Math 221: LINEAR ALGEBRA

Chapter 6. Vector Spaces §6-2. Subspaces and Spanning Sets

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} Le & Chen 1 \\ Emory University, 2021 Spring \\ \end{tabular}$

(last updated on 01/12/2023)



Copyright

Subspaces and Spanning Sets

Linear Combinations and Spanning Sets

Linear Algebra with Applications Lecture Notes

Current Lecture Notes Revision: Version 2018 — Revision E

These lecture notes were originally developed by Karen Seyffarth of the University of Calgary. Edits, additions, and revisions have been made to these notes by the editorial team at Lyryx Learning to accompany their text Linear Algebra with Applications based on W. K. Nicholson's original text.

In addition we recognize the following contributors. All new content contributed is released under the same license as noted below.

Ilijas Farah, York University

BE A CHAMPION OF OER!

Contribute suggestions for improvements, new content, or errata:

A new topic

A new example or problem

A new or better proof to an existing theorem Any other suggestions to improve the material

Contact Lyryx at info@lyryx.com with your ideas.

Liconeo



Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike (CC BY-NC-SA)

This license lets others remix, tweak, and build upon your work non-commercially, as long as they credit you and license their new creations under the identical terms.

Copyright

Subspaces and Spanning Sets

Linear Combinations and Spanning Sets

Copyrigh

Subspaces and Spanning Sets

Linear Combinations and Spanning Sets

Subspaces and spanning Sets

Definition (Subspaces of a Vector Space)

Let V be a vector space and let U be a subset of V. Then U is a subspace of V if U is a vector space using the addition and scalar multiplication of V.

Theorem (Subspace Test)

Let V be a vector space and $U \subseteq V$. Then U is a subspace of V if and only if it satisfies the following three properties:

- 1. U contains the zero vector of V, i.e., $\mathbf{0} \in U$ where $\mathbf{0}$ is the zero vector of V.
- 2. U is closed under addition, i.e., if $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{U}$, then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{U}$.
- 3. U is closed under scalar multiplication, i.e., if $\mathbf{u} \in U$ and $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R},$ then $\mathbf{k}\mathbf{u} \in U.$

Remark

The proof of this theorem requires one to show that if the three properties listed above hold, then all the vector space axioms hold.

Remark (Important Note)

As a consequence of the proof, any subspace U of a vector space V has the same zero vector as V, and each $\mathbf{u} \in U$ has the same additive inverse in U as in V.

Examples (Two extreme examples)

Let V be a vector space.

- 1. V is a subspace of V.
- 2. $\{0\}$ is a subspace of V, where 0 denotes the zero vector of V.

Let A be a fixed (arbitrary) $n \times n$ real matrix, and define

$$U = \{X \in \mathbf{M}_{nn} \mid AX = XA\},\$$

i.e., U is the subset of matrices of \mathbf{M}_{nn} that commute with A. Prove that U is a subspace of \mathbf{M}_{nn} .

Solution

- ▶ Let $\mathbf{0}_{nn}$ denote the $n \times n$ matrix of all zeros. Then $A\mathbf{0}_{nn} = \mathbf{0}_{nn}$ and $\mathbf{0}_{nn}A = \mathbf{0}_{nn}$, so $A\mathbf{0}_{nn} = \mathbf{0}_{nn}A$. Thus $\mathbf{0}_{nn} \in U$.
- ▶ Suppose $X, Y \in U$. Then AX = XA and AY = YA, implying that

$$A(X + Y) = AX + AY = XA + YA = (X + Y)A,$$

and thus $X + Y \in U$, so U is closed under addition.

▶ Suppose $X \in U$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then AX = XA, implying that

$$A(kX) = k(AX) = k(XA) = (kX)A;$$

thus $kX \in U$, so U is closed under scalar multiplication.

By the subspace test, U is a subspace of M_{nn} .

Let $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and let

$$U = \{ p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p(t) = 0 \},$$

i.e., U is the subset of polynomials that have t as a root. Prove that U is a vector space.

Proof.

- ▶ Let **0** denote the zero polynomial. Then $\mathbf{0}(t) = 0$, and thus $\mathbf{0} \in U$.
- ▶ Let $q, r \in U$. Then q(t) = 0, r(t) = 0, and

$$(q + r)(t) = q(t) + r(t) = 0 + 0 = 0.$$

Therefore, $q + r \in U$, so U is closed under addition.

▶ Let $q \in U$ and $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then q(t) = 0 and

$$(kq)(t) = k(q(t)) = k \cdot 0 = 0.$$

Therefore, $kq \in U$, so U is closed under scalar multiplication.

By the subspace test, U is a subspace of \mathcal{P} , and thus is a vector space.

Examples (more...)

- 1. It is routine to verify that \mathcal{P}_n is a subspace of \mathcal{P} for all $n \geq 0$.
- 2. $U = \{A \in \mathbf{M}_{22} \mid A^2 = A\}$ is NOT a subspace of \mathbf{M}_{22} .

To prove this, notice that I_2 , the two by two identity matrix, is in U, but $2I_2 \not\in U$ since $(2I_2)^2 = 4I_2 \neq 2I_2$, so U is not closed under scalar multiplication.

3. $U = \{p \in \mathcal{P}_2 \mid p(1) = 1\}$ is NOT a subspace of \mathcal{P}_2 .

Because the zero polynomial is not in U: $\mathbf{0}(1) = 0$.

()

4. $C^{n}([0,1]), n \ge 1$, is a subspace of C([0,1]).

Copyrigh

Subspaces and Spanning Sets

Linear Combinations and Spanning Sets

Linear Combinations and Spanning Sets

Definitions (Linear Combinations and Spanning)

Let V be a vector space and let $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ be a subset of V.

1. A vector $\mathbf{u} \in V$ is called a linear combination of $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$ if there exist scalars $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\mathbf{u} = a_1 \mathbf{u}_1 + a_2 \mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + a_n \mathbf{u}_n.$$

2. The set of all linear combinations of $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$ is called the span of $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n$, and is defined as

$$span\{\mathbf{u}_{1},\mathbf{u}_{2},\ldots,\mathbf{u}_{n}\}=\{a_{1}\mathbf{u}_{1}+a_{2}\mathbf{u}_{2}+\cdots+a_{n}\mathbf{u}_{n}\mid a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{n}\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

3. If $U = \text{span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$, then $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$ is called a spanning set of U.

Is it possible to express $x^2 + 1$ as a linear combination of

$$x + 1$$
, $x^2 + x$, and $x^2 + 2$?

Equivalently, is $x^2 + 1 \in \text{span}\{x + 1, x^2 + x, x^2 + 2\}$?

Solution

Suppose that there exist a, b, $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$x^{2} + 1 = a(x + 1) + b(x^{2} + x) + c(x^{2} + 2).$$

Then

$$x^{2} + 1 = (b + c)x^{2} + (a + b)x + (a + 2c),$$

implying that b + c = 1, a + b = 0, and a + 2c = 1.

Solution (continued)

Hence,

- 1. If this system is consistent, then we have found a way to express $x^2 + 1$ as a linear combination of the other vectors; otherwise,
- 2. if the system is inconsistent and it is impossible to express $x^2 + 1$ as a linear combination of the other vectors.

Because

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \end{pmatrix} = -3 \neq 0,$$

Answer: Yes, i.e., $x^2 + 1 \in \text{span}\{x + 1, x^2 + x, x^2 + 2\}$.

Remarl

By solving the linear equation

$$b + c = 1$$
 $a + b + = 0$
 $a + 2c = 1$

we find that

$$a = -\frac{1}{3}$$
, $b = \frac{1}{3}$, $c = \frac{2}{3}$

Hence,

$$x^{2} + 1 = -\frac{1}{2}(x+1) + \frac{1}{2}(x^{2} + x) + \frac{2}{2}(x^{2} + x)$$

Let

$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Is $\mathbf{w} \in \operatorname{span}\{\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}\}$? Prove your answer.

Solution (partial)

Suppose there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = a \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} + b \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$a + 2b = 1$$
 $-a + b = 3$
 $2a + b = -1$
 $a + 0b = 1$.

What remains is to determine whether or not this system is consistent. Answer: No.

Example

The set of 3×2 real matrices,

$$\mathbf{M}_{32} = \operatorname{span} \left\{ \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right], \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array} \right] \right\}.$$

Remark (A Spanning Set of \mathbf{M}_{mn})

In general, the set of mn m \times n matrices that have a '1' in position (i, j) and zeros elsewhere, $1 \le i \le m$, $1 \le j \le n$, constitutes a spanning set of \mathbf{M}_{mn} .

Example

Let $p(x)\in\mathcal{P}_3.$ Then $p(x)=a_0+a_1x+a_2x^2+a_3x^3$ for some $a_0,a_1,a_2,a_3\in\mathbb{R}.$ Therefore,

$$\mathcal{P}_3 = \operatorname{span}\{1, x, x^2, x^3\}.$$

Remark (A Spanning Set of
$$\mathcal{P}_n$$
)

For all n > 0,

$$\mathcal{P}_n = span\{x^0, x^1, x^2, \ldots, x^n\} = span\{1, x, x^2, \ldots, x^n\}.$$

 $\operatorname{span}\{\cdots\}$ is a subspace and the smallest one.

Theorem

Let V be a vector space, let $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \in V$, and let

$$U=\mathrm{span}\{\pmb{u}_1,\pmb{u}_2,\ldots,\pmb{u}_n\}.$$

Then

- 1. U is a subspace of V containing $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$.
- 2. If W is a subspace of V and $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n \in W$, then $U \subseteq W$. In other words, U is the "smallest" subspace of V that contains $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$.

Remark

This theorem should be familiar as it was covered in the particular case $V = \mathbb{R}^n$. The proof of the result in \mathbb{R}^n immediately generalizes to an arbitrary vector space V.

Let

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{array} \right], \mathbf{A}_2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{array} \right], \mathbf{A}_3 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{array} \right], \mathbf{A}_4 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

Show that $\mathbf{M}_{22} = \text{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}.$

Remark

We need to prove two inclusions

$$\mathbf{M}_{22} \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{A_1,A_2,A_3,A_4\}$$
 and

$$\mathrm{span}\{A_1,A_2,A_3,A_4\}\subseteq {\color{red}M_{22}}$$

Proof. (First proof)

Let

$$E_1 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right], E_2 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{array}\right], E_3 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array}\right], E_4 = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{array}\right].$$

Since $\mathbf{M}_{22} = \mathrm{span}\{E_1, E_2, E_3, E_4\}$ and $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in \mathbf{M}_{22}$, it follows from the previous Theorem that

$$\operatorname{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\} \subseteq \mathbf{M}_{22}.$$

Now show that E_i , $1 \le i \le 4$, can be written as a linear combination of A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 , i.e., $E_i \in \operatorname{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}$ (lots of work to be done here!), and apply the previous Theorem again to show that

$$\mathbf{M}_{22} \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}.$$

Proof. (Second proof)

(1) Since $A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4 \in \mathbf{M}_{22}$ and \mathbf{M}_{22} is a vector space,

$$\mathrm{span}\{A_1,A_2,A_3,A_4\}\subseteq M_{22}.$$

(2) For any $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbf{M}_{22}$, we need to find x_1, \dots, x_4 , such that

$$x_{1}A_{1} + x_{2}A_{2} + x_{3}A_{3} + x_{4}A_{4} = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\updownarrow$$

$$x_{1} + x_{3} + x_{4} = a$$

$$-x_{1} + x_{2} - x_{3} = b$$

 $-x_1 + x_2 - x_3 = b$ $-x_1 + x_2 - x_3 + x_4 = c$ $x_1 - x_2 + x_4 = d$

Since the coefficient matrix is invertible one can find unique solution and so

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in span\{A_1,A_2,A_3,A_4\}.$$

Therefore, $\mathbf{M}_{22} \subseteq \operatorname{span}\{A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4\}.$

Let
$$p(x) = x^2 + 1$$
, $q(x) = x^2 + x$, and $r(x) = x + 1$. Prove that $\mathcal{P}_2 = \operatorname{span}\{p(x), q(x), r(x)\}.$

Solution (sketch)

(1) Since $p(x), q(x), r(x) \in \mathcal{P}_2$ and \mathcal{P}_2 is a vector space,

$$\mathrm{span}\{p(x),q(x),r(x)\}\subseteq \mathcal{P}_2.$$

(2) As we've already observed, $\mathcal{P}_2 = \text{span}\{1, x, x^2\}$. To complete the proof, show that each of 1, x and x^2 can be written as a linear combination of p(x), q(x) and r(x), i.e., show that

$$1, x, x^2 \in \operatorname{span}\{p(x), q(x), r(x)\}.$$

Then apply the previous Theorem.