


Channel Length Scaling of MoS₂ MOSFETs

Han Liu, Adam T. Neal, and Peide D. Ye*

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering and Birck Nanotechnology Center, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47906, United States

In the past decade, as scaling of silicon-based transistor has approached its physical limit, intensive efforts in finding alternative channel materials for future logic devices beyond 10 nm node have been made with the main focus on Ge and III–V materials because of their superior carrier mobility.^{1–4} The discovery of graphene has unveiled another material family with layered structures, which includes boron nitride, topological insulators such as Bi₂Te₃ and Bi₂Se₃, and transition metal dichalcogenides like MoS₂, WS₂, and NbSe₂.^{5–12} Though graphene, a fascinating two-dimensional (2D) crystal, has shown a superior carrier mobility of up to 200000 cm²/V·s, its zero bandgap property limits its application to logic devices as graphene transistors cannot have high on/off ratios.¹³ As opposed to the semimetal graphene, transition metal dichalcogenides (such as MoS₂), as another type of layered structure material, have shown great potential in device applications due to their satisfied bandgaps, thermal stability, carrier mobility, and compatibility to silicon CMOS process.¹¹ To realize high performance MoS₂ or some other transition metal dichalcogenide MOSFETs, three major issues must be solved: (1) how to deposit a high-quality dielectric on 2D crystal, (2) the fabrication of low-resistivity metal-semiconductor junction to be used as device contacts, and (3) the elimination of short channel effects. Although the high-*k* dielectric has been successfully demonstrated in several previous reports,^{11,14,15} the interface between high-*k* dielectric still needs to be systematically studied. Also, as the 2D material cannot be effectively implanted due to the nature of ultrathin body, the contact resistance (*R_c*) is mostly determined by the Schottky contact at the MoS₂/metal interface. This contact resistance at the MoS₂/metal junction is much larger than for contacts of other metal/low-dimensional systems (e.g., graphene or carbon nanotube) due to the enlarged Schottky barrier height (SBH) induced by the

ABSTRACT



In this article, we investigate electrical transport properties in ultrathin body (UTB) MoS₂ two-dimensional (2D) crystals with channel lengths ranging from 2 μm down to 50 nm. We compare the short channel behavior of sets of MOSFETs with various channel thickness, and reveal the superior immunity to short channel effects of MoS₂ transistors. We observe no obvious short channel effects on the device with 100 nm channel length (*L_{ch}*) fabricated on a 5 nm thick MoS₂ 2D crystal even when using 300 nm thick SiO₂ as gate dielectric, and has a current on/off ratio up to ~10⁹. We also observe the on-current saturation at short channel devices with continuous scaling due to the carrier velocity saturation. Also, we reveal the performance limit of short channel MoS₂ transistors is dominated by the large contact resistance from the Schottky barrier between Ni and MoS₂ interface, where a fully transparent contact is needed to achieve a high-performance short channel device.

KEYWORDS: MoS₂ · MOSFET · short channel effects · contact resistance

much wider bandgap of MoS₂. Thus, to find a metal or alloy having the correct work function located near or even into the conduction (valence) band edge for n-type (p-type) transistors becomes significantly important. It could be very difficult due to the metal-induced gap states at the MoS₂/metal interface. The third issue is related to dimension scaling and the transistor density of a single chip. For logic applications, the performance limits of MoS₂ transistors associated with channel length scaling must also be investigated. Classical discussions on short channel effects are mostly based on silicon MOSFETs. However, for the MoS₂ transistors, the origins and behaviors of short channel effects could be slightly different from silicon MOSFETs simply because (a) the MoS₂ transistors are fundamentally majority carrier devices with

* Address correspondence to yep@purdue.edu.

Received for review April 6, 2012 and accepted September 7, 2012.

Published online September 07, 2012
10.1021/nn303513c

© 2012 American Chemical Society




Figure 1. (a) Schematic diagram of the back-gated MoS₂ MOSFETs in a TLM structure. Heavily doped silicon is used as the back gate and 300 nm SiO₂ as the gate dielectric. Ni/Au or Au is used as source/drain contact. (b) Optical microscope image of one of the fabricated devices. Scale bar is 5 μm. (c) Comparison of contact resistance of Ni/Au on a 5 nm thick device and Au on a 6 nm thick device.

carrier accumulation for “ON” state, while silicon MOSFETs are minority carrier devices with carrier inversion for “ON” state; (b) the source/drain areas of MoS₂ transistors are not heavily doped, and they are simple metal/semiconductor junctions; and (c) the characteristic length for short channel MoS₂ transistors is smaller due to the low dielectric constant of MoS₂.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

We fabricated sets of MoS₂ MOSFETs with various channel lengths. Each set was fabricated on the same rectangular MoS₂ flake, so the scaling effect can be directly observed and compared without needing to correct for geometry and thickness variations. The flakes were mechanically exfoliated from a bulk ingot, as described in previous studies and transferred to a heavily doped Si substrate with a 300 nm SiO₂ capping layer. The heavily doped silicon substrate serves as the global back gate and the SiO₂ as the dielectric.¹² More than 10 sets of devices were fabricated. Due to the variation in geometry, including the flake size and thickness, as well as defects density level between different flakes, it is difficult to compare the device performance directly. Here we select the MoS₂ devices fabricated on one ~5 nm thick crystal, which corresponds to ~6 layers with a rectangular shape as a representative. We did not reduce the thickness of the MoS₂ crystal to a single layer because the larger bandgap of the monolayer may have reduced electron mobility.¹⁶ The schematic and corresponding optical microscope image of the 5 nm thick devices are shown in Figure 1a,b and have various channel lengths from 2 μm down to 100 nm, as defined by electron beam lithography. Metallization was performed by electron beam evaporation afterward. The width of the contact bars are 500 nm. To realize high performance short channel devices, one of the major issues is to reduce the source/drain contact resistance. In addition to previously demonstrated Au or Ti/Au contacts,¹¹ we also used Ni/Au as the source/drain metal.

No annealing was performed after lift-off process. The Ni/Au contact resistance was extracted using the two-terminal transfer length method (TLM) measurement of the same structure, as shown in Figure 1c. We extracted the low-field ($V_{ds} = 50$ mV) contact resistance from devices with larger channel length (>500 nm), which is much larger than the carrier mean free path in the channel,²⁰ so that the electron transport can be considered as entirely in the diffusive regime. The measurement was performed at room temperature. The contact resistance shows a strong dependence on the back gate bias, as the MoS₂ crystal is electrically doped under high gate bias, leading to a smaller contact resistance. The smallest R_c measured in the Ni/MoS₂ junction is $4.7 \pm 0.5 \Omega \cdot \text{mm}$ at 50 V back gate bias and increased to $18.0 \pm 5.9 \Omega \cdot \text{mm}$ at zero back gate bias. The contact resistance is about a factor of 40 larger than the Pd/graphene contact,¹⁷ for the absence of a Schottky barrier at metal/graphene junction. Note that the error bars on the left side are significantly larger than those on the right, where the channel is heavily doped. This is attributed to a larger contact resistance on MoS₂ at lower gate bias, leading to a larger absolute error, which is also observed in former graphene TLM study.¹⁷ Generally, the gate dependence of R_c can be attributed to two reasons: one is the existence of a Schottky barrier at the metal/semiconductor interface, as gate bias would change the tunneling efficiency due to band bending at the metal/semiconductor interface, and the other is the electrical doping of the semiconductor, as happens with graphene.^{17,18} As a comparison, an Au/MoS₂ TLM structure is also fabricated on another flake with similar thickness (~6 nm), with its contact resistance shown in the same figure. Despite the variance between the two flakes, our results reveal similar contact resistances under the same gate biases. The Schottky barrier at the MoS₂/Au junction is also observed in a previous temperature dependence study, where the measured mobility showed strong degradation at low temperatures.




Figure 2. (a) Semilog plot of the transfer characteristics of a 2 μm long device fabricated on a 5 nm thick MoS₂ crystal. Drain voltage is applied from 0.1 to 1 V, with a 0.3 V step. Back gate voltage is swept from -50 to 50 V. (b) Output characteristics of the same device. Back gate voltage is applied from 50 V to 0 V with a -5 V step. Drain voltage is swept from 0 V to 2 V. (c, d) Transfer and output characteristics from a device with $L_{\text{ch}} = 100$ nm.

This could be understood as an increasing contact resistance due to the reduced thermionic emission.¹⁹

We examined the transistor characteristics of both long-channel and short-channel MoS₂ MOSFETs. The study was carried out on the same sets of devices. Considering that the monolayer has been shown to have a larger bandgap and, hence, a lower mobility and larger contact resistance, we fabricated the devices on a few-layer crystal for a better trade-off between the on/off ratio and device performance. Note that the dielectric constant of MoS₂ is only around 3.3, according to a previous theoretical study,²⁰ and a 5 nm thick crystal would be thin enough for short channel devices to turn off completely. Figure 2 shows the transfer and output curves for the 2 μm and 100 nm channel length (L_{ch}) devices. Drain current saturation is observed in short channel device, as shown in Figure 2d. Because of their large bandgap of 1.2 eV, these devices, unlike graphene, can be easily turned off. Even though the thickness of gate dielectric is extremely large (300 nm), which results in a much degraded electrostatic control, still no evident short channel effects were observed with channel lengths down to 100 nm. For this short channel device, the on-current is reaching 70 mA/mm at $V_{\text{ds}} = 1$ V, and the current on/off ratio is over 10^7 for $V_{\text{ds}} = 1$ V and is able to maintain an on/off ratio of 10^9 at $V_{\text{ds}} = 0.1$ V. Benefiting from its ultrathin body, the on/off ratio does not drop much compared to the 2 μm long

device, which has a current on/off ratio up to $\sim 10^{10}$, showing good immunity to short channel effects. Note that significant short channel effects could be observed on other planar devices, such as InGaAs or Ge, when the gate length was scaled down to 150 nm.²¹ The intrinsic mobility extracted from the 2 μm long device is ~ 28 cm²/V·s. It could be further increased up to several hundred by dielectric passivation on the top.^{11,14} Our observation of transistor behavior without evident short channel effects with 300 nm SiO₂ indicates that the enhancement of electrostatic control by reducing the gate dielectric thickness down to several nanometers would significantly push the scaling of channel length down to sub-10 nm for MoS₂ devices. This is beyond the range of conventional semiconductors. The superior immunity to short channel effects of MoS₂ not only originates from its ultrathin body nature and junctionless contacts, but is also due to the low dielectric constant of MoS₂ itself. The characteristic length of short channel transistors with planar structures is²²

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_s t_s}{\epsilon_{\text{ox}} t_{\text{ox}}}}$$

where λ is the characteristic length, ϵ_s and ϵ_{ox} are the permittivity of semiconductor and gate oxide, and t_s and t_{ox} are the thickness of semiconductor channel and gate oxide. The characteristic length for this 5 nm




Figure 3. (a, b) Transfer and output characteristics from the device of 50 nm channel length fabricated on the 12 nm thick MoS₂ crystal. (c) Channel length dependent current on/off ratio of the sets of devices fabricated on the 5 and 12 nm thick crystals, respectively. The on/off ratio is estimation due to the blurry "OFF" state current. (d) DIBL extracted from the transfer characteristics of sets of devices on 5 and 12 nm MoS₂ crystals.

thick MoS₂ transistor is 35.6 nm, much shorter than the channel length of our shortest device. If the 300 nm SiO₂ gate oxide is replaced by 6 nm HfO₂ with an equivalent oxide thickness (EOT) of ~ 1 nm, we can expect the characteristic length would be reduced to only 2 nm, which is far beyond the technical consideration of 10 nm node with alternative channel materials for logic applications. This formula was first proposed by Yan *et al.* to calculate the characteristic lengths of silicon or other bulk semiconductors, where the carrier transport is almost isotropic.²² For layered structures, the layer-to-layer transport is more resistive than in-plane transport. Therefore, the effective t_s for 2D crystals could be even smaller, further reducing characteristic length of MoS₂ transistors.

To make a comparison of the short channel effects related to the MoS₂ flake thickness, we fabricated another set of devices on a 12 nm thick MoS₂ crystal. The characteristic length of this transistor is calculated to be ~ 55.2 nm, on the same 300 nm SiO₂ as back gate dielectric. We further scaled the channel length down to 50 nm, so that the channel length would be comparable to λ . The transfer and output characteristics of the device with 50 nm channel length are presented in Figure 3a,b. We start to observe obvious short channel effects. The drain current on/off ratio ($I_{\text{on}}/I_{\text{off}}$) drops down to $\sim 10^7$ at $V_{\text{ds}} = 0.1$ V, and $\sim 5 \times 10^4$ at $V_{\text{ds}} = 1$ V. A severe drain induced barrier lowering (DIBL) is also observed. The upward bending in the output

characteristics in Figure 3b at high drain biases also indicates a degraded electrostatic control from the gate. The channel length dependent $I_{\text{on}}/I_{\text{off}}$ and DIBL of the two sets of devices are plotted in Figure 3c,d. For the set of devices with 5 nm thick MoS₂ crystal, the $I_{\text{on}}/I_{\text{off}}$ ratio is nearly constant, with a minor decrease as the channel becomes shorter, while the total change remains within 1 order of magnitude of its long-channel value. The set of devices on the 12 nm thick crystal are observed to have a lower $I_{\text{on}}/I_{\text{off}}$ ratio, as expected, following the same slightly decreasing trend with scaling down, until the channel length approaches the characteristic length, where it experiences a sharp drop down to less than 10^5 . Similar behavior is also observed in the DIBL. Due to the weaker electrostatic control from the global back gate with 300 nm gate dielectric, the DIBL is relatively large even at long channel devices compared to top gate devices with sub-10 nm high-k dielectric. We can observe from Figure 3d that the DIBL for the sets of devices fabricated on the 5 nm thick flake is smaller than that of devices with the 12 nm thick flake. At long channel lengths, (e.g., $L_{\text{ch}} = 2 \mu\text{m}$), it is ~ 4 V/V for the thinner devices and ~ 10 V/V for the thicker ones. The DIBL from both sets of devices experience a rapid increase once the channel length approaches the characteristic length as the typical short channel effects.

For long channel devices, where L_{ch} is much larger than the length of electron mean free path (L_{mfp}), the transistors are fully operated in the diffusive regime,




Figure 4. (a) Channel length dependent field-effect mobility from the set of devices fabricated on the 5 nm thick MoS₂ crystal using diffusive transport equations. (b) Magnitude of “ON” state drain current measured at 50 V back gate bias and 2 V drain bias on the set of devices fabricated on the 5 nm thick MoS₂ crystal. Minor threshold voltage (V_{T}) shift is neglected here. The linear dependence in diffusive region is indicated by the red dashed line.

where field-effect mobility would remain constant, while the maximum drain current and the transconductance (g_{m}) keep increasing with continuous scaling, which is inversely proportional to L_{ch} . We plot both extrinsic/intrinsic field dependent mobility and maximum on-state current at $V_{\text{g}} = 50$ V and $V_{\text{ds}} = 2$ V for all devices with various L_{ch} in Figure 4a,b. We first extracted the peak transconductance by differentiating the transfer curve, and then calculated the extrinsic field-effect mobility by simply using the equation $g_{\text{m}} = \mu_{\text{n}} C_{\text{ox}} W / L V_{\text{ds}}$, where μ_{n} is the electron mobility, C_{ox} is the MOS capacitance, W and L are the width and length of the channel, and V_{ds} is the drain voltage. The intrinsic values of the field-effect mobility are further corrected by calculating the channel resistance at the voltage point where transconductance is at its peak and then amended the drain voltage $V_{\text{ds}}' = V_{\text{ds}}(R_{\text{tot}} - R_{\text{c}}) / R_{\text{tot}}$, where V_{ds}' is the actual drain voltage applied on the channel, R_{tot} is the total resistance, and R_{c} is the contact resistance, as both R_{tot} and R_{c} are known. Here, we assume diffusive transport for all sets of devices regardless of their channel lengths, and thus we can observe the change of field-effect mobility at different channel length scale. We see from Figure 4a that, in the long channel regions ($L_{\text{ch}} > 500$ nm), μ_{n} remains constant at around 28 cm^2/Vs . With further scaling, μ_{n} starts to decrease and drops to around 17 cm^2/Vs at 100 nm channel length. Also, we learn from the classical square-law model that the drain current is inversely proportional to channel length, which means, the $I_{\text{d}} - L_{\text{ch}}^{-1}$ relationship should present a linear characteristic. However, in Figure 4b, as indicated by the red dashed line, this linear relationship applied only at long channel region ($L_{\text{ch}} > 500$ nm). With continuous scaling down, it comes to saturate at ~ 90 mA/mm at $L_{\text{ch}} = 100$ nm. The decrease of field-effect mobility and nonlinear scaling of drain current are attributed to two reasons. One reason is the substantial contact resistance, which does not scale with channel length but is present in the device when the contact resistance is

comparable to channel resistance. The second reason, if we do not consider the contact resistance, is that mobility decreases since the carriers are approaching their saturation velocity at shorter channel lengths.²³ In general, the electric field in the channel is reversely proportional to the channel length, leading to higher carrier velocities at reduced channel length, as defined by $v = \mu E$. As a result, the drain current increases with reduced channel length, while field-effect mobility remains constant. However, at very short channel lengths, the velocity of the carrier is getting saturated even with increase electric field. Therefore, as the velocity is approaching saturation with increased electric field, the field-effect mobility calculated from the same formula would result in a decreased number, as well as the drain current gets saturated at the same time. As we can see in the figure, the field-effect mobility shows a descending trend when L_{ch} is less than 500 nm, indicating that these short channel transistors with $L_{\text{ch}} < 500$ nm are showing carrier velocity saturation behaviors, which is consistent with what was observed in conventional short channel silicon devices.

Finally, we compare the output curves of all sets of devices with various channel lengths in their “ON” state. The $I_{\text{d}} - V_{\text{d}}$ characteristics in Figure 5 show how scaling affects the on-resistance (R_{on}) and drain current at a fixed gate voltage. The R_{on} has contributions from both the channel resistance and the contact resistances. Ideally, R_{on} should decrease linearly with the scaling of channel length. Such a decrease would be characterized by the increase of the $I_{\text{d}} - V_{\text{d}}$ slope at low drain bias, where acoustic phonon scattering is dominant.^{24,25} However, this trend fails to hold for short channel devices, as seen by comparing the 100 and 200 nm devices. For these short channel devices, R_{on} saturated at $\sim 20 \Omega \cdot \text{mm}$ at $V_{\text{ds}} < 0.5$ V. The actual saturated R_{on} would be lower than this value if we consider run-to-run variations in measurement of the threshold voltage (V_{T}), mostly originating from fixed charges in the oxide.¹⁴ In the meantime, we can read from Figure 1c that the




Figure 5. Output curves at the same 50 V gate bias from a series of transistors on the same 5 nm thick flake with different channel length. The 100 and 200 nm device exhibit similar slopes, indicating a similar on-resistance at reduced channel lengths. The performance of the transistors at 100 and 200 nm channel length is mostly limited by the dominant contact resistance.

contact resistance at 50 V gate bias is around $\sim 5 \Omega \cdot \text{mm}$, which equals to $10 \Omega \cdot \text{mm}$ for the total contact resistance. This indicates that R_{on} with $L_{\text{ch}} \leq 200 \text{ nm}$ is largely composed of the contact resistance, because the channel resistance is smaller or even negligible at these channel lengths. R_{c} dominance is not desirable for short channel transistors, which can be understood from two ways: one is that it makes further aggressive scaling worthless, for the R_{on} no longer has a substantial dependence on the channel and, thus, the drain current does not increase with scaling, and the other reason is that the drain voltage applied would be mostly loaded by the contact resistance instead of the channel resistance and result in a degraded lateral electric field in the channel, making it difficult for the drain current to reach the current saturation regime unless a very large drain voltage is applied, as we observe in Figure 5. Slight current saturation occurs only at 100 and 200 nm channel length near the 2 V drain bias. That is to say, due to the large contact resistance at metal/MoS₂ junction, which overshadows the gradual change in the channel resistance, these transistors are operating way below their intrinsic performance limit.

For MoS₂, the charge neutrality level is aligned at the vicinity of the conduction band, making it easy to fabricate an n-channel MOSFET on this material.²⁶ We assume the metal contact on MoS₂ is pinned or at least weakly pinned at 100–200 meV below the conduction band edge, so to understand that both high work function metal (Au, Ni) and low work function metal (Ti) work well for a reasonable quasi-ohmic contact to MoS₂ at room temperature, as shown in this and some other previous studies.^{11,14,19} To investigate the metal contact on MoS₂, temperature-dependent current density of Ni/MoS₂ Schottky contact is measured to determine the effective Schottky barrier height (SBH). The current of the pure Schottky diode is $I = A^*AT^2 \exp(-q\Phi_{\text{B}}/kT)[\exp(qV/nkT) - 1]$, where A^* is the




Figure 6. Effective Schottky barrier height of 30 nm/30 nm Ni/Au contact to MoS₂ as a function of back gate voltage extracted via the activation energy method on two samples. Error bars are determined from the standard errors of the linear fitting used to extract the barrier height. Inset: Richardson plot of $\ln(I/T^2)$ versus T at back gate bias of -70 V . Solid line is the linear fit used to extract the Schottky barrier height.

Richardson constant, A is the area of the metal contact, T is the temperature, q is the electron charge, Φ_{B} is the effective SBH, k is the Boltzmann constant, n is the ideality factor, and V is the applied forward voltage. For Schottky diodes biased at $V > 3kT/q$, the effective SBH Φ_{B} can be accurately extracted from the slope of temperature dependence of $\ln(I/T^2)$ versus T , as shown in the inset of Figure 6. Figure 6 plots the extracted SBHs as a function of back gate bias, which electrostatically dopes MoS₂. It is not surprising to see that the effective SBH is sensitive to the doping concentration of MoS₂ and decreases from maximum 100 meV to near 0 eV (Ohmic). The existence of a small Schottky barrier between metal and MoS₂ is confirmed by this experiment. A transparent Ohmic contact scheme on MoS₂ must be developed before we can realize high-performance short channel MoS₂ transistors with a competitive contact resistance with the state-of-the-art semiconductor device technology, that is, $0.1 \Omega \cdot \text{mm}$. A comprehensive study of the metal/MoS₂ interface is critical to understand the origins and limitations for the metal/MoS₂ contacts.

CONCLUSION

We have studied the device performance of MoS₂ short channel transistors. Despite our devices being fabricated on a 300 nm thick SiO₂ gate dielectric, the superior immunity to short channel effects down to 100 nm channel length has been demonstrated. We observe a severe decrease of current on/off ratio as well as a sharp increase in DIBL for the device with channel length smaller than the characteristic length. By calculating the characteristic length, we have revealed that the channel length can be aggressively reduced to sub-10 nm if we substitute the currently used 300 nm SiO₂ with a state-of-art high- k dielectric. Transport studies are also performed, where the field-effective mobility decrease and maximum current

saturation are observed at short channel lengths attributed to carrier velocity saturation. From on-resistance saturation with the channel scaling, we revealed that the large contact resistance, due to the existing Schottky barrier at metal/MoS₂ contacts, impedes the short channel device performance. The effective Schottky barrier heights

of ~100 meV or less between Ni and MoS₂ interface are experimentally determined. **To realize high-performance MoS₂ short channel transistors, a comprehensive study on metal/MoS₂ junctions is urgently needed and a transparent Ohmic contact scheme on MoS₂ and other 2D crystals^{27,28} needs to be developed.**

METHOD

The MoS₂ flakes were mechanically exfoliated with 3 M scotch tapes from a bulk ingot purchased from SPI Inc. A heavily p-doped silicon wafer (0.01–0.02 Ω·cm) with 300 nm SiO₂ capping layer was used as back gate and gate dielectric. After flake transfer, the samples were soaked in acetone for overnight to remove the tape residues on SiO₂ substrate, followed by methanol and isopropanol rinse. The thickness of the flakes was measured by Dimension 3100 AFM systems. TLM and MOSFETs structures were defined by electron beam lithography, followed by the electron beam evaporation of Ni/Au for 30/50 nm or only 50 nm Au for different sets of devices with the deposition rate of ~1 Å/s. After metal deposition, these samples were transferred to Remover PG solution for lift-off process. Electrical characterizations were carried out with Keithley 4200 system at room temperature.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare no competing financial interest.

Acknowledgment. The authors would like to thank Zhihong Chen, Dmitri Nikonov, Jiangjiang Gu, Min Xu, and Nathan J. Conrad for valuable discussions.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- del Alamo, J. A. Nanometre-scale Electronics with III–V Compound Semiconductors. *Nature* **2011**, *479*, 317–323.
- Ye, P. D.; Xuan, Y.; Wu, Y. Q.; Xu, M. Inversion-Mode InxGa1-xAs MOSFETs (x = 0.53, 0.65, 0.75) with Atomic-Layer Deposited High-k Dielectrics. *ECS Trans.* **2009**, *19*, 605–614.
- Chui, C. O.; Kim, H.; Chi, D.; Triplett, B. B.; McIntyre, P. C.; Saraswat, K. C. A Sub-400°C Germanium MOSFET Technology with High-k Dielectric and Metal Gate. *IEEE Int. Electron Device Meet.* **2002**, 437–440.
- Xu, M.; Wang, R. S.; Ye, P. D. GaSb Inversion-Mode PMOSFETs with Atomic-Layer-Deposited Al₂O₃ as Gate Dielectric. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **2011**, *32*, 883–885.
- Novoselov, K. S.; Geim, A. K.; Morozov, S. V.; Jiang, D.; Katsnelson, M. I.; Grigorieva, I. V.; Dubonos, S. V.; Firsov, A. A. Two-Dimensional Gas of Massless Dirac Fermions in Graphene. *Nature* **2005**, *438*, 197–200.
- Zhang, Y. B.; Tan, Y. W.; Stormer, H. L.; Kim, P. Experimental Observation of the Quantum Hall Effect and Berry's Phase in Graphene. *Nature* **2005**, *438*, 201–204.
- Chen, Y. L.; Analytis, J. G.; Chu, J. H.; Liu, Z. K.; Mo, S. K.; Qi, X. L.; Zhang, H. J.; Lu, D. H.; Dai, X.; Fang, Z.; et al. Experimental Realization of a Three-Dimensional Topological Insulator, Bi₂Te₃. *Science* **2009**, *325*, 178–181.
- Zhang, H.; Liu, C. X.; Qi, X. L.; Dai, X.; Fang, Z.; Zhang, S. C. Topological Insulators in Bi₂Se₃, Bi₂Te₃ and Sb₂Te₃ with a Single Dirac Cone on the Surface. *Nat. Phys.* **2009**, *5*, 438–442.
- Fu, L.; Kane, C. L.; Mele, E. J. Topological Insulators in Three Dimensions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2005**, *98*, 106803.
- Ayari, A.; Cobas, E.; Ogundadegbe, O.; Fuhrer, M. S. Realization and Electrical Characterization of Ultrathin Crystals of Layered Transition-Metal Dichalcogenides. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2007**, *101*, 014507.
- Radisavljevic, B.; Radenovic, A.; Brivio, J.; Giacometti, V.; Kis, A. Single-Layer MoS₂ Transistors. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 147–150.
- Novoselov, K. S.; Jiang, D.; Schedin, F.; Booth, T. J.; Khotkevich, V. V.; Morozov, S. V.; Geim, A. K. Two-Dimensional Atomic Crystals. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2005**, *102*, 10451–10453.
- Schwierz, F. Graphene Transistors. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2010**, *5*, 487–496.
- Liu, H.; Ye, P. D. MoS₂ Dual-Gate MOSFET with Atomic-Layer-Deposited Al₂O₃ as Top-Gate Dielectric. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **2012**, *33*, 546–548.
- Liu, H.; Xu, K.; Zhang, X. J.; Ye, P. D. The Integration of High-k Dielectric on Two-Dimensional Crystals by Atomic Layer Deposition. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *100*, 152115.
- Mak, K. F.; Lee, C.; Hone, J.; Shan, J.; Heinz, T. F. Atomically Thin MoS₂: A New Direct-Gap Semiconductor. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *105*, 136805.
- Xia, F. N.; Perbeinos, V.; Lin, Y. M.; Wu, Y. Q.; Avouris, P. The Origins and Limits of Metal–Graphene Junction Resistance. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 179–184.
- Datta, S. *Electronic Transport in Mesoscopic Systems*; Cambridge University Press: New York, 1995.
- Ghatak, S.; Pal, A. N.; Ghosh, A. Nature of Electronic States in Atomically Thin MoS₂ Field-Effect Transistor. *ACS Nano* **2011**, *5*, 7707–7712.
- Yoon, Y.; Ganapathi, K.; Salahuddin, S. How Good Can Monolayer MoS₂ Transistors Be? *Nano Lett.* **2011**, *11*, 3768–3773.
- Gu, J. J.; Wu, Y. Q.; Ye, P. D. Effects of Gate-Last and Gate-First Process on Deep Submicron Inversion-Mode InGaAs N-channel Metal-Oxide-Semiconductor Field Effect Transistors. *J. Appl. Phys.* **2011**, *109*, 053709.
- Yan, R. H.; Ourmazd, A.; Lee, K. F. Scaling the Si MOSFET: from Bulk to SOI to Bulk. *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices* **1992**, *39*, 1704–1710.
- Shahidi, G. G. Electron Velocity Overshoot at Room and Liquid Nitrogen Temperatures in Silicon Inversion Layers. *IEEE Electron Device Lett.* **1988**, *9*, 94–96.
- Javey, A.; Guo, J.; Wang, Q.; Lundstrom, M.; Dai, H. Ballistic Carbon Nanotube Field-Effect Transistors. *Nature* **2003**, *424*, 654–657.
- Javey, A.; Guo, J.; Paulsson, M.; Wang, Q.; Mann, D.; Lundstrom, M.; Dai, H. High-Field Quasiballistic Transport in Short Carbon Nanotubes. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2004**, *92*, 106804.
- Abrams, B. L.; Wilcoxon, J. P. Nanosize Semiconductors for Photooxidation. *Crit. Rev. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2005**, *30*, 153–182.
- Fang, H.; Chuang, S.; Chang, T. C.; Takei, K.; Takahashi, T.; Javey, A. High-Performance Single Layered WSe₂ p-FETs with Chemically Doped Contacts. *Nano Lett.* **2012**, *12*, 3788.
- Hwang, W. S.; Remskar, M.; Yan, R.; Protasenk, V.; Tahy, K.; Chae, S. D.; Zhao, P.; Konar, A.; Xing, H.; Seabaugh, A.; Jena, D. Transistors with Chemically Synthesized Layered Semiconductor WS₂ Exhibiting 10⁵ Room Temperature Modulation and Ambipolar Behavior. *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **2012**, *101*, 013107.