Eigenvalue eigenvector

Instructor: Dr. Avijit Pal

Linear algebra- II (IC152)

Observations

- The main idea is to express $H(\mathbf{x})$ as sum of squares and hence determine the possible values that it can take.
- Note that if we replace \mathbf{x} by $c\mathbf{x}$, where c is any complex number, then $H(\mathbf{x})$ simply gets multiplied by $|c|^2$ and hence one needs to study only those \mathbf{x} for which $\|\mathbf{x}\|=1$, i.e., \mathbf{x} is a normalised vector.
- If $A=A^*$ (A is Hermitian) then there exists a unitary matrix U such that $U^*AU=D$ ($D=diag(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\ldots,\lambda_n)$ with λ_i 's the eigenvalues of the matrix A which we know are real).

Observations cont.

• So, taking $\mathbf{z} = U^*\mathbf{x}$ (i.e., choosing z_i 's as linear combination of x_j 's with coefficients coming from the entries of the matrix U^*), one gets

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}^* U^* A U \mathbf{z}$$

$$= \mathbf{z}^* D \mathbf{z}$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i |z_i|^2$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \left| \sum_{j=1}^n u_{ji}^* x_j \right|^2.$$
(1)

 Thus, one knows the possible values that H(x) can take depending on the eigenvalues of the matrix A in case A is a Hermitian matrix.

Observations cont.

- Also, for $1 \le i \le n$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} u_{ji} x_{j}$ represents the principal axes of the conic that they represent in the n-dimensional space.
- Equation (1) gives one method of writing $H(\mathbf{x})$ as a sum of n absolute squares of linearly independent linear forms.
- One can easily show that there are more than one way of writing $H(\mathbf{x})$ as sum of squares.
- The question arises, "what can we say about the coefficients when $H(\mathbf{x})$ has been written as sum of absolute squares".
- This question is answered by 'Sylvester's law of inertia' which we state as the next theorem.

Theorem

Every Hermitian form $H(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}^* A \mathbf{x}$ (with A an Hermitian matrix) in n variables can be written as

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = |y_1|^2 + |y_2|^2 + \dots + |y_p|^2 - |y_{p+1}|^2 - \dots - |y_r|^2$$

where y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_r are linearly independent linear forms in x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n , and the integers p and r, $0 \le p \le r \le n$, depend only on A.

Outline of the proof

- From Equation (1), it is easily seen that $H(\mathbf{x})$ has the required form. Need to show that p and r are uniquely given by A.
- Hence, let us assume on the contrary that there exist positive integers p, q, r, s with p > q such that /

$$H(\mathbf{x}) = |y_1|^2 + |y_2|^2 + \dots + |y_p|^2 - |y_{p+1}|^2 - \dots - |y_r|^2$$

= $|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 + \dots + |z_q|^2 - |z_{q+1}|^2 - \dots - |z_s|^2$.

- Since, $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^t$ and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n)^t$ are linear combinations of x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , we can find a matrix B such that $\mathbf{z} = B\mathbf{y}$.
- Choose $y_{p+1} = y_{p+2} = \cdots = y_r = 0$.

Outline of the proof cont.

- Since p > q, Theorem 2.5.1, gives the existence of finding nonzero values of y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p such that $z_1 = z_2 = \dots = z_q = 0$.
- Hence, we get

$$|y_1|^2 + |y_2|^2 + \dots + |y_p|^2 = -(|z_{q+1}|^2 + \dots + |z_s|^2).$$

- Now, this can hold only if $y_1 = y_2 = \cdots = y_p = 0$, which gives a contradiction. Hence p = q.
- Similarly, the case r > s can be resolved.

We complete this chapter by understanding the graph of

$$ax^2 + 2hxy + by^2 + 2fx + 2gy + c = 0$$

for $a, b, c, f, g, h \in \mathbb{R}$. We first look at the following example.

Example

- Sketch the graph of $3x^2 + 4xy + 3y^2 = 5$.
- Note that

$$3x^2 + 4xy + 3y^2 = \begin{bmatrix} x, & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

- The eigenpairs for $\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ are $(5, (1,1)^t)$, $(1, (1,-1)^t)$.
- Thus,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x+y}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{x-y}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$3x^{2} + 4xy + 3y^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} x, & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} x, & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} u, & v \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= 5u^{2} + v^{2}.$$

Thus the given graph reduces to

$$5u^2 + v^2 = 5$$
 or equivalently $u^2 + \frac{v^2}{5} = 1$.

• Therefore, the given graph represents an ellipse with the principal axes u = 0 and v = 0. That is, the principal axes are

$$y + x = 0$$
 and $x - y = 0$.

• The eccentricity of the ellipse is $e = \frac{2}{\sqrt{5}}$, the foci are at the points $S_1 = (-\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{2})$ and $S_2 = (\sqrt{2}, -\sqrt{2})$, and the equations of the directrices are $x - y = \pm \frac{5}{\sqrt{2}}$.

Definition (Associated Quadratic Form)

Let $ax^2 + 2hxy + by^2 + 2gx + 2fy + c = 0$ be the equation of a general conic. The quadratic expression

$$ax^{2} + 2hxy + by^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} x, & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & h \\ h & b \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

is called the quadratic form associated with the given conic.

• We now consider the general conic. We obtain conditions on the eigenvalues of the associated quadratic form to characterise the different conic sections in \mathbb{R}^2 (endowed with the standard inner product).

Theorem

Consider the general conic

$$ax^2 + 2hxy + by^2 + 2gx + 2fy + c = 0.$$

Prove that this conic represents

- an ellipse if $ab h^2 > 0$,
- 2 a parabola if $ab h^2 = 0$, and
- \bigcirc a hyperbola if $ab h^2 < 0$.

- Let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & h \\ h & b \end{bmatrix}$.
- Then the associated quadratic form

$$ax^2 + 2hxy + by^2 = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}.$$

• As A is a symmetric matrix, the eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 of A are both real, the corresponding eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2$ are orthonormal and A is unitarily diagonalisable with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1^t \\ \mathbf{u}_2^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 (2)

• Let $\begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 & \mathbf{u}_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$.

Then

$$ax^2 + 2hxy + by^2 = \lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 v^2$$

and the equation of the conic section in the (u, v) -plane, reduces to

$$\lambda_1 u^2 + \lambda_2 v^2 + 2g_1 u + 2f_1 v + c = 0.$$

• Now, depending on the eigenvalues λ_1, λ_2 , we consider different cases:

Case: 1

- $\lambda_1 = 0 = \lambda_2$. Substituting $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ in (6.4.2) gives A = 0.
- Thus, the given conic reduces to a straight line $2g_1u + 2f_1v + c = 0$ in the (u, v) -plane.

Case 2

• $\lambda_1 = 0, \lambda_2 \neq 0$. In this case, the equation of the conic reduces to

$$\lambda_2(v+d_1)^2 = d_2u + d_3$$
 for some $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

- ① If $d_2 = d_3 = 0$, then in the (u, v) -plane, we get the pair of coincident lines $v = -d_1$.
- ② If $d_2 = 0$, $d_3 \neq 0$.
- If $\lambda_2 \cdot d_3 > 0$, then we get a pair of parallel lines $v = -d_1 \pm \sqrt{\frac{d_3}{\lambda_2}}$.
- If $\lambda_2 \cdot d_3 < 0$, the solution set corresponding to the given conic is an empty set.
- If $d_2 \neq 0$. Then the given equation is of the form $Y^2 = 4aX$ for some translates $X = x + \alpha$ and $Y = y + \beta$ and thus represents a parabola.
- **1** Also, observe that $\lambda_1=0$ implies that the $\det(A)=0$. That is, $ab-h^2=\det(A)=0$.

Case: 3

- $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $\lambda_2 < 0$. Let $\lambda_2 = -\alpha_2$.
- Then the equation of the conic can be rewritten as

$$\lambda_1(u+d_1)^2 - \alpha_2(v+d_2)^2 = d_3$$
 for some $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

- In this case, we have the following subcases:
 Subcase 1:
 - \bigcirc suppose $d_3 = 0$. Then the equation of the conic reduces to

$$\lambda_1(u+d_1)^2 - \alpha_2(v+d_2)^2 = 0.$$

- ② The terms on the left can be written as product of two factors as $\lambda_1, \alpha_2 > 0$.
- **3** Thus, in this case, the given equation represents a pair of intersecting straight lines in the (u, v) -plane.

• suppose $d_3 \neq 0$. As $d_3 \neq 0$, we can assume $d_3 > 0$. So, the equation of the conic reduces to

$$\frac{\lambda_1(u+d_1)^2}{d_3} - \frac{\alpha_2(v+d_2)^2}{d_3} = 1.$$

• This equation represents a hyperbola in the (u, v) -plane, with principal axes

$$u + d_1 = 0$$
 and $v + d_2 = 0$.

• As $\lambda_1\lambda_2 < 0$, we have

$$ab - h^2 = \det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 < 0.$$

Case 4

• $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0$. In this case, the equation of the conic can be rewritten as

$$\lambda_1(u+d_1)^2 + \lambda_2(v+d_2)^2 = d_3$$
, for some $d_1, d_2, d_3 \in \mathbb{R}$.

We now consider the following Subcases:

Subcase: 1: suppose $d_3 = 0$. Then the equation of the ellipse reduces to a pair of perpendicular lines $u + d_1 = 0$ and $v + d_2 = 0$ in the (u, v) -plane.

Subcase: 2: suppose $d_3 < 0$. Then there is no solution for the given equation. Hence, we do not get any real ellipse in the (u, v)-plane.

Subcase: 3: suppose $d_3 > 0$. In this case, the equation of the conic reduces to

$$\frac{\lambda_1(u+d_1)^2}{d_3} + \frac{\alpha_2(v+d_2)^2}{d_3} = 1.$$

Case 4 cont.

• This equation represents an ellipse in the (u, v) -plane, with principal axes

$$u + d_1 = 0$$
 and $v + d_2 = 0$.

• Also, the condition $\lambda_1\lambda_2>0$ implies that

$$ab - h^2 = \det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 > 0.$$

Thank You