

Dry 3 – SVM & PAC learning

Submitted individually by Thursday, 20.05, at 23:59. Each day of delay costs 5 points.

You may answer in Hebrew or English and write on a computer or by hand (but be clear).

Please submit a PDF file named like your ID number, e.g., 123456789.pdf.

Bonus opportunities (maximal grade is 100):

- a. Writing on a computer (using LyX/LaTeX, Word + Equation tool, etc.) – adds 3 pts.
- b. Writing in English – adds 2 pts.

1. Recall the optimization formulations for **SVM**:

Hard SVM

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \|w\|_2^2 \\ \text{s.t. } y_i \cdot w^\top x_i \geq 1, \quad \forall i \in [m] \end{aligned}$$

Soft SVM

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{w \in \mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \max\{0, 1 - y_i \cdot w^\top x_i\} + \lambda \|w\|_2^2$$

For the (homogeneous) linearly separable case:

- 1.1. When $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, to which solution will the soft SVM converge?
- 1.2. When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the soft SVM converges to the hard SVM's solution.

Explain briefly and intuitively how it can be seen from the formulations above.

2. Let $K_1(u, v) = \langle \phi_1(u), \phi_1(v) \rangle$, $K_2(u, v) = \langle \phi_2(u), \phi_2(v) \rangle$ be two **kernels** with corresponding feature mappings $\phi_1: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_1}$, $\phi_2: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_2}$ where $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{N}$.

Notice that K_1, K_2 are valid (i.e., well-defined) kernels since each of them can be written as an inner product of some mapping of u and v .

Prove that $K_3(u, v) = K_1(u, v) + K_2(u, v)$ is a valid kernel. You should do so by showing a feature mapping $\phi_3: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n_3}$ for some $n_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $K_3(u, v) = \langle \phi_3(u), \phi_3(v) \rangle$.

3. Define the hypothesis class of **axis aligned rectangles** (or cuboids) in \mathbb{R}^d .

$$\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \mathcal{H}_{\text{rect}}^d = \left\{ h_\theta \mid \forall i \in [d]: \theta_i^{(1)} < \theta_i^{(2)} \right\}$$

$$\text{where } \theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)} \in \mathbb{R}^d, \theta = (\theta^{(1)}, \theta^{(2)}) \text{ and } h_\theta(x) = \begin{cases} +1, & \bigwedge_{i \in [d]} (\theta_i^{(1)} \leq x_i \leq \theta_i^{(2)}) \\ -1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We saw on tutorial 05 that $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{rect}}^2) = 4$.

For the general d -dimensional case:

3.1. Explain in your own simple words (1-3 sentences), what do we need to show in order to prove that

$$\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{rect}}^d) = k \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

3.2. Prove that $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{rect}}^d) \geq 2d$

3.3. Prove that $\text{VCdim}(\mathcal{H}_{\text{rect}}^d) = 2d$