

1) 20 pts

Mark the following statements as **TRUE** or **FALSE**. No need to provide any justification.

[TRUE] This is the definition of NP.

Every problem in NP has a polynomial time certifier.

[FALSE] Decision problems can be in P.

Every decision problem is in NP-complete.

[TRUE] If 3-SAT reduces to it, it's NP-Hard and we now it's NP so it's NPC.

An NP problem is NP-complete if 3-SAT reduces to it in polynomial time.

[FALSE] It will be $O(m^2 + mn)$ and not linear.

If all edges in a graph have capacity 1, then Ford-Fulkerson runs in linear time.

[FALSE] consider a graph with edges $(a,b) = 4$, $(b,c) = 3$ and $(a, c) = 5$. The shortest path from a to c is 5 but (a, c) is not in the MST.

Let T be a minimum spanning tree of G. Then, for any pair of vertices s and t, the shortest s-t path in G is the path in T.

[TRUE] Master's Theorem

If the running time of a divide-and-conquer algorithm satisfies the recurrence $T(n) = 3T(n/2) + \Theta(n^2)$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^2)$.

[FALSE] Consider the problem in the previous item.

In a divide and conquer solution, the sub-problems are disjoint and are of the same size.

[FALSE] Many of them are NP-Complete.

All Integer linear programming problems can be solved in polynomial time.

[TRUE]

If the linear program is feasible and bounded, then there exists an optimal solution.

[FALSE] Each specific operation can be larger than $O(\log n)$.

Suppose we have a data structure where the amortized running time of Insert and Delete is $O(\lg n)$. Then in any sequence of $2n$ calls to Insert and Delete, the worst-case running time for the n th call is $O(\lg n)$.