

# Financial Mathematics

MATH 5870/6870<sup>1</sup>  
Fall 2021

Le Chen

lzc0090@auburn.edu

Last updated on  
August 5, 2021

Auburn University  
Auburn AL

---

<sup>1</sup>Based on Robert L. McDonald's *Derivatives Markets*, 3rd Ed, Pearson, 2013.

## Chapter 2. An Introduction to Forwards and Options

# Chapter 2. An Introduction to Forwards and Options

§ 2.1 Forward contracts

§ 2.2 Call options

§ 2.3 Put options

§ 2.4 Options are insurance

§ 2.5 Summary of forward and option positions

§ 2.6 Problems

§ 2.1 Forward contracts

§ 2.2 Call options

§ 2.3 Put options

§ 2.4 Options are insurance

§ 2.5 Summary of forward and option positions

§ 2.6 Problems

# Chapter 2. An Introduction to Forwards and Options

§ 2.1 Forward contracts

§ 2.2 Call options

§ 2.3 Put options

§ 2.4 Options are insurance

§ 2.5 Summary of forward and option positions

§ 2.6 Problems

**Definition 2.1-1** **Forward contract** is a binding agreement (obligation) to buy or sell an underlying asset in the future, at a price set today. The time at which the contract settles is called the **expiration date**. A forward contract specifies

- ▶ The features and quantity of the asset to be delivered.
- ▶ The delivery logistics, such as time, date, and place.
- ▶ The price the buyer will pay at the time of delivery.

**Remark 2.1-1**

1. Futures contracts are the same as forwards in principle except for some institutional and pricing differences. We will study future contracts in Chapter 5.
2. A forward contract requires no initial payment or premium.

**Definition 2.1-1** **Forward contract** is a binding agreement (obligation) to buy or sell an underlying asset in the future, at a price set today. The time at which the contract settles is called the **expiration date**. A forward contract specifies

- ▶ The features and quantity of the asset to be delivered.
- ▶ The delivery logistics, such as time, date, and place.
- ▶ The price the buyer will pay at the time of delivery.

**Remark 2.1-1**

1. Futures contracts are the same as forwards in principle except for some institutional and pricing differences. We will study future contracts in Chapter 5.
2. A forward contract requires no initial payment or premium.

**Definition 2.1-1** **Forward contract** is a binding agreement (obligation) to buy or sell an underlying asset in the future, at a price set today. The time at which the contract settles is called the **expiration date**. A forward contract specifies

- ▶ The features and quantity of the asset to be delivered.
- ▶ The delivery logistics, such as time, date, and place.
- ▶ The price the buyer will pay at the time of delivery.

**Remark 2.1-1**

1. Futures contracts are the same as forwards in principle except for some institutional and pricing differences. We will study future contracts in Chapter 5.
2. A forward contract requires no initial payment or premium.



**Definition 2.1-1** **Forward contract** is a binding agreement (obligation) to buy or sell an underlying asset in the future, at a price set today. The time at which the contract settles is called the **expiration date**. A forward contract specifies

- ▶ The features and quantity of the asset to be delivered.
- ▶ The delivery logistics, such as time, date, and place.
- ▶ The price the buyer will pay at the time of delivery.

**Remark 2.1-1**

1. **Futures contracts** are the same as forwards in principle except for some institutional and pricing differences. We will study future contracts in Chapter 5.
2. A forward contract requires no initial payment or premium.

**Definition 2.1-1** **Forward contract** is a binding agreement (obligation) to buy or sell an underlying asset in the future, at a price set today. The time at which the contract settles is called the **expiration date**. A forward contract specifies

- ▶ The features and quantity of the asset to be delivered.
- ▶ The delivery logistics, such as time, date, and place.
- ▶ The price the buyer will pay at the time of delivery.

**Remark 2.1-1**

1. **Futures contracts** are the same as forwards in principle except for some institutional and pricing differences. We will study future contracts in Chapter 5.
2. A forward contract requires no initial payment or **premium**.

Long = buy      short = sell

**Definition 2.1-2** Payoff for a contract is its value at expiration. In particular, for forward contracts,

Payoff for Long forward = Spot price at expiration – Forward price

Payoff for Short forward = Forward price – Spot price at expiration

**Remark 2.1-2** Payoff and profit (net payoff) are the same for forward contracts because there is no initial payment – premium.

Example 2.1-1 S&R (special and rich) index:

Today: Spot price = \$1,000

6-month forward price = \$1,020

In six months at contract expiration: Spot price = \$1,050.

What are the payoff of long/short forward?

Solution.

Long position payoff =  $\$1,050 - \$1,020 = \$30$ ,

Short position payoff =  $\$1,020 - \$1,050 = (\$30)$ .



Example 2.1-1 S&R (special and rich) index:

Today: Spot price = \$1,000

6-month forward price = \$1,020

In six months at contract expiration: Spot price = \$1,050.

What are the payoff of long/short forward?


Solution.

Long position payoff =  $\$1,050 - \$1,020 = \$30$ ,

Short position payoff =  $\$1,020 - \$1,050 = (\$30)$ .



# Payoff diagram for a forward price = \$1,020



## Forward versus outright purchase

We will see this through the following example:

**Example 2.1-2** S&R 6-month forward contract with a zero-coupon bond (e.g., Treasury bills). The 6-month interest rate is 2%. Spot price today = \$1,000.

\$1,000 today is worth  $\$1,000 \times 1.02 = \$1,020$  in 6 months.

---

Outright purchase<sup>2</sup> is equivalent to forward + bond<sup>3</sup>

because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Payoff of forward+bond} &= \underbrace{\text{Spot price at expiration} - \$1,020}_{\text{Forward payoff}} + \underbrace{\$1,020}_{\text{Bond payoff}} \\ &= \text{Spot price at expiration} \\ &= \text{Payoff of outright purchase}\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>2</sup>It is also called long physical index.

<sup>3</sup>Invest \$1,000 to bond for 6 month and enter long position of forward contract at the same time.



\$1,000 today is worth  $\$1,000 \times 1.02 = \$1,020$  in 6 months.

---



Long forward is equivalent to borrow-to-buy<sup>4</sup>

because

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Payoff of borrow-to-buy} &= \underbrace{\text{Spot price at expiration}}_{\text{Payoff for outright buy}} - \underbrace{\$1,020}_{\text{Return borrowed money}} \\ &= \text{Payoff of long forward.}\end{aligned}$$

---

<sup>4</sup>Borrow money (\$1,000) to outright buy physical index and at expiration pay back the money (\$1,020).



# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

Example 2.1-3 Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With cash settlement, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with no transfer of the physical asset, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

Example 2.1-3 Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With cash settlement, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with no transfer of the physical asset, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

Example 2.1-3 Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With cash settlement, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with no transfer of the physical asset, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
  - ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
  - ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With cash settlement, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with no transfer of the physical asset, and hence no transaction costs. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
    - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
    - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With cash settlement, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with no transfer of the physical asset, and hence no transaction costs. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With cash settlement, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with no transfer of the physical asset, and hence no transaction costs. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.



# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With cash settlement, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with no transfer of the physical asset, and hence no transaction costs. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With **cash settlement**, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with **no transfer of the physical asset**, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With **cash settlement**, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with **no transfer of the physical asset**, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With **cash settlement**, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with **no transfer of the physical asset**, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With **cash settlement**, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with **no transfer of the physical asset**, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With **cash settlement**, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with **no transfer of the physical asset**, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

Cash settlement in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

# Cash settlement versus physical delivery

## – Type of settlement

- ▶ Cash settlement: less costly and more practical
- ▶ Physical delivery: often avoided due to significant costs

**Example 2.1-3** Consider the S&R index with the forward price \$1,020.

- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index at expiration is \$1,040.
- ▶ The long position has a payoff of \$20.
- ▶ Similarly, the short position loses \$20.

With **cash settlement**, the short simply pays \$20 to the long, with **no transfer of the physical asset**, and hence **no transaction costs**. It is as if the long paid \$1,020, acquired the index worth \$1,040, and then immediately sold it with no transaction costs.

- 
- ▶ Suppose that the S&R index price at expiration had instead been \$960.
  - ▶ The long position would have a payoff of  $-\$60$ .
  - ▶ The short would have a payoff of \$60.

**Cash settlement** in this case entails the long paying \$60 to the short.

## Credit risk

All derivatives contracts have **credit risk**, which is the possibility that the counterparty who owes money fails to make a payment.

- ▶ Major issue for **over-the-counter (OTC) contracts**

Credit check

Credit **protections** such as collateral and bank letter of credit

- ▶ Less severe for exchange-traded contracts

Exchange guarantees transactions, requires collateral



## Credit risk

All derivatives contracts have **credit risk**, which is the possibility that the counterparty who owes money fails to make a payment.

- ▶ Major issue for **over-the-counter (OTC) contracts**

Credit check

Credit **protections** such as collateral and bank letter of credit

- ▶ Less severe for **exchange-traded contracts**

Exchange guarantees transactions, requires collateral