

Playing with matrix which has at most 1 positive eigenvalue

Xiaoyu Chen

1 Background

Recently, I am focusing on sampling matroid basis. As I notices, there are two group of people considering this problem this year [1][2]. The interesting things is that both of them use some techniques to analyze the eigenvalue of some specific matrix (i.e. the matrices with at most 1 positive eigenvalue).

The main idea is transform the matrix we want to analyze to another matrix which has some specific properties and is easy to be analyze. Then, find the relationship between the matrix we want to analyze and the special matrix.

In this note, I just want to summary and compare the methods they use.

2 Preliminary

Say, we have a real symmetry matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, with non-negative entries, and has most 1 positive eigenvalue. Moreover, each line of M sum up to be > 0 . The matrix which has the special properties is denoted by P . \vec{w} is a special vector which we are going to use to construct P . Particularly, $\vec{w}(i) = \sum_{j=1}^n M_{ij}$.

3 Method used in [1]

In [1], they write $M = (\text{diag } \vec{w})P$, which could be seen as a normalize factor for each line of M . Then, they define a new inner product to play with P 's eigenvalue. Note that here, P could be seen as a transition matrix of some markov chain.

Define 3.1.

$$\langle \phi, \varphi \rangle_P := \varphi^T (\text{diag } \vec{w}) \phi$$

\triangle : Note that the normal inner product is $\langle \phi, \varphi \rangle := \varphi^T \phi$.

Fact 3.1 (transform between inner product spaces).

$$\langle \phi, M\varphi \rangle = \langle \phi, P\varphi \rangle_P$$

\triangle : This fact could be seen as a transition between different inner product spaces.

Fact 3.2. P is self-adjoint w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle \phi, P\phi \rangle_P &= (P\phi)^T (\text{diag } \vec{w}) \phi \\
&= \phi^T P^T (\text{diag } \vec{w}) \phi \\
&= \phi^T ((\text{diag } \vec{w}) P)^T \phi \\
&= \phi^T M \phi \\
&= \langle M\phi, \phi \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle P\phi, \phi \rangle_P &= \phi^T (\text{diag } \vec{w}) P \phi \\
&= \phi^T M \phi \\
&= \langle M\phi, \phi^T \rangle
\end{aligned}$$

□

Fact 3.3. P has at most 1 positive eigenvalue.

Proof. Since $P = (\text{diag } \vec{w})^{-1} M$ and $(\text{diag } \vec{w})^{-1}$ is PSD, by using Lemma 2.5 in [1], we know that P has at most 1 positive eigenvalue just like M . □

Fact 3.4. P has exactly 1 positive eigenvalue.
(i.e. eigenvalue 1 with eigenvector $\vec{1}$).

Fact 3.5. P 's eigenvectors forms a orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$.

Proof. Since P is self-adjoint w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$, we know that P 's eigenvectors could form an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^n w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ (this property is analyzed explicitly in my another note). □

3.1 Application

After knowing all these facts, we could have fun palying with P 's eigenvalues and orthonormal eigenvectors w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$.

For example, the useful [Courant-Fischer Theorem] could be applied w.r.t. $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_P$ since we have orthonormal of P in this inner product space. And it could be convenient to give the Loewner order between P and some other matrix in this inner product space, and then transform back using Fact 3.1.

Since the choice of inner product would not change the eigenvalue of the matrix. And it maybe easier to analyze the Loewner order between two matrices. Sometimes, we just find the Loewner order w.r.t. some specific inner product, and then we have the relationship between the eigenvalues of two matrices. (See the Proof of Theorem 3.3 in [1] for more detail).

4 Method used in [2]

The authors in [2] use a more explicit but less elegant method to play with this kind of matrices.

Fact 4.1. *Note that M is a real symmetry matrix, so we could write P as*

$$P = D^{-1/2} M D^{-1/2}$$

where $D = (\text{diag } \vec{w})$.

In this construction, P could no longer be seen as the transition matrix of some markov chain. But we have the following facts to play with P .

Fact 4.2. *P has at most 1 positive eigenvalue.*

Proof. refer to Lemma 2.3 in [1]. □

Fact 4.3. *P has eigenvalue 1 with eigenvector $\sqrt{\vec{w}}$, where $\sqrt{\vec{w}}(i) = \sqrt{\vec{w}(i)}$.*

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} P\sqrt{\vec{w}} &= D^{-1/2} M D^{-1/2} \sqrt{\vec{w}} \\ &= D^{-1/2} M \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \vdots \\ \sqrt{\vec{w}(i)} \\ \vdots \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & \\ & \sqrt{\vec{w}(i)} & \\ & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & \\ M(i,1) & \cdots & M(i,n) \\ & & \ddots \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \sqrt{\vec{w}}$$

□

Fact 4.4. *P is also a real symmetry matrix like M . So, P has n orthonormal eigenvectors which could be used as a basis of \mathbb{R}^n .*

Fact 4.5. *If P is a real symmetry matrix, and $g_i, i \in [n]$ is the orthonormal eigenvectors of P , then we have:*

$$P = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i g_i g_i^T$$

Proof. First, note that P could be diagonalize, since P is a real symmetry matrix. Particularly, if $G = [g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n]$, then

$$\Lambda = G^T P G$$

So, at the same time, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= G\Lambda G^T \\
&= \sum_i G\Lambda_i G^T, \quad \Lambda_i = \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & \\ & \lambda_i & \\ & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_i \begin{bmatrix} \cdots & g_i(1) & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \cdots & g_i(n) & \cdots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & \\ & \lambda_i & \\ & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \ddots & & \\ g_i(1) & \cdots & g_i(n) \\ & & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \sum_i \lambda_i g_i g_i^T \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

4.1 Application

Just like above:

1. Courant-Fischer Theorem
2. Loewner order

5 Summary

Both method here do not analyze M directly. Instead, they find a matrix P which has exactly 1 positive eigenvalue. (i.e. eigenvalue 1 with corresponding eigenvector). At the same time, they maintain the eigenvectors of P still to be orthonormal just lik M .

References

- [1] Nima Anari, Kuikui Liu, Shayan Oveis Gharan, and Cynthia Vinzant. Log-concave polynomials ii: high-dimensional walks and an fpras for counting bases of a matroid. In *Proceedings of the 51st Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 1–12. ACM, 2019.
- [2] Mary Cryan, Heng Guo, and Giorgos Mousa. Modified log-sobolev inequalities for strongly log-concave distributions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1903.06081*, 2019.