

# Eigenvalue eigenvector

Instructor: Dr. Avijit Pal

Linear algebra- II (IC152)

## Observation :4

- Let  $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k\}$  be an orthonormal subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- Let  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n)$  be the standard ordered basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then there exist real numbers  $\alpha_{ij}$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq n$  such that

$$[\mathbf{v}_i]_{\mathcal{B}} = (\alpha_{1i}, \alpha_{2i}, \dots, \alpha_{ni})^t.$$

- Let

$$A = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k].$$

- Then in the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B}$ , we have

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \alpha_{n2} & \cdots & \alpha_{nk} \end{bmatrix}$$

is an  $n \times k$  matrix.

## Observation: 4 cont.

- Also, observe that the conditions  $\|\mathbf{v}_i\| = 1$  and  $\langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , implies that

$$1 = \|\mathbf{v}_i\|^2 = \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_i \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_{ji}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \langle \mathbf{v}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \sum_{s=1}^n \alpha_{si} \alpha_{sj}. \quad (1)$$

- Note that,

$$\begin{aligned} A^t A &= \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^t \\ \mathbf{v}_2^t \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{v}_k^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1 & \mathbf{v}_2 & \cdots & \mathbf{v}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \|\mathbf{v}_1\|^2 & \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \langle \mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_k \rangle \\ \vdots & \vdots & \cdots & \vdots \\ \langle \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle & \langle \mathbf{v}_k, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle & \cdots & \|\mathbf{v}_k\|^2 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} = I_k. \end{aligned}$$

- Or using (1), in the language of matrices, we get

$$A^t A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{21} & \cdots & \alpha_{n1} \\ \alpha_{12} & \alpha_{22} & \cdots & \alpha_{n2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{1k} & \alpha_{2k} & \cdots & \alpha_{nk} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ \alpha_{21} & \alpha_{22} & \cdots & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{n1} & \alpha_{n2} & \cdots & \alpha_{nk} \end{bmatrix} = I_k.$$

- Notice that the inverse of  $A$  is its transpose.
- Such matrices are called orthogonal matrices and they have a special role to play.

## Definition

A  $n \times n$  real matrix  $A$  is said to be an orthogonal matrix if

$$A A^t = A^t A = I_n.$$

## Theorem (QR Decomposition)

*Let  $A$  be a square matrix of order  $n$ . Then there exist matrices  $Q$  and  $R$  such that  $Q$  is orthogonal and  $R$  is upper triangular with  $A = QR$ . In case,  $A$  is non-singular, the diagonal entries of  $R$  can be chosen to be positive. Also, in this case, the decomposition is unique.*

- We prove the theorem when  $A$  is non-singular.
- Let the columns of  $A$  be  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$ .
- The Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process applied to the vectors  $\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n$  gives the vectors  $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n$  satisfying
  - 1  $\|\mathbf{u}_i\| = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,
  - 2  $\langle \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \rangle = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ , and
  - 3  $L(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n) = L(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$ .
- Now, consider the ordered basis  $\mathcal{B} = (\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n)$ .
- So, we can find scalars  $\alpha_{ji}, 1 \leq j \leq i$  such that

$$\mathbf{x}_i = \alpha_{1i}\mathbf{u}_1 + \alpha_{2i}\mathbf{u}_2 + \dots + \alpha_{ii}\mathbf{u}_i = [(\alpha_{1i}, \dots, \alpha_{ii}, 0, \dots, 0)^t]_{\mathcal{B}}. \quad (2)$$

## Outline of the proof cont.

- Let  $Q = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n]$ . Then  $Q$  is an orthogonal matrix.
- We now define an  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrix  $R$  by

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ 0 & \alpha_{22} & \cdots & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

- By using (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} QR &= [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_n] \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{11} & \alpha_{12} & \cdots & \alpha_{1n} \\ 0 & \alpha_{22} & \cdots & \alpha_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \left[ \alpha_{11}\mathbf{u}_1, \alpha_{12}\mathbf{u}_1 + \alpha_{22}\mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{in}\mathbf{u}_i \right] \\ &= [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n] = A. \end{aligned}$$

- Thus, we see that  $A = QR$ , where  $Q$  is an orthogonal matrix and  $R$  is an upper triangular matrix.
- The proof doesn't guarantee that for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\alpha_{ii}$  is positive.
- But this can be achieved by replacing the vector  $\mathbf{u}_i$  by  $-\mathbf{u}_i$  whenever  $\alpha_{ii}$  is negative.
- **Uniqueness :**
  - 1 Suppose  $Q_1 R_1 = Q_2 R_2$  then  $Q_2^{-1} Q_1 = R_2 R_1^{-1}$ . Observe the following properties of upper triangular matrices.
  - 2 The inverse of an upper triangular matrix is also an upper triangular matrix, and product of upper triangular matrices is also upper triangular.
  - 3 Thus the matrix  $R_2 R_1^{-1}$  is an upper triangular matrix. Also, the matrix  $Q_2^{-1} Q_1$  is an orthogonal matrix.
  - 4 Hence,  $R_2 R_1^{-1} = I_n$ . So,  $R_2 = R_1$  and therefore  $Q_2 = Q_1$ .



## Generalised QR Decomposition

- Suppose we have matrix  $A = [\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k]$  of dimension  $n \times k$  with  $\text{rank}(A) = r$ .
- Then by the application of the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process yields a set  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r\}$  of orthonormal vectors of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .
- In this case, for each  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ , we have

$$L(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_i) = L(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_j), \text{ for some } j, \quad i \leq j \leq k.$$

- Hence, proceeding on the lines of the above theorem, we have the following result.

### Theorem (Generalised QR Decomposition)

*Let  $A$  be an  $n \times k$  matrix of rank  $r$ . Then  $A = QR$ , where*

- 1  *$Q$  is an  $n \times r$  matrix with  $Q^t Q = I_r$ . That is, the columns of  $Q$  form an orthonormal set,*
- 2 *If  $Q = [\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r]$ , then  $L(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \dots, \mathbf{u}_r) = L(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k)$ ,*
- 3  *$R$  is an  $r \times k$  matrix with  $\text{rank}(R) = r$ .*

## Example 1

- Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- We want to find an orthogonal matrix  $Q$  and an upper triangular matrix  $R$  such that  $A = QR$ .
- We know that

$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1, 0, 1, 0), \quad \mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, 1, 0, 1), \quad \mathbf{v}_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(0, -1, 0, 1). \quad (3)$$

- We now compute  $\mathbf{w}_4$ . If we denote  $\mathbf{u}_4 = (2, 1, 1, 1)^t$  then by the Gram-Schmidt process,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_4 &= \mathbf{u}_4 - \langle \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 - \langle \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \mathbf{v}_2 - \langle \mathbf{u}_4, \mathbf{v}_3 \rangle \mathbf{v}_3 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(1, 0, -1, 0)^t. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

## Example 1 cont.

- Thus, using (3) and (4), we get

$$Q = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3, \mathbf{v}_4] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} & \frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 & \sqrt{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

- Check that  $A = QR$ .

## Example 2

- Let  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -2 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- We wish to find a  $4 \times 3$  matrix  $Q$  satisfying  $Q^t Q = I_3$  and an upper triangular matrix  $R$  such that  $A = QR$ .
- Let us apply the Gram Schmidt orthogonalisation to the columns of  $A$ .
- Or equivalently to the rows of  $A^t$ . So, we need to apply the process to the subset  $\{(1, -1, 1, 1), (1, 0, 1, 0), (1, -2, 1, 2), (0, 1, 0, 1)\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^4$ .
- Let  $\mathbf{u}_1 = (1, -1, 1, 1)$ . Define  $\mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{u}_1}{2}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_2 = (1, 0, 1, 0)$ .
- Then
$$\mathbf{w}_2 = (1, 0, 1, 0) - \langle \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 = (1, 0, 1, 0) - \mathbf{v}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(1, 1, 1, -1).$$

## Example 2 cont.

- Hence,  $\mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{(1, 1, 1, -1)}{2}$ . Let  $\mathbf{u}_3 = (1, -2, 1, 2)$ .
- Then

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \mathbf{u}_3 - \langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 - \langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{u}_3 - 3\mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{0}.$$

- So, we again take  $\mathbf{u}_3 = (0, 1, 0, 1)$ . Then

$$\mathbf{w}_3 = \mathbf{u}_3 - \langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{v}_1 \rangle \mathbf{v}_1 - \langle \mathbf{u}_3, \mathbf{v}_2 \rangle \mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{u}_3 - 0\mathbf{v}_1 - 0\mathbf{v}_2 = \mathbf{u}_3.$$

$$\text{So, } \mathbf{v}_3 = \frac{(0, 1, 0, 1)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

## Example 2 cont.

- Hence,

$$Q = [\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

- Check the following:

- rank  $(A) = 3$ ,
- $A = QR$  with  $Q^t Q = I_3$ ,
- $R$  a  $3 \times 4$  upper triangular matrix with rank  $(R) = 3$ .

- Recall that given a  $k$ -dimensional vector subspace of a vector space  $V$  of dimension  $n$ , one can always find an  $(n - k)$ -dimensional vector subspace  $W_0$  of  $V$  satisfying

$$W + W_0 = V \quad \text{and} \quad W \cap W_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}.$$

- The subspace  $W_0$  is called the complementary subspace of  $W$  in  $V$ .
- We now define an important class of linear transformations on an inner product space, called orthogonal projections.

## Definition (Projection Operator)

Let  $V$  be an  $n$ -dimensional vector space and let  $W$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace of  $V$ . Let  $W_0$  be a complement of  $W$  in  $V$ . Then we define a map  $P_W : V \longrightarrow V$  by

$$P_W(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{w}, \text{ whenever } \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_0, \mathbf{w} \in W, \mathbf{w}_0 \in W_0.$$

The map  $P_W$  is called the projection of  $V$  onto  $W$  along  $W_0$ .

## Remark

- *The map  $P$  is well defined due to the following reasons:*
  - 1  $W + W_0 = V$  implies that for every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ , we can find  $\mathbf{w} \in W$  and  $\mathbf{w}_0 \in W_0$  such that  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_0$ .
  - 2  $W \cap W_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  implies that the expression  $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_0$  is unique for every  $\mathbf{v} \in V$ .



- The next proposition states that the map defined above is a linear transformation from  $V$  to  $V$ .
- We omit the proof, as it follows directly from the above remarks.

## Proposition

*The map  $P_W : V \longrightarrow V$  defined above is a linear transformation.*

## Example 1

- Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $W = \{(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x + y - z = 0\}$ .
- Let  $W_0 = L((1, 2, 2))$ . Then  $W \cap W_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $W + W_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Also, for any vector  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , note that  $(x, y, z) = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_0$ , where  
 $\mathbf{w} = (z - y, 2z - 2x - y, 3z - 2x - 2y)$ , and  $\mathbf{w}_0 = (x + y - z)(1, 2, 2)$ .
- So, by definition,

$$P_W((x, y, z)) = (z - y, 2z - 2x - y, 3z - 2x - 2y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ -2 & -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}.$$

## Example 1, cont.

- Let  $W_0 = L( (1, 1, 1) )$ . Then  $W \cap W_0 = \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $W + W_0 = \mathbb{R}^3$ .
- Also, for any vector  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , note that  $(x, y, z) = \mathbf{w} + \mathbf{w}_0$ , where

$$\mathbf{w} = (z - y, z - x, 2z - x - y), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{w}_0 = (x + y - z)(1, 1, 1).$$

- So, by definition,

$$P_W( (x, y, z) ) = (z - y, z - x, 2z - x - y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix}.$$

*Thank You*