High Dimensional Random Walk: Inner Products And Operators

Xiaoyu Chen

Abstract

A very intresting point to note is that if we write the down-up walk in the operator form, we will gain a lot of benefits in the analysis. In this note, we collect useful properties of operators from [CGM19] and [AL20]. And we could use these properies to reimplement the result in [AL20] by using the "level-by-level decay approach" discovered by [CGM19].

1 The Operators

We will use the definition appears in [CGM19] first. And we will show that they are equivalent to the definition appears in [AL20].

Definition 1.1.

$$[X_k \leftrightarrow X_{k+1}](I,J) \triangleq \begin{cases} 0 & I \not\subset J \\ 1 & I \subset J \end{cases}$$

$$[X_{k+1} \leftrightarrow X_k] \triangleq [X_k \leftrightarrow X_{k+1}]^T$$

Definition 1.2 (Operators).

$$[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] \triangleq \frac{1}{k+1} \operatorname{diag}(\pi_k)^{-1} [X_k \leftrightarrow X_{k+1}] \operatorname{diag}(\pi_{k+1})$$

$$[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] \triangleq \frac{1}{k+1} [X_{k+1} \leftrightarrow X_k]$$

Note that $[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}]$ and $[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k]$ are denoted as P_k^{\uparrow} and P_{k+1}^{\downarrow} in [CGM19], respectively.

Fact 1.1 (Equivalence to [AL20]). For any function $f: X(k+1) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: X(k) \to \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] \mathbf{f} (\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \supset \alpha} \frac{\pi_{k+1}(\beta)}{(k+1)\pi_k(\alpha)} \cdot f(\beta) = \underset{\beta \supset \alpha}{\mathbb{E}} f(\beta)$$

, and

$$[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] \mathbf{g} (\beta) = \sum_{\alpha \subset \beta} \frac{1}{k+1} \cdot g(\alpha) = \underset{\alpha \subset \beta}{\mathbb{E}} g(\alpha)$$

. Note that $[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}]$ and $[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k]$ are also denoted as D_{k+1} and U_k in [AL20], respectively.

Using these operators, we could define the down-up walk and up-down walk on level k.

Definition 1.3 (down-up walk, up-down walk). *Down-up walk:*

$$P_{\pi_k}^{\triangle} \triangleq [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k-1}] [\pi_{k-1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k]$$

Up-down walk:

$$P_{\pi_{k}}^{\nabla} \triangleq \left[\pi_{k} \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}\right] \left[\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_{k}\right]$$

And, we denote the non-lazy version of $P_{\pi_k}^{\triangle}, P_{\pi_k}^{\nabla}$ as $P_{\pi_k}^{\wedge}, P_{\pi_k}^{\vee}$, respectively.

Definition 1.4 (Inner Product on π_k). For $f, g: X(k) \to \mathbb{R}$, let

$$\langle f,g\rangle_{\pi_k}\triangleq\sum_{\alpha\in X(k)}\pi(\alpha)f(\alpha)g(\alpha)$$

Theorem 1.1 (Adjointness of Operators, [AL20]). For $f: X(k) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $g: X(k+1) \to \mathbb{R}$, then we have

$$\langle g, [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f \rangle_{\pi_{k+1}} = \langle [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] g, f \rangle_{\pi_k}$$

Proof. Here, we give a high level proof of this. You could verify it by brute force.

$$\langle g, [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f \rangle_{\pi_{k+1}} = \underset{\beta \sim \pi_{k+1}}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{g}(\beta) \cdot [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f(\beta)]$$
$$= \underset{\beta \sim \pi_{k+1}}{\mathbb{E}} [\mathbf{g}(\beta) \underset{\alpha \subset \beta}{\mathbb{E}} [f(\alpha)]]$$
$$= \underset{(\beta, \alpha) \sim (\pi_{k+1}, \pi_k)}{\mathbb{E}} [g(\beta) f(\alpha)]$$

$$\begin{split} \langle [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] \, g, f \rangle &= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha \sim \pi_k} [[\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] \, g(\alpha) f(\alpha)] \\ &= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\alpha \sim \pi_k} [\mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{\beta \supset \alpha} [g(\beta)] f(\alpha)] \\ &= \mathop{\mathbb{E}}_{(\beta, \alpha) \sim (\pi_{k+1}, \pi_k)} [g(\beta) f(\alpha)] \end{split}$$

So, we can conclude that $\langle g, [\pi_{k+1} \leftrightarrow \pi_k] f \rangle_{\pi_{k+1}}$ and $\langle [\pi_k \leftrightarrow \pi_{k+1}] g, f \rangle_{\pi_k}$ gives us the same joint distribution (π_{k+1}, π_k) and thus they are equal. \square

Definition 1.5 (map f to f^1). Suppose we have a distribution π and a function f: supp $(\pi) \to \mathbb{R}$, then we define: $J_{\pi}f \triangleq f^1 = \langle f, \mathbf{1} \rangle_{\pi} \cdot \mathbf{1}$. It turns out that $J_{\pi} = \mathbf{1}\pi^T$. Note that $f = f^1 + f^{\perp 1}$.

2 Reimplement [AL20]

See Notes for level-by-level-decay approach.

Definition 2.1.

$$a_k = \underset{\pi_k}{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\frac{\mu_k}{\pi_k} \right)^2 \right]$$

First, we have the following fact.

Fact 2.1.

$$a_{k+1} = \sum_{\gamma \in X(k-1)} \pi_{k-1}(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu_{k-1}(\gamma)}{\pi_{k-1}(\gamma)}\right)^{2} \cdot \sum_{\{x,y\} \in X_{\gamma}(2)} \pi_{2}^{\gamma}(\{x,y\}) \left(\frac{\mu_{2}^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})}{\pi_{2}^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})}\right)^{2}$$

$$a_{k} = \sum_{\gamma \in X(k-1)} \pi_{k-1}(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu_{k-1}(\gamma)}{\pi_{k-1}(\gamma)}\right)^{2} \cdot \sum_{\{x\} \in X_{\gamma}(1)} \pi_{1}^{\gamma}(\{x\}) \left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{\gamma}(\{x\})}{\pi_{1}^{\gamma}(\{x\})}\right)^{2}$$

$$a_{k} = \sum_{\gamma \in X(k-1)} \pi_{k-1}(\gamma) \left(\frac{\mu_{k-1}(\gamma)}{\pi_{k-1}(\gamma)}\right)^{2} \cdot 1$$

Definition 2.2.

$$b_{k+1} = \sum_{\{x,y\} \in X_{\gamma}(2)} \pi_{2}^{\gamma}(\{x,y\}) \left(\frac{\mu_{2}^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})}{\pi_{2}^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})}\right)^{2} = \left\langle\frac{\mu_{2}^{\gamma}}{\pi_{2}^{\gamma}}, \frac{\mu_{2}^{\gamma}}{\pi_{2}^{\gamma}}\right\rangle_{\pi_{2}^{\gamma}}$$

$$b_{k} = \sum_{\{x\} \in X_{\gamma}(1)} \pi_{1}^{\gamma}(\{x\}) \left(\frac{\mu_{1}^{\gamma}(\{x\})}{\pi_{1}^{\gamma}(\{x\})}\right)^{2} = \left\langle\frac{\mu_{1}^{\gamma}}{\pi_{1}^{\gamma}}, \frac{\mu_{1}^{\gamma}}{\pi_{1}^{\gamma}}\right\rangle_{\pi_{1}^{\gamma}}$$

$$b_{k+1} = 1$$

Fact 2.2.

$$b_{k-1} = \left\langle \frac{\mu_1^{\gamma}}{\pi_1^{\gamma}}, J_{\pi_1^{\gamma}} \frac{\mu_1^{\gamma}}{\pi_1^{\gamma}} \right\rangle_{\pi_1^{\gamma}} = \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}, J_{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}$$

Fact 2.3.

$$\frac{\mu_1^{\gamma}}{\pi_1^{\gamma}} = \left[\pi_1^{\gamma} \leftrightarrow \pi_2^{\gamma}\right] \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} [\pi_1^{\gamma} \leftrightarrow \pi_2^{\gamma}] \, \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}(x) &= \sum_{\{x,y\} \in X_2^{\gamma}} \frac{\pi_2^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})}{2\pi_1^{\gamma}(\{x\})} \cdot \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})}{\pi_2^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})} \\ &= \sum_{\{x,y\} \in X_2^{\gamma}} \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}(\{x,y\})}{2\pi_1^{\gamma}(\{x\})} \\ &= \frac{\mu_1^{\gamma}}{\pi_1^{\gamma}}(\{x\}) \end{split}$$

Fact 2.4.

$$b_k = \left\langle \left[\pi_1^{\gamma} \leftrightarrow \pi_2^{\gamma} \right] \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}, \left[\pi_1^{\gamma} \leftrightarrow \pi_2^{\gamma} \right] \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \right\rangle_{\pi_1^{\gamma}}$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}, \left[\pi_2^{\gamma} \leftrightarrow \pi_1^{\gamma} \right] \left[\pi_1^{\gamma} \leftrightarrow \pi_2^{\gamma} \right] \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}$$

$$= \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}, P_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}^{\nabla} \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}$$

Having these facts in hand, we could have the following argument (similar to [AL20]).

$$\begin{split} b_k - b_{k-1} &= \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}, (P_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}^{\nabla} - J_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}) \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \\ &= \left\langle (\frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}})^{\perp 1}, (P_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}^{\nabla} - J_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}) (\frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}})^{\perp 1} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \\ &\leq \lambda_2 (P_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}^{\nabla}) \left\langle (\frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}})^{\perp 1}, (\frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}})^{\perp 1} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \\ &= \lambda_2 (P_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}^{\nabla}) \left\langle \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}}, (I - J_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}) \frac{\mu_2^{\gamma}}{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \right\rangle_{\pi_2^{\gamma}} \\ &= \lambda_2 (P_{\pi_2^{\gamma}}^{\nabla}) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \\ &= \lambda_2 (P_{\pi_1^{\gamma}}^{\Delta}) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\lambda_2 (P_{\pi_1^{\gamma}}^{\wedge}) + 1) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{k-1} + 1) (b_{k+1} - b_{k-1}) \end{split}$$

Note that the γ_{k-1} we use here is defined in [AL20] and should not be confused with γ .

So, we also have

$$a_k - a_{k-1} \le \frac{1}{2} (\gamma_{k-1} + 1)(a_{k+1} - a_{k-1})$$

$$\frac{1 - \gamma_{k-1}}{1 + \gamma_{k-1}} (a_k - a_{k-1}) \le a_{k+1} - a_k$$

$$\frac{1 - \gamma_{k-1}}{1 + \gamma_{k-1}} A_k \le A_{k+1}$$

So, in the worst case, we have

$$A_n \ge \frac{1 - \gamma_{n-2}}{1 + \gamma_{n-2}} A_{n-1}$$

$$\ge \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_i}{1 + \gamma_i}\right) A_1$$

$$A_n \ge \frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=0}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_i}{1 + \gamma_i}\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i\right)$$

So, we have

$$\operatorname{Var}_{\pi_n} \left[\left(\frac{P_n^{\nabla} \mu_n}{\pi_n} \right)^2 \right] \le \left(1 - \frac{1}{n} \prod_{i=1}^{n-2} \left(\frac{1 - \gamma_i}{1 + \gamma_i} \right) \right) \operatorname{Var}_{\pi_n} \left[\left(\frac{\mu_n}{\pi_n} \right)^2 \right]$$

All in all, this reimplementation gives us a deeper understanding of down-up walk on simplicial complex:

There is a level-by-level decay of f-divergence on the simplicial complex and thus the random walk convergences rapidly. So, we think that the spectral method on the random walk P is actually equivalent to analysis the decay of f-divergence.

References

- [AL20] Vedat Levi Alev and Lap Chi Lau. Improved analysis of higher order random walks and applications. In *Proceedings of the 52nd Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 1198–1211, 2020.
- [CGM19] Mary Cryan, Heng Guo, and Giorgos Mousa. Modified log-sobolev inequalities for strongly log-concave distributions. In 2019 IEEE 60th Annual Symposium on Foundations of Computer Science (FOCS), pages 1358–1370. IEEE, 2019.