

# An Adaptive $p$ -Norms-based Kinematic Calibration Model for Industrial Robot Positioning Accuracy Promotion : Supplementary File

Anonymous

This is the supplementary file for this paper. Additional tables and figures regarding the experimental results are placed here.

## I. ADDITIONAL TABLES

TABLE S.I. CALIBRATION ACCURACY OF TEN  $L_p$ -KC MODELS ON D1-3.

$L_p$ -KC Models	D1			D2			D3		
	RMSE/mm	MEAN/mm	MAX/mm	RMSE/mm	MEAN/mm	MAX/mm	RMSE/mm	MEAN/mm	MAX/mm
$p=1$	0.727 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$	0.627 $_{\pm 1.8E-2}$	1.320 $_{\pm 1.6E-2}$	0.561 $_{\pm 2.6E-2}$	0.461 $_{\pm 1.7E-2}$	1.335 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$	0.691 $_{\pm 1.8E-2}$	0.631 $_{\pm 1.9E-2}$	1.266 $_{\pm 2.0E-2}$
$p=2$	0.676 $_{\pm 2.2E-2}$	0.577 $_{\pm 1.5E-2}$	1.219 $_{\pm 1.8E-2}$	0.545 $_{\pm 9.9E-3}$	0.443 $_{\pm 2.8E-2}$	1.267 $_{\pm 2.0E-2}$	0.603 $_{\pm 1.9E-2}$	0.583 $_{\pm 1.5E-2}$	1.127 $_{\pm 2.2E-2}$
$p=3$	0.650 $_{\pm 1.5E-2}$	0.553 $_{\pm 2.2E-2}$	1.128 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$	0.525 $_{\pm 1.9E-2}$	0.425 $_{\pm 2.2E-2}$	1.166 $_{\pm 2.6E-2}$	0.553 $_{\pm 3.0E-2}$	0.535 $_{\pm 2.3E-2}$	1.083 $_{\pm 2.0E-2}$
$p=4$	0.630 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$	0.530 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$	1.062 $_{\pm 1.7E-2}$	0.501 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$	0.403 $_{\pm 1.8E-2}$	1.113 $_{\pm 1.0E-2}$	0.511 $_{\pm 1.6E-2}$	0.491 $_{\pm 1.5E-2}$	0.901 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$
$p=5$	0.608 $_{\pm 1.0E-2}$	0.510 $_{\pm 9.0E-3}$	1.006 $_{\pm 8.9E-3}$	0.482 $_{\pm 7.6E-3}$	0.380 $_{\pm 1.1E-2}$	1.061 $_{\pm 9.0E-3}$	<b>0.495<math>_{\pm 9.2E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.473<math>_{\pm 7.4E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.881<math>_{\pm 8.2E-3}</math></b>
$p=6$	<b>0.599<math>_{\pm 1.1E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.499<math>_{\pm 8.9E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.922<math>_{\pm 9.5E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.453<math>_{\pm 1.3E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.353<math>_{\pm 9.1E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.959<math>_{\pm 7.1E-3}</math></b>	0.530 $_{\pm 6.3E-3}$	0.510 $_{\pm 8.0E-3}$	0.963 $_{\pm 5.9E-3}$
$p=7$	0.623 $_{\pm 1.1E-2}$	0.523 $_{\pm 1.7E-2}$	1.108 $_{\pm 8.9E-3}$	0.505 $_{\pm 1.6E-2}$	0.406 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$	1.110 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$	0.551 $_{\pm 1.1E-2}$	0.526 $_{\pm 6.8E-3}$	1.055 $_{\pm 8.8E-3}$
$p=8$	0.651 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$	0.550 $_{\pm 2.0E-2}$	1.220 $_{\pm 2.0E-2}$	0.519 $_{\pm 1.8E-2}$	0.419 $_{\pm 1.8E-2}$	1.220 $_{\pm 1.9E-2}$	0.583 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$	0.563 $_{\pm 1.7E-2}$	1.113 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$
$p=9$	0.685 $_{\pm 1.6E-2}$	0.585 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$	1.266 $_{\pm 2.1E-2}$	0.532 $_{\pm 1.6E-2}$	0.442 $_{\pm 1.9E-2}$	1.253 $_{\pm 1.9E-2}$	0.623 $_{\pm 2.3E-2}$	0.589 $_{\pm 2.6E-2}$	1.168 $_{\pm 2.9E-2}$
$p=10$	0.716 $_{\pm 2.2E-2}$	0.616 $_{\pm 3.6E-2}$	1.290 $_{\pm 3.0E-2}$	0.545 $_{\pm 3.1E-2}$	0.446 $_{\pm 2.8E-2}$	1.285 $_{\pm 3.2E-2}$	0.642 $_{\pm 1.6E-3}$	0.618 $_{\pm 2.8E-2}$	1.203 $_{\pm 2.0E-2}$

TABLE XI S.II. TIME COSTS AND TRAINING ITERATION COUNTS OF TEN  $L_p$ -KC MODELS ON D1-3.

No.	Item	$p=1$	$p=2$	$p=3$	$p=4$	$p=5$	$p=6$	$p=7$	$p=8$	$p=9$	$p=10$
D1	Iteration	13	14	13	12	14	<b>11</b>	13	15	15	14
	Time/s	13.6 $_{\pm 1.25}$	14.3 $_{\pm 1.63}$	13.8 $_{\pm 0.91}$	12.5 $_{\pm 2.03}$	14.5 $_{\pm 1.07}$	<b>11.6<math>_{\pm 0.96}</math></b>	13.9 $_{\pm 2.36}$	16.1 $_{\pm 1.72}$	16.2 $_{\pm 1.74}$	14.9 $_{\pm 1.64}$
D2	Iteration	15	14	13	14	16	<b>11</b>	13	14	15	15
	Time/s	16.4 $_{\pm 0.93}$	14.2 $_{\pm 0.91}$	13.7 $_{\pm 2.13}$	15.9 $_{\pm 1.82}$	16.8 $_{\pm 1.24}$	<b>11.8<math>_{\pm 0.93}</math></b>	14.0 $_{\pm 1.79}$	16.2 $_{\pm 2.82}$	16.4 $_{\pm 1.93}$	16.6 $_{\pm 1.53}$
D3	Iteration	13	14	14	13	<b>11</b>	12	11	15	15	12
	Time/s	13.2 $_{\pm 0.98}$	14.3 $_{\pm 0.75}$	14.5 $_{\pm 0.96}$	13.6 $_{\pm 0.75}$	<b>10.3<math>_{\pm 0.91}</math></b>	12.2 $_{\pm 1.43}$	11.8 $_{\pm 1.79}$	15.2 $_{\pm 1.64}$	14.9 $_{\pm 1.34}$	13.1 $_{\pm 1.23}$

TABLE S.III. CALIBRATION ACCURACY OF M1-8 ON D1-3.

Models	D1			D2			D3		
	RMSE/mm	MEAN/mm	MAX/mm	RMSE/mm	MEAN/mm	MAX/mm	RMSE/mm	MEAN/mm	MAX/mm
M1	0.668 $_{\pm 2.6E-2}$	0.567 $_{\pm 1.9E-2}$	1.161 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$	0.531 $_{\pm 4.0E-2}$	0.435 $_{\pm 3.3E-2}$	1.173 $_{\pm 1.5E-2}$	0.551 $_{\pm 1.3E-2}$	0.530 $_{\pm 1.7E-2}$	1.073 $_{\pm 1.0E-2}$
M2	0.645 $_{\pm 1.2E-2}$	0.546 $_{\pm 1.3E-2}$	1.090 $_{\pm 1.1E-2}$	0.509 $_{\pm 9.2E-3}$	0.410 $_{\pm 8.8E-3}$	1.112 $_{\pm 7.0E-3}$	0.543 $_{\pm 5.3E-3}$	0.510 $_{\pm 3.5E-3}$	0.955 $_{\pm 4.1E-3}$
M3	0.626 $_{\pm 6.7E-2}$	0.526 $_{\pm 5.1E-3}$	1.020 $_{\pm 4.6E-3}$	0.478 $_{\pm 5.1E-3}$	0.381 $_{\pm 5.8E-2}$	1.056 $_{\pm 5.2E-3}$	0.512 $_{\pm 4.2E-3}$	0.486 $_{\pm 5.3E-3}$	0.896 $_{\pm 3.6E-3}$
M4	0.610 $_{\pm 7.6E-3}$	0.510 $_{\pm 5.1E-3}$	0.941 $_{\pm 6.9E-3}$	0.453 $_{\pm 5.8E-3}$	0.358 $_{\pm 6.2E-3}$	0.961 $_{\pm 4.6E-3}$	<b>0.482<math>_{\pm 1.0E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.441<math>_{\pm 1.2E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.816<math>_{\pm 1.2E-2}</math></b>
M5	<b>0.549<math>_{\pm 8.5E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.450<math>_{\pm 9.6E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.853<math>_{\pm 9.7E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.437<math>_{\pm 1.7E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.334<math>_{\pm 1.2E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.830<math>_{\pm 1.0E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.482<math>_{\pm 9.8E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.441<math>_{\pm 1.3E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.816<math>_{\pm 8.5E-3}</math></b>
M6	<b>0.549<math>_{\pm 8.1E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.450<math>_{\pm 9.9E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.853<math>_{\pm 8.8E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.437<math>_{\pm 6.6E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.334<math>_{\pm 5.1E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.830<math>_{\pm 4.3E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.482<math>_{\pm 4.9E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.441<math>_{\pm 4.9E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.816<math>_{\pm 4.6E-3}</math></b>
M7	<b>0.549<math>_{\pm 9.1E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.450<math>_{\pm 5.9E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.853<math>_{\pm 7.8E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.437<math>_{\pm 7.2E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.334<math>_{\pm 1.0E-2}</math></b>	<b>0.830<math>_{\pm 5.2E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.482<math>_{\pm 3.2E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.441<math>_{\pm 3.5E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.816<math>_{\pm 3.7E-3}</math></b>
M8	<b>0.549<math>_{\pm 7.1E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.450<math>_{\pm 6.0E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.853<math>_{\pm 8.0E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.437<math>_{\pm 5.3E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.334<math>_{\pm 8.6E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.830<math>_{\pm 6.3E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.482<math>_{\pm 5.5E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.441<math>_{\pm 5.6E-3}</math></b>	<b>0.816<math>_{\pm 6.1E-3}</math></b>

TABLE S.IV. TIME COSTS AND TRAINING ITERATION COUNTS OF M1-8 ON D1-3.

No.	Item	M1	M2	M3	M4	M5	M6	M7	M8
D1	Iteration	12	12	11	10	9	9	11	12
	Time/s	16.1 $_{\pm 1.36}$	18.4 $_{\pm 1.72}$	19.3 $_{\pm 1.22}$	20.1 $_{\pm 1.21}$	21.2 $_{\pm 1.23}$	23.4 $_{\pm 1.12}$	30.9 $_{\pm 1.81}$	34.6 $_{\pm 0.81}$
D2	Iteration	13	12	12	11	11	10	10	11
	Time/s	17.0 $_{\pm 1.25}$	17.9 $_{\pm 1.53}$	20.2 $_{\pm 1.56}$	21.8 $_{\pm 0.81}$	22.9 $_{\pm 0.93}$	24.7 $_{\pm 1.02}$	26.8 $_{\pm 1.53}$	31.9 $_{\pm 1.53}$
D3	Iteration	12	11	11	11	10	12	12	14
	Time/s	15.6 $_{\pm 1.26}$	16.7 $_{\pm 1.36}$	18.7 $_{\pm 1.55}$	20.5 $_{\pm 0.82}$	21.0 $_{\pm 1.02}$	25.0 $_{\pm 1.11}$	27.8 $_{\pm 0.99}$	35.5 $_{\pm 1.25}$

## II. ADDITIONAL FIGURES




Fig. S.1. Performance of M9-14 on D1-3. The legend displayed in panel (a) applies to all other panels.




Fig. S.2. Performance of M15-22 on D1-3. The legend displayed in panel (a) applies to all other panels.




Fig. S.3. Training process of M15-22 on D1-3. The legend displayed in panel (a) applies to all other panels.




Fig. S.4. Position accuracy of measurement points by M16, M17, M21 and M22 on D1-3. Notably, the dashed lines are the mean values. Panels (a)-(c) compare the position accuracy on D1-3 before calibration (BC), and after calibration by M22. Panels (d)-(f) illustrate the position accuracy comparison among M16, M17, M21 and M22 on D1-3. The above results show that the calibrator M22 has evidently outperformed its peers in position accuracy. The legends displayed in panels (a) and (d) respectively apply to panels (a)-(b) and panels (e)-(f).