

Sher

对于替换论证, Sher 把它解读为 :

- T 是一个充足的支撑理论 theory of reference for our language
- But the truth of T is preserved under permutations (T remains true under some reference-determinations which assign to "cat" a set of non-cats and to "causal relation" a set of noncausal relations.)
 - T is an **inadequate** theory of reference
- Conclusion: Contradiction. Determining the reference of our words theoretically by a theory T is impossible.

Sher对PERM的回应 :

- 还有不变的 : Permutation does not change the reference of all words in our vocabulary, such as **second-level predicates (formal, preserved under all permutations)**.
 - 故 (PERM) correct for that part of the theory of reference that deals with non-formal terms.
- PERM 确实说明 causal relation is non-formal terms, 不具有替换不变性。不能由