Lat us see the application of sank rullity the ocem in the following rebult. Let us consider the system Ax=y,  $A \in M_{mxn}(F)$ In case of homogeneous system y=0, we get Ax=0Let us think of a linear tromsformation

To Fix | Fix defined as Tz = Ax, then thee lution space of Ax=0 is nothing but the null stage of the linear transformation T. In case of non-homogeneous system, y∈ Range (T) if the system Ax=y has a solution Let us recall that the so column rank of a matrix is the dimension of subspace of F") spanned by columns of the moitrin. Lot A, Az, An are the columns of the matrix, then  $1 x = A x = x_1 A_1 + x_2 A_2 + \cdots \times A_n$ where  $x = (x_1, x_2, x_n)$ It is clear that sange of T 18 the substan spanned by the Columns of materix Against hence the dimension of range space of Tis the column, rank of A i.e. sank (T) = column rout of A

Let us consider that S= solution Spaceof homogeneous gystem An=0 which is the null spra of t. Hence dim (s) = nullity of T. Now apply rank nullity theosem to git nullity of T + ronk t= dim of F=n > dim S + column rough of A= n Now look back the theory of eystem of equations, of It RRE of A has or non zero rows then row rank of A = I and solution of A consists of rectors from FAXI
with n-r free variables,
or the basis of solution space
of Ax=0 consists n-r vectors hence dim S = n-r Therefore Column rank A= n-dim S
- n = rows = 2 = row rank of A

Thus for a materix now rank of A and column rank of A are equal. We call this no as sank of the materix.

Remark: What will be the dimension of solution afor a of Ax=0 if A is innortible, where  $A \in M_{mxn}(\mathbb{R})$ .

Observe that the set of all linear transformations
from Vinto W forms a vector
space under the following
vector addition and scalar
multiplication defined as
Let T, U: V > W be linear transformation
then (T+U) (x) = Tx+Ux

(CT)(x)= c T(x)

The rector space such obtained is denoted as L(v, w). It is left as an excersion to the students to verify all the Proporties of vector addition and scalar multiplications defined above.

Remark: We know that

the set of functions for W

(where V and W need not be

vector spaces) forms a rector

space under point mise addition

and scalar multiplication defined

above, then what is L(V, W)?

It is nothing but the subspace

of the vectors space of functions

from V into W, when V&W are

vector spaces.

If T:V->W & U; W->Z are
two linear transformations, where
V, W & Z are vector spaces one a
field F, Then their composition
JoT=UT: V->Z is also a
linear transformation from Vinto Z

and is defined as (VOT) (N) = U(T(N)) +dEV It is easy to chick Infact, (UoT) (cd+B) = U(T(cd+B)) = U(CTX+TB) = U(cTx)+U(TB) = cU(Ta)+U(Tp)  $= c(V \circ T)(\alpha) + (U \circ T) \beta$ We denote this emposition as UT.

R-emarle 1

In case of V=W=Z, &T=U, one can define T=ToT in a similar way. Also T=T.T. -T (n ofice)

Remark:

In general U.T+ Tou. N Take Tand D defined on as multiplication by a and differentiation suspectively, then it 11s easy to check TD + PT.