

Equally like Probability model for finite sample space.

Suppose that the sample space

$$\Omega = \{\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_k\}$$

is finite (has k elements). Hence $\{\omega_i\}$ are called elementary events and $\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \{\omega_i\}$

Suppose that

$$P(\{\omega_i\}) = \frac{1}{k}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, k$$

(Each elementary event equally likely)

For any event $E \subseteq \Omega$, we have

$$E = \{\omega_{i_1}, \omega_{i_2}, \dots, \omega_{i_r}\}, \text{ for some}$$

$$i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}, \quad 1 \leq r \leq k.$$

$$\text{Then } E = \bigcup_{j=1}^r \{\omega_{i_j}\}$$

$$P(E) = P\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^r \{\omega_{i_j}\}\right) = \sum_{j=1}^r P(\{\omega_{i_j}\}) = \sum_{j=1}^r \frac{1}{k} = \frac{r}{k}.$$

$$= \frac{\# \text{ number of element in the event } E}{\text{total number of elements in } \Omega}.$$

"At random": In a random expt with finite sample space Ω , whenever we say that the experiment has been performed at random it means that all the outcomes in the sample space are equally likely.

Example:

Five cards are drawn at random and without replacement from ~~the~~ a deck of 52 cards. Find the prob that

- (i) each card is spade (event E_1)
- (ii) at least one card is spade (Event E_2)
- (iii) Exactly three cards are king and two cards are queen (Event E_3)
- (iv) Exactly two kings, two queens and one jack are drawn.

Soln

$$(i) \quad P(E_1) = \frac{\binom{13}{5}}{\binom{52}{5}}$$

$$(ii) \quad P(E_2) = 1 - P(E_2^c) \\ = 1 - P(\text{no card spade}) = 1 - \frac{\binom{39}{5}}{\binom{52}{5}}$$

$$(iii) \quad P(E_3) = \frac{\binom{4}{3} \binom{4}{2}}{\binom{52}{5}}$$

$$(iv) \quad P(E_4) = \frac{\binom{4}{2} \binom{4}{2} \binom{4}{1}}{\binom{52}{5}}$$

Conditional Probability: Consider a prob space (Ω, \mathcal{G}, P)

Where $\Omega = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}$ is finite and

$$P(\{w_i\}) = \frac{1}{n}, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, n \quad (\text{equally likeli prob. model})$$

Then for any $A \in \mathcal{G}$.

$$P(A) = \frac{\# \text{ of cases favourable to } A}{\text{total \# of cases}}$$

$$= \frac{|A|}{|\Omega|} = \frac{|A|}{n}.$$

Now suppose it is known a priori that event A has occurred (i.e. outcome of the experiment is an element of A), where $|A| \geq 1$ so $P(A) = \frac{|A|}{n} > 0$.

Given this prior information that the event A has occurred we want to define prob funⁿ say

$P(B|A)$ on the event space \mathcal{G} . A natural way

to define is

$$P(B|A) = \frac{|A \cap B|}{|A|} = \frac{|A \cap B|/n}{|A|/n} = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)}$$

$B \in \mathcal{G}.$

Defⁿ: (Ω, \mathcal{G}, P) be a probability space and let $A \in \mathcal{G}$ be such that $P(A) > 0$. Then

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)}, \quad B \in \mathcal{G}.$$

is called the conditional prob. of event B given the event A .

Theorem: Let (Ω, \mathcal{G}, P) be a probability space and let $A \in \mathcal{G}$ with $P(A) > 0$ be fixed. Then $P(\cdot|A) : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a prob. function (called the conditional prob. function) on \mathcal{G} .

Proof: clearly (i) $P(B|A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} \geq 0 \quad \forall B \in \mathcal{G}$

$$(ii) \quad P(\Omega|A) = \frac{P(A)}{P(A)} = 1.$$

Let $\{B_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of disjoint events in \mathcal{G} . Then

$$P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \mid A\right) = \frac{P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (B_n \cap A)\right)}{P(A)}$$

Since $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$ then

$$(B_i \cap A) \cap (B_j \cap A) = (B_i \cap B_j) \cap A = \emptyset, i \neq j$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n \mid A\right) &= \frac{\sum P(B_n \cap A)}{P(A)} = \sum \frac{P(B_n \cap A)}{P(A)} \\ &= \sum P(B_n \mid A) \end{aligned}$$

So $P(\cdot \mid A)$ is a prob. funⁿ on \mathcal{G} . for fixed $A \in \mathcal{G}$

With $P(A) > 0$.

Ex: Five cards are drawn at random from a deck of 52 cards. Define events

B : All spade

A : at least 4 spade.

Find $P(B \mid A)$

Soln: $P(B \mid A) = \frac{P(A \cap B)}{P(A)} = \frac{P(B)}{P(A)} \quad (\because B \subseteq A)$

$$= \frac{\binom{13}{5}}{\binom{52}{5}} = 0.441$$

$$\left[\frac{\binom{13}{4} \binom{39}{1} + \binom{13}{5}}{\binom{52}{5}} \right]$$

Multiplication law:

$$(I) P(A \cap B) = P(A) P(B|A) \quad \text{if } P(A) > 0$$

$$(III) P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(A \cap B) P(C|A \cap B) \\ = P(A) P(B|A) P(C|A \cap B)$$

Provided $P(A \cap B) > 0$ [which ensures $P(A) > 0 \because A \cap B \subseteq A$]

(iii) ~~By~~ using principle of mathematical induction we have

$$P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^n C_i\right) = P(C_1) P(C_2|C_1) P(C_3|C_1 \cap C_2) \dots P(C_n|C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_{n-1})$$

provided $P(C_1 \cap C_2 \cap \dots \cap C_{n-1}) > 0$

Theorem of Total probability:

Let $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ be a countable collection of mutually exclusive and exhaustive events ($E_\alpha \cap E_\beta = \emptyset, \alpha \neq \beta$ and $P\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Delta} E_\alpha\right) = 1$). Then for any $E \in \mathcal{G}$

$$P(E) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} P(E \cap E_\alpha) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Delta \\ P(E_\alpha) > 0}} P(E|E_\alpha) P(E_\alpha)$$

Proof: Since $P\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} E_{\alpha}\right) = 1$, we have

$$P(E) = P\left(E \cap \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} E_{\alpha}\right)\right) = P\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Lambda} (E \cap E_{\alpha})\right)$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda \\ P(E_{\alpha}) > 0}} P(E \cap E_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda \\ P(E_{\alpha}) > 0}} P(E \cap E_{\alpha}) \left[\begin{array}{l} \because P(E_{\alpha}) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow P(E \cap E_{\alpha}) = 0 \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda \\ P(E_{\alpha}) > 0}} P(E|E_{\alpha}) P(E_{\alpha}) .$$

Theorem (Bayes Theorem): Let $\{E_{\alpha}: \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ be a countable collection of mutually exclusive and exhaustive events and let E be any event $P(E) > 0$. Then for $j \in \Lambda$ with $P(E_j) > 0$

$$P(E_j|E) = \frac{P(E_j) P(E|E_j)}{\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Lambda \\ P(E_{\alpha}) > 0}} P(E_{\alpha}) P(E|E_{\alpha})} .$$

Proof: For $j \in \Lambda$, $P(E_j|E) = \frac{P(E_j \cap E)}{P(E)}$

$$= \frac{P(E_j) P(E|E_j)}{\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda, P(E_{\alpha}) > 0} P(E_{\alpha}) P(E|E_{\alpha})} . \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \text{Using thm of} \\ \text{total prob} \end{array} \right)$$

Remark: (a) suppose that occurrence of any of the mutually exclusive and exhaustive events $\{E_\alpha: \alpha \in \Delta\}$ (where Δ is a countable set) may cause the occurrence of an event E . Given that the event E has occurred (i.e. given the ~~event~~ effect), Bayes's theorem provides the conditional probabilities that the event (effect) is caused by occurrence of event E_j , $j \in \Delta$.

(b) In Bayes's theorem $\{P(E_j): j \in \Delta\}$ are called prior probabilities and $\{P(E_j|E): j \in \Delta\}$ are called posterior probabilities.

Independent events: Let $\{E_j: j \in \Delta\}$ be a collection of events

(1) Events $\{E_j: j \in \Delta\}$ are said to be pairwise independent if for any pair of events E_α and E_β ($\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$, $\alpha \neq \beta$) in the collection $\{E_j: j \in \Delta\}$ we have

$$P(E_\alpha \cap E_\beta) = P(E_\alpha) P(E_\beta)$$

(ii) Events $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n\}$ are said to be independent if for any subcollection $\{E_{\alpha_1}, \dots, E_{\alpha_k}\}$ of $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$ ($k=1, 2, \dots, n$)

$$P\left(\bigcap_{j=1}^k E_{\alpha_j}\right) = \prod_{j=1}^k P(E_{\alpha_j})$$

(iii) Let $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ be an arbitrary index set so that $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ is an arbitrary collection of events. Events $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ are said to be independent if any finite subcollection of events in $\{E_\alpha : \alpha \in \Delta\}$ forms a collection of independent events.

Theorem: Let E_1, E_2, \dots be a collection of independent events. Then $P\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} P(A_k)$.

Remark: (1) To verify that n events E_1, E_2, \dots, E_n are independent one must verify

$$\binom{n}{2} + \binom{n}{3} + \dots + \binom{n}{n} = 2^n - n - 1$$

conditions.

For an example to conclude that three events A, B, C are independent the following 4 ($2^3 - 3 - 1$) conditions must be verified:

$$P(E_1 \cap E_2) = P(E_1)P(E_2); \quad P(E_1 \cap E_3) = P(E_1)P(E_3)$$

$$P(E_2 \cap E_3) = P(E_2)P(E_3), \quad P(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap E_3) = P(E_1) \cap P(E_2) \cap P(E_3).$$

(ii) If E_1 and E_2 are independent events
($P(E_1) > 0, P(E_2) > 0$), then

$$P(E_1 | E_2) = \frac{P(E_1 \cap E_2)}{P(E_2)} = \frac{P(E_1)P(E_2)}{P(E_2)} = P(E_1)$$

Example: Consider the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{G}, P)
with $\Omega = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ and $P(\{i\}) = \frac{1}{4}, i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Let
 $A = \{1, 4\}, B = \{2, 4\}, C = \{3, 4\}$. Then show that
 A, B and C are pair wise independent but not
independent.

Soln: $P(A) = P(B) = P(C) = \frac{1}{2}$

$$P(A \cap B) = P(A \cap C) = P(B \cap C) = P(\{4\}) = \frac{1}{4}$$

~~Thus $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B) = P(A)P(C) =$~~

Thus $P(A \cap B) = P(A)P(B)$, $P(A \cap C) = P(A)P(C)$ &

$$P(B \cap C) = P(B)P(C)$$

$\Rightarrow A, B, C$ are pairwise independent.

However

$$P(A \cap B \cap C) = P(\{4\}) = \frac{1}{4} \neq \frac{1}{8} = P(A)P(B)P(C)$$

$\Rightarrow A, B, C$ are not independent. although they are pairwise independent.