

Internet - core networking infra that links all connected computing devices
 - ties together networks
 Goals: speed, cost, reliability
 Responsible for two processes on different hosts to exchange data
 Asynchronous op, limited by speed of light
 Key to scaling systems is how to deal with failure

Network: routers/switches, links
 Network Stack: networking SW on hosts
 - replicates router/switch functionality

Control Plane - mechanisms used to compute routing tables
 - global, must know network topology

Data Plane - using routing tables to fwd packets
 - depends only on arriving packet and local routing table

Different ISPs control their own autonomous systems

Physical ports: where links connect to switches

Logical Ports - logical places where application connects to network stack

Access to network: open socket, associated w port

Network Stack:

Outgoing: chops data into pmtu, puts header on packets
 - ensures reliable delivery

Incoming: reconstructs packet payloads into bytestream
 - delivers bytestream to appropriate socket
 - ensures reliable delivery

Statistical Multiplexing:

peak of aggregate < aggregate of peaks
 Sharing on nw:

Reservation: reserve bandwidth for flow

Circuit Switching: reserve x Mbps
 - send data
 - teardown

Packet Switching: each packet contains dest
 - link fails, now recomputes route
 - flows do nothing

Circuit vs Packet

Packet
 - better app perf
 - more predictable
 - understandable

Circuit
 - better efficiency
 - simpler state in switches
 - easier recovery from failure
 - faster startup

On-demand: higher utilization
 we use packet: better for bursty loads

Use gateways to translate between networks via universal protocol

3 Design Principles

Layering: how to break system into modules

1 Application: networking support for apps

2 Transport: (reliable) end-to-end delivery

3 Network: Global best effort delivery

4 Data link: Local best effort delivery

5 Physical: bits on wire

Host: 1, 2, 3, 4, 7 Router: 1, 2, 3

End-to-End Principle
 what the network does and doesn't do
 Reliability: quick recovery from failure
 in hosts - new failures shouldn't interfere w endpoint semantics

Only if sufficient: implement only if it can be completely done
 Necessary: don't do anything hosts can do
 Useful: only if it enhances performance w/out burden

What burdening apps that don't need E2E ignores operation, security

Fate Sharing Principle

when storing state, locate it w entities that rely on that state

failure can only cause loss of critical state if entity that takes a bout it also fails

IP is unifying protocol that connects networks

Architect for flexibility!

Edge Router - connected to end hosts

Border - connected to routers in another network

Core/backbone - connected to other internal routers

"Valid" routing state - pushes forwarding decisions that always deliver packets to their destinations - no dead ends

Dest-Based Routing - no loops
 - produces spanning tree if at least 1 delivery tree w hosts

Internet Design Goals:

connect existing networks

robust in face of failure

support multiple types of delivery

accommodate a variety of networks

allow distributed management

easy installation

cost effective

allow resource accountability

Global routing state is valid iff no dead ends, no loops

Tree Topologies - LAN

How:

1) Pick a root - smallest ID

2) Compute shortest paths to root

only keep links on shortest path

break ties by choosing path w lowest ID neighbor

Spanning Tree Protocol:

Messages (Y, D, X)

from node X

Propose Y as root

advertising distance D to root

1) each switch thinks it's the root

2) switches update their view if not based on ID

3) switches compute distance from root (D+1)

4) send update

Robustness:

root switch sends periodic messages

detect failures thru timeout - no word from root

Weaknesses:

requires loop free

waste bandwidth

slow to react to failures

must recompute tree

slow to react to host movement

entirely static tree

Spanning tree pros!

Routing on Trees

- flooding

allows every switch to see on which port all other switches are

2 Approaches:

- run routing protocol

send flood packet to create routing state

- learn on the fly:

nodes flood packets when routing state is set there

Each switch can flood or forward depending on whether it has routing information

Learning Switch: when packet arrives, inspect src ID, associate w incoming port

Flooding usually needs a tree BUT we can use duplicate suppression for non-trees (choose shortest path copy)

Global View (2) interdomain

create global view in every router

Link state routing

every router knows its link state

state of links to neighbors: up/down, cost

Flood link state to all other routers

run rate computation locally, compute least cost paths

ONLY routing messages are flooded in link state

don't need tree: routers remember which updates they've seen so they don't resend

Initiate Flood when:

topology change

link/node up/down

configuration change

periodically

There can be transient bugs when some routers know about failures before others

inconsistent link state

performance problems during convergence period

Distance Vector (3) interdomain

each node announces distance to each dest

neighbor w gives me vector

$d(u, v)$ for all nodes v

$d(u, v) = \min_v (c(u, w) + d(w, v))$

u tells neighbors about $d(u, v)$

DS needed:

cost table - costs to all neighbors

periodic copies of each neighbor's forwarding tables

forwarding table - routes/costs to each host

Send messages when any of your distances change

Could to Infinity scenario if link goes down

Poisoned reverse: if you are using next hop's path, tell them not to use your path by setting cost to ∞

Split Horizon: if you use neighbor for path to x, don't send a routing entry for x to that neighbor

FULL UPDATES

Route Poisoning - send ∞ when you no longer have a path

only need to poison if make advertise more complete version of protocol

Send DV based on timer

send updates when any value in DV changes

only send elements that changed

Poisoning necessary w partial updates bc silence means no change

Path Vector (4) interdomain

send your paths when advertising to neighbor

each router makes its own decisions about paths...

Issues: lack of connectivity, lack of convergence

Reliable Transport

Transport mechanism is reliable

resends all dropped/computed packets

attempts to make progress

cannot falsely claim to have delivered a packet

Single Packet Case:

set timer, send packet, resend if no ACK when timer expires

Multiple Packets:

allow w packets to be in flight at once

optimal W: $RTT \cdot B$

Sliding Window

Designing Feedback: ACKs

1) ACK individual packets

know fate of each packet

inexpensive to reordering

single window

loss of ACK requires retransmission

2) Full Info Feedback

Give highest cumulative ACK

plus any additional packets

as much info as you could hope for

resilient form of individual ACKs

could require sizable overhead in bad cases

3) Cumulative ACK: smaller ACK pkts

ACK the highest sequence number for which all previous packets have been received

resilient to lost ACKs

confused by reordering

incomplete info about which packets have been received

Loss

Indiscriminate ACKs

resend when K subsequent ACKs are received

Full Info

resend when K subsequent ACKs are received

Cumulative ACKs

Duplicate ACKs are sign of isolated loss

stream of duplicate ACKs means some packets are being delivered

Hard to deal w loss

168

neighbor for path to x, don't send a routing entry for x to that neighbor

FULL UPDATES

Route Poisoning - send ∞ when you no longer have a path

only need to poison if make advertise more complete version of protocol

Send DV based on timer

send updates when any value in DV changes

only send elements that changed

Poisoning necessary w partial updates bc silence means no change

Path Vector (4) interdomain

send your paths when advertising to neighbor

each router makes its own decisions about paths...

Issues: lack of connectivity, lack of convergence

Reliable Transport

Transport mechanism is reliable

resends all dropped/computed packets

attempts to make progress

cannot falsely claim to have delivered a packet

Single Packet Case:

set timer, send packet, resend if no ACK when timer expires

Multiple Packets:

allow w packets to be in flight at once

optimal W: $RTT \cdot B$

Sliding Window

Designing Feedback: ACKs

1) ACK individual packets

know fate of each packet

inexpensive to reordering

single window

loss of ACK requires retransmission

2) Full Info Feedback

Give highest cumulative ACK

plus any additional packets

as much info as you could hope for

resilient form of individual ACKs

could require sizable overhead in bad cases

3) Cumulative ACK: smaller ACK pkts

ACK the highest sequence number for which all previous packets have been received

resilient to lost ACKs

confused by reordering

incomplete info about which packets have been received

Loss

Indiscriminate ACKs

resend when K subsequent ACKs are received

Full Info

resend when K subsequent ACKs are received

Cumulative ACKs

Duplicate ACKs are sign of isolated loss

stream of duplicate ACKs means some packets are being delivered

Hard to deal w loss

Go Back N

- sliding window
- when loss is detected, resend all w packets starting w loss
- receiver discards all out-of-order packets

Bandwidth: Bits/sec

Propagation Delay: time for one bit to move thru link

Bandwidth Delay Product: # bits in flight at any time. bandwidth * prop delay

Window Sizing Rule: total bits in flight = amount that fits into forward and reverse pipes

Reliability belongs in network stack

Routers care about L2, L3 header

Hosts care about L2, L3, L4

source address is necessary for routers to respond to source with errors

IP Packet Header

Header Length: # 32 bit words in header

usually 5

Total Length: # bytes in packet

max size is 65,535 bytes

Protocol - how to handle packet (higher level protocol)

TCP, UDP

Potential Problems recalculated every router

Computed Header: checksum

Loop: TTL (decremented at each hop)

Packet too big: fragmentation

reassembly done at destination

IP v4 Frag Fields:

Identifiers: which fragments belong together

Flags:

MF more fragments coming

offset:

portion of original payload this fragment contains in 8 byte units

L2: deliver packet with a network

L3: deliver packet between networks

Addresses: used by routers to find packets to dest

used by dest to know packet is for them

Want: Scalable routing, efficient finding

To scale L2:

standard learning switch routing algorithm between switches

First hop router adds tag to dst addr, source addr

Which switch is this host at?

If you don't know, send packet to all edge switches

Address: Switch: Host

Marrying between hosts, switches

L3:

use addr - Network: Host

2 Keys:

1) aggregation

single finding entry for many hosts

→ network IP

2) DNS → IP

IP is locator, contains both network & host

Extending L3:

add layer: autonomous system AS: Network: Host

above: ideal, but not reality

Original Internet Address:

1st eight: network

Last 24: host

Next: Classful Addressing

A: /8 0***

B: /16 10**

C: /24 110*

D: /1 1110*

E: 11110

Problem: wasted address space

Today: CIDR

3 address, mask

1s = nw, 0s = host

mask carried in routing algo

Prefix = nw, suffix = host

For routing, 0s, classful

had network address entries

Aggregation in CIDR is much

more fluid - aggregate by prefix

CIDR routes on prefixes:

key to internet scalability

Hierarchical address allocation

helps routing scalability

BUT "multi-homed" nw

means we need to represent

networks w own entry

Router

Input Linearily Process

Output

Control Processor puts finding

table on line cards

Input line cards: receive incoming pkts

update IP header

TTL

checksum

options

fragment

If dest IP not in find

table, select default route

On classful lookup

know network address,

use to index

CIDR - packet does not tell

you NW address

use prefix tree for

aggregates, overlaps

Longest Prefix Match -

compact representation

of tree

In CIDR, must walk

down bit by bit

LPM decreases

size of routing table

Problems w IP Addr

multihoming not supported

aggregation issues

aggregation relies

on connectivity

Forwarding hard

no binding to identity

Solution: hierarchical addressing

MAC Addr: Numerical address

associated with network adapter

48 bits

depend on

Hierarchical Allocation, interface

24 bit blocks assigned to vendors

last 24 bits assigned by vendors

Broadcast Address: FF:FF:FF:FF:FF:FF

Goal is to reach everyone

NW Interfaces only pass up packets that

match MAC of host

match MAC of broadcast

Flooded packets sent by one host

broadcast packets sent by all flooded

MAC requires no configuration

Tell if destination host is remote:

mask your IP w dest IP

if different, remote

L3 hands packet to L2

w next hop IP addr as param

L2 ARP resolves IP into MAC

Discover: Scalable L2 Routing:

IP Addr: DHCP Switch: Host

8's MAC (B=local) ARP

First Hop Router IP: DHCP

First Hop Router MAC: ARP

DHCP allows host to discover

IP: Netmask

IP of local DNS server

IP of first hop router

DHCP: application layer

1) server maintains IP pool, mask, etc

2) Client broadcasts DHCP discover

L2 broadcast to FF:FF:FF:FF

3) 1 server responds w offer

(broadcast) IP

4) Client broadcasts DHCP request

specifies which offer

echoes accepted params

5) Selected server responds w

ACK: broadcast

src IP w host during DHCP:

0.0.0.0

IP Level: IP broadcast addr

255.255.255.255

user soft state

IP has lease

client must request refresh

before lease expires

server ACKs

NO ACK → server down

client leases new IP

if no fails, lease expires

ARP

each host has list of IP → MAC

if IP not in table: all hosts

broadcast who has IP? but one responds

receiver unicast MAC

result stored in list

If dest remote:

get MAC to be MAC of first hop router

ARP cache updated only on:

direct ARP response

ARP requests for own addr

Broadcasting - scalable bc of limited size

Soft State: forget part, eventually KEY FOR ROBUSTNESS

IETF: Internet Engineering Task Force

RFC: Request for comments

IPv6 vs v4

- don't deal w problems

eliminate fragmentation, checksum

simplify handling

new options mechanism

eliminate header length

provide general flow label

1) expand to dest & back

2) reduce dealing w problems

3) reduce vendor complexity

4) similar special handling

IPv6

Version Traffic Class Flow Label

Payload Length Next Hop Limit

Src Addr

Dest Addr

Transmission Delay - how long it

takes for all bits to get on wire

packet size (bytes)

bandwidth (transmission delay)

Propagation Delay - how long it

takes to transmit bit from

one end to other

length of link (m)

speed of light (m/s) (speed of link)

Bandwidth Delay Product: ~~offset~~

Bandwidth * Prop Delay

Queueing Delay: how long packet

wants to get transmitted on wire

E2E Delay: sum of all net delays

RTT: 2 * E2E delay

Delay = Trans + Prop

Multihoming breaks aggregation

switches do not mess w

packets, don't need to

change MAC for it.

L4 bridges gap between abstraction

designers want and abstraction

networks can support

Optimal window size =

bandwidth * RTT

delay = $\frac{\text{size}}{\text{throughput}}$ (get on wire) + propagation

Control Program (Specification)

Virtualization Layer Abstract NW View

Nos Global NW View

switches OpenFlow (Finding)

NAT - enables many hosts to share 1 addr
- uses port #s, private addr
- socket opened by PS maps to port (transport)
3 special purpose addr blocks:
255.255.255.255/32 - every host on local network: broadcast
127.0.0.0/8: loopback
169.254.0.0/16: not filtered, for single link comm only
10.0.0.0/8, 172.16.0.0/12, 192.168.0.0/16
↳ not routed in public internet
→ assign private addr to hosts behind same NAT
→ multiplex using port #s

NAT Port → IP, port (original)
- violates layering (middlebox) - no imp layering
Network stack - shared networking code on host
- relieves burden from host + network

Transport Layer:
Demultiplexing: from 2 Packet to process (es)
Packets → bytestreams (segmentation + assembly)
Reliability
Congestion
Flow control - rcvr
Congestion Control - network

UDP - multiplexing between processes
disordering computed packets

TCP - P/P
- retransmission of lost/computed pkts
- flow/congestion control
- connection set up/tear down
select port (ps)

UDP - no connection establishment delay
no connection state (less overhead = more clients)
e.g. interactive streaming apps, DNS

TCP: stream - of-bytes service
TCP(segment) data - ≤ Max segment size
= Max Transmission Unit - IP header - TCP header

Seq Num X, Pkt contains B bytes (data)
X...X+B
ACK: next expected byte - always set after SYN

Seq of next packet = last ACK field

Sliding Window Flow Control:
- advertised window W
- can send W bytes beyond next expected byte
- prevent overflowing rcvr buffer
No faster than RTT sec

Sliding Window: data that has been sent but not ACK'd
- max data in flight

SN: set from 32 bit clock
- prevents confusion if reusing old port
- rcvr will ignore packet if it doesn't fit in window

Client SYN, seq num = X
Server
SYNACK
Seq Num = Y, ACK = X+1
ACK ACK = Y+1

- time to wait on SYN
- retransmit hyperlinks → new packet, new SYN
Close connection - FIN
- other host ACKs FIN
↳ closes A's side of connection
not B's
Both together:
FIN, FINACK, ACK
A B A

For abrupt termination:
A sends RST to B
B doesn't ACK
if RST lost + B sends another,
A sends another RST

Client Side
Closed
SYN-SENT
ESTABLISHED
FIN-WAIT-1
FIN-WAIT-2
TIME-WAIT
After sending last ACK, wait in case ACK gets dropped for another FIN ACK

Retransmission
- loss w/cwn ACKs
- dup ACKs show isolated loss
Timeout (RTO)
- return on timeout
- reset when new data ACK'd
start: dup pkts
log: inefficient
- base RTO on RTT estimation
Sample RTT = AckRoundTime - SynchroTime
Estimated RTT = $\alpha \cdot \text{Estimated RTT} + (1-\alpha) \cdot \text{Sample RTT}$
Timers: $\alpha = 0.1$, Sample Dev
RTO - value you set timer for for timeout
- exponential averaging to estimate
- RTT
- ETO - current estimate of appropriate "raw" RTT
- ETO = $\text{Estimated RTT} + 4 \cdot \text{Estimated Deviation}$
- only use clean samples for ETO
- ACK includes no retransmitted segments
- when RTO expires, set RTO = 2 · RTO
- when clean sample arrives, set RTO to ETO
- timeouts are EXPENSIVE

When ACK arrives:
- ACKing data that has already been ACKed
- nothing happens
- ACKing new data:
- RTO set to ETO
- timer reset to RTO, applied to next window
- if none of new data has been retransmitted - this is a clean sample
- ETO is recalculated, used in value of RTO

DNS
- addresses can change underneath a name
- name can map to multiple IP addr
- multiple names for same addr

Goals:
- scaling
- ease of management
- availability / consistency
- fast lookups

Hierarchical namespace
- domains are subnames
- name is lent to root path

Zone corresponds to administrative authority responsible for contiguous portion of hierarchy

DNS: hierarchy
Root
TLD
Authoritative DNS server
- DNS servers are replicated
- every server knows address of root name server
- every node knows address of children

Logical Hierarchy:
- name resolution - walk up/down hierarchy
- name allocation: control over namespace partitioned

DNS records:
(name, value, type, TTL)
Type: A address
hostname → IP address
Type: NS: nameserver
domain → name of DNS server for domain
Type: mail exchanger
domain in email → name of mail server
alias → actual name
pointer
reversed IP → hostname
Anycast: routing finds shortest path to dst
- several locations given same address, mv address packet to closest location
→ 13 root servers, replication via anycast recursive vs iterative DNS query
straight shot
local DNS server initiates every request to other DNS servers

DNS cache
- DNS server cache responses to queries
- response includes TTL

Web is successful bc self-publishing is easy, independent, free
Tel. Net. com, Amazon - proposed network that would allow every thing to be connected.
- owners of docs would be automatically paid

Content Display - HTML
Content Reference - URLs
Download Management - HTTP
- turn URLs into TCP flows

URL - naming content
protocol: //hostname[:port]/dir/path/resource
HTTP: TCP surrounding HTTP
- client/server architecture
- synchronous request/reply protocol
↳ same HTTP session used
- stateless
↳ each request/response treated independently
+ improves scalability
+ some app need state

Caching
- client side state maintenance
- sends state in future requests

Use caching + replication for fast download, high availability, and to avoid network overload
Naive:
- retrieve each object on page individually
Can do concurrent requests in parallel
- multiple connections
Persistent connections
- maintain TCP connection across multiple requests
→ avoid overhead
→ more accurate RTT estimate
→ allow TCP congestion window to increase

Pipelined Requests/Responses:
- batch requests/responses to reduce num packets

Caching:
- if modified-since at same time as ACK of bandwidth
Request...
- within TTL, local client cache responds
- else, send if-modified-since to server

Reverse Proxies - due by content provider
- cache documents close to server to decrease server load

Forward Proxies - cache close to client to reduce net traffic, latency / ISP, etc
Replication - spread loads across servers
- direct client to particular replica by DNS

Content Distribution Network
- caching/replication as
Full caching - direct result of client requests
Push replication - expectation of high access rate
CDN creates new domain
- client content provider (CNN) modifying content to point to new domains
Multiple sites hosted on same infra
Hit rate of cache grows logarithmically w size

CDN: initial request goes to CNN
- embedded links go to CDN

ICMP - Internet control message protocol
→ tell host about w problems/diagnose
→ can exploit to elicit info w/o delivery type: need fragmentation
TTL expired
Unreachable
Source quench - slow down!
redirect

Path MTU: min + 20 MTU uses NF
- guess + check
- choose which routers are how far using time exceeded

Quality of Service - priority scheduling

Congestion Control:
- end hosts adjust sending rate
- based on implicit feedback from network

Detect Congestion Thru Packet Loss
- fail-safe that TCP has to detect
- non-congestive loss (checksum errors, retransmit pkts are complications)

Dup ACKs - isolated loss
Timeout - possible disorder

AIMD - converges to fairness
- embodies gentle increase, rapid decrease
AIAD, MIAD - retains unfairness
MIAD - max unfair

AIMD
CWND → CWND + 1 after 1 RTT, CWN increases by 1 MSS
2nd dupack: CWND → CWND / 2 increase CWND by 1 on each window

Slow Start: start at 1 MSS, start slow but ramp up quickly
→ double CWND per RTT
ACK, CWND += MSS → CWND = 2 · CWN
Switch from slow start to AIMD when CWND > ssthresh

Timeout:
- ssthresh ← CWND / 2
- CWND ← 1
- retransmit first lost packet
- SS until CWND > ssthresh

ACK:
if in slow start:
CWND += 1 (MSS)
else:
CWND = CWND + 1 (MSS) AIMD
Reset dupack
dup ACK + 1
if dupACKCount = 3:
ssthresh = [CWND / 2]
CWND = [CWND / 2]
Fast Recovery solves problem of having to wait a long time before sending new packets in case of packet drop
if dupACKCount = 3:
ssthresh = cwnd / 2
cwnd = ssthresh + 3
while in fast recovery:
cwnd = cwnd + 1 (addictive) dup ACK
receive new ACK → exit fast recovery
cwnd = ssthresh

Flow control
- congestion window
- slow start
- fast recovery
- dup ACK + 3

Throughput = $\frac{MSS}{RTT} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{3}{2p}}$
p = packet drop rate
Average # pkts in flight

ASes
- stub: AS that sends/receives packets on behalf of its users
- transit - carries packets for other ASes

Tier 1, 2, 3
Require policy support, autonomy, policy relationships:
- customer-provider
AS B carries AS's traffic for a fee
- peer
B, A carry each other's traffic for free
1) don't carry traffic if not being paid
2) save/make money when carrying traffic
AS topology reflects business relationships between ASes
BGP: Border Gateway Protocol
concurrent requests → no proxy caching

BGP implemented by AS border routers

→ per dst route advertisements

BGP: best route based on policy, not shortest distance

- path vector routing used to avoid paths, allow flexible policies
- selective route advertisement
⇒ reachability not guaranteed even if graph connected
- BGP may aggregate routes for scaling

Selection: which path to use

Export: which path to advertise
→ how traffic enters n/w

Favor customer > peer > provider
Prefix Advertised By

Customer
Peer
Provider

Customer
Customer
Customer

Gau-Rexford
graph of customer-provider relationships are acyclic

⇒ can arrange providers in a hierarchy
• top of provider chain is either 1 provider

• selection never causes unreachability
• export CAN

border routers speak BGP
EBGP - BGP sessions between border routers in different ASes

IBGP - BGP sessions between routers in same AS
→ distribute externally learned routes internally

IGP - intradomain routing protocol
→ provide internal reachability

Each router has 2 routing tasks
• IGP for internal locations
• IBGP for egress router

Messages:
Open
→ establish BGP connection (uses TCP)

Notification
→ report unusual conditions

Update
→ inform neighbor of new routes
→ inform neighbor of old routes that become inactive

Keep Alive
→ inform neighbor connection is still alive

< IP prefix: route attributes >
→ announcements: new/update
→ withdrawal: remove routes

Attributes:
ASPATH
→ lists all ASes a route advertisement has traversed (in reverse order)

Local Pref
→ value that represents preferred paths
→ local to AS, carried only in IBGP

MED - multi exit discriminator
→ for ASes connected via 2 or more links
→ specify how close a prefix is to link it's announced on

IGP cost
→ used for hop/path to routing
→ each router selects shortest path based on path cost

Asymmetric routing bc MED & IGP
route selection priority!

1) Local Pref 4) EBGP > IBGP
2) ASPATH 5) IBGP path
3) MED 6) router ID

Issues

- security
→ AS can claim to send a prefix it doesn't "blackhole"
- convergence
→ if not Gau-Rexford
→ policy oscillation
→ not in accordance w Gau-Rexford
- many more paths must be calculated in BGP
→ slow response/convergence
- misconfigurations
→ each router is configured individually
→ policies are changing

Traffic Engineering: way of distributing load on the network

→ define traffic aggregates: set of flows that follow same path
e.g. pipes, tunnels

→ traditional routing get packets to/from pipe

Multiprotocol Label Switching
→ way of establishing pipes
→ insert label before IP header

→ route on larger aggregate, w finer granularity
MPLS header between L2, L3

→ edge routers inspect IP header, insert MPLS label
→ core routers use based on MPLS label

Edge: all intelligent/functional core: dumb plumbing providing connectivity

Multicast: send single packet that is delivered to multiple locations
→ no link sees more than one copy of packet

→ allow receivers to come & go w/out source needing to keep track

Anycast: sending packet to one of a set of possible locations
→ only one copy of packet delivered

Broadcast: sending packet to all hosts
→ many copies of packet delivered

Multicast: sending packet to multiple recs (those that want it)
→ join multicast group w address G

→ can implement at different layers
→ not members can send

Link
→ NIC listens for packet sent to multicast address G (MAC)

send: like broadcast
→ just over single subnet

IP
→ uses L2 multicast
→ partition of 2D addr space used for multicast

→ open group membership
→ send IGMP message
→ internet group management protocol

→ used delivery tree for multicast
1) use reverse paths

→ arriving packet has dst, src
if 3, route to src thru port P, else drop

state: MMR
2) prune tree when there are sub-trees w no group members

→ router knows whether it has local members
→ if all children of router send non-membership report, prune

→ propagate...
Core Based Trees
→ pick rendezvous point for group
→ build tree from all members to core using first path unicast routing

→ scalability/flooding issues
Middleboxes
→ hit a router that's part of tree & stop
→ more complex/diverse functionality
→ keep state
→ smarter traffic processing

Network Function Virtualization

- deploy network functions as VMs on racks
→ spin up as needed

Challenges: speed, scaling, ease of writing

Enables edge computing
Programmable Forward Chips allow for defining the passing/control flow for pkts - high level language

Edge computing/programmable switches debate

Original Goals for Control Plane
→ basic connectivity: routing
→ interdomain policy: policy compliant

VLANS - virtual LANS, tags in header
Access Control Lists allow routers to limit access to hosts

SDN motivation: control plane is a mess
Networking has yet to extract simplicity

Network because:
→ large datacenter
→ multi-tenancy, i.e. each customer gets own network

Data Plane has layers
Control Plane has no layers
SDN - abstraction for subtasks

Forwarding Abstraction
OpenFlow standardized interface to switch

→ current proposal for forwarding
1) switches accept external control messages
2) standardized flow entry format

Network State Abstraction
Global Network View

→ implemented using Network OS
→ info from routers/switches to form "view"

→ config to routers/switches has been controller to control forwarding
→ centralized controller using NOS API

Specification Abstraction
→ specify goals, not implementation
→ Spec Abs

Control: express goals on abstract Network state
Virtual Layer: implement goals on networks

NOS: API domain by NW state abstraction
SDN localizes complexity

→ simplifies interface for control program
Routing

→ given graph of network, compute paths
→ pass to switches

Access Control
→ control program decides who can talk to who
→ SDN platform adds appropriate ACL flow entries

Given virtual switch, can enable SDN
first hop switch for all VMs
→ hypervisors control multiple VMs

NOS on servers
Largely allowed:
→ simultaneous innovation at different layers

→ allowed internet to scale
→ made internet a recursive overlay

Connectivity between domains done through BGP
→ copied enter (inter domain IGP)

→ domains could have made change easier
→ first internet source of management

"L3.5" - interdomain layer on edge routers
→ decouple L3, L3.5
→ different domains can use different L3s

⇒ multiple L3.5 designs

domains decide when to support L3.5

- can arrange remote peering with others
- different designs allow for evolution

Trusty
Logical Pipe:

→ next header field that identifies L3.5 design
→ connect every host to edge w pipe

Bootstrap Mechanism
→ tells hosts which L3.5 supported
→ learn logical pipe technology

netAPI
→ OS provides API that allows applications to request any L3.5 design

Deployment
→ domain adds edge support
→ OS vendors add OS support

→ op vendors modify n/w to use new L3.5
→ hardest step is to deploy L3.5 designs at edge

NFV creates edge to edge principle, restores simple core
→ moves functionality out of hardware into software at edge

→ deploy INs as VMs at domain edge

Trusty enables new architectures, designs

Edge Computing - computing at edge for application-specific tasks

OpenCamera Interface - allow third parties to provide edge services on demand

2 step process for permanent evolution
1) adopt Trusty
2) ongoing development of new architecture

→ move from client-server to client-edge-server

Availability - will n/w deliver data?
Authentication - who is sending?

Integrity - domains assume no forgery
Provenance - who is responsible for created this data

Public Key Crypto:
auth/signature
privacy/encryption

integrity: hash function
provenance: signature

Harmful Availability!
→ natural outages
→ external: prevent from finish

→ internal: compromise routers
→ DoS

Defense!
→ Fight fire w fire
→ spam good packets

→ permission to send
→ huge exchange
→ shut up packets (NIC)

→ tell host not to send for period of time

IP header length includes
TCP/IP header lengths

headers added to inner part of packet
L2 header
L3 header
L4 header