

Let us see some examples of bases.

Let $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ be a vector space over \mathbb{R} , then the set of following vectors forms a basis for V .

$$B = \{e_1, e_2, e_3, \dots, e_n\}, \text{ where for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$$e_i = (0, 0, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ with } 1 \text{ at } i^{\text{th}} \text{ place.}$$

This is called standard basis for \mathbb{R}^n and thus dimension of \mathbb{R}^n is n .

Another example is, let V be the vector space of all matrices of size $m \times n$ over \mathbb{R} i.e.

$V = M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$, then the following set is a

basis for V ,

$$B = \{E_{11}, E_{12}, E_{13}, \dots, E_{1n}, E_{21}, E_{22}, E_{2n}, \dots, E_{m1}, E_{m2}, \dots, E_{mn}\}$$

where $E_{ij} \in M_{m \times n}(\mathbb{R})$ with i^{th} row and j^{th} column entry as 1 and rest are zero. A typical example for $m=3, n=2$ can be as

$$E_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Is it true that every vector space has a basis (finite or infinite)?

Answer is YES.

Ques: Let V be the vector space of polynomials of order $\leq k$ over \mathbb{R} , find the basis of V .

Next, we see some results related with basis and dimension of vector space. We will not give proofs unless or until it is unavoidable.

Theorem: Let V be a vector space of finite dimension. Then any two bases of V have the same (finite) no of elements.

We draw the following observations from above theorem, Let $\dim V = n$

- any subset of vector space V containing more than ' n ' elements is linearly dependent.
- no subset of V which contains less than n elements can span V .

Ques: what will be the dimension of trivial subspace $\{0\}$

The next result is a lemma which will help us to prove that every linearly independent subset is a part of some basis of vector space.

Lemma: Let S be a linearly independent subset of vector space V . Assume that $\beta \in V$ does not belong to the span of S . Then the set $S \cup \{\beta\}$ is a linearly independent subset of V .

A proof of this lemma is very easy to write. Let $S = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ then if we want to prove that

$S \cup \{\beta\} = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta\}$ is linearly independent, we must

consider an arbitrary linear combination of these vectors as

$$c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + c_k \alpha_k + d \cdot \beta = 0$$

and then we should come out with the conclusion that

$$c_i = 0 \quad \forall i=1, 2, \dots, k \text{ and } d \neq 0$$

Note that if $d=0$, then we are left with

$$c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + c_k \alpha_k + 0 \cdot \beta = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_1 \alpha_1 + c_2 \alpha_2 + \dots + c_k \alpha_k = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow c_i = 0 \quad \forall i=1, 2, \dots, k \text{ as}$$

S is linearly independent.

Assume that $d \neq 0$, then you can write

$$\beta = -\frac{c_1}{d} \alpha_1 - \frac{c_2}{d} \alpha_2 + \dots - \frac{c_k}{d} \alpha_k$$

which will contradict the fact that β does not belong to the span of S .

Hence $d=0$ and thus $S \cup \{\beta\}$ is linearly independent.

Theorem: If $W \subseteq V$ is a subspace of a finite dimensional vector space V , every linearly independent subset of W is finite and is part of basis of W .

Proof: Theorem says that, in other words, any linearly independent subset of W can be extended to a basis of W .

The process to extend it to the basis of W follows from previous lemma. Let S_0 is any linearly independent subset of W . If

S_0 spans W then S_0 is a basis for W and we are done. If S_0 fails to span W then we can find an element $\beta_1 \in W$ s.t.

$\beta_1 \notin \text{span}\{S\} = \langle S \rangle$ and then using previous lemma,

$S_0 \cup \{\beta_1\}$ is a linearly independent subset of W .

Next if $S_0 \cup \{\beta_1\}$ spans W then we stop otherwise we find

$\beta_2 \in W$ s.t. $\beta_2 \notin \text{span}\{S \cup \{\beta_1\}\}$

and therefore invoking the previous lemma, $S \cup \{\beta_1\} \cup \{\beta_2\}$ is a

linearly independent subset of W . This process will keep on going until we reach the basis of W . It will take only finitely many steps as any linearly independent subset in W (which is also linearly independent in V)

can not have more than $\dim V$ element. Thus if $\dim V = n$, then the process will not take more than n steps.

Let us see some corollaries

Corollary: If W is a proper subspace of V then $\dim W < \dim V$.

Let $\alpha \neq 0$ belong to W , then we can find a basis of W containing α which contains no more than $\dim V$ elements and therefore $\dim W \leq \dim V$. Since W is a proper subspace of V , implies $\exists \beta \in V$ which does not belong to W and therefore if we take union of β with the basis of W we get a linearly independent subset of V containing more than $\dim W$ element. As we know that if V has dimension n then we can not find a linearly independent subset of V having more than n elements. Thus $\dim V > \dim W$.

Corollary: In a finite dimensional vector space V , every non-empty linearly independent set is a part of basis.

Corollary: Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(\mathbb{F})$ and row vectors of A form a linearly independent set of vectors in \mathbb{F}^n . Then A is invertible.

We finish this lecture with the following theorem.

Theorem: If W_1 and W_2 are finite dimensional subspaces of vector space V , then $W_1 + W_2$ is finite dimensional and

$$\dim W_1 + \dim W_2 = \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) + \dim(W_1 + W_2)$$

Proof: As $W_1 \cap W_2$ is a subspace of W_1 and thus has a basis (say) $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k\}$ of less than $\dim W_1$ elements which is a part of basis of W_1 (say)

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$$

and also $W_1 \cap W_2$ is a subspace of W_2 and thus a basis of $W_1 \cap W_2$ will be a part of W_2 (say)

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}$$

Note that the subspace

$W_1 + W_2$ is spanned by

$$\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n\}$$

Our claim is these vectors are linearly independent and hence form a basis for $W_1 + W_2$ (Try to prove this claim)

Now compare the dimensions of these subspaces as

$$\dim W_1 + \dim W_2 = (k+m) + (k+n)$$

$$= k + (m+k+n)$$

$$= \dim(W_1 \cap W_2) + \dim(W_1 + W_2)$$