

Homework Assignment 4 Sample Solution

Reading. Read selected sections in Luenberger and Ye's *Linear and Nonlinear Programming Fourth Edition* Chapters 5, 6, 8, 10 and 14.

1. Recall that the (local) second-order (SO), concordant second-order (CSO) and scaled concordant second-order (SCSO) Lipschitz conditions (LC) are defined as follows:

$$\text{SOLC} : \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{d}\| \leq \beta \|\mathbf{d}\|^2, \text{ where } \|\mathbf{d}\| \leq C \text{ for some } C > 0$$

$$\text{CSOLC} : \|\nabla f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{d}\| \leq \beta |\mathbf{d}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{d}|, \text{ where } \|\mathbf{d}\| \leq C \text{ for some } C > 0,$$

and

$$\text{SCSOLC} : \|X(\nabla f(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}) - \nabla f(\mathbf{x}) - \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{d})\| \leq \beta |\mathbf{d}^T \nabla^2 f(\mathbf{x})\mathbf{d}|,$$

$$\text{where } \|X^{-1}\mathbf{d}\| \leq C \text{ for some } C > 0,$$

and $X = \text{diag}(\mathbf{x} > \mathbf{0})$. Here we have implicitly assumed/required that \mathbf{x} and $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{d}$ are in the domain of f . Here the constant C should be independent of \mathbf{x} .

For each of the following scalar functions, find the Lipschitz parameter β value of (SOLC), (CSOLC) and (SCSOLC). You can provide an upper bound on β or state that it doesn't exist.

(a) $f(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 + x, x > 0$

(b) $f(x) = -\log(x), x > 0.$

(c) $f(x) = x \log(x), x > 0$

Solution: Basic comments:

- The (local) here actually only means for a bounded region of d instead of arbitrary d . But it's global in terms of x . But we are accepting solutions that talks about local constants for x . Although in general, proving non-existence in global sense (for x) is also not that difficult.

- By saying that you can provide an upper bound on β , we just mean that you don't need to provide the tightest β .

The solution below is talking about **global** constants for x .

(a) $f(x) = \frac{1}{3}x^3 + x, x > 0$.

Note that $f'(x) = x^2 + 1, f''(x) = 2x$.

The SOLC condition holds for $\beta = 1$. To see this, we observe that for all $x > 0$, and d such that $x + d > 0$,

$$|f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x) \cdot d| = d^2$$

Hence $f(x)$ is 1-SOLC.

The CSOLC does not hold for any β . To see this, simply notice that the LHS is still d^2 , while the RHS becomes $2|x|\beta d^2$. By taking $x \rightarrow 0$, we see that no β will satisfy the CSOLC.

The SCSOLC holds for $\beta = 1/2$. For all $x > 0$, and d such that $x + d > 0$, we have that

$$|x(f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x) \cdot d)| = xd^2 = \frac{1}{2}|d^2 f''(x)|$$

Hence $f(x)$ is 1/2-SCSOLC.

(b) $f(x) = -\log(x), x > 0$.

Note that $f'(x) = -x^{-1}, f''(x) = x^{-2}$, and that

$$|f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x)d| = \frac{d^2}{x^2(x + d)}$$

The SOLC does not hold for any $\beta > 0$. To see this, simply notice that for any $d > 0$ (no matter how small it is), by taking $x \rightarrow 0+$, the LHS goes to $+\infty$ while the RHS βd^2 remains finite, and hence no β satisfies this inequality.

The CSOLC does not hold for any $\beta > 0$. To see this, simply notice that the RHS is $\beta d^2/x^2$, and hence $\text{LHS} \leq \text{RHS} \Rightarrow 1/(x + d) \leq \beta$. By taking both x and d going to 0, we see that β can not be finite.

The SCSOLC holds for $\beta = 2$ if $|x^{-1}d| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. To see that, for all $x > 0$ and d such that $|x^{-1}d| \leq 1/2$, we have $1 + \frac{d}{x} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. It follows that

$$|x(f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x)d)| = \frac{d^2}{x(x + d)} = \frac{d^2}{x^2(1 + \frac{d}{x})} \leq 2\frac{d^2}{x^2} = 2|d^2 f''(x)|.$$

Hence f is 2-SCSOLC provided $|x^{-1}d| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

(c) $f(x) = x \log(x)$, $x > 0$.

Note that $f'(x) = 1 + \log x$, $f''(x) = 1/x$, and that for any d such that $x + d > 0$,

$$|f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x)d| = \frac{d}{x} - \log\left(1 + \frac{d}{x}\right).$$

Recall that $\frac{x}{1+x} \leq \log(1+x) \leq x$ for all $x > -1$.

The SOLC does not hold for any $\beta > 0$. To see this, notice that by the L'Hospital rule, we have for any fixed $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x)d|}{d^2} = \frac{1}{2x^2},$$

which is unbounded as x goes to 0.

The CSOLC does not hold for any $\beta > 0$. To see this, again notice that by the L'Hospital rule, we have for any fixed $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{d \rightarrow 0} \frac{|f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x)d|}{d^2/x} = \frac{1}{2x},$$

which is again unbounded as x goes to 0.

The SCSOLC holds for $\beta = 2$ if $|x^{-1}d| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. To see this, notice that when $|x^{-1}d| \leq 1/2$, we have

$$\frac{|x||f'(x + d) - f'(x) - f''(x)d|}{d^2/x} = \frac{d/x - \log(1 + d/x)}{d^2/x^2} \leq 2.$$

2. Consider the following questions:

- (a) Let $\phi(\mathbf{y})$, where $\mathbf{y} \in R^m$, be (regular) β -second-order (SO) Lipschitz and be δ -strongly convex, that is, for all \mathbf{y} in the domain of ϕ , the smallest eigenvalue of $\nabla^2 \phi(\mathbf{y})$ is bounded below by $\delta > 0$. Prove that the function

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \phi(A\mathbf{x}),$$

where $A \in R^{m \times n}$, $n \geq m$, is a constant coefficient matrix with rank m , is concordant second-order Lipschitz for all $\mathbf{x} \in R^n$ such that $\mathbf{y} = A\mathbf{x}$ is in the domain of ϕ .

- (b) Find the concordant Lipschitz bounds α for the following three functions (or show that a global constant doesn't exist):

$$- f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}(x_1 + x_2)^2$$

- $f(\mathbf{x}) = e^{x_1+x_2}$
- $f(\mathbf{x}) = (x_1 + x_2) \log(x_1 + x_2)$ where $x_1 + x_2 > 0$.

Solution:

- (a) The key is to notice that $\nabla f(x) = A^T \nabla \phi(Ax)$ and $\nabla^2 f(x) = A^T \nabla^2 \phi(Ax) A$. Then since $\phi(x)$ is second-order Lipschitz, we have that for all x, d such that $Ax, A(x+d)$ in the domain of ϕ ,

$$\|\nabla \phi(Ax + Ad) - \nabla \phi(Ax) - \nabla^2 \phi(Ax) Ad\| \leq \beta \|Ad\|^2, \quad \text{where } \|Ad\| \leq O(1)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla f(x+d) - \nabla f(x) - \nabla^2 f(x) d\| \\ &= \|A^T (\nabla \phi(Ax + Ad) - \nabla \phi(Ax) - \nabla^2 \phi(Ax) Ad)\| \leq \|A^T\|_2 \beta \|Ad\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Because ϕ is strongly convex, we have that for all x ,

$$|d^T \nabla^2 f(x) d| = |(Ad)^T \nabla^2 \phi(Ax) (Ad)| \geq \delta \|Ad\|^2$$

It follows that

$$\|\nabla f(x+d) - \nabla f(x) - \nabla^2 f(x) d\| \leq \|A^T\|_2 \frac{\beta}{\lambda} (d^T \nabla^2 f(x) d), \quad \text{where } \|Ad\| \leq O(1)$$

Because A is of full row rank, it is equivalent to say $\|d\| \leq O(1)$. Hence the Concordant second-order Lipschitz holds for f .

- (b) Although it's not that difficult to talk about global constants in terms of x as in problem 1, we show how to make use of part (a) to obtain local constants. In particular, we notice that changing β and δ to local constants $\beta(y)$ and $\delta(y)$ leads to the same result (with β and δ changed to local ones, i.e. $\beta(y)$ and $\delta(y)$, of course).

- $f(x) = (x_1 + x_2)^2/2$. In this case, $A^T = [1, 1]$, and hence $\|A^T\| = \sqrt{2}$. Furthermore, $\delta = 1$ and $\beta = 0$. Hence we can set $\alpha = 0$.
- $f(x) = e^{x_1+x_2}$. In this case, again $A^T = [1, 1]$ and $\|A^T\| = \sqrt{2}$. Furthermore, $\delta(y) = e^y$ and $\beta(y) = O(e^y)$. Hence we can set $\alpha = O(1)$. Notice that here we used the local version of (a) (see the comment above at the beginning of (b)) to obtain a global constant α .

- $f(x) = (x_1 + x_2) \log(x_1 + x_2)$, where $x_1 + x_2 > 0$. Once again, $A^T = [1, 1]$ and hence $\|A\| = \sqrt{2}$. Furthermore, $\delta = 1/y$ and $\beta(y) = O(1/y^2)$, and hence we can choose $\alpha = O(1/(x_1 + x_2))$.

Remark: Globally, by computing the LHS and RHS exactly, we can easily see that it's not CSOLC by taking $x_1 + x_2 \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Prove the logarithmic approximation lemma for SDP. Let $D \in S^n$ and $|D|_\infty < 1$. Then,

$$\text{Tr}(D) \geq \log \det(I + D) \geq \text{Tr}(D) - \frac{|D|^2}{2(1 - |D|_\infty)}$$

where for any given symmetric matrix D , $|D|^2$ is the sum of all its squared eigenvalues, and $|D|_\infty$ is its largest absolute eigenvalue.

Hint: $\det(I + D)$ equals the product of the eigenvalues of $I + D$. Then the proof follows from Taylor's expansion.

Solution:

Suppose that the eigenvalues of D are λ_j , $j = 1, \dots, n$. Then we have

$$\log \det(I + D) = \sum_{j=1}^n \log(1 + \lambda_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = \text{trace}(D) \quad (1)$$

and

$$\text{trace}(D) - \frac{|D|^2}{2(1 - |D|_\infty)} = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j - \frac{\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j^2}{2(1 - \max_j |\lambda_j|)} \leq \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\lambda_j^2}{2(1 - |\lambda_j|)} \quad (2)$$

Hence it suffices to prove that $\forall |x| < 1$, we have $\log(1 + x) \geq x - \frac{x^2}{2(1 - |x|)}$.

To see this, simply notice that by Taylor's series, we have $\log(1 + x) = x - x^2/2 + x^3/3 - x^4/4 + x^5/5 - \dots$. On the other hand, we also have $\frac{x^2}{2(1 - |x|)} = \frac{x^2}{2}(1 + |x| + |x|^2 + \dots) = x^2/2 + |x|^3/2 + |x|^4/2 + \dots \geq x^2/2 - x^3/3 + x^4/4 - \dots$. Comparing term by term, we immediately see that $\log(1 + x) \geq x - \frac{x^2}{2(1 - |x|)}$, which completes our proof.