

Financial Mathematics

MATH 5870/6870¹
Fall 2021

Le Chen

lzc0090@auburn.edu

Last updated on
September 2, 2021

Auburn University
Auburn AL

¹Based on Robert L. McDonald's *Derivatives Markets*, 3rd Ed, Pearson, 2013.

Chapter 11. Binomial Option Pricing: Selected Topics

Chapter 11. Binomial Option Pricing: Selected Topics

§ 11.1 Understanding Early Exercise

§ 11.2 Understanding risk-neutral pricing

§ 11.3 The Binomial tree and lognormality

§ 11.4 Problems

Chapter 11. Binomial Option Pricing: Selected Topics

§ 11.1 Understanding Early Exercise

§ 11.2 Understanding risk-neutral pricing

§ 11.3 The Binomial tree and lognormality

§ 11.4 Problems

Risk-Neutral Probability

Recall the binomial option pricing formula:

$$C = \Delta S + B = e^{-rh} \left[p^* C_u + (1 - p^*) C_d \right]$$

$$p^* = \frac{e^{(r-\delta)h} - d}{u - d} \quad \sim \quad \text{risk-neutral probability that the stock will go up}$$

$$p^* = \frac{e^{(r-\delta)h} - d}{u - d} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p^* u S e^{\delta h} + (1 - p^*) d S e^{\delta h} = e^{rh} S$$

Two offers:

(a) \$1000 cash

(b) \$2000 or \$0 cash with probability $1/2$ for each

Both offers have the same expected return,
while (b) bears risk and (a) does not.

A risk-averse investor prefers (a).

A risk-neutral investor is indifferent between a sure thing and a risky bet with an expected payoff equal to the value of the sure thing. Hence, he/she prefers equally to (a) and (b).

Two offers:

(a) \$1000 cash

(b) \$2000 or \$0 cash with probability $1/2$ for each

Both offers have the same expected return,
while (b) bears risk and (a) does not.

A risk-averse investor prefers (a).

A risk-neutral investor is indifferent between a sure thing and a risky bet with an expected payoff equal to the value of the sure thing. Hence, he/she prefers equally to (a) and (b).

Two offers:

(a) \$1000 cash

(b) \$2000 or \$0 cash with probability $1/2$ for each

Both offers have the same expected return,
while (b) bears risk and (a) does not.

A risk-averse investor prefers (a).

A risk-neutral investor is indifferent between a sure thing and a risky bet with an expected payoff equal to the value of the sure thing. Hence, he/she prefers equally to (a) and (b).

Two offers:

- (a) \$1000 cash
- (b) \$2000 or \$0 cash with probability $1/2$ for each

Both offers have the same expected return,
while (b) bears risk and (a) does not.

A risk-averse investor prefers (a).

A risk-neutral investor is indifferent between a sure thing and a risky bet with an expected payoff equal to the value of the sure thing. Hence, he/she prefers equally to (a) and (b).

Two offers:

- (a) \$1000 cash
- (b) \$2000 or \$0 cash with probability $1/2$ for each

Both offers have the same expected return,
while (b) bears risk and (a) does not.

A risk-averse investor prefers (a).

A risk-neutral investor is indifferent between a sure thing and a risky bet with an expected payoff equal to the value of the sure thing. Hence, he/she prefers equally to (a) and (b).

Two offers:

- (a) \$1000 cash
- (b) \$2000 or \$0 cash with probability $1/2$ for each

Both offers have the same expected return,
while (b) bears risk and (a) does not.

A risk-averse investor prefers (a).

A risk-neutral investor is indifferent between a sure thing and a risky bet with an expected payoff equal to the value of the sure thing. Hence, he/she prefers equally to (a) and (b).

The option pricing formula can be said to price options
as if investors are risk-neutral

Note that we are not assuming that investors are actually risk-neutral, and that risky assets are actually expected to earn the risk-free rate of return.

Pricing an option using real probability

- Suppose that the continuously compounded expected return on the stock is α and that the stock does not pay dividends.
- If p is the true probability of the stock going up, p must be consistent with u , d and α

$$puS + (1 - p)dS = e^{\alpha h}S$$

- Solving for p gives us

$$p = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}$$

- For p to be a probability, we have to have $u \geq e^{\alpha h} \geq d$.
- Using this p , the actual expected payoff to the option one period is

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d.$$

Pricing an option using real probability

- Suppose that the continuously compounded expected return on the stock is α and that the stock does not pay dividends.
- If p is the true probability of the stock going up, p must be consistent with u , d and α

$$puS + (1 - p)dS = e^{\alpha h}S$$

- Solving for p gives us

$$p = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}$$

- For p to be a probability, we have to have $u \geq e^{\alpha h} \geq d$.
- Using this p , the actual expected payoff to the option one period is

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d.$$

Pricing an option using real probability

- Suppose that the continuously compounded expected return on the stock is α and that the stock does not pay dividends.
- If p is the true probability of the stock going up, p must be consistent with u , d and α

$$puS + (1 - p)dS = e^{\alpha h}S$$

- Solving for p gives us

$$p = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}$$

- For p to be a probability, we have to have $u \geq e^{\alpha h} \geq d$.
- Using this p , the actual expected payoff to the option one period is

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d.$$

Pricing an option using real probability

- ▶ Suppose that the continuously compounded expected return on the stock is α and that the stock does not pay dividends.
- ▶ If p is the true probability of the stock going up, p must be consistent with u , d and α

$$puS + (1 - p)dS = e^{\alpha h}S$$

- ▶ Solving for p gives us

$$p = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}$$

- ▶ For p to be a probability, we have to have $u \geq e^{\alpha h} \geq d$.
- ▶ Using this p , the actual expected payoff to the option one period is

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d.$$

Pricing an option using real probability

- ▶ Suppose that the continuously compounded expected return on the stock is α and that the stock does not pay dividends.
- ▶ If p is the true probability of the stock going up, p must be consistent with u , d and α

$$puS + (1 - p)dS = e^{\alpha h}S$$

- ▶ Solving for p gives us

$$p = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}$$

- ▶ For p to be a probability, we have to have $u \geq e^{\alpha h} \geq d$.
- ▶ Using this p , the actual expected payoff to the option one period is

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d.$$

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d$$

It is not correct to discount the option at the expected return on the stock, α , because the option is equivalent to a leveraged investment in the stock and hence is riskier than the stock

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d$$

It is not correct to discount the option at the expected return on the stock, α , because the option is equivalent to a leveraged investment in the stock and hence is riskier than the stock

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d$$

- Denote the appropriate per-period discount rate for the option as α .
- Since an option is equivalent to holding a portfolio consisting of Δ shares of stock and B bonds, the expected return on this portfolio is

$$e^{\alpha h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B}e^{uh} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B}e^{rh}$$

- Hence, the discounted at this appropriate discount rate, the price for the option should be

$$C = e^{-\alpha h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d}C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d}C_d \right]$$

- By setting $\alpha = r$, one obtains the simplest pricing procedure.
- This gives an alternative way to compute the option price, instead of $\Delta S + B$.

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d$$

- ▶ Denote the appropriate per-period discount rate for the option as γ
- ▶ Since an option is equivalent to holding a portfolio consisting of Δ shares of stock and B bonds, the expected return on this portfolio is

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

- ▶ Hence, the discounted at this appropriate discount rate, the price for the option should be

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

- ▶ By setting $\alpha = r$, one obtains the simplest pricing procedure.
- ▶ This gives an alternative way to compute the option price, instead of $\Delta S + B$.

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d$$

- ▶ Denote the appropriate per-period discount rate for the option as γ
- ▶ Since an option is equivalent to holding a portfolio consisting of Δ shares of stock and B bonds, the expected return on this portfolio is

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

- ▶ Hence, the discounted at this appropriate discount rate, the price for the option should be

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

- ▶ By setting $\alpha = r$, one obtains the simplest pricing procedure.
- ▶ This gives an alternative way to compute the option price, instead of $\Delta S + B$.

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d$$

- ▶ Denote the appropriate per-period discount rate for the option as γ
- ▶ Since an option is equivalent to holding a portfolio consisting of Δ shares of stock and B bonds, the expected return on this portfolio is

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

- ▶ Hence, the discounted at this appropriate discount rate, the price for the option should be

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

- ▶ By setting $\alpha = r$, one obtains the simplest pricing procedure.
- ▶ This gives an alternative way to compute the option price, instead of $\Delta S + B$.

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d$$

- ▶ Denote the appropriate per-period discount rate for the option as γ
- ▶ Since an option is equivalent to holding a portfolio consisting of Δ shares of stock and B bonds, the expected return on this portfolio is

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

- ▶ Hence, the discounted at this appropriate discount rate, the price for the option should be

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

- ▶ By setting $\alpha = r$, one obtains the simplest pricing procedure.
- ▶ This gives an alternative way to compute the option price, instead of $\Delta S + B$.

At what rate do we discount this expected payoff?

$$pC_u + (1 - p)C_d = \frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d$$

- ▶ Denote the appropriate per-period discount rate for the option as γ
- ▶ Since an option is equivalent to holding a portfolio consisting of Δ shares of stock and B bonds, the expected return on this portfolio is

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

- ▶ Hence, the discounted at this appropriate discount rate, the price for the option should be

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

- ▶ By setting $\alpha = r$, one obtains the simplest pricing procedure.
- ▶ This gives an alternative way to compute the option price, instead of $\Delta S + B$.

One can use either

$$C = \Delta S + B$$

or

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

to compute the option price

-
- First equation is more efficient
 - For the second one, in order to compute γ , one needs to compute Δ and B first and then obtains γ via

$$e^{-\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{\beta h}$$

For a given γ , one can compute Δ and B via the first equation and then obtain γ via the second equation.

One can use either

$$C = \Delta S + B$$

or

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

to compute the option price

- **First equation** is more efficient
- For the second one, in order to compute γ , one needs to compute Δ and B first and then obtains γ via

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

Interested students should check out two examples on p. 328 – 330 using the second formula.

One can use either

$$C = \Delta S + B$$

or

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

to compute the option price

- **First equation** is more efficient
- For the **second one**, in order to compute γ , one needs to compute Δ and B first and then obtains γ via

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

Interested students should check out two examples on p. 328 – 330 using the second formula.

One can use either

$$C = \Delta S + B$$

or

$$C = e^{-\gamma h} \left[\frac{e^{\alpha h} - d}{u - d} C_u + \frac{u - e^{\alpha h}}{u - d} C_d \right]$$

to compute the option price

- **First equation** is more efficient
- For the **second one**, in order to compute γ , one needs to compute Δ and B first and then obtains γ via

$$e^{\gamma h} = \frac{S\Delta}{S\Delta + B} e^{\alpha h} + \frac{B}{S\Delta + B} e^{rh}$$

Interested students should check out two examples on p. 328 – 330 using the second formula.