

# Financial Mathematics

MATH 5870/6870<sup>1</sup>  
Fall 2021

Le Chen

lzc0090@auburn.edu

Last updated on  
August 15, 2021

Auburn University  
Auburn AL

---

<sup>1</sup>Based on Robert L. McDonald's *Derivatives Markets*, 3rd Ed, Pearson, 2013.

## Chapter 9. Parity and other option relationships

# Chapter 9. Parity and other option relationships

## § 9.1 Put-call parity

## § 9.2 Generalized parity and exchange options

## § 9.3 Comparing options with respect to style, maturity, and strike

## § 9.4 Problems

# Chapter 9. Parity and other option relationships

## § 9.1 Put-call parity

## § 9.2 Generalized parity and exchange options

## § 9.3 Comparing options with respect to style, maturity, and strike

## § 9.4 Problems

## European options

$$\begin{aligned}C(K, T) - P(K, T) &= \text{PV}_{0,T}(F_{0,T} - K) \\ &= e^{-rT}(F_{0,T} - K)\end{aligned}$$

Buying a call and selling a put  
with the strike both equal to the forward price (i.e.,  $K = F_{0,T}$ )  
creates a synthetic forward contract  
and hence must have a zero price.

---

Parity generally fails for American options!

## European options

$$\begin{aligned}C(K, T) - P(K, T) &= PV_{0,T}(F_{0,T} - K) \\ &= e^{-rT}(F_{0,T} - K)\end{aligned}$$

Buying a call and selling a put  
with the strike both equal to the forward price (i.e.,  $K = F_{0,T}$ )  
creates a synthetic forward contract  
and hence must have a zero price.

---

Parity generally fails for American options!

## European options

$$\begin{aligned}C(K, T) - P(K, T) &= \text{PV}_{0,T}(F_{0,T} - K) \\ &= e^{-rT}(F_{0,T} - K)\end{aligned}$$

Buying a call and selling a put  
with the strike both equal to the forward price (i.e.,  $K = F_{0,T}$ )  
creates a synthetic forward contract  
and hence must have a zero price.

---

Parity generally fails for American options!

## Parity for stocks

$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT} K$$



**Example 9.1-1** Suppose that the price of a non-dividend-paying stock is \$40, the continuously compounded interest rate is 8%, and options have 3 months to expiration. If a 40-strike European call sells for \$2.78, find the price for a 40-strike European put sells.

Solution. Let the price for put be  $y$ . Then

$$\$2.78 = y + \$40 - \$40e^{-0.08 \times 0.25}$$

Hence,

$$y = \$1.99.$$



**Example 9.1-1** Suppose that the price of a non-dividend-paying stock is \$40, the continuously compounded interest rate is 8%, and options have 3 months to expiration. If a 40-strike European call sells for \$2.78, find the price for a 40-strike European put sells.

**Solution.** Let the price for put be  $y$ . Then

$$\$2.78 = y + \$40 - \$40e^{-0.08 \times 0.25}$$

Hence,

$$y = \$1.99.$$



Why is a call more expensive than a put?

---

When  $S_0 = K$  and  $\text{Div} = 0$ , then

$$C(K, T) - P(K, T) = K \left( 1 - e^{-rT} \right)$$

The difference of a call and put is  
the time value of money.

Why is a call more expensive than a put?

---

When  $S_0 = K$  and  $\text{Div} = 0$ , then

$$C(K, T) - P(K, T) = K \left( 1 - e^{-rT} \right)$$

The difference of a call and put is  
the time value of money.

Why is a call more expensive than a put?

---

When  $S_0 = K$  and  $\text{Div} = 0$ , then

$$C(K, T) - P(K, T) = K \left( 1 - e^{-rT} \right)$$

The difference of a call and put is  
the **time value of money**.

**Example 9.1-2** Make the same assumptions as in Example 9.1-1, except suppose that the stock pays a \$5 dividend just before expiration. If the price of the European call is \$0.74, what would be the price of the European put?

Solution. Let the price for put be  $y$ . Then

$$\$0.74 = y + (\$40 - \$5e^{-0.08 \times 0.25}) - \$40e^{-0.08 \times 0.25}$$

Hence,

$$y = \$4.85.$$



**Example 9.1-2** Make the same assumptions as in Example 9.1-1, except suppose that the stock pays a \$5 dividend just before expiration. If the price of the European call is \$0.74, what would be the price of the European put?

**Solution.** Let the price for put be  $y$ . Then

$$\$0.74 = y + (\$40 - \$5e^{-0.08 \times 0.25}) - \$40e^{-0.08 \times 0.25}$$

Hence,

$$y = \$4.85.$$



## Synthetic securities

$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT}K$$

---

► Synthetic stock

$$S_0 = C(K, T) - P(K, T) + \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div}) + e^{-rT}K$$



$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT} K$$


---

► Synthetic Treasury bill (T-bill)

$$\underbrace{S_0 - C(K, T) + P(K, T)}_{\text{a conversion}} = \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div}) + e^{-rT} K$$

Motivation:

A hedged position that has no risk but requires investment.

T-bills are taxed differently than stocks.

$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT} K$$


---

- Synthetic Treasury bill (T-bill)

$$\underbrace{S_0 - C(K, T) + P(K, T)}_{\text{a conversion}} = \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div}) + e^{-rT} K$$

Motivation:

A hedged position that has no risk but requires investment.

T-bills are taxed differently than stocks.

$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT} K$$


---

► Synthetic Treasury bill (T-bill)

$$\underbrace{S_0 - C(K, T) + P(K, T)}_{\text{a conversion}} = \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div}) + e^{-rT} K$$

Motivation:

A hedged position that has no risk but requires investment.

T-bills are taxed differently than stocks.

$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT} K$$


---

► Synthetic Treasury bill (T-bill)

$$\underbrace{S_0 - C(K, T) + P(K, T)}_{\text{a conversion}} = \text{PV}_{0,T}(\text{Div}) + e^{-rT} K$$

Motivation:

A hedged position that has no risk but requires investment.

T-bills are taxed differently than stocks.

## Synthetic securities

$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - PV_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT} K$$

---

### ► Synthetic options

$$C(K, T) = P(K, T) + (S_0 - PV_{0,T}(\text{Div})) - e^{-rT} K$$

$$P(K, T) = C(K, T) - (S_0 - PV_{0,T}(\text{Div})) + e^{-rT} K$$