T  ext{ } F  ext{ } T$	T
T F T T	T
T F F F	F
$\mathbf{F}  \mathbf{T}  \mathbf{T}  \mathbf{T}$	T
F T F T	T
F F T T	
F F F F	

Sol.

_ <i>p</i>	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Τ	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	Т
Τ	Τ	F	${ m T}$	Т
${ m T}$	F	Τ	Т	${ m T}$
${ m T}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	F	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T	T	Τ	${ m T}$	Т
T	Τ	F	Т	${ m T}$
T	F	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
T	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	F	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$

A statement form is a **contradiction** if it only takes false value F.

E.g. 
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology  $p \land (\neg p)$  is a contradiction

Sol.

Def. A statement form is a tautology if it only takes true value T.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. 
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology  $p \land (\neg p)$  is a contradiction

Sol.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.  $p \lor (\neg p)$  is a tautology

$$p \wedge (\neg p)$$
 is a contradiction

Sol

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} p & \neg p & p \lor (\neg p) \\ \hline T & & & \\ F & & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
p & \neg p & p \land (\neg p) \\
\hline
T & & & \\
F & & & \\
\end{array}$$

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. 
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology  $p \land (\neg p)$  is a contradiction

Sol.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.  $p \lor (\neg p)$  is a tautology

$$\boldsymbol{p} \wedge (\neg \boldsymbol{p})$$
 is a contradiction

Sol.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
p & \neg p & p \lor (\neg p) \\
\hline
T & & & \\
F & & & \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} p & \neg p & p \land (\neg p) \\ \hline T & & \\ F & & \end{array}$$

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.  $p \lor (\neg p)$  is a tautology

$$p \wedge (\neg p)$$
 is a contradiction

Sol.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} p & \neg p & p \lor (\neg p) \\ \hline T & F & \\ F & T & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
p & \neg p & p \land (\neg p) \\
\hline
T & & & \\
F & & & & \\
\end{array}$$

L

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. 
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology 
$$p \land (\neg p)$$
 is a contradiction

Sol.

Ш

Def. A statement form is a tautology if it only takes true value T.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.  $p \lor (\neg p)$  is a tautology  $p \land (\neg p)$  is a contradiction

Sol.

$$egin{array}{c|ccccc} oldsymbol{p} & 
eg p & 
eg p$$

$$p \land (\neg p)$$

Def. A statement form is a tautology if it only takes true value T.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.  $p \lor (\neg p)$  is a tautology  $p \land (\neg p)$  is a contradiction

Sol.

 $\mathcal{A}$  logically implies  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is logically equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

E.g. 
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$
  
 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$   
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$ 

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 $\mathcal{A}$  logically implies  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is logically equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

E.g. 
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$
  
 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$   
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$ 

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 $\mathcal{A}$  logically implies  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is logically equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

E.g. 
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$
  
 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$   
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$ 

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 $\mathcal{A}$  logically implies  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is logically equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

E.g. 
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

$$\neg(p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 $\mathcal{A}$  logically implies  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.  $\mathcal{A}$  is logically equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

E.g. 
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$
  
 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$   
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$ 

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 $\mathcal{A}$  logically implies  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is logically equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

E.g. 
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$
  
 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$   
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$ 

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 $\mathcal{A}$  logically implies  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

 $\mathcal{A}$  is logically equivalent to  $\mathcal{B}$ , denoted as  $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ , if  $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$  is a tautology.

E.g. 
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$
  
 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$   
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$ 

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow p$$
 $\neg (p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \vee (\neg q)$ 
 $\neg (p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)$ 

Sol. (continued) Let's check  $p \land q \rightarrow p$ :

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \wedge q \rightarrow p$
Т	Т		
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$		
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ		
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		

Sol. (continued) Let's check  $p \land q \rightarrow p$ :

р	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \wedge q  ightarrow p$
Т	Т	Т	
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	

Sol. (continued) Let's check  $p \land q \rightarrow p$ :

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \wedge q \rightarrow p$
Т	Т	Т	${f T}$
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	${f T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	${f T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	${f T}$

HW Ex. 4, 6 (a) - (b), 7.

### Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

#### § 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
- $\S$  1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

### $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$

- $\triangleright$   $A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $\triangleright$  AVB  $\Leftrightarrow$  BVA
- $\triangleright$   $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- AVR A BVA
- $\triangleright$   $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- AVR A BVA
- $\triangleright$   $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $\triangleright$  AVB  $\Leftrightarrow$  BVA
- $\triangleright$   $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor B \Leftrightarrow B \lor A$
- $\triangleright$   $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $\triangleright$   $(A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor B \Leftrightarrow B \lor A$
- $\blacktriangleright (A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- ▶ A ⇔ ¬¬A
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor B \Leftrightarrow B \lor A$
- $\blacktriangleright (A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $\blacktriangleright (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$$

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$ 

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$\triangleright$$
 AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg (\wedge_{i=1}^n A_i) = \vee_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (V_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$\triangleright$$
 AVT  $\Leftrightarrow$  T

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$ 

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$\triangleright$$
 AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg (\wedge_{i=1}^n A_i) = \vee_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$ightharpoonup A \wedge (A \vee B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $\triangleright$  AVT  $\Leftrightarrow$  T
- $\triangleright$   $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $\triangleright$  AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg ( \land_{i=1}^n A_i ) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n ( \neg A_i )$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $\blacktriangleright \ A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$ 

- $\rightarrow A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $\triangleright$  AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg \left( \wedge_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \vee_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \wedge (A \vee B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $\triangleright$   $A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $\triangleright$  AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg ( \land_{i=1}^n A_i ) = \lor_{i=1}^n ( \neg A_i )$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $\blacktriangleright \ A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $\triangleright$  AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg (\land_{i=1}^n A_i) = \lor_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $\blacktriangleright \ A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $\triangleright$   $A \lor F \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\neg \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

$$\neg \left( \vee_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \wedge_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

- $\blacktriangleright A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\triangleright$   $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $\triangleright$  AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_i \right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \left( \neg A_i \right)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $ightharpoonup A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $\triangleright$  AVF  $\Leftrightarrow$  A

$$\neg ( \bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_i ) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n ( \neg A_i )$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $ightharpoonup A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor F \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\neg \left( \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \left( \neg A_{i} \right)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

### $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$

$$ightharpoonup A \wedge (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$$

$$\triangleright A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$$

$$ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$$

$$ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$

$$\triangleright A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$$

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$ 

$$ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$$

$$\triangleright$$
  $A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$ 

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\triangleright$   $A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$
- $ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$
- $\blacktriangle A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- $ightharpoonup A 
  ightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $\blacktriangleright A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \to B) \land (B \to A)$
- $ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- ►  $A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$
- $\blacktriangleright A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \to (\neg A)$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\blacktriangleright A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $\blacktriangleright A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \to B) \land (B \to A)$
- $\blacktriangleright A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \to (\neg A)$
- $\blacktriangleright A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

HW Ex. 11 (a), (d), namely, using what we have learnt in this subsection to show that

(a)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\neg (q \to p)) \to ((\neg q) \lor r)$$

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \iff q \to (p \lor r)$$

 $\mbox{HW}$  Ex. 11 (a), (d), namely, using what we have learnt in this subsection to show that

(a)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\neg (q \to p)) \to ((\neg q) \lor r)$$

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \iff q \to (p \lor r)$$

HW Ex. 11 (a), (d), namely, using what we have learnt in this subsection to show that

(a)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\neg (q \to p)) \to ((\neg q) \lor r)$$

(d)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \iff q \to (p \lor r)$$

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

#### § 1.1 Statement calculus

- $\S~1.1.1~{
  m Statements}$  and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$  Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Conjunctive normal form:  $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$ 

where  $O_{ij}$  is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Conjunctive normal form:  $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$ 

where  $O_{ij}$  is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Conjunctive normal form:  $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$ 

where  $O_{ij}$  is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Conjunctive normal form:  $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$ 

where  $O_{ij}$  is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Def. Disjunctive normal form:  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \left( \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij} \right)$ Conjunctive normal form:  $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \left( \bigvee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij} \right)$ 

where  $O_{ij}$  is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

E.g. 1 Transform the following truth table to disjunctive normal form.

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)
Т	Τ	Т	Т
$\mathbf{T}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Т
Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	F
Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	F	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{T}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	F	F
F	F	Τ	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$

Sol. Find the entries with "T" and combine them with V.

E.g. 1 Transform the following truth table to disjunctive normal form.

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)
Т	Τ	Т	Т
$\mathbf{T}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$
Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	${\bf T}$	F
$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	${\bf T}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	F	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${\bf T}$	F
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$

Sol. Find the entries with "T" and combine them with  $\vee$ .

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)	
Τ	Т	$\mathbf{T}$		
${\bf T}$	${\bf T}$	F		
Τ	F	T		
Τ	F	F		
F	Τ	T		
F	Τ	F		
F	F	T		
F	F	F		

р	q	r	f(p, q, r)	
Т	Т	Т	Т	
Τ	$\mathbf{T}$	F	Т	
Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	
Τ	F	F	F	
F	Τ	Τ	F	
F	Τ	F	F	
F	F	Τ	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	${ m T}$	

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)	
Т	Т	Т	Т	p∧q∧r
$\mathbf{T}$	Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	Т	$p \land q \land \neg r$
T	$\mathbf{F}$	T	F	
Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	T	T	F	
$\mathbf{F}$	T	$\mathbf{F}$	F	
F	$\mathbf{F}$	Τ	F	
F	F	F	${ m T}$	$\neg p \land \neg q \land \neg r$

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)	
Τ	Т	Т	Т	p∧q∧r
Τ	$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Т	$p \land q \land \neg r$
Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	F	
Τ	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	
F	Τ	Τ	F	
F	Τ	F	F	
F	F	Τ	F	
F	F	F	Т	$\neg p \land \neg q \land \neg r$

Hence,

$$f(p,q,r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (p \land q \land r) \lor (p \land q \land \neg r) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q \land \neg r)$$

## E.g.2 Find a conjunctive normal form for $((\neg p) \lor q) \to r$ .

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ				
Т	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$				
Т	F	Τ				
Т	F	F				
F	T	Τ				
F	${\bf T}$	F				
F	F	Τ				
F	F	F				

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F			
Т	${ m T}$	F	F			
Т	F	Τ	F			
Т	F	F	F			
F	T	Τ	Т			
F	T	F	Т			
F	F	Τ	Т			
F	F	F	Т			

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F	Т		
Т	T	F	F	Т		
Т	F	Τ	F	$\mathbf{F}$		
Т	F	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$		
F	T	Τ	Т	${ m T}$		
F	T	F	Т	${ m T}$		
F	$\mathbf{F}$	Т	Т	${ m T}$		
F	F	F	Т	${ m T}$		

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

p	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F	Т	Т	
Т	T	F	F	Т	$\mathbf{F}$	
Т	F	Τ	F	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	
Т	F	F	F	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	
F	T	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	
F	T	F	Т	${ m T}$	F	
F	F	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	
F	F	F	Т	${ m T}$	F	

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F	Т	Т	p∨q∨r
Т	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F	Т	F	
Т	F	Τ	F	F	Т	$p \lor \neg q \lor r$
Τ	F	$\mathbf{F}$	F	F	${ m T}$	$p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r$
F	$\mathbf{T}$	Τ	Т	Т	${ m T}$	$\neg p \lor q \lor r$
F	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	Т	Т	F	
F	F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	$\neg p \lor \neg q \lor r$
F	F	F	Т	Т	F	

E.g.2 Find a conjunctive normal form for  $((\neg p) \lor q) \to r$ .

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	T	Τ	F	T	Т	p∨q∨r
T	${ m T}$	F	F	Т	F	
Τ	F	Τ	F	F	Т	$p \lor \neg q \lor r$
${ m T}$	F	F	F	F	${ m T}$	$p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r$
F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	${ m T}$	$\neg p \lor q \lor r$
F	${ m T}$	F	T	Т	F	
F	F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	$\neg p \lor \neg q \lor r$
F	F	F	Т	Т	F	

Therefore,

$$((\neg p) \lor q) \to r$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$(p \lor q \lor r) \land (p \lor \neg q \lor r) \land (p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r) \land (\neg p \lor q \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q \lor r)$$

**HW** Ex. 12 (a)-(b), Ex. 13 (a)-(b).

HW Ex. 22.

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

#### § 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$  Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- $\S 1.2.6$  One example convergence in probability

Def. An adequate set of connectives is a set such that every truth function can be represented by a statement form containing only connectives from that set.

Remark  $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$  is an adequate set

For example.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \to B & \Leftrightarrow & \neg B \lor A \\ A \leftrightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (A \to B) \land (B \to A) \end{array}$$

Def. An adequate set of connectives is a set such that every truth function can be represented by a statement form containing only connectives from that set.

Remark  $\{\land, \lor, \neg\}$  is an adequate set.

For example.

$$A \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \neg B \lor A$$
$$A \leftrightarrow B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A \to B) \land (B \to A)$$

Def. An adequate set of connectives is a set such that every truth function can be represented by a statement form containing only connectives from that set.

Remark  $\{\land, \lor, \neg\}$  is an adequate set.

For example,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \to B & \Leftrightarrow & \neg B \lor A \\ A \leftrightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (A \to B) \land (B \to A). \end{array}$$

## Thm. The pairs

$$\{\neg, \land\}, \quad \{\neg, \lor\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\neg, \to\}$$

are adequate sets of connectives.

**Proof** We only show the case  $\{\neg, \land\}$ . This is true because

$$A \lor B \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg A \land \neg B).$$

Thm. The pairs

$$\{\neg, \land\}, \{\neg, \lor\} \text{ and } \{\neg, \to\}$$

are adequate sets of connectives.

**Proof** We only show the case  $\{\neg, \land\}$ . This is true because

$$A \lor B \Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg A \land \neg B).$$

q	$p \downarrow q$
Т	F

 $\mathbf{T}$  $\mathbf{F}$  $\mathbf{T}$ F

F F  $\mathbf{T}$ 

Nor

p↓q
F
F

Nand

р	q	p q
Т	Т	F
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$
F	Τ	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	F	Т

Thm. The singleton sets

 $\mathbf{T}$ 

 $\{\downarrow\}$ and  $\{|\}$ 

are adequate sets of connectives.

Nor

р	q	p↓q
Т	Т	F
$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	F
-		

Nand

p	q	p q
Τ	Т	F
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$
F	Τ	Τ
F	F	Т

Thm. The singleton sets

F F

$$\{\downarrow\}$$
 and  $\{|\}$ 

are adequate sets of connectives.

 $\mathbf{T}$ 

Proof. Show this as an exercise.

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

#### § 1.1 Statement calculus

- $\S~1.1.1~{
  m Statements}$  and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$  Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- $\S 1.2.6$  One example convergence in probability

## Simplest argument forms:

$$p \rightarrow q$$

In general, a argument form takes the following form:

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n; \qquad \therefore A$$

Conclusion

Sometimes, the above argument form is also written as

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow A$$

## Simplest argument forms:

In general, a argument form takes the following form:

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n;$$
  $\therefore A$ 

Sometimes, the above argument form is also written as

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow A$$

### Simplest argument forms:

In general, a argument form takes the following form:

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n;$$
  $\therefore A$ 

Sometimes, the above argument form is also written as

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow A$$

### Def. The argument form

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n; \therefore A$$

is valid if the statement form

$$(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n) \rightarrow A$$
 is tautology;

otherwise, the argument form is invalid.

## E.g.1 Check the validity of the argument form:

$$p \rightarrow q$$
,  $(\neg q) \rightarrow r$ ,  $r$ ;  $\therefore p$ .

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression

$$(p \rightarrow q) \land ((\neg q) \rightarrow r) \land r \rightarrow p$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

which is not a tautology. Hence, the argument form is invalid.

E.g.1 Check the validity of the argument form:

$$p \rightarrow q$$
,  $(\neg q) \rightarrow r$ ,  $r$ ;  $\therefore p$ .

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression:

$$(p \rightarrow q) \land ((\neg q) \rightarrow r) \land r \rightarrow p$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

which is not a tautology. Hence, the argument form is invalid.

## E.g.2 Check the validity of the following argument form:

$$p_1 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_3), \quad p_2; \quad \therefore p_1 \rightarrow p_3$$

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression:

$$\begin{array}{c} [\rho_{1} \rightarrow (\rho_{2} \rightarrow \rho_{3})] \wedge \rho_{2} \rightarrow (\rho_{1} \rightarrow \rho_{3}) \\ \updownarrow \\ \neg [\rho_{1} \rightarrow (\rho_{2} \rightarrow \rho_{3})] \vee \neg \rho_{2} \vee (\rho_{1} \rightarrow \rho_{3}) \\ \updownarrow \\ \neg [\neg \rho_{1} \vee (\neg \rho_{2} \vee \rho_{3})] \vee \neg \rho_{2} \vee (\neg \rho_{1} \vee \rho_{3}) \\ \updownarrow \\ [\rho_{1} \wedge \rho_{2} \wedge \neg \rho_{3}] \vee \neg \rho_{2} \vee \neg \rho_{1} \vee \rho_{3} \\ \updownarrow \\ [\rho_{1} \wedge \rho_{2} \wedge \neg \rho_{3}] \vee \neg (\rho_{1} \wedge \rho_{2} \wedge \neg \rho_{3}) \\ \updownarrow \\ T. \end{array}$$

which is a tautology. Hence, the argument form is valid

E.g.2 Check the validity of the following argument form:

$$p_1 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_3), \quad p_2; \quad \therefore p_1 \rightarrow p_3$$

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression:

$$[p_{1} \rightarrow (p_{2} \rightarrow p_{3})] \land p_{2} \rightarrow (p_{1} \rightarrow p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\neg [p_{1} \rightarrow (p_{2} \rightarrow p_{3})] \lor \neg p_{2} \lor (p_{1} \rightarrow p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\neg [\neg p_{1} \lor (\neg p_{2} \lor p_{3})] \lor \neg p_{2} \lor (\neg p_{1} \lor p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$[p_{1} \land p_{2} \land \neg p_{3}] \lor \neg p_{2} \lor \neg p_{1} \lor p_{3}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$[p_{1} \land p_{2} \land \neg p_{3}] \lor \neg (p_{1} \land p_{2} \land \neg p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$T,$$

which is a tautology. Hence, the argument form is valid.

HW Ex. 22.

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

#### § 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$  Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

## Method 1. Proof by contradiction

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A)$$

Proof

$$A \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\neg A) \lor B$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad (\neg \neg B) \lor (\neg A)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad (\neg B) \to (\neg A)$$

E.g. Show by contradiction that an even integer has to be an integer.

## Method 1. Proof by contradiction

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A) \lor B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg \neg B) \lor (\neg A) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A). \end{array}$$

E.g. Show by contradiction that an even integer has to be an integer.

#### Method 1. Proof by contradiction

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} A \rightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg \neg B) \vee (\neg A) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A). \end{array}$$

E.g. Show by contradiction that an even integer has to be an integer.

#### Method 2. Proof by cases

$$(A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \to B) \wedge (A_2 \to B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (A_n \to B).$$

Proof

LHS 
$$\Leftrightarrow \neg (A_1 \lor A_2 \lor \cdots \lor A_n) \lor B$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \land \neg A_2 \land \cdots \land \neg A_n) \lor B$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \lor B) \land (\neg A_2 \lor B) \land \cdots \land (\neg A_n \lor B)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{RHS}.$ 

E.g. Suppose n is either an even integer or an odd one. Then n is an integer

#### Method 2. Proof by cases

$$(A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \to B) \wedge (A_2 \to B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (A_n \to B).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{LHS} & \Leftrightarrow & \neg (A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \wedge \neg A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg A_n) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \vee B) \wedge (\neg A_2 \vee B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\neg A_n \vee B) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{RHS}. \end{array}$$

E.g. Suppose n is either an even integer or an odd one. Then n is an integer

#### Method 2. Proof by cases

$$(A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \to B) \wedge (A_2 \to B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (A_n \to B).$$

Proof.

LHS 
$$\Leftrightarrow \neg (A_1 \lor A_2 \lor \cdots \lor A_n) \lor B$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \land \neg A_2 \land \cdots \land \neg A_n) \lor B$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \lor B) \land (\neg A_2 \lor B) \land \cdots \land (\neg A_n \lor B)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{RHS}.$ 

E.g. Suppose n is either an even integer or an odd one. Then n is an integer.

## Method 3. Proof by exportation/importation

$$(\textbf{A}_1 \wedge \textbf{A}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \textbf{A}_n) \rightarrow (\textbf{A} \rightarrow \textbf{B}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\textbf{A}_1 \wedge \textbf{A}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \textbf{A}_n \wedge \textbf{A}) \rightarrow \textbf{B}.$$

Proof

LHS 
$$\Leftrightarrow \neg(A_1 \land A_2 \land \cdots \land A_n) \lor (\neg A \lor B)$$
  
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \lor \neg A_2 \lor \cdots \lor \neg A_n) \lor (\neg A \lor B)$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \lor \neg A_2 \lor \cdots \lor \neg A_n \lor \neg A) \lor B$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \neg(A_1 \land A_2 \land \cdots \land A_n \land A) \lor B$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{RHS}.$ 

### Method 3. Proof by exportation/importation

$$(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n) \to (A \to B) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \wedge A) \to B.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{LHS} & \Leftrightarrow & \neg(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n) \vee (\neg A \vee B) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \vee \neg A_2 \vee \dots \vee \neg A_n) \vee (\neg A \vee B) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \vee \neg A_2 \vee \dots \vee \neg A_n \vee \neg A) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \neg(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \wedge A) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{RHS}. \end{array}$$

# Method 4. Proof by chain argument

$$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof

$$(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow C) \Rightarrow A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	$\rightarrow$	B)	$\wedge$	(B	$\rightarrow$	C)	$\rightarrow$	(A	$\rightarrow$	C)
Т		Т		Т		Т		Т		Т
${ m T}$		$\mathbf{T}$		$\mathbf{T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$
${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$		${ m T}$		$\mathbf{T}$
${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$		${\bf T}$		${\bf T}$		${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{T}$
F		${\bf T}$		$\mathbf{T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{T}$
$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$

50

$$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	$\rightarrow$	B)	$\wedge$	(B	$\rightarrow$	C)	$\rightarrow$	(A	$\rightarrow$	C)
$\overline{T}$	T	Т		Т	T	Т		Т		Т
${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		${ m T}$		${ m T}$
${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	${f T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${f T}$	${ m T}$		${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${f T}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$		${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$

=0

$$(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow C) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	$\rightarrow$	B)	$\wedge$		$\rightarrow$	C)]	$\rightarrow$	(A	$\rightarrow$	C)
Т	Τ	Т	Т	Т	Τ	Τ		Т	Τ	Т
${\bf T}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{T}$	${ m T}$
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	F		$\mathbf{F}$	${\rm T}$	$\mathbf{F}$

$$(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow C) \Rightarrow A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	$\rightarrow$	B)	$\wedge$	(B	$\rightarrow$	C)]	$\rightarrow$	(A	$\rightarrow$	<b>C</b> )
Т	Т	Т	Т	Τ	Т	Τ	${ m T}$	Τ	Τ	Τ
${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
${f T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		$\mathbf{T}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$
${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$		${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$
F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$
F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	$\mathbf{F}$		$\mathbf{F}$	${\bf T}$	$\mathbf{F}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		$\mathbf{F}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$
$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	F		$\mathbf{F}$	${ m T}$	$\mathbf{F}$

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques
- § 1.2 Predicate calculus
  - § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
  - § 1.2.2 First order languages
  - § 1.2.3 Interpretations
  - § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
  - § 1.2.5 Prenex form
  - $\S 1.2.6$  One example convergence in probability

This section is based on Chapters 3 and 4 of

*Hamilton, A. G.*, Logic for mathematicians. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

All men are mortal	A
You are a man	В
You are mortal	$\mathbf{C}$

We need quantifiers to make sense of the above arguments.

All men are mortal	A
You are a man	В
You are mortal	$\mathbf{C}$

We need quantifiers to make sense of the above arguments.

Chapters 1-2	Chapters 3-4
Statement logic	Predicate logic
Statement calculus	Predicate calculus
Propositional logic	Quantification logic
zero-order logic	first-order logic
zero-order language	first-order language

First-order logic is the extension of the zero-order logic

by including

quantifiers

Def. Universal quantifier: "for all x", denoted as  $\forall x$ ,

Existential quantifier: "there exists a x", denoted as  $\exists x$ ,

where x is called the bound variable.

1. Not all birds can fly

$$\neg(\forall x)\left((B(x)\to F(x))\right)$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

3. There is an integer which is greater than very other integer:

$$(\exists x) \bigg( I(x) \land \big[ (\forall y) (I(y) \to \{x \ge y\}) \big] \bigg)$$

1. Not all birds can fly:

$$\neg(\forall x) ((B(x) \rightarrow F(x)))$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

3. There is an integer which is greater than very other integer:

$$(\exists x) \bigg( I(x) \land \big[ (\forall y) (I(y) \to \{x \ge y\}) \big] \bigg)$$

1. Not all birds can fly:

$$\neg(\forall x)\left((B(x)\to F(x))\right)$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

3. There is an integer which is greater than very other integer

$$(\exists x) \bigg( I(x) \land \big[ (\forall y) (I(y) \rightarrow \{x \ge y\}) \big] \bigg)$$

1. Not all birds can fly:

$$\neg(\forall x) ((B(x) \rightarrow F(x)))$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

3. There is an integer which is greater than very other integer:

$$(\exists x)\bigg(I(x)\wedge \big[(\forall y)(I(y)\rightarrow \{x\geq y\})\big]\bigg)$$

HW Ex. 1 (a) - (b), 2 (a) - (b).

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- $\S 1.2.3$  Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

- 1. variables  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \cdots$
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$

- 1. variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \cdots$
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$

- 1. variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \cdots$ ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$

- 1. variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$ ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$ .

- 1. variables  $x_1, x_2, \cdots$ ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$ ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$ .

- 1. variables  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots$ ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \dots,$
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$ ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$

- 1. variables  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots$ ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \cdots$ ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$ ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- **6.** the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$ ,
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$

- 1. variables  $\chi_1, \chi_2, \cdots$ ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants  $a_1, a_2, \cdots$ ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters  $A_i^n$ ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters  $f_i^n$ ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives:  $\neg$  and  $\rightarrow$ ,
- 7. the quantifier:  $\forall$ .

ightharpoonup Recall that  $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$  is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$
  
 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$ 

► Existential quantifier ∃ can be represented using universal quantifier ∀ because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

 $\triangleright$   $A_i^n$  is the *i*-th predicate that takes n arguments.

 $f_i^n$  is the *i*-th function that takes *n* arguments.

▶ Recall that  $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$  is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$
  
 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$ 

► Existential quantifier ∃ can be represented using universal quantifier because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

 $\triangleright$   $A_i^n$  is the *i*-th predicate that takes *n* arguments

 $f_i^n$  is the *i*-th function that takes *n* arguments.

▶ Recall that  $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$  is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$
  
 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$ 

► Existential quantifier ∃ can be represented using universal quantifier ∀ because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

- $\triangleright$   $A_i^n$  is the *i*-th predicate that takes *n* arguments.
  - $f_i^n$  is the *i*-th function that takes n arguments.

▶ Recall that  $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$  is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$
  
 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$ 

 $\blacktriangleright$  Existential quantifier  $\exists$  can be represented using universal quantifier  $\forall$  because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

 $\triangleright$   $A_i^n$  is the *i*-th predicate that takes n arguments.

 $f_i^n$  is the *i*-th function that takes *n* arguments.

 $\mathsf{E.g.}$  Let  $\mathcal L$  be the language for the arithmetic of natural numbers. Let

symbols	stands for
$a_1$	0
$A_1^2$	=
$f_1^2$	+
$f_2^2$	×

Then, the following statement:

For any integer x there exists an integer y such that x + y = xy

can be stated as

$$(\forall x)(\exists y) A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x,y), f_2^2(x,y)\right)$$

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms
- (ii) If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms, then  $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a term
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms, then  $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a term
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If  $t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n}$  are terms, then  $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n})$  is a term.
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms, then  $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is a term.
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If  $t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n}$  are terms, then  $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n})$  is a term.
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

Def. If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms in  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $A_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is an atomic formula in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

E.g.  $A_1^2(x_3, x_5)$  and  $A_2^3(x_2, f_2^1(x_4), f_3^2(x_1, x_4, x_5))$  are atomic formulas

Def. If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  are terms in  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $A_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  is an atomic formula in  $\mathcal{L}$ .

E.g.  $A_1^2(x_3, x_5)$  and  $A_2^3(x_2, f_2^1(x_4), f_3^2(x_1, x_4, x_5))$  are atomic formulas.

- (i) Every atomic formula is a Wf.
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$ , so are  $\neg A$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $(\forall x)A$
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$  is generated as in (i) and (ii).

### E.g. Here are some wfs.:

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and  $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$ .

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$ , so are  $\neg A$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $(\forall x)A$
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$  is generated as in (i) and (ii).

## E.g. Here are some wfs.:

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and  $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$ .

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$ , so are  $\neg A$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $(\forall x)A$ .
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$  is generated as in (i) and (ii).

## E.g. Here are some wfs.

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1) \quad ext{and} \quad \forall x_1 \left( A_2^1(x_1) 
ightarrow 
eg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \right).$$

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$ , so are  $\neg A$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $(\forall x)A$ .
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$  is generated as in (i) and (ii).

## E.g. Here are some *Wfs*.

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and  $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$ 

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$ , so are  $\neg A$ ,  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $(\forall x)A$ .
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of  $\mathcal{L}$  is generated as in (i) and (ii).

E.g. Here are some wfs.:

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and  $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$ .

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be **bound** if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called **free**.

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be **bound** if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called **free**.

## E.g

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound		
$x_1$ scope		
$x_2$ free or bound		
$x_2$ scope		

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall x_1)(orall x_2)(\mathcal{A}_1^2(x_1,x_2)  ightarrow \mathcal{A}_1^1(x_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	
$x_1$ scope		
$x_2$ free or bound		
$x_2$ scope		

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	
$x_1$ scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
$x_2$ free or bound		
$x_2$ scope		

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	
$x_1$ scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
$x_2$ free or bound	free	
$x_2$ scope		

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	
$x_1$ scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
$x_2$ free or bound	free	
$x_2$ scope	_	

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

## E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	bound
$x_1$ scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
$x_2$ free or bound	free	
$x_2$ scope	_	

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

# E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	bound
$x_1$ scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_2$ free or bound	free	
$x_2$ scope	_	

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

## E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	bound
$x_1$ scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_2$ free or bound	free	bound
$x_2$ scope	_	

More generally, when  $((\forall x_i)A)$  occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable  $x_i$  in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a  $(\forall x_i)$  in the wf. or it is the  $x_i$  in a  $(\forall x_i)$ . Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_1)(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{x}_2))$
$x_1$ free or bound	bound	bound
$x_1$ scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall  extbf{x}_2)( extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2( extbf{ extit{x}}_1, extbf{ extit{x}}_2)  ightarrow  extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1( extbf{ extit{x}}_2))$
$x_2$ free or bound	$_{ m free}$	bound
$x_2$ scope	_	$ extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{A}}}_1^2( extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{X}}}_1, extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{X}}}_2)  ightarrow m{\mathcal{A}}_1^1( extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{X}}}_2)$

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

- (1) A non-empty set  $D_l$  the domain of the interpretation  $I_l$
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements:  $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots\}$ ;
- (3) A collection of functions on  $D_i$ :  $\{\overline{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\};$
- (4) A collection of relations on  $D_I$ :  $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation.

- (1) A non-empty set  $D_l$  the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements:  $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots\}$
- (3) A collection of functions on  $D_I$ :  $\{f_i': i, n \geq 1\}$
- (4) A collection of relations on  $D_l$ :  $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$

Remark The meaning of a *wf.* is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular *wf.* depends on the interpretation

- (1) A non-empty set  $D_l$  the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements:  $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \cdots\}$ ;
- (3) A collection of functions on  $D_l$ :  $\{\bar{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\};$
- (4) A collection of relations on  $D_l$ :  $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$

Remark The meaning of a *wf.* is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular *wf.* depends on the interpretation

- (1) A non-empty set  $D_l$  the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements:  $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \cdots\}$ ;
- (3) A collection of functions on  $D_i$ :  $\{\bar{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ ;
- (4) A collection of relations on  $D_l$ :  $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ .

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation.

- (1) A non-empty set  $D_l$  the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements:  $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \cdots\}$ ;
- (3) A collection of functions on  $D_i$ :  $\{\overline{t}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ ;
- (4) A collection of relations on  $D_i$ :  $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ .

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation.

- (1) A non-empty set  $D_l$  the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements:  $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots\}$ ;
- (3) A collection of functions on  $D_i$ :  $\{\overline{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ ;
- (4) A collection of relations on  $D_i$ :  $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ .

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation

- (1) A non-empty set  $D_l$  the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements:  $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots\}$ ;
- (3) A collection of functions on  $D_i$ :  $\{\bar{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ ;
- (4) A collection of relations on  $D_i$ :  $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$ .

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} 
 \bar{a}_1 = 0 
 \bar{f}_1^2(x, y) = x + y, \quad \bar{f}_2^2(x, y) = xy 
 \bar{A}_1^2(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_N.$$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that  $x + z \neq y$ .

### Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x + z = y.  $\square$ 

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} 
 \bar{a}_1 = 0 
 \bar{f}_1^2(x, y) = x + y, \quad \bar{f}_2^2(x, y) = xy 
 \bar{A}_1^2(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_N.$$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that  $x+z\neq y$ .

### Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x + z = y.  $\square$ 

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$\overline{a}_{1} = 0$$

$$\overline{f}_{1}^{2}(x, y) = x + y, \quad \overline{f}_{2}^{2}(x, y) = xy$$

$$\overline{A}_{1}^{2}(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_{N}.$$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that  $x+z\neq y$ .

Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x+z=y.  $\square$ 

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\} 
 \bar{a}_1 = 0 
 \bar{f}_1^2(x, y) = x + y, \quad \bar{f}_2^2(x, y) = xy 
 \bar{A}_1^2(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_N.$$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that  $x+z\neq y$ .

Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x+z=y.  $\square$ 

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers 
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$
 
$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy,\quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$
 
$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y):\quad x=y,\quad x,y\in D_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that  $xz \neq y$ .

Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers 
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$
 
$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy, \quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$
 
$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y): \quad x=y, \quad x,y\in D_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that  $xz \neq y$ .

### Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers 
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$
 
$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy,\quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$
 
$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y):\quad x=y,\quad x,y\in \mathcal{D}_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that  $xz \neq y$ .

Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left( \neg A_1^2 \left( f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers 
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$
 
$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy,\quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$
 
$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y):\quad x=y,\quad x,y\in D_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that  $xz \neq y$ .

Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - $\S 1.1.2$  Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Def. For any two wfs. A and B in  $\mathcal{L}$ ,

 $A \Rightarrow B$  if and only if  $A \rightarrow B$  is logically valid.

Suppose that  $x_i$  occurs free in both  $A(x_i)$  and  $B(x_i)$ . Then

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \vee \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left[ A(x_i) \vee B(x_i) \right]$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \land \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left[ A(x_i) \land B(x_i) \right]$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \forall x_i B(x_i)$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \to \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \exists x_i A(x_i) \to \exists x_i B(x_i)$$

Suppose that  $x_i$  occurs free in both  $A(x_i)$  and  $B(x_i)$ . Then

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \vee \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i [A(x_i) \vee B(x_i)]$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \land \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left[ A(x_i) \land B(x_i) \right]$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \forall x_i B(x_i)$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \to \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \exists x_i A(x_i) \to \exists x_i B(x_i)$$

Suppose that  $x_i$  occurs free in both  $A(x_i)$  and  $B(x_i)$ . Then

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \lor \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i [A(x_i) \lor B(x_i)]$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \land \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left[ A(x_i) \land B(x_i) \right]$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \forall x_i B(x_i)$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \exists x_i A(x_i) \rightarrow \exists x_i B(x_i)$$

## Thm. Increasing, decreasing and switching quantifiers

$$\forall x \forall y \ A(x,y) \Rightarrow \forall x \ A(x,x)$$

$$\exists x \ A(x,x) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y \ A(x,y)$$

## Thm. Increasing, decreasing and switching quantifiers

$$\forall x \forall y \ A(x,y) \Rightarrow \forall x \ A(x,x)$$
$$\exists x \ A(x,x) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y \ A(x,y)$$
$$\exists x \forall y \ A(x,y) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x \ A(x,y)$$

Def. Let A and B be two wfs.. We say A and B are provably equivalent if  $A \leftrightarrow B \quad \text{is logically valid,}$ 

which is denoted as  $A \Leftrightarrow B$ .

That is.

 $A \Leftrightarrow B$  if and only if  $A \leftrightarrow B$  is logically valid

Def. Let A and B be two wfs.. We say A and B are provably equivalent if  $A \leftrightarrow B$  is logically valid,

which is denoted as  $A \Leftrightarrow B$ .

That is.

 $A \Leftrightarrow B$  if and only if  $A \leftrightarrow B$  is logically valid

Def. Let A and B be two wfs. We say A and B are provably equivalent if

 $A \leftrightarrow B$  is logically valid,

which is denoted as  $A \Leftrightarrow B$ .

That is,

 $A \Leftrightarrow B$  if and only if  $A \leftrightarrow B$  is logically valid.

# Thm. Negation of quantifiers

$$\neg \forall x \ A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists x \neg A$$
$$\neg \exists x \ A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x \neg A$$

$$\neg \forall x \exists y \forall z \ F(x, y, z) \Leftrightarrow \exists x \forall y \exists z \ \neg F(x, y, z)$$

## Thm. Negation of quantifiers

$$\neg \forall x \ A \Leftrightarrow \exists x \neg A$$
$$\neg \exists x \ A \Leftrightarrow \forall x \neg A$$

E.g.

$$\neg \forall x \exists y \forall z \ F(x, y, z) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists x \forall y \exists z \ \neg F(x, y, z)$$

Let A and B be two wfs. Suppose  $x_i$  occurs free in  $A(x_i)$  but not in B. Then

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_i A(x_i)$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

# Let A and B be two wfs. Suppose $x_i$ occurs free in $A(x_i)$ but not in B.

Then

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_i A(x_i)$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

Let A and B be two wfs. Suppose  $x_i$  occurs free in  $A(x_i)$  but not in B. Then

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_i A(x_i)$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

Let A and B be two wfs. Suppose  $x_i$  occurs free in  $A(x_i)$  but not in B. Then

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\forall x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_i A(x_i)$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$
  
$$\exists x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

$$\forall x \left[ A(x) \land B(x) \right] \Leftrightarrow \forall x A(x) \land \forall x B(x)$$
$$\exists x \left[ A(x) \lor B(x) \right] \Leftrightarrow \exists x A(x) \lor \exists x B(x)$$

## Thm. Substitution

If  $x_i$  occurs free in  $A(x_i)$  and  $x_j$  is a variable which does not occur, free or bound, in  $A(x_i)$ , then

$$\forall x_i \ A(x_i) \Leftrightarrow \forall x_j \ A(x_j).$$

#### Thm. Substitution

If  $x_i$  occurs free in  $A(x_i)$  and  $x_j$  is a variable which does not occur, free or bound, in  $A(x_i)$ , then

$$\forall x_i \ A(x_i) \Leftrightarrow \forall x_j \ A(x_j).$$

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

#### Recall that the normal forms for the statement calculus are

Disjunctive normal form:  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$ 

Conjunctive normal form:  $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} \vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$ 

What about the predicate calculus?

#### Recall that the normal forms for the statement calculus are

Disjunctive normal form:  $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$ 

Conjunctive normal form:  $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} \vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$ 

What about the predicate calculus?

Def. A wf. of  $\mathcal{L}$  is said to be in prenex form if it is of the form

$$Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \cdots Q_k x_k B$$

where  $Q_i$   $(1 \le i \le k)$  is either  $\forall$  or  $\exists$ , and B is a wf. with no quantifiers.

## E.g. Find the prenex form for

$$\left(\forall \mathsf{X}_1 \mathsf{A}_1^2(\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2) \to \exists \mathsf{X}_2 \mathsf{A}_1^1(\mathsf{X}_2)\right) \to \neg \forall \mathsf{X}_1 \forall \mathsf{X}_2 \mathsf{A}_2^2(\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2) \tag{$\star$}$$

Sol

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow (\forall X_1 A_1^2(X_1, X_2) \to \exists \mathbf{x_3} A_1^1(\mathbf{x_3})) \to \neg \forall \mathbf{x_4} \forall X_5 A_2^2(\mathbf{x_4}, X_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\forall X_1 A_1^2(X_1, X_2) \to \exists X_3 A_1^1(X_3)) \to \exists \mathbf{x_4} \exists \mathbf{x_5} \neg A_2^2(X_4, X_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{x_1} \exists \mathbf{x_3} (A_1^2(X_1, X_2) \to A_1^1(X_3)) \to \exists X_4 \exists X_5 \neg A_2^2(X_4, X_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \mathbf{x_1} \forall \mathbf{x_3} (A_1^2(X_1, X_2) \to A_1^1(X_3)) \to \exists X_4 \exists X_5 \neg A_2^2(X_4, X_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall X_1 \forall X_3 \exists \mathbf{x_4} \exists \mathbf{x_5} [(A_1^2(X_1, X_2) \to A_1^1(X_3)) \to \neg A_2^2(X_4, X_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall X_1 \forall X_3 \exists \mathbf{x_4} \exists \mathbf{x_5} [(A_1^2(X_1, X_2) \to A_1^1(X_3)) \to \neg A_2^2(X_4, X_5)$$

Remark The prenex form is not unique

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{x_4} \exists \mathbf{x_5} \forall \mathbf{x_1} \forall \mathbf{x_3} \left[ \left( A_1^2(\mathbf{x_1}, \mathbf{x_2}) \to A_1^1(\mathbf{x_3}) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(\mathbf{x_4}, \mathbf{x_5}) \right]$$

E.g. Find the prenex form for

$$\left(\forall \mathsf{X}_1 \mathsf{A}_1^2(\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2) \to \exists \mathsf{X}_2 \mathsf{A}_1^1(\mathsf{X}_2)\right) \to \neg \forall \mathsf{X}_1 \forall \mathsf{X}_2 \mathsf{A}_2^2(\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2) \tag{$\star$}\right)$$

Sol.

$$\begin{split} (\star) &\Leftrightarrow \left( \forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(\mathbf{x}_3) \right) \to \neg \forall x_4 \forall x_5 A_2^2(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left( \forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists x_1 \exists x_3 \left( A_1^2(\mathbf{x}_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(\mathbf{x}_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \left( A_1^2(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \to A_1^1(\mathbf{x}_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \left[ \left( A_1^2(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \to A_1^1(\mathbf{x}_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) \right] \end{split}$$

Remark The prenex form is not unique

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{x}_4 \exists \mathbf{x}_5 \forall \mathbf{x}_1 \forall \mathbf{x}_3 \left[ \left( A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right]$$

E.g. Find the prenex form for

$$\left(\forall \mathsf{X}_1 \mathsf{A}_1^2(\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2) \to \exists \mathsf{X}_2 \mathsf{A}_1^1(\mathsf{X}_2)\right) \to \neg \forall \mathsf{X}_1 \forall \mathsf{X}_2 \mathsf{A}_2^2(\mathsf{X}_1, \mathsf{X}_2) \tag{$\star$}\right)$$

Sol.

$$\begin{split} (\star) &\Leftrightarrow \left( \forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(\mathbf{x}_3) \right) \to \neg \forall x_4 \forall x_5 A_2^2(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \left( \forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \exists x_1 \exists x_3 \left( A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \left( A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \left[ \left( A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right] \end{split}$$

Remark The prenex form is not unique.

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \left[ \left( A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right].$$

## Prenex form gives a way to measure the complexity of wfs.

E.g. Which one of the following two wfs. is more complicated?

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 A_1^2 (f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4))$$

$$\forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^2 \ \big( f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4) \big)$$

Prenex form gives a way to measure the complexity of wfs.

E.g. Which one of the following two wfs. is more complicated?

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 A_1^2 (f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4))$$

$$\forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 A_1^2 (f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4))$$

### Def. Let $n \ge 1$ .

A wf. in prenex form is a  $\Pi_n$ -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A wt in prenex form is a  $\Sigma_n$ -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi \\ \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi \\ \exists x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Sigma \end{array}$$

#### Def. Let $n \ge 1$ .

A *wf.* in prenex form is a  $\Pi_n$ -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A wt in prenex form is a  $\Sigma_n$ -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

#### Def. Let $n \geq 1$ .

A *wf.* in prenex form is a  $\Pi_n$ -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A *wf.* in prenex form is a  $\Sigma_n$ -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

Def. Let  $n \geq 1$ .

A *wf.* in prenex form is a  $\Pi_n$ -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A *wf.* in prenex form is a  $\Sigma_n$ -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

E.g.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi_1 \\ \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi_4 \\ \exists x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Sigma_3 \end{array}$$

# Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
  - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
  - $\S 1.1.2$  Truth functions and truth tables
  - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
  - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
  - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
  - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
  - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

#### § 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- $\S 1.2.3$  Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

 $X_n$  converges to X in probability if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ 

Translate this definition into a Wf. in the prenex form

**Sol.** We first translate the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$  as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon') \right]$$

Then put back the quantifier  $\forall \epsilon$  to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

1

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is  $\Pi_3$ -form.

 $X_n$  converges to X in probability if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

**Sol.** We first translate the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$  as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon' \right) \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier  $\forall \epsilon$  to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

1

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is  $\Pi_3$ -form.

 $X_n$  converges to X in probability if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$  as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon' \right) \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier  $\forall \epsilon$  to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is  $\Pi_3$ -form

 $X_n$  converges to X in probability if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$  as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists \textit{N} \forall \textit{n} \left[ (\epsilon' > 0) \land (\textit{N} \ge 1) \land (\textit{n} \ge \textit{N}) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon' \right) \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier  $\forall \epsilon$  to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is ∏₂-form

 $X_n$  converges to X in probability if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$  as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists \mathbf{N} \forall \mathbf{n} \left[ (\epsilon' > 0) \land (\mathbf{N} \ge 1) \land (\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon') \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier  $\forall \epsilon$  to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists \textit{N} \forall \textit{n} \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (\textit{N} \geq 1) \land (\textit{n} \geq \textit{N}) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |\textit{X}_{\textit{n}} - \textit{X}| > \epsilon \right) \leq \epsilon' \right) \right],$$

which is  $\Pi_3$ -form

 $X_n$  converges to X in probability if for all  $\epsilon > 0$ 

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as  $n \to \infty$ .

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$  as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists \mathbf{N} \forall \mathbf{n} \left[ (\epsilon' > 0) \land (\mathbf{N} \ge 1) \land (\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon') \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier  $\forall \epsilon$  to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists \textit{N} \forall \textit{n} \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (\textit{N} \ge 1) \land (\textit{n} \ge \textit{N}) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |\textit{X}_{\textit{n}} - \textit{X}| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right],$$

which is  $\Pi_3$ -form.

## **Problem** How to show that $X_n$ does not converge to X in probability?

Sol. We only need to make the negation of the above wf.

$$\neg \forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \right) \right]$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \neg \left[ \neg \left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \right\} \lor \left( \mathbb{P} \left( \cdots \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right] \right]$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \left[ \left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \right\} \land \neg \left( \mathbb{P} \left( \cdots \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right] \right]$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \left[ \left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \land \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) > \epsilon' \right) \right\} \right]$$

38

**Problem** How to show that  $X_n$  does not converge to X in probability?

Sol. We only need to make the negation of the above wf.:

$$\neg \forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \neg \left[ \neg \left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \right\} \lor \left( \mathbb{P} \left( \cdots \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \left[ \left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \right\} \land \neg \left( \mathbb{P} \left( \cdots \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \left[ \left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \land \left( \mathbb{P} \left( |X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) > \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

22

Ex. Suppose that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  is a random sample from the exponential pdf,  $f_Y(y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \ \lambda > 0, y > 0.$ 

Show that  $\Lambda_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  does not converges to  $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda)$  in probability.

(i.e.,  $\Lambda$  is not a consistent estimator for  $\lambda$ .)

Ex. Suppose that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  is a random sample from the exponential pdf,  $f_Y(y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \ \lambda > 0, y > 0.$ Show that  $\Lambda_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  does not converges to  $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda)$  in probability.

(i.e.,  $\Lambda$  is not a consistent estimator for  $\lambda$ .)

Ex. Suppose that  $Y_1, \dots, Y_n$  is a random sample from the exponential pdf,  $f_Y(y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \ \lambda > 0, y > 0.$ 

Show that  $\Lambda_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  does not converges to  $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda)$  in probability.

(i.e.,  $\Lambda$  is not a consistent estimator for  $\lambda$ .)