Topics in Analysis and Linear Algebra

Le Chen

le.chen@emory.edu

mory University Atlanta GA

Last updated on July 23, 2021

 $\begin{array}{c} {\rm Summer~Bootcamp~for}\\ {\rm Emory~Biostatistics~and~Bioinformatics}\\ {\rm PhD~Program} \end{array}$

July 22 - 28, 2021

Chapter 2. Set Theory

Chapter 3. Real Number System and Calculus

Chapter 4. Topics in Linear Algebra

1

The language and grammar of mathematics.

This part is mostly based on Chapters 1, 3, 4 of

Hamilton, A. G., Logic for mathematicians. 2^{nd} ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques
- § 1.2 Predicate calculus
 - § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
 - § 1.2.2 First order languages
 - § 1.2.3 Interpretations
 - § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
 - § 1.2.5 Prenex form
 - § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques
- § 1.2 Predicate calculus
 - § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
 - § 1.2.2 First order languages
 - § 1.2.3 Interpretations
 - § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
 - § 1.2.5 Prenex form
 - § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

This section is based on Chapter 1 of

Hamilton, A. G., Logic for mathematicians. 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

§ 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing
If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round
If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead

John owes James two pounds

All eggs which are not square are round

▶ Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead

John owes James two pounds

All eggs which are not square are round

▶ Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

▶ Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will san

Napoleon is dead John owes James two pounds All eggs which are not square are round

► Compound sentences : subject + predicate with connectives

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing

If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round

If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow

Basic assumption: All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 A, B, C, \cdots : simple statements.

Basic assumption: All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 A, B, C, \cdots : simple statements.

Basic assumption: All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 A, B, C, \cdots : simple statements.

Basic assumption: All simple statements are either true (T) or false (F).

 A, B, C, \cdots : simple statements.

Connectives

not \boldsymbol{A}	¬ <i>A</i>	negation
\boldsymbol{A} and \boldsymbol{B}	A∧B	conjunction
A or B	A∨B	disjunction
if A then B	$A \rightarrow B$	conditional
A if and only if B	A↔B	biconditiona

Napoleon is dead and the world is rejoicing	$A \wedge B$
If all eggs are not square then all eggs are round	$ extbf{\emph{C}} ightarrow extbf{\emph{D}}$
If the barometer falls then either it will rain or it will snow	$E \rightarrow (F \lor G)$

 $\label{eq:hw} \text{HW Ex. 1 (a)} - (d).$

§ 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Negation

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
p & \neg p \\
\hline
T & F \\
F & T
\end{array}$$

Conjunction

p	q	$p \wedge q$
Т	Т	Т
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F

Disjunction

р	q	$p \lor q$
Т	Т	Т
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	Т
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	Т
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F

Conditional

р	q	p o q
Т	Т	Т
${ m T}$	F	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	Т
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Т

Biconditional

p	q	$p \leftrightarrow q$
Т	Т	${ m T}$
${ m T}$	F	F
\mathbf{F}	Т	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${f T}$

Def. A statement form is an expression involving statement variables and connectives, which can be formed using the rules:

- (i) Any statement variable is a statement form.
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are statement forms, then $(\neg \mathcal{A})$, $(\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{B})$ $(\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B})$ are statement forms.

Def. A statement form is an expression involving statement variables and connectives, which can be formed using the rules:

- (i) Any statement variable is a statement form.
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are statement forms, then $(\neg \mathcal{A})$, $(\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{B})$ $(\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B})$ are statement forms.

Def. A statement form is an expression involving statement variables and connectives, which can be formed using the rules:

- (i) Any statement variable is a statement form.
- (ii) If \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are statement forms, then $(\neg \mathcal{A})$, $(\mathcal{A} \lor \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A} \land \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B})$, $(\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B})$ are statement forms.

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
${ m T}$	Τ	F		
${\bf T}$	F	Т		
${\bf T}$	F	F		
\mathbf{F}	T	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F		
F	F	Т		
\mathbf{F}	F	F		

0

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
${ m T}$	T	F	Т	
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	Т		
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	F		
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F		
\mathbf{F}	F	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	F	F		

0

Sol.

p	q	r	q∨r	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
T	T	F	Т	
${ m T}$	F	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	F		
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F		
\mathbf{F}	F	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	F	F		

Sol.

p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Τ	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	Τ	F	Т	
${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	F	F	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F		
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	F	F		

10

Sol.

T T T T T T T T T T T T F T T T F F F F T T T F F F T	p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T F T T T F F F F T T T F F T F	Т	Τ	Τ	Т	
T F F F F F T F F T F T T	T	Τ	F	Т	
F T T T F T F F T T	T	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	
F T F F F T	${ m T}$	F	F	\mathbf{F}	
F F T	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	
	\mathbf{F}	Τ	F		
	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Τ		
F' F' F'	\mathbf{F}	F	F		

Sol.

T T T T T T T T T T T T F T T T F F T T F T T T F T F T	p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T F T T T F F F F T T T T T	Т	Τ	Τ	Т	
T F F F F F F T T T T T T	${\bf T}$	Τ	F	Т	
F T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	
F T F T	${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	F	F	
	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	Т	
F F T	\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Τ		
F F F	F	F	F		

Sol.

TTTT	
1 1 1 1	
T T F T	
T F T T	
T F F F	
F T T T	
F T F T	
F F T T	
F F F	

Sol.

p	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	
T	T	F	Т	
${\bf T}$	F	Τ	Т	
${\bf T}$	F	F	F	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	Т	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	Т	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Т	Т	
F	F	F	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	T	F	Т	
${ m T}$	F	Τ	${ m T}$	
${ m T}$	F	F	\mathbf{F}	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	
F	F	F	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	T	F	Т	${ m T}$
${ m T}$	F	Τ	Т	
${ m T}$	F	F	\mathbf{F}	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	Т	
F	F	F	F	

Sol.

T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T	р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T F T T T T F F F F T T T	Τ	Τ	Τ	Т	Т
T F F F F T T T	Τ	Τ	\mathbf{F}	Т	${f T}$
F T T T	Τ	F	T	${ m T}$	Т
	Τ	F	F	\mathbf{F}	
F T F T	F	Τ	T	${ m T}$	
	F	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
F F T T	F	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	
F F F F	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	T	F	Т	${ m T}$
T	F	Τ	${ m T}$	Т
T	F	F	\mathbf{F}	F
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	
\mathbf{F}	F	F	F	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Т	Т	Т	Т	Т
T	Τ	F	Т	${f T}$
${ m T}$	F	Τ	${ m T}$	Т
${ m T}$	F	F	\mathbf{F}	F
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${ m T}$	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	Τ	Т	
F	F	F	\mathbf{F}	

Sol.

T T T T	Т
$T ext{ } F ext{ } T$	T
T F T T	T
T F F F	F
$\mathbf{F} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T} \mathbf{T}$	T
F T F T	T
F F T T	
F F F F	

Sol.

_ <i>p</i>	q	r	$q \lor r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
Τ	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	Т
Τ	Τ	F	${ m T}$	Т
${ m T}$	F	Τ	Т	${ m T}$
${ m T}$	F	F	\mathbf{F}	F
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	F	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	F	F	\mathbf{F}	

Sol.

р	q	r	$q \vee r$	$p \rightarrow (q \lor r)$
T	T	Τ	${ m T}$	Т
T	Τ	F	Т	${ m T}$
T	F	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
T	F	F	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}
\mathbf{F}	Τ	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	F	Τ	${ m T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	F	F	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$

A statement form is a **contradiction** if it only takes false value F.

E.g.
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology $p \land (\neg p)$ is a contradiction

Sol.

Def. A statement form is a tautology if it only takes true value T.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology $p \land (\neg p)$ is a contradiction

Sol.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. $p \lor (\neg p)$ is a tautology

$$p \wedge (\neg p)$$
 is a contradiction

Sol

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} p & \neg p & p \lor (\neg p) \\ \hline T & & & \\ F & & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
p & \neg p & p \land (\neg p) \\
\hline
T & & & \\
F & & & \\
\end{array}$$

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology $p \land (\neg p)$ is a contradiction

Sol.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. $p \lor (\neg p)$ is a tautology

$$\boldsymbol{p} \wedge (\neg \boldsymbol{p})$$
 is a contradiction

Sol.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
p & \neg p & p \lor (\neg p) \\
\hline
T & & & \\
F & & & \\
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} p & \neg p & p \land (\neg p) \\ \hline T & & \\ F & & \end{array}$$

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. $p \lor (\neg p)$ is a tautology

$$p \wedge (\neg p)$$
 is a contradiction

Sol.

$$\begin{array}{c|cc} p & \neg p & p \lor (\neg p) \\ \hline T & F & \\ F & T & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|cc}
p & \neg p & p \land (\neg p) \\
\hline
T & & & \\
F & & & & \\
\end{array}$$

L

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g.
$$p \lor (\neg p)$$
 is a tautology
$$p \land (\neg p)$$
 is a contradiction

Sol.

Ш

Def. A statement form is a tautology if it only takes true value T.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. $p \lor (\neg p)$ is a tautology $p \land (\neg p)$ is a contradiction

Sol.

$$egin{array}{c|ccccc} oldsymbol{p} &
eg p &
eg p$$

$$p \land (\neg p)$$

Def. A statement form is a tautology if it only takes true value T.

A statement form is a contradiction if it only takes false value F.

E.g. $p \lor (\neg p)$ is a tautology $p \land (\neg p)$ is a contradiction

Sol.

 \mathcal{A} logically implies \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

 \mathcal{A} is logically equivalent to \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

E.g.
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 \mathcal{A} logically implies \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

 \mathcal{A} is logically equivalent to \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

E.g.
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 \mathcal{A} logically implies \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

 \mathcal{A} is logically equivalent to \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

E.g.
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 \mathcal{A} logically implies \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

 \mathcal{A} is logically equivalent to \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

E.g.
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

$$\neg(p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 \mathcal{A} logically implies \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology. \mathcal{A} is logically equivalent to \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

E.g.
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 \mathcal{A} logically implies \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

 \mathcal{A} is logically equivalent to \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

E.g.
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$

$$p \land q \to p$$
$$\neg (p \land q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$$
$$\neg (p \lor q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$$

 \mathcal{A} logically implies \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

 \mathcal{A} is logically equivalent to \mathcal{B} , denoted as $\mathcal{A} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$, if $\mathcal{A} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a tautology.

E.g.
$$p \land q \Rightarrow p$$

 $\neg (p \land q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \lor (\neg q)$
 $\neg (p \lor q) \Leftrightarrow (\neg p) \land (\neg q)$

$$p \wedge q \rightarrow p$$
 $\neg (p \wedge q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \vee (\neg q)$
 $\neg (p \vee q) \leftrightarrow (\neg p) \wedge (\neg q)$

Sol. (continued) Let's check $p \land q \rightarrow p$:

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \wedge q \rightarrow p$
Т	Т		
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}		
\mathbf{F}	Τ		
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		

Sol. (continued) Let's check $p \land q \rightarrow p$:

р	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \wedge q ightarrow p$
Т	Т	Т	
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	F	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	F	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F	

Sol. (continued) Let's check $p \land q \rightarrow p$:

p	q	$p \wedge q$	$p \wedge q \rightarrow p$
Т	Т	Т	${f T}$
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	F	${f T}$
\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	${f T}$
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F	${f T}$

HW Ex. 4, 6 (a) - (b), 7.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

§ 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
- \S 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

$ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$

- \triangleright $A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- \triangleright $A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- \triangleright AVB \Leftrightarrow BVA
- \triangleright $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- \triangleright $A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- AVR A BVA
- \triangleright $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- \triangleright $A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- AVR A BVA
- \triangleright $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- \triangleright AVB \Leftrightarrow BVA
- \triangleright $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor B \Leftrightarrow B \lor A$
- \triangleright $(A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- \triangleright $(A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow \neg \neg A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor B \Leftrightarrow B \lor A$
- $\blacktriangleright (A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $ightharpoonup (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- ▶ A ⇔ ¬¬A
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \Leftrightarrow A \lor A$
- $ightharpoonup A \land B \Leftrightarrow B \land A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor B \Leftrightarrow B \lor A$
- $\blacktriangleright (A \land B) \land C \Leftrightarrow A \land (B \land C)$
- $\blacktriangleright (A \lor B) \lor C \Leftrightarrow A \lor (B \lor C)$

- $ightharpoonup A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$

$$A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\triangleright$$
 AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg (\wedge_{i=1}^n A_i) = \vee_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (V_{i=1}^{n}A_{i}) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\triangleright$$
 AVT \Leftrightarrow T

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\triangleright$$
 AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg (\wedge_{i=1}^n A_i) = \vee_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$

$$ightharpoonup A \wedge (A \vee B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- \triangleright AVT \Leftrightarrow T
- \triangleright $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- \triangleright $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- \triangleright AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg (\land_{i=1}^n A_i) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $\blacktriangleright \ A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$

- $\rightarrow A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- \triangleright $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- \triangleright $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- \triangleright AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg \left(\wedge_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \vee_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \wedge (A \vee B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- \triangleright $A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- \triangleright $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- \triangleright $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- \triangleright AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg (\land_{i=1}^n A_i) = \lor_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $\blacktriangleright \ A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$\blacktriangleright \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- \triangleright $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- \triangleright $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- \triangleright AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg (\land_{i=1}^n A_i) = \lor_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $\blacktriangleright \ A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- \triangleright $A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- \triangleright $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- \triangleright $A \lor F \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\neg \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

$$\neg \left(\vee_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \wedge_{i=1}^{n} (\neg A_{i})$$

- $\blacktriangleright A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- \triangleright $A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- \triangleright AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_i \right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \left(\neg A_i \right)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $ightharpoonup A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- \triangleright AVF \Leftrightarrow A

$$\neg (\bigwedge_{i=1}^n A_i) = \bigvee_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

- $\blacktriangleright \ A \land (B \lor C) \Leftrightarrow (A \land C) \lor (A \land B)$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor (B \land C) \Leftrightarrow (A \lor C) \land (A \lor B)$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup \neg (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \land (\neg B)$$

$$ightharpoonup A \lor (A \land B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

$$ightharpoonup A \land (A \lor B) \Leftrightarrow A$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor T \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land F \Leftrightarrow F$
- $ightharpoonup A \land T \Leftrightarrow A$
- $ightharpoonup A \lor F \Leftrightarrow A$

$$\neg \left(\bigwedge_{i=1}^{n} A_{i} \right) = \bigvee_{i=1}^{n} \left(\neg A_{i} \right)$$

$$\neg (\vee_{i=1}^n A_i) = \wedge_{i=1}^n (\neg A_i)$$

$ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$

$$ightharpoonup A \wedge (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$$

$$\triangleright A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$$

$$ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$$

$$ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$

$$\triangleright A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$

$$ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$$

$$\triangleright$$
 $A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- \triangleright $A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$
- $ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$
- $\blacktriangle A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- $ightharpoonup A
 ightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $\blacktriangleright A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \to B) \land (B \to A)$
- $ightharpoonup A o B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) o (\neg A)$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- ► $A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow A)$
- $\blacktriangleright A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \to (\neg A)$
- $ightharpoonup A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

- $ightharpoonup A \lor (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow T$
- $ightharpoonup A \land (\neg A) \Leftrightarrow F$
- $\blacktriangleright A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$
- $\blacktriangleright A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (A \to B) \land (B \to A)$
- $\blacktriangleright A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \to (\neg A)$
- $\blacktriangleright A \leftrightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \leftrightarrow (\neg A)$

HW Ex. 11 (a), (d), namely, using what we have learnt in this subsection to show that

(a)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\neg (q \to p)) \to ((\neg q) \lor r)$$

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \iff q \to (p \lor r)$$

 \mbox{HW} Ex. 11 (a), (d), namely, using what we have learnt in this subsection to show that

(a)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\neg (q \to p)) \to ((\neg q) \lor r)$$

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \iff q \to (p \lor r)$$

HW Ex. 11 (a), (d), namely, using what we have learnt in this subsection to show that

(a)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (\neg (q \to p)) \to ((\neg q) \lor r)$$

(d)

$$((\neg (p \lor (\neg q)))) \to (q \to r) \iff q \to (p \lor r)$$

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

§ 1.1 Statement calculus

- $\S~1.1.1~{
 m Statements}$ and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$ Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Conjunctive normal form: $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$

where O_{ij} is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Conjunctive normal form: $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$

where O_{ij} is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Conjunctive normal form: $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$

where O_{ij} is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Conjunctive normal form: $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} (\vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij})$

where O_{ij} is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

Def. Disjunctive normal form: $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \left(\bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij} \right)$ Conjunctive normal form: $\bigwedge_{i=1}^{m} \left(\bigvee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij} \right)$

where O_{ij} is either a statement variable or the negation of a statement variable.

Thm. Every statement form which is not a contradiction can be write as disjunctive normal form.

E.g. 1 Transform the following truth table to disjunctive normal form.

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)
Т	Τ	Т	Т
\mathbf{T}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	Т
Τ	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	F
Τ	\mathbf{F}	F	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{T}	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	F	F
F	F	Τ	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$

Sol. Find the entries with "T" and combine them with V.

E.g. 1 Transform the following truth table to disjunctive normal form.

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)
Т	Τ	Т	Т
\mathbf{T}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$
Τ	\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	F
\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	${\bf T}$	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	F	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	F
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$

Sol. Find the entries with "T" and combine them with \vee .

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)	
Τ	Т	\mathbf{T}		
${\bf T}$	${\bf T}$	F		
Τ	F	T		
Τ	F	F		
F	Τ	T		
F	Τ	F		
F	F	T		
F	F	F		

р	q	r	f(p, q, r)	
Т	Т	Т	Т	
Τ	\mathbf{T}	F	Т	
Τ	\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	
Τ	F	F	F	
F	Τ	Τ	F	
F	Τ	F	F	
F	F	Τ	F	
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F	${ m T}$	

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)	
Т	Т	Т	Т	p∧q∧r
\mathbf{T}	Τ	\mathbf{F}	Т	$p \land q \land \neg r$
T	\mathbf{F}	T	F	
Τ	\mathbf{F}	F	F	
\mathbf{F}	T	T	F	
\mathbf{F}	T	\mathbf{F}	F	
F	\mathbf{F}	Τ	F	
F	F	F	${ m T}$	$\neg p \land \neg q \land \neg r$

p	q	r	f(p,q,r)	
Τ	Т	Т	Т	p∧q∧r
Τ	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	Т	$p \land q \land \neg r$
Τ	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	F	
Τ	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	F	
F	Τ	Τ	F	
F	Τ	F	F	
F	F	Τ	F	
F	F	F	Т	$\neg p \land \neg q \land \neg r$

Hence,

$$f(p,q,r) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad (p \land q \land r) \lor (p \land q \land \neg r) \lor (\neg p \land \neg q \land \neg r)$$

E.g.2 Find a conjunctive normal form for $((\neg p) \lor q) \to r$.

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ				
Т	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}				
Т	F	Τ				
Т	F	F				
F	T	Τ				
F	${\bf T}$	F				
F	F	Τ				
F	F	F				

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F			
Т	${ m T}$	F	F			
Т	F	Τ	F			
Т	F	F	F			
F	T	Τ	Т			
F	T	F	Т			
F	F	Τ	Т			
F	F	F	Т			

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F	Т		
Т	T	F	F	Т		
Т	F	Τ	F	\mathbf{F}		
Т	F	F	F	\mathbf{F}		
F	T	Τ	Т	${ m T}$		
F	T	F	Т	$_{\mathrm{T}}$		
F	\mathbf{F}	Т	Т	${ m T}$		
F	F	F	Т	${ m T}$		

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

p	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F	Т	Т	
Т	T	F	F	Т	\mathbf{F}	
Т	F	Τ	F	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	
Т	F	F	F	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	
F	T	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	
F	T	F	Т	${ m T}$	F	
F	F	Τ	Т	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	
F	F	F	Т	${ m T}$	F	

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	Т	Τ	F	Т	Т	p∨q∨r
Т	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	F	Т	F	
Т	F	Τ	F	F	Т	$p \lor \neg q \lor r$
Τ	F	\mathbf{F}	F	F	${ m T}$	$p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r$
F	T	Τ	Т	Т	${ m T}$	$\neg p \lor q \lor r$
F	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	Т	Т	F	
F	F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	$\neg p \lor \neg q \lor r$
F	F	F	Т	Т	F	

E.g.2 Find a conjunctive normal form for $((\neg p) \lor q) \to r$.

Sol. Construct the form by the truth table:

р	q	r	$\neg p$	$\neg p \lor q$	$(\neg p \lor q) \to r$	
Т	T	Τ	F	T	Т	p∨q∨r
Τ	${ m T}$	F	F	Т	F	
Τ	F	Τ	F	F	Т	$p \lor \neg q \lor r$
${ m T}$	F	F	F	F	${ m T}$	$p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r$
F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	${ m T}$	$\neg p \lor q \lor r$
F	${ m T}$	F	T	Т	F	
F	F	Τ	Т	Т	Т	$\neg p \lor \neg q \lor r$
F	F	F	Т	Т	F	

Therefore,

$$((\neg p) \lor q) \to r$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$(p \lor q \lor r) \land (p \lor \neg q \lor r) \land (p \lor \neg q \lor \neg r) \land (\neg p \lor q \lor r) \land (\neg p \lor \neg q \lor r)$$

HW Ex. 12 (a) and 13 (b), namely, write $p \leftrightarrow q$ in both disjunctive and conjunctive forms.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

§ 1.1 Statement calculus

- $\S~1.1.1~{
 m Statements}$ and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$ Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Def. An adequate set of connectives is a set such that every truth function can be represented by a statement form containing only connectives from that set.

Remark $\{\wedge, \vee, \neg\}$ is an adequate set.

For example.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \to B & \Leftrightarrow & \neg B \lor A \\ A \leftrightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (A \to B) \land (B \to A) \end{array}$$

Def. An adequate set of connectives is a set such that every truth function can be represented by a statement form containing only connectives from that set.

Remark $\{\land, \lor, \neg\}$ is an adequate set.

For example.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \to B & \Leftrightarrow & \neg B \lor A \\ A \leftrightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (A \to B) \land (B \to A) \end{array}$$

Def. An adequate set of connectives is a set such that every truth function can be represented by a statement form containing only connectives from that set.

Remark $\{\land, \lor, \neg\}$ is an adequate set.

For example,

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \to B & \Leftrightarrow & \neg B \lor A \\ A \leftrightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (A \to B) \land (B \to A). \end{array}$$

Thm. The pairs

$$\{\neg, \land\}, \quad \{\neg, \lor\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{\neg, \to\}$$

are adequate sets of connectives.

Proof We only show the case $\{\neg, \land\}$. This is true because

$$A \lor B \Leftrightarrow \neg(\neg A \land \neg B)$$

Thm. The pairs

$$\{\neg, \land\}, \{\neg, \lor\} \text{ and } \{\neg, \to\}$$

are adequate sets of connectives.

Proof We only show the case $\{\neg, \land\}$. This is true because

$$A \lor B \Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg A \land \neg B).$$

Nor

р	q	$p \downarrow q$
Т	Τ	F
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	F
F	\mathbf{T}	F
F	F	${ m T}$

Nand

р	q	p q
Т	Т	F
${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$
F	Τ	${ m T}$
F	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$

Thm. The singleton sets

$$\{\downarrow\}$$
 and $\{|\}$

are adequate sets of connectives.

Proof. Show this as an exercise

Nor

α	١,	 ~

р	q	$p \downarrow q$
Т	Т	F
Τ	\mathbf{F}	F
\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	F
F	F	Т

Nand

p	q	p q
Т	Т	F
\mathbf{T}	F	${ m T}$
F	\mathbf{T}	${ m T}$
F	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$

Thm. The singleton sets

$$\{\downarrow\}$$
 and $\{|\}$

are adequate sets of connectives.

Proof. Show this as an exercise.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

§ 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$ Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Simplest argument forms:

$$p \rightarrow q$$

In general, a argument form takes the following form:

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n; \qquad \therefore A$$

Conclusion

Sometimes, the above argument form is also written as

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow A_n$$

Simplest argument forms:

In general, a argument form takes the following form:

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n;$$
 $\therefore A$

Sometimes, the above argument form is also written as

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow A_n$$

Simplest argument forms:

In general, a argument form takes the following form:

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n;$$
 $\therefore A$

Sometimes, the above argument form is also written as

$$A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \Rightarrow A$$

Def. The argument form

$$A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n; \therefore A$$

is valid if the statement form

$$(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n) \rightarrow A$$
 is tautology;

otherwise, the argument form is invalid.

E.g.1 Check the validity of the argument form:

$$p \rightarrow q$$
, $(\neg q) \rightarrow r$, r ; $\therefore p$.

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression:

$$(p \to q) \land ((\neg q) \to r) \land r \to p$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\neg [(p \to q) \land ((\neg q) \to r) \land r] \lor p$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\neg [(\neg p \lor q) \land ((\neg \neg q) \lor r) \land r] \lor p$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$(p \land \neg q) \lor (\neg q \land \neg r) \lor (\neg r) \lor p$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$(\neg r) \lor p$$

which is not a tautology. Hence, the argument form is invalid.

E.g.1 Check the validity of the argument form:

$$p \rightarrow q$$
, $(\neg q) \rightarrow r$, r ; $\therefore p$.

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression:

$$(p \rightarrow q) \land ((\neg q) \rightarrow r) \land r \rightarrow p$$

$$\uparrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \uparrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

which is not a tautology. Hence, the argument form is invalid.

E.g.2 Check the validity of the following argument form:

$$p_1 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_3), \quad p_2; \quad \therefore p_1 \rightarrow p_3$$

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression:

$$\begin{array}{c} [p_1 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_3)] \wedge p_2 \rightarrow (p_1 \rightarrow p_3) \\ \\ \updownarrow \\ \neg [p_1 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_3)] \vee \neg p_2 \vee (p_1 \rightarrow p_3) \\ \\ \updownarrow \\ \neg [\neg p_1 \vee (\neg p_2 \vee p_3)] \vee \neg p_2 \vee (\neg p_1 \vee p_3) \\ \\ \updownarrow \\ [p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \neg p_3] \vee \neg p_2 \vee \neg p_1 \vee p_3 \\ \\ \updownarrow \\ [p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \neg p_3] \vee \neg (p_1 \wedge p_2 \wedge \neg p_3) \\ \\ \updownarrow \\ T. \end{array}$$

which is a tautology. Hence, the argument form is valid.

E.g.2 Check the validity of the following argument form:

$$p_1 \rightarrow (p_2 \rightarrow p_3), \quad p_2; \quad \therefore p_1 \rightarrow p_3$$

Sol. Let's simplify the following expression:

$$[p_{1} \rightarrow (p_{2} \rightarrow p_{3})] \land p_{2} \rightarrow (p_{1} \rightarrow p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\neg [p_{1} \rightarrow (p_{2} \rightarrow p_{3})] \lor \neg p_{2} \lor (p_{1} \rightarrow p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\neg [\neg p_{1} \lor (\neg p_{2} \lor p_{3})] \lor \neg p_{2} \lor (\neg p_{1} \lor p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$[p_{1} \land p_{2} \land \neg p_{3}] \lor \neg p_{2} \lor \neg p_{1} \lor p_{3}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$[p_{1} \land p_{2} \land \neg p_{3}] \lor \neg (p_{1} \land p_{2} \land \neg p_{3})$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$T,$$

which is a tautology. Hence, the argument form is valid.

HW Ex. 22.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

§ 1.1 Statement calculus

- § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
- $\S 1.1.2$ Truth functions and truth tables
- § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
- § 1.1.4 Normal forms
- § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
- § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
- § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Method 1. Proof by contrapositive

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A)$$

Proof

$$A \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\neg A) \lor B$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad (\neg \neg B) \lor (\neg A)$$
$$\Leftrightarrow \quad (\neg B) \to (\neg A)$$

E.g. Show by contradiction that an even integer has to be an integer.

Method 1. Proof by contrapositive

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \rightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A) \lor B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg \neg B) \lor (\neg A) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A). \end{array}$$

E.g. Show by contradiction that an even integer has to be an integer.

Method 1. Proof by contrapositive

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} A \rightarrow B & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg \neg B) \vee (\neg A) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg B) \rightarrow (\neg A). \end{array}$$

E.g. Show by contradiction that an even integer has to be an integer.

Method 2. Proof by contradiction

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow \neg ((\neg B) \land A)$$

Proof.

$$A \to B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \lor B$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \neg ((\neg A) \lor B$



Method 2. Proof by contradiction

$$A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow \neg ((\neg B) \land A)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \textbf{A} \rightarrow \textbf{B} & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg \textbf{A}) \vee \textbf{B} \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \neg \left((\neg \textbf{A}) \vee \textbf{B} \right) \end{array}$$



Method 3. Proof by cases

$$(A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \to B) \wedge (A_2 \to B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (A_n \to B).$$

Proof

LHS
$$\Leftrightarrow \neg (A_1 \lor A_2 \lor \cdots \lor A_n) \lor B$$

 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \land \neg A_2 \land \cdots \land \neg A_n) \lor B$
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \lor B) \land (\neg A_2 \lor B) \land \cdots \land (\neg A_n \lor B)$
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{RHS}.$

E.g. Suppose n is either an even integer or an odd one. Then n is an integer

Method 3. Proof by cases

$$(A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \to B) \wedge (A_2 \to B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (A_n \to B).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{LHS} & \Leftrightarrow & \neg (A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \wedge \neg A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg A_n) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \vee B) \wedge (\neg A_2 \vee B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\neg A_n \vee B) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{RHS}. \end{array}$$

E.g. Suppose n is either an even integer or an odd one. Then n is an integer

Method 3. Proof by cases

$$(A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \to B \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \to B) \wedge (A_2 \to B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (A_n \to B).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{LHS} & \Leftrightarrow & \neg (A_1 \vee A_2 \vee \cdots \vee A_n) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \wedge \neg A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \neg A_n) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \vee B) \wedge (\neg A_2 \vee B) \wedge \cdots \wedge (\neg A_n \vee B) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{RHS}. \end{array}$$

E.g. Suppose n is either an even integer or an odd one. Then n is an integer.

Method 4. Proof by exportation/importation

$$(\textbf{A}_1 \wedge \textbf{A}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \textbf{A}_n) \rightarrow (\textbf{A} \rightarrow \textbf{B}) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (\textbf{A}_1 \wedge \textbf{A}_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge \textbf{A}_n \wedge \textbf{A}) \rightarrow \textbf{B}.$$

Proof

LHS
$$\Leftrightarrow \neg (A_1 \land A_2 \land \cdots \land A_n) \lor (\neg A \lor B)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \lor \neg A_2 \lor \cdots \lor \neg A_n) \lor (\neg A \lor B)$
 $\Leftrightarrow (\neg A_1 \lor \neg A_2 \lor \cdots \lor \neg A_n \lor \neg A) \lor B$
 $\Leftrightarrow \neg (A_1 \land A_2 \land \cdots \land A_n \land A) \lor B$
 $\Leftrightarrow \text{RHS}.$

Method 4. Proof by exportation/importation

$$(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n) \to (A \to B) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad (A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge A_n \wedge A) \to B.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathrm{LHS} & \Leftrightarrow & \neg(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n) \vee (\neg A \vee B) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \vee \neg A_2 \vee \dots \vee \neg A_n) \vee (\neg A \vee B) \\ & \Leftrightarrow & (\neg A_1 \vee \neg A_2 \vee \dots \vee \neg A_n \vee \neg A) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \neg(A_1 \wedge A_2 \wedge \dots \wedge A_n \wedge A) \vee B \\ & \Leftrightarrow & \mathrm{RHS}. \end{array}$$

$$(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow C) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof

$$(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow C) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	\rightarrow	B)	\wedge	(B	\rightarrow	C)	\rightarrow	(A	\rightarrow	C)
Т		Т		Т		Т		Т		Т
${ m T}$		\mathbf{T}		\mathbf{T}		\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}
${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$		${ m T}$		\mathbf{T}
${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}
\mathbf{F}		${\bf T}$		${\bf T}$		${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{T}
F		${\bf T}$		\mathbf{T}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}
\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{T}
\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}

$$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	\rightarrow	B)	\wedge	(B	\rightarrow	C)]	\rightarrow	(A	\rightarrow	C)
$\overline{\mathrm{T}}$	Т	${ m T}$		Τ	Τ	Τ		Т		Т
${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		${ m T}$		${ m T}$
${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}
\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{T}	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}
\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}		${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}	${f T}$	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}

=0

$$(A \rightarrow B) \wedge (B \rightarrow C) \quad \Rightarrow \quad A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	\rightarrow	B)			\rightarrow	C)]	\rightarrow	(A	\rightarrow	C)
Т	Τ	Т	Т	Т	Т	Τ		Т	Τ	Τ
${f T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}
${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$		${\bf T}$	\mathbf{T}	${ m T}$
${f T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}
F	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${\bf T}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	${ m T}$
F	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{F}
F	${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{T}	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${f T}$	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}	Τ	\mathbf{F}

$$(A \rightarrow B) \land (B \rightarrow C) \Rightarrow A \rightarrow C.$$

Proof.

[(A	\rightarrow	B)	\wedge	(B	\rightarrow	C)]	\rightarrow	(A	\rightarrow	C)
Т	Т	Т	Т	Τ	Т	Τ	${ m T}$	Τ	Τ	Τ
${\bf T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}
${f T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		${\bf T}$	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$
${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}		${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}
F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$
F	${ m T}$	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	\mathbf{F}		\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	\mathbf{F}
\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	${ m T}$		\mathbf{F}	${\bf T}$	${ m T}$
\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	F		\mathbf{F}	${ m T}$	\mathbf{F}

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques
- § 1.2 Predicate calculus
 - § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
 - § 1.2.2 First order languages
 - § 1.2.3 Interpretations
 - § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
 - § 1.2.5 Prenex form
 - $\S 1.2.6$ One example convergence in probability

This section is based on Chapters 3 and 4 of

Hamilton, A. G., Logic for mathematicians. 2nd ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1988.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

All men are mortal	A
You are a man	В
You are mortal	\mathbf{C}

We need quantifiers to make sense of the above arguments.

All men are mortal	A
You are a man	В
You are mortal	\mathbf{C}

We need quantifiers to make sense of the above arguments.

Chapters 1-2	Chapters 3-4
Statement logic	Predicate logic
Statement calculus	Predicate calculus
Propositional logic	Quantification logic
zero-order logic	first-order logic
zero-order language	first-order language

First-order logic is the extension of the zero-order logic

by including

quantifiers

Def. Universal quantifier: "for all x", denoted as $\forall x$,

Existential quantifier: "there exists a x", denoted as $\exists x$,

where x is called the bound variable.

1. Not all birds can fly:

$$\neg \Big((\forall x) \big(B(x) \to F(x) \big) \Big)$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

$$(\exists x) \bigg(I(x) \land \big[(\forall y) (I(y) \to \{x \ge y\}) \big] \bigg)$$

1. Not all birds can fly:

$$\neg \Big((\forall x) \big(B(x) \to F(x) \big) \Big)$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

$$(\exists x) \bigg(I(x) \land \big[(\forall y) (I(y) \to \{x \ge y\}) \big] \bigg)$$

1. Not all birds can fly:

$$\neg \Big((\forall x) \big(B(x) \to F(x) \big) \Big)$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

$$(\exists x) \bigg(I(x) \land \big[(\forall y) (I(y) \to \{x \ge y\}) \big] \bigg)$$

1. Not all birds can fly:

$$\neg \Big((\forall x) \big(B(x) \to F(x) \big) \Big)$$

2. Some people are stupid:

$$(\exists y)(P(y) \land S(y))$$

$$(\exists x) \bigg(I(x) \wedge \big[(\forall y) (I(y) \rightarrow \{x \geq y\}) \big] \bigg)$$

HW Ex. 1 (a) - (b), 2 (a) - (b).

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- $\S 1.2.3$ Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

- 1. variables x_1, x_2, \cdots
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants a_1, a_2, \cdots
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow
- 7. the quantifier: \forall

- 1. variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants a_1, a_2, \cdots
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow
- 7. the quantifier: \forall

- 1. variables x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants a_1, a_2, \cdots ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow
- 7. the quantifier: \forall

- 1. variables x_1, x_2, \cdots ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants a_1, a_2, \cdots ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow
- 7. the quantifier: \forall

- 1. variables x_1, x_2, \cdots ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants a_1, a_2, \dots ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow
- 7. the quantifier: \forall .

- 1. variables χ_1, χ_2, \cdots ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants $a_1, a_2, \dots,$
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow
- 7. the quantifier: \forall

- 1. variables χ_1, χ_2, \cdots ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants a_1, a_2, \cdots ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- **6.** the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow ,
- 7. the quantifier: \forall

- 1. variables χ_1, χ_2, \cdots ,
- 2. some (possibly none) of the individual constants a_1, a_2, \cdots ,
- 3. some (possibly none) of the predicate letters A_i^n ,
- 4. some (possibly none) of the function letters f_i^n ,
- 5. the punctuation symbols: "(", ")", ",",
- 6. the connectives: \neg and \rightarrow ,
- 7. the quantifier: \forall .

ightharpoonup Recall that $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$

 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$

► Existential quantifier ∃ can be represented using universal quantifier ∀ because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

- \triangleright A_i^n is the *i*-th predicate that takes *n* arguments.
 - f_i^n is the *i*-th function that takes *n* arguments.

▶ Recall that $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$

 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$

► Existential quantifier ∃ can be represented using universal quantifier because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

 \triangleright A_i^n is the *i*-th predicate that takes *n* arguments

 f_i^n is the *i*-th function that takes *n* arguments.

▶ Recall that $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$

 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$

► Existential quantifier ∃ can be represented using universal quantifier ∀ because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

- \triangleright A_i^n is the *i*-th predicate that takes *n* arguments.
 - f_i^n is the *i*-th function that takes n arguments.

▶ Recall that $\{\neg, \rightarrow\}$ is an adequate set of connectives because

$$A \wedge B \Leftrightarrow \neg (A \rightarrow \neg B)$$

 $A \vee B \Leftrightarrow (\neg A) \rightarrow \neg B$

 \blacktriangleright Existential quantifier \exists can be represented using universal quantifier \forall because

$$(\exists x)A(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg(\forall x)(\neg A(x))$$

 \triangleright A_i^n is the *i*-th predicate that takes n arguments.

 f_i^n is the *i*-th function that takes *n* arguments.

E.g. Let \mathcal{L} be the language for the arithmetic of natural numbers. Let

symbols	stands for
a_1	0
A_1^2	=
f_1^2	+
f_2^2	×

Then, the following statement:

For any integer x there exists an integer y such that x + y = xy

can be stated as

$$(\forall x)(\exists y) A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x,y), f_2^2(x,y)\right)$$

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms
- (ii) If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term.
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms, then $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is a term.
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If $t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n}$ are terms, then $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n})$ is a term.
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

- (i) Variables and individual constants are terms.
- (ii) If $t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n}$ are terms, then $f_i^n(t_1, \dots, \overline{t_n})$ is a term.
- (iii) All possible terms are generated as in (i) and (ii).

Def. If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms in \mathcal{L} , then $A_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is an atomic formula in \mathcal{L} .

E.g. $A_1^2(x_3, x_5)$ and $A_2^3(x_2, f_2^1(x_4), f_3^2(x_1, x_4, x_5))$ are atomic formulas

Def. If t_1, \dots, t_n are terms in \mathcal{L} , then $A_i^n(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ is an atomic formula in \mathcal{L} .

E.g. $A_1^2(x_3, x_5)$ and $A_2^3(x_2, f_2^1(x_4), f_3^2(x_1, x_4, x_5))$ are atomic formulas.

- (i) Every atomic formula is a Wf.
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of \mathcal{L} , so are $\neg A$, $A \rightarrow B$ and $(\forall x)A$
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of \mathcal{L} is generated as in (i) and (ii).

E.g. Here are some wfs.:

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$.

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of \mathcal{L} , so are $\neg A$, $A \rightarrow B$ and $(\forall x)A$
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of \mathcal{L} is generated as in (i) and (ii).

E.g. Here are some wfs.:

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$.

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of \mathcal{L} , so are $\neg A$, $A \rightarrow B$ and $(\forall x)A$.
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of \mathcal{L} is generated as in (i) and (ii).

E.g. Here are some wfs.

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1) \quad ext{and} \quad \forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1)
ightarrow
eg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \right).$$

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of \mathcal{L} , so are $\neg A$, $A \rightarrow B$ and $(\forall x)A$.
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of \mathcal{L} is generated as in (i) and (ii).

E.g. Here are some *Wfs*.

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$

- (i) Every atomic formula is a wf...
- (ii) If A and B are wfs. of \mathcal{L} , so are $\neg A$, $A \rightarrow B$ and $(\forall x)A$.
- (iii) The set of all wfs. of \mathcal{L} is generated as in (i) and (ii).

E.g. Here are some wfs.:

$$\forall x_1 A_1^1(x_1)$$
 and $\forall x_1 \left(A_2^1(x_1) \rightarrow \neg \forall x_2 A_1^2(x_1, x_2)\right)$.

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be **bound** if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called **free**.

E.g.

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be **bound** if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called **free**.

E.g

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound		
x_1 scope		
x_2 free or bound		
x_2 scope		

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall x_1)(orall x_2)(\mathcal{A}_1^2(x_1,x_2) ightarrow \mathcal{A}_1^1(x_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	
x_1 scope		
x_2 free or bound		
x_2 scope		

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	
x_1 scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
x_2 free or bound		
x_2 scope		

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger Wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	
x_1 scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
x_2 free or bound	free	
x_2 scope		

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	
x_1 scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
x_2 free or bound	free	
x_2 scope	_	

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	bound
x_1 scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	
x_2 free or bound	free	
x_2 scope	_	

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	bound
x_1 scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_2 free or bound	free	
x_2 scope	_	

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	bound
x_1 scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_2 free or bound	free	bound
x_2 scope	_	

More generally, when $((\forall x_i)A)$ occurs as a subformula in a bigger wf. B, we say that the scope of this quantifier in B is A.

An occurrence of the variable x_i in the wf. is said to to be bound if it occurs within the scope of a $(\forall x_i)$ in the wf. or it is the x_i in a $(\forall x_i)$. Otherwise, it is called *free*.

E.g.

wfs.	$(\forall x_1) A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_1)(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{x}_1, extbf{x}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{x}_2))$
x_1 free or bound	bound	bound
x_1 scope	$A_1^1(x_2)$	$(orall extbf{x}_2)(extbf{ extit{A}}_1^2(extbf{ extit{x}}_1, extbf{ extit{x}}_2) ightarrow extbf{ extit{A}}_1^1(extbf{ extit{x}}_2))$
x_2 free or bound	$_{ m free}$	bound
x_2 scope	_	$ extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{A}}}_1^2(extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{X}}}_1, extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{X}}}_2) ightarrow m{\mathcal{A}}_1^1(extcolor{black}{m{\mathcal{X}}}_2)$

HW Ex. 7.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - § 1.1.2 Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

- (1) A non-empty set D_l the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements: $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots\}$;
- (3) A collection of functions on D_i : $\{\vec{r}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$;
- (4) A collection of relations on D_I : $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$.

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation.

- (1) A non-empty set D_l the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements: $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots\}$
- (3) A collection of functions on D_I : $\{\vec{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$
- (4) A collection of relations on D_I : $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$

Remark The meaning of a *wf.* is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular *wf.* depends on the interpretation

- (1) A non-empty set D_l the domain of the interpretation I;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements: $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \cdots\}$;
- (3) A collection of functions on D_l : $\{\vec{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$;
- (4) A collection of relations on D_l : $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$

Remark The meaning of a *wf.* is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular *wf.* depends on the interpretation

- (1) A non-empty set D_l the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements: $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \cdots\}$;
- (3) A collection of functions on D_i : $\{\bar{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$;
- (4) A collection of relations on D_l : $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$.

Remark The meaning of a wt. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wt. depends on the interpretation.

- (1) A non-empty set D_l the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements: $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \cdots\}$;
- (3) A collection of functions on D_i : $\{\overline{t}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$;
- (4) A collection of relations on D_i : $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$.

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation.

- (1) A non-empty set D_l the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements: $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \cdots\}$;
- (3) A collection of functions on D_l : $\{\overline{f}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$;
- (4) A collection of relations on D_i : $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$.

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation

- (1) A non-empty set D_l the domain of the interpretation l;
- (2) A collection of distinguished elements: $\{\overline{a}_1, \overline{a}_2, \dots\}$;
- (3) A collection of functions on D_i : $\{\overline{t}_i^n: i, n \ge 1\}$;
- (4) A collection of relations on D_i : $\{\overline{A}_i^n: i, n \geq 1\}$.

Remark The meaning of a wf. is given by the interpretation.

Truth or falsity of a particular wf. depends on the interpretation.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$$

$$\overline{a}_1 = 0$$

$$\overline{f}_1^2(x, y) = x + y, \quad \overline{f}_2^2(x, y) = xy$$

$$\overline{A}_1^2(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_N.$$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that $x + z \neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x + z = y. \square

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$$
 $\overline{a}_1 = 0$
 $\overline{f}_1^2(x, y) = x + y, \quad \overline{f}_2^2(x, y) = xy$
 $\overline{A}_1^2(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_N.$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that $x+z\neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x + z = y. \square

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}
 \bar{a}_1 = 0
 \bar{f}_1^2(x, y) = x + y, \quad \bar{f}_2^2(x, y) = xy
 \bar{A}_1^2(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_N.$$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that $x+z\neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x+z=y. \square

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N = \{0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$$

$$\overline{a}_1 = 0$$

$$\overline{f}_1^2(x, y) = x + y, \quad \overline{f}_2^2(x, y) = xy$$

$$\overline{A}_1^2(x, y) : \quad x = y, \quad x, y \in D_N.$$

Sol. For all integers x and y, it is not true that all integer z satisfies that $x+z\neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all integers x and y, there is some integer z such that x+z=y. \square

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$

$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy,\quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$

$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y):\quad x=y,\quad x,y\in \mathcal{D}_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that $xz \neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$\mathcal{D}_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$

$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy,\quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$

$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y):\quad x=y,\quad x,y\in \mathcal{D}_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that $xz \neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$

$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy,\quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$

$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y):\quad x=y,\quad x,y\in D_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that $xz \neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \neg \forall x_3 \left(\neg A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_3), x_2 \right) \right)$$

with

$$D_N=$$
 the set of positive rational nubmers
$$\overline{a}_1=1$$

$$\overline{f}_1^2(x,y)=xy,\quad \overline{f}_2^2(x,y)=x/y$$

$$\overline{A}_1^2(x,y):\quad x=y,\quad x,y\in D_N.$$

Sol. For all positive rationals x and y, it is not true that all positive rational z satisfies that $xz \neq y$.

Or equivalently

For all positive rationals x and y, there is some positive rational z such that xz = y.

HW Ex. 11 on p. 59.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - $\S 1.1.2$ Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Def. For any two wfs. A and B in \mathcal{L} ,

 $A \Rightarrow B$ if and only if $A \rightarrow B$ is logically valid.

Suppose that x_i occurs free in both $A(x_i)$ and $B(x_i)$. Then

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \lor \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \lor B(x_i) \right)$$

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \land \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \land B(x_i) \right)$$

$$\forall x_i \bigg(A(x_i) \to B(x_i) \bigg) \Rightarrow \forall x_i A(x_i) \to \forall x_i B(x_i)$$
$$\exists x_i \bigg(A(x_i) \to B(x_i) \bigg) \Rightarrow \exists x_i A(x_i) \to \exists x_i B(x_i)$$

Suppose that x_i occurs free in both $A(x_i)$ and $B(x_i)$. Then

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \lor \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \lor B(x_i) \right)$$
$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \land \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \land B(x_i) \right)$$

$$\forall x_i \bigg(A(x_i) \to B(x_i) \bigg) \Rightarrow \forall x_i A(x_i) \to \forall x_i B(x_i)$$

 $\exists x_i \bigg(A(x_i) \to B(x_i) \bigg) \Rightarrow \exists x_i A(x_i) \to \exists x_i B(x_i)$

Suppose that x_i occurs free in both $A(x_i)$ and $B(x_i)$. Then

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \lor \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \lor B(x_i) \right)$$
$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \land \forall x_i B(x_i) \Rightarrow \forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \land B(x_i) \right)$$

$$\forall x_i \bigg(A(x_i) \to B(x_i) \bigg) \Rightarrow \forall x_i A(x_i) \to \forall x_i B(x_i)$$
$$\exists x_i \bigg(A(x_i) \to B(x_i) \bigg) \Rightarrow \exists x_i A(x_i) \to \exists x_i B(x_i)$$

Thm. Increasing, decreasing and switching quantifiers

$$\forall x \forall y \ A(x, y) \Rightarrow \forall x \ A(x, x)$$
$$\exists x \ A(x, x) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y \ A(x, y)$$
$$\exists x \forall y \ A(x, y) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x \ A(x, y)$$

E.g. (the last one) Uniform continuity \Rightarrow continuity.

Thm. Increasing, decreasing and switching quantifiers

$$\forall x \forall y \ A(x,y) \Rightarrow \forall x \ A(x,x)$$
$$\exists x \ A(x,x) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y \ A(x,y)$$
$$\exists x \forall y \ A(x,y) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x \ A(x,y)$$

E.g. (the last one) Uniform continuity \Rightarrow continuity.

Thm. Increasing, decreasing and switching quantifiers

$$\forall x \forall y \ A(x,y) \Rightarrow \forall x \ A(x,x)$$
$$\exists x \ A(x,x) \Rightarrow \exists x \exists y \ A(x,y)$$
$$\exists x \forall y \ A(x,y) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x \ A(x,y)$$

E.g. (the last one) Uniform continuity \Rightarrow continuity.

Def. Let A and B be two wfs.. We say A and B are provably equivalent if $A \leftrightarrow B$ is logically valid,

which is denoted as $A \Leftrightarrow B$

That is.

 $A \Leftrightarrow B$ if and only if $A \leftrightarrow B$ is logically valid

Def. Let A and B be two wfs.. We say A and B are provably equivalent if $A \leftrightarrow B$ is logically valid,

which is denoted as $A \Leftrightarrow B$.

That is.

 $A \Leftrightarrow B$ if and only if $A \leftrightarrow B$ is logically valid

Def. Let A and B be two wfs. We say A and B are provably equivalent if

 $A \leftrightarrow B$ is logically valid,

which is denoted as $\underline{A} \Leftrightarrow \underline{B}$.

That is,

 $A \Leftrightarrow B$ if and only if $A \leftrightarrow B$ is logically valid.

Thm. Negation of quantifiers

$$\neg \forall x \ A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists x \neg A$$
$$\neg \exists x \ A \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x \neg A$$

E.g

$$\neg \forall x \exists y \forall z \ F(x, y, z) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists x \forall y \exists z \ \neg F(x, y, z)$$

Thm. Negation of quantifiers

$$\neg \forall x \ A \Leftrightarrow \exists x \neg A$$
$$\neg \exists x \ A \Leftrightarrow \forall x \neg A$$

E.g.

$$\neg \forall x \exists y \forall z \ F(x, y, z) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists x \forall y \exists z \ \neg F(x, y, z)$$

Let A and B be two *wfs*. Suppose x_i occurs free in $A(x_i)$ but not in B. Then

$$\forall x_{i} (A(x_{i}) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_{i} A(x_{i})) \lor B$$

$$\forall x_{i} (A(x_{i}) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_{i} A(x_{i})) \land B$$

$$\forall x_{i} \left(A(x_{i}) \to B\right) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_{i} A(x_{i})) \to B$$

$$\forall x_{i} \left(B \to A(x_{i})\right) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_{i} A(x_{i})$$

and

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$

$$\exists x_i \left(A(x_i) \to B\right) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$

$$\exists x_i \left(B \to A(x_i)\right) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

70

Let A and B be two wfs. Suppose x_i occurs free in $A(x_i)$ but not in B.

Then

$$\forall x_{i} (A(x_{i}) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_{i} A(x_{i})) \lor B$$

$$\forall x_{i} (A(x_{i}) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_{i} A(x_{i})) \land B$$

$$\forall x_{i} \left(A(x_{i}) \to B\right) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_{i} A(x_{i})) \to B$$

$$\forall x_{i} \left(B \to A(x_{i})\right) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_{i} A(x_{i})$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$

$$\exists x_i \Big(A(x_i) \to B\Big) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$

$$\exists x_i \Big(B \to A(x_i)\Big) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

Let A and B be two wfs. Suppose x_i occurs free in $A(x_i)$ but not in B. Then

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$

$$\forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \to B\right) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$

$$\forall x_i \left(B \to A(x_i)\right) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_i A(x_i)$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$

$$\exists x_i \left(A(x_i) \to B\right) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$

$$\exists x_i \left(B \to A(x_i)\right) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

Let A and B be two wfs. Suppose x_i occurs free in $A(x_i)$ but not in B. Then

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$

$$\forall x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$

$$\forall x_i \left(A(x_i) \to B\right) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$

$$\forall x_i \left(B \to A(x_i)\right) \Leftrightarrow B \to \forall x_i A(x_i)$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \lor B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \lor B$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \land B) \Leftrightarrow (\exists x_i A(x_i)) \land B$$

$$\exists x_i (A(x_i) \to B) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x_i A(x_i)) \to B$$

$$\exists x_i (B \to A(x_i)) \Leftrightarrow B \to \exists x_i A(x_i)$$

E.g. Show that

$$\forall x \forall y \Big(F(x) \to G(y) \Big) \iff \exists x F(x) \to \forall y G(y).$$

$$\forall x \forall y \Big(F(x) \to G(y) \Big) \iff \forall x \Big(F(x) \to \forall y G(y) \Big)$$
 $\iff \Big(\exists x F(x) \to \forall y G(y) \Big)$

E.g. Show that

$$\forall x \forall y \bigg(F(x) \to G(y) \bigg) \iff \exists x F(x) \to \forall y G(y).$$

Proof

$$\forall x \forall y \Big(F(x) \to G(y) \Big) \iff \forall x \Big(F(x) \to \forall y G(y) \Big)$$

$$\iff \Big(\exists x F(x) \to \forall y G(y) \Big)$$

$$\forall x \bigg(A(x) \land B(x) \bigg) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \forall x A(x) \land \forall x B(x)$$
$$\exists x \bigg(A(x) \lor B(x) \bigg) \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \exists x A(x) \lor \exists x B(x)$$

Thm. Substitution

If x_i occurs free in $A(x_i)$ and x_j is a variable which does not occur, free or bound, in $A(x_i)$, then

$$\forall x_i \ A(x_i) \Leftrightarrow \forall x_j \ A(x_j).$$

Thm. Substitution

If x_i occurs free in $A(x_i)$ and x_j is a variable which does not occur, free or bound, in $A(x_i)$, then

$$\forall x_i A(x_i) \Leftrightarrow \forall x_j A(x_j).$$

HW Show that

$$\forall x \forall y \bigg(F(x) \leftrightarrow G(y) \bigg) \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \forall x F(x) \leftrightarrow \forall x G(x).$$

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - $\S 1.1.2$ Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

Recall that the normal forms for the statement calculus are

Disjunctive normal form: $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$

Conjunctive normal form: $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} \vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$

What about the predicate calculus?

Recall that the normal forms for the statement calculus are

Disjunctive normal form: $\bigvee_{i=1}^{m} \bigwedge_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$

Conjunctive normal form: $\wedge_{i=1}^{m} \vee_{j=1}^{n} O_{ij}$

What about the predicate calculus?

Def. A wf. of \mathcal{L} is said to be in prenex form if it is of the form

$$Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \cdots Q_k x_k B$$

where Q_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ is either \forall or \exists , and B is a wf. with no quantifiers.

E.g. Find the prenex form for

$$\left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_2 A_1^1(x_2)\right) \to \neg \forall x_1 \forall x_2 A_2^2(x_1, x_2) \tag{*}$$

Sol

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg \forall x_4 \forall x_5 A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x_1 \exists x_3 \left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \left(\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \left[\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right]$$

Remark The prenex form is not unique

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{x_4} \exists \mathbf{x_5} \forall \mathbf{x_1} \forall \mathbf{x_3} \bigg(\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \bigg)$$

E.g. Find the prenex form for

$$\left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_2 A_1^1(x_2)\right) \to \neg \forall x_1 \forall x_2 A_2^2(x_1, x_2) \tag{\star}$$

Sol.

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg \forall x_4 \forall x_5 A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x_1 \exists x_3 \left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \left(\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \left[\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right]$$

Remark The prenex form is not unique

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{x}_4 \exists \mathbf{x}_5 \forall \mathbf{x}_1 \forall \mathbf{x}_3 \bigg(\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \bigg)$$

07

E.g. Find the prenex form for

$$\left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_2 A_1^1(x_2)\right) \to \neg \forall x_1 \forall x_2 A_2^2(x_1, x_2) \tag{*}$$

Sol.

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg \forall x_4 \forall x_5 A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \left(\forall x_1 A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to \exists x_3 A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \exists x_1 \exists x_3 \left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \left(\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall x_1 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \exists x_5 \left[\left(A_1^2(x_1, x_2) \to A_1^1(x_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(x_4, x_5) \right]$$

Remark The prenex form is not unique.

$$(\star) \Leftrightarrow \exists \mathbf{x}_4 \exists \mathbf{x}_5 \forall \mathbf{x}_1 \forall \mathbf{x}_3 \bigg(\left(A_1^2(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2) \to A_1^1(\mathbf{x}_3) \right) \to \neg A_2^2(\mathbf{x}_4, \mathbf{x}_5) \bigg).$$

07

Prenex form gives a way to measure the complexity of wfs.

E.g. Which one of the following two wfs. is more complicated?

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 A_1^2 (f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4))$$

$$\forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^2 \ (f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4))$$

E.g.' Uniform continuity implies continuity: $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$.

Prenex form gives a way to measure the complexity of wfs.

E.g. Which one of the following two wfs. is more complicated?

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4)\right)$$

$$\forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 A_1^2 (f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4))$$

E.g.' Uniform continuity implies continuity: $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$

Prenex form gives a way to measure the complexity of wfs.

E.g. Which one of the following two wfs. is more complicated?

$$\forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 A_1^2 \left(f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4) \right)$$

$$\forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 A_1^2 (f_1^2(x_1, x_2), f_1^2(x_3, x_4))$$

E.g.' Uniform continuity implies continuity: $\exists x \forall y A(x, y) \Rightarrow \forall y \exists x A(x, y)$.

Def. Let $n \ge 1$.

A wf. in prenex form is a Π_n -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A wt in prenex form is a Σ_n -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

E.g

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi \\ \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi \\ \exists x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Sigma \end{array}$$

Def. Let $n \ge 1$.

A *wf.* in prenex form is a Π_n -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A wf in prenex form is a Σ_n -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

E.g

$$\forall X_1 \forall X_2 \forall X_3 \forall X_4 \ A_1^4(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \qquad \Pi$$

$$\forall X_1 \exists X_2 \forall X_3 \exists X_4 \ A_1^4(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \qquad \Pi$$

$$\exists X_1 \forall X_2 \forall X_3 \exists X_4 \ A_1^4(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) \qquad \Sigma$$

Def. Let $n \geq 1$.

A *wf.* in prenex form is a Π_n -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A wf in prenex form is a Σ_n -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

E.g

Def. Let $n \geq 1$.

A *wf.* in prenex form is a Π_n -form if it starts with a universal quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

A *wf.* in prenex form is a Σ_n -form if it starts with an existential quantifier and has n-1 alternations of quantifiers.

E.g.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc} \forall x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \forall x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi_1 \\ \forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Pi_4 \\ \exists x_1 \forall x_2 \forall x_3 \exists x_4 \ A_1^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) & \Sigma_3 \end{array}$$

HW Ex. 8 (a) – (b) on p. 92.

Chapter 1. Mathematical Logics

- § 1.1 Statement calculus
 - § 1.1.1 Statements and connectives
 - $\S 1.1.2$ Truth functions and truth tables
 - § 1.1.3 Rules for manipulation and substitution
 - § 1.1.4 Normal forms
 - § 1.1.5 Adequate sets of connectives
 - § 1.1.6 Arguments and validity
 - § 1.1.7 Some proof techniques

§ 1.2 Predicate calculus

- § 1.2.1 Predicates and quantifiers
- § 1.2.2 First order languages
- § 1.2.3 Interpretations
- § 1.2.4 Operations on predicate calculus
- § 1.2.5 Prenex form
- § 1.2.6 One example convergence in probability

 X_n converges to X in probability if for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$ as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon' \right) \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier $\forall \epsilon$ to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

1

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P} \left(|X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is ∏₂-form

 X_n converges to X in probability if for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$ as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon') \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier $\forall \epsilon$ to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P} \left(|X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is ∏3-form

 X_n converges to X in probability if for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$ as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon' \right) \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier $\forall \epsilon$ to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P} \left(|X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is Π_3 -form

 X_n converges to X in probability if for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$ as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists \mathbf{N} \forall \mathbf{n} \left[(\epsilon' > 0) \land (\mathbf{N} \ge 1) \land (\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{N}) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon' \right) \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier $\forall \epsilon$ to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P} \left(|X_n - X| > \epsilon \right) \le \epsilon' \right) \right]$$

which is ∏3-form

 X_n converges to X in probability if for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$ as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists \mathbf{N} \forall \mathbf{n} \left[(\epsilon' > 0) \land (\mathbf{N} \ge 1) \land (\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon') \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier $\forall \epsilon$ to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists \textit{N} \forall \textit{n} \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (\textit{N} \geq 1) \land (\textit{n} \geq \textit{N}) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P} \left(|\textit{X}_{\textit{n}} - \textit{X}| > \epsilon \right) \leq \epsilon' \right) \right],$$

which is ∏₂-form

 X_n converges to X in probability if for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \to 0$$
, as $n \to \infty$.

Translate this definition into a wf. in the prenex form.

Sol. We first translate the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\cdots) = 0$ as follows:

$$\forall \epsilon' \exists \mathbf{N} \forall \mathbf{n} \left[(\epsilon' > 0) \land (\mathbf{N} \ge 1) \land (\mathbf{n} \ge \mathbf{N}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(\cdots) \le \epsilon') \right].$$

Then put back the quantifier $\forall \epsilon$ to see that

$$X_n \to X$$
 in probability

$$\forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists \textit{N} \forall \textit{n} \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (\textit{N} \geq 1) \land (\textit{n} \geq \textit{N}) \rightarrow \left(\mathbb{P} \left(|\textit{X}_{\textit{n}} - \textit{X}| > \epsilon \right) \leq \epsilon' \right) \right],$$

which is Π_3 -form.

Problem How to show that X_n does not converge to X in probability?

Sol. We only need to make the negation of the above wf.

$$\neg \forall \epsilon \forall \epsilon' \exists N \forall n \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \rightarrow (\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) \le \emptyset) \right]$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \neg \left[\neg \left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \right\} \lor (\mathbb{P}(\dots) \le \epsilon') \right]$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \left[\left\{ (\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \right\} \land \neg (\mathbb{P}(\dots) \le \epsilon') \right]$$

$$\exists \epsilon \exists \epsilon' \forall N \exists n \left[(\epsilon > 0) \land (\epsilon' > 0) \land (N \ge 1) \land (n \ge N) \land (\mathbb{P}(|X_n - X| > \epsilon) > \epsilon') \right]$$

93

Problem How to show that X_n does not converge to X in probability?

Sol. We only need to make the negation of the above wf.:

$$\neg\forall\epsilon\forall\epsilon'\exists N\forall n\left[(\epsilon>0)\land(\epsilon'>0)\land(N\geq1)\land(n\geq N)\rightarrow\left(\mathbb{P}\left(|X_n-X|>\epsilon\right)\leq\epsilon'\right)\right]$$

$$\exists\epsilon\exists\epsilon'\forall N\exists n\neg\left[\neg\left\{(\epsilon>0)\land(\epsilon'>0)\land(N\geq1)\land(n\geq N)\right\}\lor\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\cdots\right)\leq\epsilon'\right)\right]$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\exists\epsilon\exists\epsilon'\forall N\exists n\left[\left\{(\epsilon>0)\land(\epsilon'>0)\land(N\geq1)\land(n\geq N)\right\}\land\neg\left(\mathbb{P}\left(\cdots\right)\leq\epsilon'\right)\right]$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$\exists\epsilon\exists\epsilon'\forall N\exists n\left[\left\{(\epsilon>0)\land(\epsilon'>0)\land(N\geq1)\land(n\geq N)\right\}\land\neg\left(\mathbb{P}\left(|X_n-X|>\epsilon\right)>\epsilon'\right)\right]$$

93

HW Suppose that Y_1, \dots, Y_n is a random sample from the exponential pdf, $f_Y(y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \ \lambda > 0, y > 0.$

Show that $\Lambda_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ does not converges to $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda)$ in probability.

(i.e., Λ is not a consistent estimator for λ .)

HW Suppose that Y_1, \dots, Y_n is a random sample from the exponential pdf, $f_Y(y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \ \lambda > 0, y > 0.$ Show that $\Lambda_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ does not converges to $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda)$ in probability.

(i.e., Λ is not a consistent estimator for λ .)

HW Suppose that Y_1, \dots, Y_n is a random sample from the exponential pdf, $f_Y(y) = \lambda e^{-\lambda y}, \ \lambda > 0, y > 0.$

Show that $\Lambda_n := \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ does not converges to $\mathbb{E}(\Lambda)$ in probability.

(i.e., Λ is not a consistent estimator for λ .)