

Financial Mathematics

MATH 5870/6870¹
Fall 2021

Le Chen

lzc0090@auburn.edu

Last updated on
August 15, 2021

Auburn University
Auburn AL

¹Based on Robert L. McDonald's *Derivatives Markets*, 3rd Ed, Pearson, 2013.

Chapter 9. Parity and other option relationships

Chapter 9. Parity and other option relationships

§ 9.1 Put-call parity

§ 9.2 Generalized parity and exchange options

§ 9.3 Comparing options with respect to style, maturity, and strike

§ 9.4 Problems

Chapter 9. Parity and other option relationships

§ 9.1 Put-call parity

§ 9.2 Generalized parity and exchange options

§ 9.3 Comparing options with respect to style, maturity, and strike

§ 9.4 Problems

European versus American options

$$C_{\text{Amer}}(S, K, T) \geq C_{\text{Eur}}(S, K, T)$$

$$P_{\text{Amer}}(S, K, T) \geq P_{\text{Eur}}(S, K, T)$$

Maximum and minimum option prices

$$S \geq C_{\text{Amer}}(S, K, T) \geq C_{\text{Eur}}(S, K, T) \geq \max(0, \text{PV}_{0,T}(F_{0,T}) - \text{PV}_{0,T}(K))$$

$$K \geq P_{\text{Amer}}(S, K, T) \geq P_{\text{Eur}}(S, K, T) \geq \max(0, \text{PV}(K) - \text{PV}_{0,T}(F_{0,T}))$$

Early exercise for American options

Calls on stocks **with no dividend**

$$C_{\text{Amer}} \geq C_{\text{Eur}} > S_t - K$$

No early exercise!

See p. 277 for the proof of the first set of inequalities.

Calls on stock **with dividends**

Interest beats dividends? $K - PV_{t,T}(K) > PV_{t,T}(\text{Div})$	Early exercise?
✓	✗
✗	possibly

When dividends do make early exercise rational, one should exercise at the last moment before the ex-dividend date.

Early exercise for puts
(no dividend case)

In order to receive interest, one may exercise early
(think about the case when $S_t = 0$)

No-exercise condition:

$$P(S_t, K, T - t) > K - S_t$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$C(S_t, K, T - t) > K - \text{PV}_{t,T}(K)$$

	calls	puts
Receive	stock	cash
Motivation for early exercise	sufficient dividends	sufficient interest

One can view interest as the dividend on cash.

Dividends are the sole reason to early-exercise an option.

Time to expiration – the K fixed

The longer the **more expensive**

- ▶ American call/put options
 - ▶ European call option on stock with no dividend
-

The longer, might be **cheaper**

- ▶ European call option on stock with dividend
- ▶ European put option

Time to expiration

$$- K_t = ke^{rt}$$

Theorem 9.3-1 When $K_t = e^{rt}K$, i.e., the strike grows at the interest rate, the premiums on European calls and puts on a non-dividend-paying stock increases with time to maturity.

Proof. We only prove the case for puts and leave the calls as exercise. Let $T > t$. In order to show that

$$P_{\text{Euro}}(S_T, K_T, T) > P_{\text{Euro}}(S_t, K_t, t),$$

it suffices to find an arbitrage when

$$P_{\text{Euro}}(S_T, K_T, T) \leq P_{\text{Euro}}(S_t, K_t, t).$$

Proof (continued).

Transaction		Time 0		Payoff at Time T			
				$S_T < K_T$		$S_T > K_T$	
				Payoff at Time t			
				$S_t < K_t$	$S_t > K_t$	$S_t < K_t$	$S_t > K_t$
Sell $P(t)$	$P(t)$	$S_T - K_T$	0	$S_T - K_T$	0		
Buy $P(T)$	$-P(T)$	$K_T - S_T$	$K_T - S_T$	0	0		
Total	$P(t) - P(T)$	0	$K_T - S_T$	$S_T - K_T$	0		

□

Different strike prices

$$K_1 \leq K_2 \leq K_3$$

Relation	Ideas in proof, arbitrage in
$C(K_1) \geq C(K_2)$	a call bull spread
$P(K_1) \leq P(K_2)$	a put bear spread
$C(K_1) - C(K_2) \leq K_2 - K_1$	a call bear spread
$P(K_2) - P(K_1) \leq K_2 - K_1$	a put bull spread
$\frac{C(K_1) - C(K_2)}{K_2 - K_1} \leq \frac{C(K_2) - C(K_3)}{K_3 - K_2}$	an asymmetric butterfly spread
$\frac{P(K_2) - P(K_1)}{K_2 - K_1} \leq \frac{P(K_3) - P(K_2)}{K_3 - K_2}$	an asymmetric butterfly spread

Convexity revisited

$$\frac{C(K_1) - C(K_2)}{K_2 - K_1} \leq \frac{C(K_2) - C(K_3)}{K_3 - K_2}$$

$$\Updownarrow$$

$$C(K_2) \leq \lambda C(K_1) + (1 - \lambda)C(K_3).$$

with

$$\lambda = \frac{K_3 - K_2}{K_3 - K_1}$$

Example 9.3-1 Suppose that

Strike	50	55
Call Premium	18	12

1. What no-arbitrage property is violated?
2. What spread position would you use to effect arbitrage?
3. Demonstrate that the spread position is an arbitrage.

Solution. Check Example 9.4 on p. 283.



Example 9.3-2 Suppose that

Strike	50	59	65
Call premium	14	8.9	5

1. What no-arbitrage property is violated?
2. What spread position would you use to effect arbitrage?
3. Demonstrate that the spread position is an arbitrage.

Solution. Check Example 9.5 on p. 284.



Example 9.3-3 Suppose that

Strike	50	55	70
Put premium	4	8	16

1. What no-arbitrage property is violated?
2. What spread position would you use to effect arbitrage?
3. Demonstrate that the spread position is an arbitrage.

Solution. Check Example 9.6 on p. 284.

