

Contents

1	formula	1
2	RNN gradients	3
3	Implementation	4
4	Trading	4
5	Direct Learning	4
6	MSE and MLE	5

1 formula

First we only consider **one play**

$$G(P_n, w^1) = \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \tanh(\theta_i P_n + \theta_{i0}) + \tilde{\theta}_0 \quad (1)$$

Where $P_n = [p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n]$, $G(P_n, w^1) = [y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n]$

$\forall i \in [1, \dots, S]$, $\theta_i P_n = (\theta_i p_1, \theta_i p_2, \theta_i p_3, \dots, \theta_i p_n)$,

So $\tanh(\theta_i P_n + \theta_{i0}) = [\tanh(\theta_i p_1 + \theta_{i0}), \tanh(\theta_i p_2 + \theta_{i0}), \tanh(\theta_i p_3 + \theta_{i0}), \dots, \tanh(\theta_i p_n + \theta_{i0})]$

So $\sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \tanh(\theta_i P_n + \theta_{i0}) + \tilde{\theta}_0 = [\sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \tanh(\theta_i p_1 + \theta_{i0}) + \tilde{\theta}_0, \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \tanh(\theta_i p_2 + \theta_{i0}) + \tilde{\theta}_0, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \tanh(\theta_i p_n + \theta_{i0}) + \tilde{\theta}_0] = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n]$.

Take y_j , where $j \in [1, \dots, n]$ for example

Let $z_j = \theta_i p_j + \theta_{i0}$ and $f(z_j) = \tanh(\theta_i p_j + \theta_{i0})$, we obtain

$$y_j = \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \tanh(\theta_i p_j + \theta_{i0}) + \tilde{\theta}_0 \quad (2)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i f(z_j) + \tilde{\theta}_0 \quad (3)$$

Calculate derivation for y_j ,

$$\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial p_j} = \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \theta_i \frac{\partial f(z_j)}{\partial z_j} \quad (4)$$

Now let's consider the mapping between p_j and x_j . let $\sigma_j = w^1 x_j - p_{j-1}$

$$p_j = \Phi(\sigma_j) + p_{j-1} \quad (5)$$

and

$$\Phi(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x > 0 \\ 0, & -1 < x < 0 \\ x - 1, & x < -1 \end{cases}$$

(6)

Using chain rule, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial y_j}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial y_j}{\partial p_j} \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial x_j} \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i \theta_i w^1 \frac{\partial f(z_j)}{\partial z_j} \frac{\partial \Phi(\sigma_j)}{\partial \sigma_j} \quad (8)$$

To consider **multiple plays** case, we reformulate the derivation as following:

$$\frac{\partial y_j^1}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial y_j^1}{\partial p_j^1} \frac{\partial p_j^1}{\partial x_j} \quad (9)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i^1 \theta_i^1 w^1 \frac{\partial f(z_j^1)}{\partial z_j^1} \frac{\partial \Phi(\sigma_j^1)}{\partial \sigma_j^1} \quad (10)$$

Now from the architecture, we know that if we have P plays,

$$F = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^P G^k \quad (11)$$

Where $F = [f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$, and

$$f_j = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^P y_j^k \quad (12)$$

our derivation is:

$$\frac{\partial f_j}{\partial x_j} = \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^P \frac{\partial y_j^k}{\partial x_j} \quad (13)$$

$$= \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^P \frac{\partial y_j^k}{\partial p_j^k} \frac{\partial p_j^k}{\partial x_j} \quad (14)$$

$$= \frac{1}{P} \sum_{k=1}^P \sum_{i=1}^S \tilde{\theta}_i^k \theta_i^k w^k \frac{\partial f(z_j^k)}{\partial z_j^k} \frac{\partial \Phi(\sigma_j^k)}{\partial \sigma_j^k} \quad (15)$$

2 RNN gradients

$$\frac{\partial p_j}{\partial x_j} = \Phi'(\sigma_j) \frac{\partial \sigma_j}{\partial x_j} \quad (16)$$

$$= \Phi'(\sigma_j) w^1 \quad (17)$$

$$(18)$$

$$(19)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_{j+1}}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial(\Phi(\sigma_{j+1}) + p_j)}{\partial x_j} \quad (20)$$

$$= \Phi'(\sigma_{j+1}) \frac{\partial \sigma_{j+1}}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial x_j} \quad (21)$$

$$= \Phi'(\sigma_{j+1}) \frac{\partial(w^1 x_{j+1} - p_j)}{\partial x_j} + \frac{\partial p_j}{\partial x_j} \quad (22)$$

$$= (1 - \Phi'(\sigma_{j+1})) \Phi'(\sigma_j) w^1 \quad (23)$$

$$(24)$$

$$(25)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_{j+2}}{\partial x_j} = (1 - \Phi'(\sigma_{j+2}))(1 - \Phi'(\sigma_{j+1})) \Phi'(\sigma_j) w^1 \quad (26)$$

$$(27)$$

$$(28)$$

$$\frac{\partial p_{j+i}}{\partial x_j} = (1 - \Phi'(\sigma_{j+i})) \dots (1 - \Phi'(\sigma_{j+1})) \Phi'(\sigma_j) w^1 \quad (29)$$

3 Implementation

Consider $\mathcal{P}_n = [p_1, p_2, p_3, \dots, p_n]$, $G(\mathcal{P}_n, w^1) = [y_1, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n]$

4 Trading

Assume, we observe prices p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N for a fixed $N > 0$. Based on Dima's paper, assume that the price p_n hysteretically depends on the underlying noise b_n , with b_n being a Brownian motion. Using the notation

$$\mathcal{B}_n := (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n), \mathcal{P}_n := (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n)$$

we have

$$b_0 = 0, b_n \sim \mathcal{N}(b_{n-1} + \mu_b, \sigma_b) \quad (30)$$

$$p_n = F(\mathcal{B}_n, W_p) \quad (31)$$

Based on Dima's paperr again, the underlying noise b_n can be expressed as a hysteresis operator depending on the observed prices p_n , i.e.,

$$b_n = G(\mathcal{P}_n, W_b)$$

This is very nice as long as F and G are Prandtl-Ishlinskii operators. However, if one explicitly adds N's strategy, G becomes Preisach and F is not Preisach anymore. It is not clear how well it can be approximated by compositions of plays and nonlinear functions

5 Direct Learning

We learn the parameters W_b, μ_b, σ_b and the initial state p_0 of the network G by maximizing the likelihood of \mathcal{P} . Since \mathcal{P} is the determenistic function of a random variable *mathcal{B}*, its probability density is given by

$$p(\mathcal{P}) = p(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_N) \quad (32)$$

$$= p_b(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N) |\det \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{P})| \quad (33)$$

$$= p_b(G(\mathcal{P}_1, W_b), G(\mathcal{P}_2, W_b), \dots, G(\mathcal{P}_N, W_b)) |\det \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{P})| \quad (34)$$

where

$$p_b(\mathcal{B}) = \prod_{n=1}^N p_b(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_N) \quad (35)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^N p_b(b_n | b_{n-1}) \quad (36)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(b_n - b_{n-1} - \mu_b)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \quad (37)$$

is the probability distribution of \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{P})$ is the Jacobian matrix. Recall that G has the causality property, hence $\mathcal{J}(\mathcal{P})$ is a triangular matrix. Therefore, yield

$$p(\mathcal{P}) = p_b(G(\mathcal{P}_1, W_b), G(\mathcal{P}_2, W_b), \dots, G(\mathcal{P}_N, W_b)) |\det \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{P})| \quad (38)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(b_n - b_{n-1} - \mu_b)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \prod_{n=1}^N \left| \frac{\partial b_n}{\partial p_n} \right| \quad (39)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \exp\left(-\frac{(b_n - b_{n-1} - \mu_b)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) \left| \frac{\partial b_n}{\partial p_n} \right| \quad (40)$$

Thus, maximizing the log-likelihood of $p(\mathcal{P})$ is equivalent to the following:

$$L = \ln p(\mathcal{P}) \sim \sum_{n=1}^N \left(-\frac{(b_n - b_{n-1} - \mu_b)^2}{2\sigma_b^2} - \ln \sigma_b + \ln \left| \frac{\partial b_n}{\partial p_n} \right| \right) \quad (41)$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{b_n - b_{n-1} - \mu_b}{\sigma_b} \right)^2 + 2 \ln \sigma_b - 2 \ln \left| \frac{\partial b_n}{\partial p_n} \right| \right] \quad (42)$$

It's also equivalent to minimizing the loss function as following:

$$\min L = \min \sum_{n=1}^N \left[\left(\frac{b_n - b_{n-1} - \mu_b}{\sigma_b} \right)^2 - 2 \ln \left| \frac{\partial b_n}{\partial p_n} \right| \right] \quad (43)$$

$$= \min \sum_{n=1}^N \left[(b_n - b_{n-1} - \mu_b)^2 - 2\sigma_b^2 \ln \left| \frac{\partial b_n}{\partial p_n} \right| \right] \quad (44)$$

6 MSE and MLE

$$y \sim \mathcal{N}(y|G(x, w), \sigma^2) \quad (45)$$

$$p(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma \exp\left(-\frac{(y-G(x,w))^2}{\sigma^2}\right)} \quad (46)$$

$$p(Y) = \prod_i p(y_i) \quad (47)$$

$$\ln p(\mathcal{Y}) = \sum_i \left(-\frac{1}{2} \ln 2\pi - \ln \sigma - \frac{(y_i - G(x_i, w))^2}{\sigma^2} \right) \quad (48)$$

Assume $z_i = f(y_i)$, we obtain

$$p(z_i) = p(f(y_i)) \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial y_i} \right)^{-1} f^{-1}(z_i) \quad (49)$$

$\max(\mu, \sigma, w)$ s.t. $p(z_i)$ is maximal