

Refined Understanding: Spectrum, Coupling and ℓ^p -distance

Xiaoyu Chen

1 Preliminaries

Let P be a [time reversible](#) Markov chain defined on the state space Ω with its stationary distribution π .

Definition 1.1. For any $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^\Omega$, let:

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\pi \triangleq \sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) f(x) g(x)$$

Definition 1.2 (ℓ_p -norm). For any $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have:

$$\|f\|_{\pi, p} \triangleq \begin{cases} (\sum_{x \in \Omega} \pi(x) |f(x)|^p)^{1/p} & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \max_x |f(x)| & o.w. \end{cases}$$

Fact 1.1. For any $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\|f\|_{\pi, 1} \leq \|f\|_{\pi, 2} \leq \dots \leq \|f\|_{\pi, \infty}$$

Proof. Recall that we have Jensen's Inequality for concave function g , such that

$$\mathbb{E}[g(x)] \leq g(\mathbb{E}[x])$$

Then for any $p < r$, note that $x \mapsto x^{\frac{p}{r}}$ is a concave function, we have

$$\|f\|_{\pi, p} = (\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \pi}[|f(x)|^p])^{1/p} = (\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \pi}[|f(x)|^r]^{\frac{p}{r}})^{1/p} \leq ((\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \pi}[|f(x)|^r])^{\frac{p}{r}})^{1/p} = (\mathbb{E}_{x \sim \pi}[|f(x)|^r])^{1/r} = \|f\|_{\pi, r}$$

□

Fact 1.2. For $c \geq 0$, we have $\|c \cdot f\|_{\pi, p} = c \|f\|_{\pi, p}$

Definition 1.3 (self-adjoint operator). We say P is a self-adjoint operator over $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ if for $\forall f, g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have $\langle f, Pg \rangle_\pi = \langle Pf, g \rangle_\pi$.

Definition 1.4.

$$D \triangleq \text{diag}(\pi)$$

Definition 1.5. For any $x \in \Omega$ we have its indicator function $\delta_x : \Omega \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ defined as:

$$\delta_x(y) \triangleq \begin{cases} 1 & y = x \\ 0 & y \neq x \end{cases}$$

Definition 1.6. $q_t \in \mathbb{R}^{\Omega \times \Omega}$ is a matrix that is widely used to measure the distance between the current distribution with the stationary distribution, which is defined as $q_t \triangleq P^t D^{-1}$ and thus:

$$q_t(x, y) = \frac{P^t(x, y)}{\pi(y)}$$

Moreover, let $q_t^x \triangleq q_t(x, \cdot)$ be the x -th row of q_t .

2 Spectral Decomposition

Fact 2.1. P is a self-adjoint operator of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ *iff* P is time reversible.

Proof. \Rightarrow : If P is a self-adjoint operator of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$, then for any $x, y \in \Omega$, we have

$$\langle \delta_x, P\delta_y \rangle_\pi = \langle P\delta_x, \delta_y \rangle_\pi = \langle \delta_y, P\delta_x \rangle_\pi$$

. So, we have $\pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y)P(y, x)$.

\Leftarrow : Could be verified by simple calculation. \square

Theorem 2.1. P is a self-adjoint operator of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ *iff* P has eigenbasis $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{|\Omega|}$ on the inner product space $(\mathbb{R}^\Omega, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi)$.

Proof. \Rightarrow : Since $\pi(x)P(x, y) = \pi(y)P(y, x)$, we have $\pi^{1/2}(x)P(x, y)\pi^{-1/2}(y) = \pi^{1/2}P(y, x)\pi^{-1/2}(x)$. And it turns out that if $D \triangleq \text{diag}(\pi)$ then:

$$D^{1/2}PD^{-1/2} \text{ is a symmetric matrix}$$

So, $D^{1/2}PD^{-1/2}$ have eigenbasis $\{g_i\}_{i=1}^{|\Omega|}$ over $(\mathbb{R}^\Omega, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. And it is suffice to show that $\{D^{-1/2}g_i\}_{i=1}^{|\Omega|}$ are eigenfunctions of P and they are orthogonal according to $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$. Just note that

- (eigenfunction) $D^{1/2}PD^{-1/2}g_i = \lambda_i g_i \Rightarrow PD^{-1/2}g_i = \lambda_i D^{-1/2}g_i$.
- (orthogonal) for $i \neq j$, $\langle D^{-1/2}g_i, D^{-1/2}g_j \rangle_\pi = \langle g_i, g_j \rangle = 0$

(\Leftarrow : Omit, may be added in the future.) \square

Theorem 2.2. If P has eigenbasis $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{|\Omega|}$ on the inner product space $(\mathbb{R}^\Omega, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi)$, then $P = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_i f_i f_i^T D$

Proof. Its suffice to prove that $P(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_i f_i(x) f_i(y) \pi(y)$. Note that $P\delta_y(x) = P(x, y)$. And we have $\delta_y = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \langle f_i, \delta_y \rangle_\pi f_i = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \pi(y) f_i(y) f_i$. So, $P\delta_y = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_i \pi(y) f_i(y) f_i$. So we have

$$P(x, y) = P\delta_y(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_i f_i(x) f_i(y) \pi(y) \quad \square$$

Corollary 2.1. If P has eigenbasis $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{|\Omega|}$ on the inner product space $(\mathbb{R}^\Omega, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi)$, then $P^t = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_i^t f_i f_i^T D$ and thus $q_t = P^t D^{-1} = \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_i^t f_i f_i^T$.

3 Distances

Definition 3.1.

$$d(t) \triangleq \max_{x \in \Omega} \|P^t(x, \cdot) - \pi\|_{TV}$$

Definition 3.2 (ℓ^p -distances).

$$d^{(p)}(t) \triangleq \max_{x \in \Omega} \|q_t^x - 1\|_{\pi, p}$$

Corollary 3.1 (from Fact 1.1). $2d(t) = d^{(1)}(t) \leq d^{(2)}(t) \leq \dots \leq d^{(\infty)}(t)$

Fact 3.1. $d^{(\infty)}(2t) = [d^{(2)}(t)]^2 = \max_{x \in \Omega} q_{2t}(x, x) - 1 = \max_{x \in \Omega} \langle q_t^x - 1, q_t^x - 1 \rangle_\pi$

Proof. **Background:** $\langle q_t^x, q_t^y \rangle_\pi = \sum_{z \in \Omega} \pi(z) \frac{P^t(x, z)}{\pi(z)} \frac{P^t(y, z)}{\pi(z)} = \sum_{z \in \Omega} \frac{P^t(x, z) P^t(z, y)}{\pi(y)} = \frac{P^{2t}(x, y)}{\pi(y)} = q_{2t}(x, y)$ And thus we have $\langle q_t^x - 1, q_t^y - 1 \rangle_\pi = q_{2t}(x, y) - 1$.

($d^{(\infty)}(2t) = \max_{x \in \Omega} |q_{2t}(x, x) - 1|$): By Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality, we have

$$\langle q_t^x - 1, q_t^y - 1 \rangle_\pi \leq \sqrt{\langle q_t^x - 1, q_t^x - 1 \rangle_\pi \langle q_t^y - 1, q_t^y - 1 \rangle_\pi} \leq \max_{\theta \in \{x, y\}} \langle q_t^\theta - 1, q_t^\theta - 1 \rangle_\pi$$

Thus, $d^{(\infty)}(2t) = \max_{x, y \in \Omega} q_{2t}(x, y) - 1 = \max_{x, y \in \Omega} \langle q_t^x - 1, q_t^y - 1 \rangle_\pi = \max_{x \in \Omega} \langle q_t^x - 1, q_t^x - 1 \rangle_\pi$

$$([d^{(2)}(t)]^2 = \max_{x \in \Omega} |q_{2t}(x, x) - 1|): [d^{(2)}(t)]^2 = \max_{x \in \Omega} \|q_t^x - 1\|_{\pi, 2}^2 = \max_{x \in \Omega} \langle q_t^x - 1, q_t^x - 1 \rangle_\pi \quad \square$$

Fact 3.2 (submultiplicative). $d^{(p)}(s + t) \leq d^{(p)}(s) d^{(p)}(t)$

4 The Relationship Between Distances and Spectrum

Fact 4.1. Since $\lambda_1 = 1$ and $f_1 = \mathbb{1}$, we have:

$$d^{(p)}(t) = \max_{x \in \Omega} \|q_t^x - \mathbb{1}^T\|_{\pi,p} = \max_{x \in \Omega} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_{if_i}^t(x) f_i^T - \mathbb{1}^T \right\|_{\pi,p} = \max_{x \in \Omega} \left\| \sum_{i=2}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_{if_i}^t(x) f_i^T \right\|_{\pi,p}$$

Definition 4.1. Let $\lambda_* \triangleq \{|\lambda| : \lambda \text{ is an eigenvalue of } P \text{ but } \lambda \neq 1\}$. **absolute spectral gap** is defined as $\gamma_* \triangleq 1 - \lambda_*$. If we sort all the eigenvalues in the order $1 = \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_{|\Omega|} \geq -1$. **spectral gap** is defined as $\gamma \triangleq 1 - \gamma_2$. Moreover, the **relaxation time** is defined as $\frac{1}{\gamma_*}$.

Fact 4.2. $d^{(p)}(t) \leq \lambda_*^{t-1} d^{(p)}(1)$

Proof. $d^{(p)}(t) = \max_{x \in \Omega} \left\| \sum_{i=2}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_{if_i}^t(x) f_i^T \right\|_{\pi,p} \leq \lambda_*^{t-1} \max_{x \in \Omega} \left\| \sum_{i=2}^{|\Omega|} \lambda_{if_i}(x) f_i^T \right\|_{\pi,p} = \lambda_*^{t-1} d^{(p)}(1)$ □

Fact 4.3. $\lambda_*^t \leq d^{(1)}(t)$

Proof. Let f be any eigenfunctions of P that satisfies $f \perp_{\pi} \mathbb{1}$, and λ be its corresponding eigenvalue and thus we have $\langle f, \mathbb{1} \rangle_{\pi} = \mathbb{E}[f] = 0$. Then for $\forall x \in \Omega$:

$$|\lambda^t f(x)| = |P^t f(x)| = \left| \sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x, y) f(y) \right| = \left| \sum_{y \in \Omega} P(x, y) f(y) - \pi(y) f(y) \right| \leq \|f\|_{\infty} d^{(1)}(t)$$

Let $x_* \triangleq \operatorname{argmax}_x |f(x)|$, then we have

$$|\lambda^t| |f(x_*)| \leq |f(x_*)| d^{(1)}(t) \Rightarrow |\lambda|^t \leq d^{(1)}(t) \Rightarrow \lambda_*^t \leq d^{(1)}(t)$$
 □

Theorem 4.1. $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [d^{(p)}(t)]^{1/t} = \lambda_*$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_*^t &\leq d^{(p)}(t) \leq \lambda_*^{t-1} d^{(p)}(1) \\ \lambda_* &\leq d^{(p)}(t)^{1/t} \leq \lambda_*^{\frac{t-1}{t}} d^{(p)}(1)^{1/t} \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_* &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d^{(p)}(t)^{1/t} \leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_*^{\frac{t-1}{t}} \cdot \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d^{(p)}(1)^{1/t} \\ \lambda_* &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d^{(p)}(t)^{1/t} \leq \lambda_* \end{aligned}$$
 □

5 The Relationship Between Coupling and Spectrum

TODO: [M. F. Chen (98)] and its extension.