

High Dimensional Random Walk: Inner Products And Operators

Xiaoyu Chen

Abstract

A very interesting point to note is that if we write the down-up walk in the operator form, we will gain a lot of benefits in the analysis. In this note, we collect useful properties of operators from [CGM19] and [AL20]. And we could use these properties to reimplement the result in [AL20] by using the “level-by-level decay approach” discovered by [CGM19].

1 The Operators

We will use the definition appears in [CGM19] first. And we will show that they are equivalent to the definition appears in [AL20].

Definition 1.1.

$$\begin{aligned} [X_k \leftrightarrow X_{k+1}] (I, J) &\triangleq \begin{cases} 0 & I \not\subset J \\ 1 & I \subset J \end{cases} \\ [X_{k+1} \leftrightarrow X_k] &\triangleq [X_k \leftrightarrow X_{k+1}]^T \end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.2 (Operators).

$$[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] \triangleq \frac{1}{k+1} \text{diag}(\pi_k)^{-1} [X_k \leftrightarrow X_{k+1}] \text{diag}(\pi_{k+1})$$

$$[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] \triangleq \frac{1}{k+1} [X_{k+1} \leftrightarrow X_k]$$

Note that $[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}]$ and $[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k]$ are denoted as P_k^\downarrow and P_{k+1}^\downarrow in [CGM19], respectively.

Fact 1.1 (Equivalence to [AL20]). For any function $f : X(k+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : X(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] \mathbf{f}(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \supset \alpha} \frac{\pi_{k+1}(\beta)}{(k+1)\pi_k(\alpha)} \cdot f(\beta) = \mathbb{E}_{\beta \supset \alpha} f(\beta)$$

, and

$$[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] \mathbf{g}(\beta) = \sum_{\alpha \subset \beta} \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot g(\alpha) = \mathbb{E}_{\alpha \subset \beta} g(\alpha)$$

. Note that $[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}]$ and $[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k]$ are also denoted as D_{k+1} and U_k in [AL20], respectively.

Using these operators, we could define the down-up walk and up-down walk on level k .

Definition 1.3 (down-up walk, up-down walk). *Down-up walk:*

$$P_{\pi_k}^\Delta \triangleq [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k-1}] [\pi_{k-1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k]$$

Up-down walk:

$$P_{\pi_k}^\nabla \triangleq [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k]$$

And, we denote the non-lazy version of $P_{\pi_k}^\Delta, P_{\pi_k}^\nabla$ as $P_{\pi_k}^\Delta, P_{\pi_k}^\nabla$, respectively.

Definition 1.4 (Inner Product on π_k). For $f, g : X(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\langle f, g \rangle_{\pi_k} \triangleq \sum_{\alpha \in X(k)} \pi(\alpha) f(\alpha) g(\alpha)$$

Theorem 1.1 (Adjointness of Operators, [AL20]). For $f : X(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $g : X(k+1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$\langle g, [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f \rangle_{\pi_{k+1}} = \langle [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] g, f \rangle_{\pi_k}$$

Proof. Here, we give a high level proof of this. You could verify it by brute force.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle g, [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f \rangle_{\pi_{k+1}} &= \mathbb{E}_{\beta \sim \pi_{k+1}} [g(\beta) \cdot [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f(\beta)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\beta \sim \pi_{k+1}} [g(\beta) \mathbb{E}_{\alpha \subset \beta} [f(\alpha)]] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{(\beta, \alpha) \sim (\pi_{k+1}, \pi_k), \alpha \subset \beta} [g(\beta) f(\alpha)] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] g, f \rangle_{\pi_k} &= \mathbb{E}_{\alpha \sim \pi_k} [[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] g(\alpha) f(\alpha)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{\alpha \sim \pi_k} [\mathbb{E}_{\beta \supset \alpha} [g(\beta)] f(\alpha)] \\ &= \mathbb{E}_{(\beta, \alpha) \sim (\pi_{k+1}, \pi_k), \alpha \subset \beta} [g(\beta) f(\alpha)] \end{aligned}$$

So, we can conclude that $\langle g, [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f \rangle_{\pi_{k+1}}$ and $\langle [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] g, f \rangle_{\pi_k}$ gives us the same joint distribution (π_{k+1}, π_k) and thus they are equal. \square

Definition 1.5 (map f to f^1). Suppose we have a distribution π and a function $f : \text{supp}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, then we define: $J_\pi f \triangleq f^1 = \langle f, \mathbf{1} \rangle_\pi \cdot \mathbf{1}$. It turns out that $J_\pi = \mathbf{1}\pi^T$. *Note that $f = f^1 + f^{\perp 1}$.*

2 Reimplement [AL20]

See [Notes for level-by-level-decay approach](#).

Definition 2.1.

$$a_k = \mathbb{E}_{\pi_k} \left[\left(\frac{\mu_k}{\pi_k} \right)^2 \right]$$

First, we have the following fact.

Fact 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1} &= \sum_{\gamma \in X(k-1)} \pi_{k-1}(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu_{k-1}(\gamma)}{\pi_{k-1}(\gamma)} \right)^2 \cdot \sum_{(x,y) \in X_\gamma(2)} \pi_2^\gamma(\{x,y\}) \left(\frac{\mu_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})}{\pi_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})} \right)^2 \\ a_k &= \sum_{\gamma \in X(k-1)} \pi_{k-1}(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu_{k-1}(\gamma)}{\pi_{k-1}(\gamma)} \right)^2 \cdot \sum_{(x) \in X_\gamma(1)} \pi_1^\gamma(\{x\}) \left(\frac{\mu_1^\gamma(\{x\})}{\pi_1^\gamma(\{x\})} \right)^2 \\ a_k &= \sum_{\gamma \in X(k-1)} \pi_{k-1}(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu_{k-1}(\gamma)}{\pi_{k-1}(\gamma)} \right)^2 \cdot 1 \end{aligned}$$

Definition 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= \sum_{(x,y) \in X_\gamma(2)} \pi_2^\gamma(\{x,y\}) \left(\frac{\mu_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})}{\pi_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})} \right)^2 = \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}, \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma} \\ b_k &= \sum_{(x) \in X_\gamma(1)} \pi_1^\gamma(\{x\}) \left(\frac{\mu_1^\gamma(\{x\})}{\pi_1^\gamma(\{x\})} \right)^2 = \left\langle \frac{\mu_1^\gamma}{\pi_1^\gamma}, \frac{\mu_1^\gamma}{\pi_1^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_1^\gamma} \\ b_{k-1} &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Fact 2.2.

$$b_{k-1} = \left\langle \frac{\mu_1^\gamma}{\pi_1^\gamma}, J_{\pi_1^\gamma} \frac{\mu_1^\gamma}{\pi_1^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_1^\gamma} = \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}, J_{\pi_2^\gamma} \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma}$$

Fact 2.3.

$$\frac{\mu_1^\gamma}{\pi_1^\gamma} = [\pi_1^\gamma \leftrightarrow \pi_2^\gamma] \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi_1^\gamma \leftrightarrow \pi_2^\gamma] \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}(x) &= \sum_{\{x,y\} \in X_2^\gamma} \frac{\pi_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})}{2\pi_1^\gamma(\{x\})} \cdot \frac{\mu_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})}{\pi_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})} \\ &= \sum_{\{x,y\} \in X_2^\gamma} \frac{\mu_2^\gamma(\{x,y\})}{2\pi_1^\gamma(\{x\})} \\ &= \frac{\mu_1^\gamma}{\pi_1^\gamma}(\{x\}) \end{aligned}$$

□

Fact 2.4.

$$\begin{aligned} b_k &= \left\langle [\pi_1^\gamma \leftrightarrow \pi_2^\gamma] \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}, [\pi_1^\gamma \leftrightarrow \pi_2^\gamma] \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_1^\gamma} \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}, [\pi_2^\gamma \leftrightarrow \pi_1^\gamma] [\pi_1^\gamma \leftrightarrow \pi_2^\gamma] \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma} \\ &= \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}, P_{\pi_2^\gamma}^\nabla \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma} \end{aligned}$$

Having these facts in hand, we could have the following argument (similar to [AL20]).

$$\begin{aligned} b_k - b_{k-1} &= \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}, (P_{\pi_2^\gamma}^\nabla - J_{\pi_2^\gamma}) \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma} \\ &= \left\langle \left(\frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right)^{\perp 1}, (P_{\pi_2^\gamma}^\nabla - J_{\pi_2^\gamma}) \left(\frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right)^{\perp 1} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma} \\ &\leq \lambda_2(P_{\pi_2^\gamma}^\nabla) \left\langle \left(\frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right)^{\perp 1}, \left(\frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right)^{\perp 1} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma} \\ &= \lambda_2(P_{\pi_2^\gamma}^\nabla) \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma}, (I - J_{\pi_2^\gamma}) \frac{\mu_2^\gamma}{\pi_2^\gamma} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^\gamma} \\ &= \lambda_2(P_{\pi_2^\gamma}^\nabla) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \\ &= \lambda_2(P_{\pi_1^\gamma}^\Delta) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2(P_{\pi_1^\gamma}^\Delta) + 1) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{k-1} + 1) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the γ_{k-1} we use here is defined in [AL20] and should not be confused with γ .

So, we also have

$$\begin{aligned} a_k - a_{k-1} &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{k-1} + 1) (a_{k+1} - a_{k-1}) \\ \frac{1 - \gamma_{k-1}}{1 + \gamma_{k-1}} (a_k - a_{k-1}) &\leq a_{k+1} - a_k \\ \frac{1 - \gamma_{k-1}}{1 + \gamma_{k-1}} A_k &\leq A_{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

So, in the worst case, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A_n &\geq \frac{1 - \gamma_{n-2}}{1 + \gamma_{n-2}} A_{n-1} \\
&\geq \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_i}{1 + \gamma_i} \right) A_1 \\
A_n &\geq \frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_i}{1 + \gamma_i} \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \right)
\end{aligned}$$

So, we have

$$\text{Var}_{\pi_n} \left[\left(\frac{P_n^\nabla \mu_n}{\pi_n} \right)^2 \right] \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_i}{1 + \gamma_i} \right) \right) \text{Var}_{\pi_n} \left[\left(\frac{\mu_n}{\pi_n} \right)^2 \right]$$

All in all, this reimplementation gives us a deeper understanding of down-up walk on simplicial complex:

There is a level-by-level decay of f -divergence on the simplicial complex and thus the random walk converges rapidly. So, we think that the spectral method on the random walk P is actually equivalent to analysis the decay of f -divergence.

References

- [AL20] Vedat Levi Alev and Lap Chi Lau. Improved analysis of higher order random walks and applications. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 1198–1211, 2020.
- [CGM19] Mary Cryan, Heng Guo, and Giorgos Mousa. Modified log-sobolev inequalities for strongly log-concave distributions. In *2019 IEEE 60th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS)*, pages 1358–1370. IEEE, 2019.