Let us begin with the following observation regarding elementary. I have sperations Let $A \in M_{mxn}(R)$ and e be any elementary frow operation (either of the three obserations defined in heture-1). Then effect of this elementary operation satisfies $e(A) = e(I) \cdot A - (I)$ where $I \in M_{mxm}(R)$ is an identity

mateix. Next we see the following definition

Definition: A matrix $E \in M_{n \times n}(Ros C)$ is called elementary matrix if it is obtained by $I \in M_n(Ros C)$ identity matrix after applying exactly one elementary now obseration, i.e. E = e(I) - (2)

From (1) and (2) It is clear that applying now elementary obseration on a matrix A is equal to multiplying (left multiplication) - elementary matrix (corresponding to same now elementary operation) with the matrix itself, i.e.

 $e(A) = E \cdot \mathbf{A}_{p}$ where $E = e(\mathbf{I})$

Let us verify it with the help of the following example $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Consider $e = R_1 \leftrightarrow R_2$ Then $e(\Gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $e(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

Is $e(I) \cdot A = e(A)$?

One can verify other clementary now operations in a similar way us

$$e = R_2 \rightarrow \lambda R_2$$
, $\lambda \neq 0$, then
$$e(I) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} e(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2\lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Now we need to check if

$$e(\mathbf{I}), A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2 & 1 & 1 \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2\lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 2\lambda & \lambda & \lambda \\ 3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Also you can try with e= R3 > R3+2R2

Let us look into the following question

Ques: Is Are the following matrices elementary matrix?

a)
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$
 b) $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

Now let us look back into our elementary now operations. It is easy to see that every elementary now operation is invertible. See the chart below.

E. R. Operation	Inverse
$R_i \longleftrightarrow R_j$	Ri CRJ (Self)
$R_i \rightarrow \lambda R_i, \lambda \neq 0$	$R_i \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} R_i$
$R_i \rightarrow R_i + \lambda R_j$	$R_i \rightarrow R_i - \lambda R_j$

Con we say similar thing for elementary material !!

Answor ix YES. We have the following Theorem.

Theorem: Every elementary matrix is invertible and the inverse is the elementary matrix of the same type.

Tindeed, if $e = R_i \leftrightarrow R_j$ on I then applying e twice, we get back the identity matrix. Thus if E = e(I) then $E^2 = E = I$ imblies E is inversed itself. Next if $e = R_i \rightarrow \lambda R_i$ then if E = e(I), it is very evident that the inverse of E is an elementary matrix formed by applying elementary from operation $R_i \rightarrow \lambda R_i$ on I. (Here $\lambda \neq 0$) Finally if $e = R_i \rightarrow R_i + \lambda R_j$ then for $E = e(I) \neq it$ is easy to verify that the inverse of E is the elementary, matrix formed by the direct afflication of from elementary operation $R_i \rightarrow R_i - \lambda R_j$ on I.

Now we see the following proposition which relates two now-equivalent matrices via elementary matrices.

Profosition: Let A and B be two now equivalent matrices then

there exist elementary matrices $E_1, E_2, -E_R$ such that $A = E_1, E_2, -E_R$ B

Bruf:
A pruf of this proposition follows
by above discussion and the
definition of equivalent matrices.

Now we go back and see the last result of lecture I (now equivalent systems have some solution set). We have two systems

Ax=b2 (x=d, then by the previous profosition, as [Ab] and [c,d] are row

ratrices E1, E2, Ek such that

 $E_1.E_2.-E_R[Ab]=[c,d]$

Let us denote $E = E_1 \cdot E_2 \cdot E_3 \cdot E_R$ for writing convenience, then

E[Ab] = [C, A]

which implies EA=C & Eb=d

Now as E is invortible (product of invertible (clementary) matrices), we get $A = E^{-1}C$ and $b = E^{-1}d$

Now we would like to show the following. If of solves Ax = b then y also solves Cx = d

and if z solves Cz = d then z solves Az = b also.

First assume that y solves $Ax = b \Rightarrow Ay = b$

Now compute Cy which is equal to EAy ie

Cy = EAy = Eb = d

Conversely if z satisfies Cz=d, then Az = ECz = Ed = b

Hence both the systems have common solution set.

Re: Two systems are not now equinalist to each other if they have different solutions of