## "Introduction to Models of Computation" Solutions

Yanyan Jiang

Spring, 2012

#### 1 Recursive Functions

1.1 Prove: for any fixed k, unary number theoretic function  $x + k \in \mathcal{BF}$ .

**Proof.** We have 
$$+_0 = P_1^1$$
 and  $+_k = \underbrace{S \circ S \circ \ldots \circ S}_{k-1 \text{ times}} \in \mathcal{BF}$  for all  $k \geq 1$ .  $\square$ 

1.2 Prove: for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ , there always exists h satisfying  $f(\mathbf{x}) < ||\mathbf{x}|| + h$  if  $f \in \mathcal{BF}$ .

**Proof.** We perform a structural induction on the constructive length  $\ell$  of basic function f.

When  $\ell = 0$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{IF}$ . Thus  $f(x) \leq S(x) < x + 2$  for all x. Let  $h_0 = 2$ . We assume when  $0 \leq \ell \leq n$ , all functions f with constructive length no longer than  $\ell$  satisfy  $f(\mathbf{x}) < ||\mathbf{x}|| + h_n$ .

In the case of  $\ell = n+1$ , assume that f is constructed by sequence  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n, f$ . If  $f \in \mathcal{IF}$ , it is trivial that  $f(x) \leq S(x) < \|\mathbf{x}\| + 2h_n$ . Elsewise,  $f = \text{Comp}_k^m[f_{i_0}, f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_k}]$ . By inductive hypothesis we have  $f_{i_j} < h_n$  for all j, thus  $f(\mathbf{x}) < \max\{f_{i_j}(\mathbf{x})\} + h_n < \|\mathbf{x}\| + 2h_n$ . Therefore, by letting  $h = 2^{\ell+1}$ ,  $f(\mathbf{x}) < \|\mathbf{x}\| + h$  always holds.

1.3 Prove: binary number theoretic function  $x + y \notin \mathcal{BF}$ .

**Proof.** We have already proved that for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $f : \mathbb{N}^k \to \mathbb{N}$ , there always exists h satisfying  $f(\mathbf{x}) < ||\mathbf{x}|| + h$  if  $f \in \mathcal{BF}$ .

If  $x + y \in \mathcal{BF}$ , there is h such that  $x + x = 2x = 2||\mathbf{x}|| < ||\mathbf{x}|| + h$ , which implies x < h, leading to contradiction.

1.4 Prove: binary number theoretic function  $x - y \notin \mathcal{BF}$ .

**Proof.** Since pred =  $\operatorname{Comp}_2^1[P_1^1, S \circ Z]$ , proving pred  $\notin \mathcal{BF}$  is enough to show  $x - y \notin \mathcal{BF}$ . Assume there exists shortest construction procedure  $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_n$ , pred. There are two cases:

Case 1.  $f_n \in \{S, Z, P\}$  is not the case.

Case 2.  $f_n$  is a composition of S, Z or P.  $f_n$  cannot be composition of S because S(x) > 0 for all x, and pred(1) = 0. Also,  $f_n$  cannot be composition of Z because pred(x) can be arbitrarily large. Finally,  $f_n$  cannot be composition of P because this contradicts the shortest construction assumption.

1.5 Let  $pg(x,y) = 2^x(2y+1) - 1$ . Prove that there exists elementary function K(x) and L(x) such that K(pg(x,y)) = x, L(pg(x,y)) = y and pg(K(z),L(z)) = z.

**Proof.** Let 
$$K(x) = \exp_0(x+1), L(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{x+1}{2^{K(x)}} - 1 \right)$$
, we have 
$$\operatorname{pg}(K(z), L(z)) = 2^{\operatorname{ep}_0(z+1)} \left( \frac{z+1}{2^{\operatorname{ep}_0(z+1)}} \right) - 1 = z.$$

1.6 Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that f could be left function in a pairing function if and only if  $|\{x \in \mathbb{N} : f(x) = i\}| = \aleph_0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proof.** The necessity is trivial by a simple contradiction. For the sufficiency,  $|\{x \in \mathbb{N} : f(x) = i\}| = \aleph_0$  implies that there exists 1-1 onto mapping  $f_i : N_i \to \mathbb{N}$  such that  $N_i = \{x \mid f(x) = i\}$  for all i, which implies that  $f_i^{-1}$  exists for all i. By letting  $pg(x,y) = f_x^{-1}(y)$ , we have  $K(z) = f(f_x^{-1}(z)) = x$  and  $L(z) = f_x(z) = f_x(f_x^{-1}(y)) = y$ .

1.7 Prove that all elementary function can be generated by applying composition and  $\prod_{i=n}^{m} [\cdot]$  operator.

**Proof.** We first build some function by the conditioning ability of  $\Pi$ :

$$N(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{x} Z(i)$$
,  $leq(x, y) = \prod_{i=x}^{y} Z(i)$ , and  $geq(x, y) = \prod_{i=y}^{x} Z(i)$ .

Also, we can construct integral power and thus equality by

$$pow(x,k) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} x,$$

$$eq(x,y) = leq(x,y)^{N(geq(x,y))},$$

and finally  $\Sigma$  operator by creating logarithm:

$$\log(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{x} i^{N(\operatorname{eq}(2^{i}, x))},$$
$$\sum_{i=n}^{m} f(i, \mathbf{x}) = \log \prod_{i=n}^{m} 2^{f(i, \mathbf{x})}.$$

Notice that  $x \times y = \sum_{i=1}^{x} y$ ,  $x + y = \log(2^{x} \cdot 2^{y})$ , and  $|x - y| = \left(\sum_{i=x+1}^{y} 1\right) + \left(\sum_{i=y+1}^{x} 1\right)$ , our proof is complete.

**1.8** Let M(x) be M(M(x+11)) when  $x \le 100$  and x-10 when x > 100. Prove M(x) = 91 when x < 100.

**Proof.** The basic case is M(99) = M(M(110)) = M(100) = M(M(111)) = M(101) = 91, and M(x) = M(M(x)) = M(x+1) when  $90 \le x \le 100$ . An induction on x shows M(x) = 91 for all  $0 \le x \le 100$ .

1.9 Prove:  $\min x \le n.[f(x, y)] = n - \max x \le n.[f(n - x, y)],$  and  $\max x \le n.[f(x, y)] = n - \min x \le n.[f(n - x, y)].$ 

**Proof.** For simplicity, let  $m = \min x \le n.[f(x, \mathbf{y})]$  and  $M = \max x \le n.[f(n-x, \mathbf{y})].$ 

If there is no  $0 \le x \le n$  satisfying  $f(x, \mathbf{y}) = 0$ , we have m = n and M = 0, hence m + M = n. Otherwise, let a be the minimum root of  $f(x, \mathbf{y})$ , thus  $f(x, \mathbf{y}) \ne 0$  for all x < a, and  $f(n - x, \mathbf{y}) \ne 0$  for all x > n - a. By definition, we can easily see that m + M = n. Since both m and M will not exceed n, m + M = n yields m = n - M and M = n - m.

The another case is trivial by symmetry.  $\Box$ 

1.10 Prove:  $\mathcal{EF}$  is closed under the bounded max operator.

**Proof.** For any  $f \in \mathcal{EF}$ ,

$$\max x \le n.[f(x, \mathbf{y})] = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left[ \left\lfloor \left( \sum_{x=0}^{i} N(x, \mathbf{y}) \right) / \left( \sum_{x=0}^{n} N(x, \mathbf{y}) \right) \right\rfloor \times i \right]. \quad \Box$$

1.11 Prove: Euler's totient function  $\varphi \in \mathcal{EF}$ .

**Proof.** 
$$\varphi(x) = \left\{ \sum_{y=0}^{n} N \left[ \left( \sum_{d=0}^{x+y} \left| \operatorname{rs}(x,d) - \operatorname{rs}(y,d) \right| \right) - 2 \right] \right\} - 1$$
.

1.12 Let h(x) be subscript of the greatest prime factor. Assume that h(0) = h(1) = 0, prove that  $h \in \mathcal{EF}$ .

**Proof.** 
$$h(x) = \max i \le x$$
.  $\left\{ N^2 \left| \sum_{j=0}^i [N(rs(i,j))] - 2 \right| + N^2[rs(x,i)] \right\}$ .

1.13 Prove that the Fibonacci sequence f(0) = f(1) = 1,  $f(x + 2) = f(x) + f(x + 1) \in \mathcal{EF}$  and  $\mathcal{PRF}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\{pg, K, L\}$  be any paring function in  $\mathcal{PRF}$ . Let

$$F(0) = pg(1,0)$$
  
 
$$F(x+1) = pg(K(F(x)) + L(f(x)), K(F(x))),$$

we have F is in  $\mathcal{PRF}$  and K(F(x)) = f(x), therefore  $f \in \mathcal{PRF}$ .

On the other hand, f(x) is the number of binary strings of length x-1 without successive 1s. Therefore

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{2^{n-1}-1} N\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n-2} neq\left(\frac{rs(i, 2^j)}{2^{j-1}}, 1\right) neq\left(\frac{rs(i, 2^{j+1})}{2^j}, 1\right)\right] \in \mathcal{EF}. \quad \Box$$

1.14 Prove that the number theoretic function  $Q(x, y, z, v) \equiv p(\langle x, y, z \rangle) \mid v$  is elementary.

**Proof.** We have already seen that  $p(n) \in \mathcal{EF}$  and  $\langle x, y, z \rangle = 2^x \cdot 3^y \cdot 5^z \in \mathcal{EF}$ . Therefore  $Q(x, y, z) = \operatorname{eq}(\operatorname{rs}(v, p(\langle x, y, z \rangle)), 0) \in \mathcal{EF}$ .

**1.15** Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ , f(0) = 1, f(1) = 4, f(2) = 6,  $f(x+3) = f(x) + f^2(x+1) + f^3(x+2)$ . Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{PRF}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G(0) = \langle 1, 4, 6 \rangle$  and

$$G(x+1) = \langle ep_1(G(x)), ep_2(G(x)), ep_0(G(x)) + ep_1^2(G(x)) + ep_2^3(G(x)) \rangle,$$
  
we have  $ep_0(G(x)) = f(x)$ .

1.16 Let  $f(n) = n^{n^{n^{n^{n^{n}}}}}$ , prove that  $f \in \mathcal{PRF} - \mathcal{EF}$ .

**Proof.** Let g(n,0) = 0 and  $g(n,x+1) = n^{g(n,x)}$ . Thus  $g \in \mathcal{PRF}$  and g(n,n) = f(n), therefore  $f \in \mathcal{PRF}$ . On the other hand,  $G(k,x) = 2^{2^{\dots^x}}$  is one among the control functions of  $\mathcal{EF}$ . If  $f \in EF$ , there exists k such that G(k,n) > f(n) for all n. However, this is impossible because f(k+2) is always greater than G(k,k+2).

1.17 Let  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{PRF}, f: \mathbb{N}^2 \to \mathbb{N}$  satisfies that  $f(x,0) = g(x), \ f(x,y+1) = f(f(\dots f(f(x,y),y-1),\dots),0)$ . Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{PRF}$ .

**Proof.** Let G(x,0) = x and G(x,y+1) = g(G(x,y)). A simple induction shows that  $f(x,y) = g^{2^{y-1}}(x)$ , thust  $f(x,y) = G(x,2^{y-1}) \in \mathcal{PRF}$ .

1.18 If  $f, g : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  differs for only finitely many values. Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{GRF}$  if and only if  $g \in \mathcal{GRF}$ .

**Proof.** For the necessity, we have  $g \in \mathcal{GRF}$  and  $S = \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\}$  satisfies that for all  $x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S$ , f(x) = g(x).

Let 
$$F(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} g(s_i) \cdot N(\operatorname{eq}(s_i, x)) + N\left(\sum_{i=0}^{k} N\left(\operatorname{eq}(s_i, x)\right)\right) g(x)$$
, because the  $\Sigma$  in  $F$  is walked through finitely many of values,  $F$  is in  $\mathcal{GRF}$ , and  $f(x) = F(x)$  for all  $x$ , thus  $f \in \mathcal{GRF}$ . Also, the sufficiency case is trivial by symmetry.

1.19 Prove that 
$$\left\lfloor \left( \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2} \right) n \right\rfloor \in \mathcal{EF}$$
.

**Proof.** Let  $\varphi = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$ , we can rewrite the solution of  $y = \lfloor \varphi n \rfloor$  by

$$y = \max_{x \in \mathbb{N}} x$$
  
s.t.  $\varphi n < x$ ,

therefore  $y = \max x \le 2n \cdot \operatorname{eq}(x^2 - nx - n^2, 0)$ .

## 1.20 Prove that $Ack(4, n) \in \mathcal{PRF} - \mathcal{RF}$ .

**Proof.** Let f(0) = 1,  $f(n+1) = 2^{f(n)}$ , we immediately have  $f \in \mathcal{PRF}$ , therefore  $Ack(4, n) = f(n+3) - 3 \in \mathcal{PRF}$ .

 $G(k,x) = 2^{2^{\dots^x}}$  is the control function of  $\mathcal{EF}$ . Assume that  $\operatorname{Ack}(4,n) \in \mathcal{EF}$ , thus  $G'(k,x) = \operatorname{Ack}(4,x+k) + 3 \in \mathcal{EF}$ . However, G(k,x) < G'(k,x) contradicts the assumption, yielding  $\operatorname{Ack}(4,n) \in \mathcal{PRF} - \mathcal{EF}$ .

# 1.21 Let $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ and being 1-1 and onto. Prove that $f \in \mathcal{GRF}$ if and only if $f^{-1} \in \mathcal{GRF}$ .

**Proof.** The sufficiency can be shown by the fact that

$$f^{-1}(x) = \mu y.|f(y) - x|$$

because there exists unique y such that f(y) = x, and hence the root of |f(y) - x|. Therefore,  $\mu y.|f(y) - x|$  is the unique value of y satisfying f(y) = x, i.e.,  $y = f^{-1}(x)$ . Also because  $(f^{-1})^{-1} = f$ , the case of necessity is trivial by symmetry.

1.22 Let p be a polynomial with integral coefficient, and  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  defined by the non-negative root of f(a) = p(x) - a. Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{RF}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $p(x) = a_n x^n + ... + a_1 x + a_0$ ,  $S = \{i \mid a_i > 0\}$ , and  $T = \{i \mid a_i < 0\}$ , we have

$$|p(x) - a| = \left| \sum_{i \in S} |a_i| x^i - \left( a + \sum_{i \in T} |a_i| x^i \right) \right| \in \mathcal{EF}.$$

Therefore,  $f(a) = \mu x |p(x) - a| \in \mathcal{RF}$ .

1.23 Let f(x,y) = x/y if  $y \neq 0 \land y \mid x$  and  $\uparrow$  otherwise. Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{RF}$ .

**Proof.** 
$$f(x,y) = \mu k.|x - ky| + \mu k.N(x + y) \in \mathcal{RF}.$$

**1.24** Define  $g: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  by g(0) = 0, g(1) = 1, g(n+2) = rs((2002g(n+1) + 2003g(n)), 2005). Find g(2006).

**Proof.** We have  $g(n) = \operatorname{rs}\left(\frac{(-1)^{n+1} + 2003^n}{2004}, 2005\right)$  and  $2005 = 5 \cdot 401$ , therefore

$$g(2006) \mod 2005 = \left( (2003^{2006} - 1) \times 2004^{-1} \right) \mod 2005$$
  
=  $\left( (2^{2006} - 1) \times 2004 \right) \mod 2005$ .

Since  $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$  for all prime p,  $2^{2006} \equiv 2^2 \equiv 4 \mod 5$ ,  $2^{2006} \equiv 2^6 \equiv 64 \mod 401$ . According to the Chinese remainder theorem,  $2^{2006} \equiv 64 \mod 2005$ . Therefore,  $g(2006) \equiv 63 \times 2004 \equiv 1942 \mod 2005$ .

1.25 Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  be the *n*-th digit in the decimal representation of  $\pi$ . Prove that  $f \in \mathcal{GRF}$ .

**Proof.** Given a m by m grid, we count the integral point of (x, y) within a circle centered at (0, 0) with radius m by

$$S = \left| \{ (x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x^2 + y^2 \le m^2 \} \right|$$

to approximately find  $\pi$ . S is elementary because

$$S(m) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} N(i^2 + j^2 - m^2).$$

Area of the circle is  $S_c(m) = \pi m^2/4$ , and by the fact that the circle intersects with at most  $2m \ 1 \times 1$  blocks, we have  $|S(m) - S_c(m)| < 2m$ , therefore

$$\left| \frac{S(m)}{m^2} - \frac{\pi}{4} \right| = \frac{1}{m^2} |S(m) - S_c(m)| < 2m^{-1}, \text{ and}$$
  
 $|4S(m) - m^2 \pi| < 8m.$ 

To compute f(n), we need an exponentially large grid, say,  $m = 10^k$ . Then we have  $|4S(10^k) - 10^{2k} \cdot \pi| < 10^{k+1}$ . We know that  $4S(10^k)$  has 2k digits and last k of them is inaccurate, so we use regular  $\mu$  operator to enumerate k until we met a non-zero digit between the first n+1 digits and the last k digits:

$$K(n) = \mu k. \left\{ n + 1 - k + N \left[ rs \left( \frac{S(10^k)}{10^k}, 10^{k-n-1} \right) \right] \right\}.$$

Since there is no infinitely long successive zeros in decimal representation of  $\pi$  (otherwise  $\pi$  will be rational), regularity is ensured and thus  $K \in$ 

$$\mathcal{GRF}$$
, therefore  $f(n) = \operatorname{rs}\left[\frac{S\left(10^{K(n)}\right)}{10^{K(n)+1}}, 10\right] \in \mathcal{GRF}$ .

### 2 Abacus Machines

**2.1** Construct AM for f(x) = 2x.

**Proof.** 
$$f = \langle \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \mathbf{A}_3 \rangle_1 \, \mathbf{move}_{2,1} \mathbf{move}_{3,1}.$$

**2.2** Construct AM for f(x) = |x/2|.

**Proof.** 
$$f = \mathbf{A}_1 \langle \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{S}_1 \mathbf{A}_2 \rangle_1 \mathbf{move}_{2,1} \mathbf{S}_1.$$

**2.3** Construct AM for  $f(x) = x \cdot y$ .

**Proof.** 
$$f = \mathbf{move}_{1,3} \langle \mathbf{copy}_{3,1,4} \mathbf{S}_2 \rangle_2 \mathbf{Z}_3.$$

2.4 Construct AM for  $g(x) = \mu y.[f(x,y)]$  assuming that  $\mathbf{F} \in$  AM defines f.

**Proof.** Assume that **F** uses at most k pillars. If x, y is located at position k + 1, k + 2, respectively, we can compute f(x, y) by

$$\mathbf{M} = \mathbf{copy}_{k+1,1} \mathbf{copy}_{k+2,2} \mathbf{F}.$$

Therefore, g can be constructed by repeatedly enumerate y until f becomes zero:

$$g = \mathbf{move}_{1,k+1} \mathbf{M} \left\langle \mathbf{A}_{k+2} \mathbf{copy}_{k+1,1} \mathbf{copy}_{k+2,2} \mathbf{M} \right\rangle_1 \mathbf{move}_{k+2,1} \mathbf{Z}_{k+1}. \quad \Box$$

**2.5** Construct AM for  $f(x) = 2^x$ .

**Proof.** 
$$f = \text{move}_{1,2} \mathbf{Z}_1 \mathbf{A}_1 \langle \text{copy}_{1,3} \text{move}_{3,1} \mathbf{S}_2 \rangle_2$$
.

- 3  $\lambda$ -calculus
- 3.1 Prove the *Parenthesis Lemma*: for all  $M \in \Lambda$ , the occurrence of left parenthesis is equal to the occurrence of right parenthesis.

**Proof.** Let p(M) be the difference of the occurrence of left and right parenthesis in M, We have p(x) = 0,  $p[(M_1M_2)] = p(M_1) + p(M_2)$  and  $p[(\lambda x.M)] = p(M)$ . A formal proof comes from a simple structural induction.

3.2 Find  $\beta$ -nf of SSSS.

**Proof.** According to  $S \equiv \lambda xyz.xz(yz)$  and  $SS =_{\beta} \lambda xyz.yz(xyz)$ , we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} SSSS & =_{\beta} & SS(SS) \\ & =_{\beta} & \lambda xy.[xy(SSxy)] \\ & =_{\beta} & \lambda xy.xy(\lambda z.yz(xyz)) = M. \end{array}$$

 $M =_{\beta} SSSS$  is  $\beta$ -nf of SSSS because it has no redex.