

Comparative Analysis Report

a) Algorithm Performance

a. Which dataset achieved the highest accuracy and why?

- Typically, datasets with **balanced classes**, **sufficient size**, and **meaningful features** achieve higher accuracy.
- For example, a dataset with **low noise**, **clear feature-label correlation**, and **no severe imbalance** will outperform sparse or noisy datasets.
- High accuracy is usually observed in datasets where the decision boundaries between classes are well-separated.

b. How does dataset size affect performance?

- **Small datasets:** prone to overfitting; the algorithm memorizes patterns instead of generalizing.
- **Large datasets:** improve generalization and allow models to capture complex patterns, but require more computation.
- In decision trees, larger datasets usually reduce variance and yield more stable splits.

c. What role does the number of features play?

- **Few features:** may lead to underfitting if they don't capture enough patterns.
- **Many features:** risk of overfitting if irrelevant/noisy features dominate.
- Feature selection or dimensionality reduction improves interpretability and performance.

b) Data Characteristics Impact

How does class imbalance affect tree construction?

- Decision trees may become **biased toward majority classes**, creating shallow splits for minority classes.
- This leads to poor recall for minority labels.
- Handling imbalance requires **resampling (oversampling/undersampling)**, **cost-sensitive learning**, or **balanced splitting criteria (like Gini with class weights)**.

Which types of features (binary vs multi-valued) work better?

- **Binary features:** Easy to split, less prone to overfitting, simpler tree structure.
- **Multi-valued categorical features:** Can create highly branched trees, risk overfitting if many categories.
- **Numeric features:** Provide flexibility for threshold-based splits.

c) Practical Applications

For which real-world scenarios is each dataset type most relevant?

- **Balanced binary datasets:** Fraud detection (fraud vs non-fraud), medical diagnosis (disease vs healthy).
- **Imbalanced datasets:** Rare event detection (cybersecurity attacks, rare diseases).
- **Multi-class datasets:** Image classification, text categorization, speech recognition.
- **High-dimensional datasets:** Genomics, NLP (where feature reduction is critical).

What are the interpretability advantages for each domain?

- **Binary datasets:** Easy to interpret, simple rules.
- **Multi-class datasets:** More complex, but decision trees still provide understandable rules.
- **High-dimensional datasets:** Trees highlight the most important features, aiding domain experts (e.g., gene markers in bioinformatics).

d) How would you improve performance for each dataset?

- **Small datasets:** Data augmentation, cross-validation, pruning to avoid overfitting.
- **Imbalanced datasets:** Oversampling (SMOTE), undersampling, class weights.

- **High-dimensional datasets:** Feature selection (PCA, mutual information), regularization.
- **Large noisy datasets:** Feature engineering, noise filtering, pruning.

Implementation Guidelines (Interpretation)

1. **No Hardcoding** → Write functions that adapt to dataset shape, e.g., $X[:, :-1]$ for features and $X[:, -1]$ for target.
2. **Framework Options** → Choose either:
 - a. **PyTorch:** Use tensors for all operations (matrix multiplication, splits).
 - b. **NumPy:** Use arrays; no sklearn shortcuts allowed.
3. **Target Variable** → Always assume the **last column is the label**.
4. **Function Signatures** → Keep the given structure intact (don't rename or reorder parameters).
5. **Additional Functions** → Create helper methods (e.g., for entropy, Gini index, splitting, recursive tree building).

OUTPUT SCREENSHORT

1 MUSHROOM

```
PS C:\Users\Admin\Downloads\ml> python test.py --id ml_lab --data Mushrooms.csv
Running tests with PYTORCH framework
=====
target column: 'class' (last column)
Original dataset info:
Shape: (8124, 23)
Columns: ['cap-shape', 'cap-surface', 'cap-color', 'bruises', 'odor', 'gill-attachment', 'gill-spacing', 'gill-size', 'gill-color', 'stalk-shape', 'stalk-root', 'stalk-surface-above-ring', 'stalk-surface-below-ring', 'stalk-color-above-ring', 'stalk-color-below-ring', 'veil-type', 'veil-color', 'ring-number', 'ring-type', 'spore-print-color', 'population-density', 'habitat', 'class']

First few rows:

cap-shape: ['x' 'b' 's' 'f' 'k'] -> [5 0 4 2 3]
cap-surface: ['s' 'y' 'f' 'g'] -> [2 3 0 1]
cap-color: ['n' 'y' 'w' 'g' 'e'] -> [4 9 8 3 2]
class: ['p' 'e'] -> [1 0]

Processed dataset shape: torch.Size([8124, 23])
Number of features: 22
Features: ['cap-shape', 'cap-surface', 'cap-color', 'bruises', 'odor', 'gill-attachment', 'gill-spacing', 'gill-size', 'gill-color', 'stalk-shape', 'stalk-root', 'stalk-surface-above-ring', 'stalk-surface-below-ring', 'stalk-color-above-ring', 'stalk-color-below-ring', 'veil-type', 'veil-color', 'ring-number', 'ring-type', 'spore-print-color', 'population-density', 'habitat']
Target: class
Framework: PYTORCH
Data type: <class 'torch.Tensor'>
```

```
=====
DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO
=====
```

```
Total samples: 8124
Training samples: 6499
Testing samples: 1625
```

```
Constructing decision tree using training data...
```

```
🌳 Decision tree construction completed using PYTORCH!
```

```
📊 OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS
=====
```

```
Accuracy:          1.0000 (100.00%)
Precision (weighted): 1.0000
Recall (weighted):   1.0000
F1-Score (weighted): 1.0000
Precision (macro):   1.0000
Recall (macro):      1.0000
F1-Score (macro):    1.0000
```

```
🌳 TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS
=====
```

```
Maximum Depth:      4
Total Nodes:         29
Leaf Nodes:          24
Internal Nodes:      5
```

```
PS C:\Users\Admin\Downloads\ml> |
```

2 NURSERY

```

PS C:\Users\Admin\Downloads\ml> python test.py --ID ml_lab --data Nursery.csv
Running tests with PYTORCH framework
=====
target column: 'class' (last column)
Original dataset info:
Shape: (12960, 9)
Columns: ['parents', 'has_nurs', 'form', 'children', 'housing', 'finance', 'social', 'health', 'class']

First few rows:

parents: ['usual' 'pretentious' 'great_pret'] -> [2 1 0]

has_nurs: ['proper' 'less_proper' 'improper' 'critical' 'very_crit'] -> [3 2 1 0 4]

form: ['complete' 'completed' 'incomplete' 'foster'] -> [0 1 3 2]

class: ['recommend' 'priority' 'not_recom' 'very_recom' 'spec_prior'] -> [2 1 0 4 3]

Processed dataset shape: torch.Size([12960, 9])
Number of features: 8
Features: ['parents', 'has_nurs', 'form', 'children', 'housing', 'finance', 'social', 'health']
Target: class
Framework: PYTORCH
Data type: <class 'torch.Tensor'>

=====
DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO
=====
Total samples: 12960
Training samples: 10368
Testing samples: 2592

```

DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO

Total samples: 12960
Training samples: 10368
Testing samples: 2592

Constructing decision tree using training data...

🌳 Decision tree construction completed using PYTORCH!

OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS

Accuracy: 0.9867 (98.67%)
Precision (weighted): 0.9876
Recall (weighted): 0.9867
F1-Score (weighted): 0.9872
Precision (macro): 0.7604
Recall (macro): 0.7654
F1-Score (macro): 0.7628

🌳 TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS

Maximum Depth: 7
Total Nodes: 952
Leaf Nodes: 680
Internal Nodes: 272

3 TICTACTOE

PS C:\Users\Admin\Downloads\ml> python test.py --ID ml_lab --data tictactoe.csv

Running tests with PYTORCH framework

target column: 'Class' (last column)

Original dataset info:

Shape: (958, 10)

Columns: ['top-left-square', 'top-middle-square', 'top-right-square', 'middle-left-square', 'middle-middle-square', 'middle-right-square', 'bottom-left-square', 'bottom-middle-square', 'bottom-right-square', 'Class']

First few rows:

top-left-square: ['x' 'o' 'b'] -> [2 1 0]

top-middle-square: ['x' 'o' 'b'] -> [2 1 0]

top-right-square: ['x' 'o' 'b'] -> [2 1 0]

Class: ['positive' 'negative'] -> [1 0]

Processed dataset shape: torch.Size([958, 10])

Number of features: 9

Features: ['top-left-square', 'top-middle-square', 'top-right-square', 'middle-left-square', 'middle-middle-square', 'middle-right-square', 'bottom-left-square', 'bottom-middle-square', 'bottom-right-square']

Target: Class

Framework: PYTORCH

Data type: <class 'torch.Tensor'>

DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO

Total samples: 958
Training samples: 766
Testing samples: 192

```
Data type: <class 'torch.Tensor'>
```

```
=====
DECISION TREE CONSTRUCTION DEMO
=====
```

```
Total samples: 958
```

```
Training samples: 766
```

```
Testing samples: 192
```

```
Constructing decision tree using training data...
```

```
🌲 Decision tree construction completed using PYTORCH!
```

```
📊 OVERALL PERFORMANCE METRICS
=====
```

```
Accuracy:          0.8730 (87.30%)
```

```
Precision (weighted): 0.8741
```

```
Recall (weighted):   0.8730
```

```
F1-Score (weighted): 0.8734
```

```
Precision (macro):   0.8590
```

```
Recall (macro):      0.8638
```

```
F1-Score (macro):    0.8613
```

```
🌲 TREE COMPLEXITY METRICS
=====
```

```
Maximum Depth:      7
```

```
Total Nodes:        281
```

```
Leaf Nodes:         180
```

```
Internal Nodes:     101
```

NAME : CHETHANA KR

SRN: PES2UG23CS151

SEC: 5C