Contents

1.   Pigeonhole Principle

Theorem 1.1 (Pigeonhole Principle - PHP). If n balls are placed into k bins for $0 < k < n$, then there exists a bin with at least two balls.

Proof. Suppose not. Let $n_i \leq 1$ be the number of balls in bin i. Then $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \leq k$, contradiction. $\square$

Example 1.2. Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists a consecutive subsequence summing to a multiple of n.

Form $n+1$ partial sums (including the empty one). At least two share the same value modulo n by PHP. Thus, their difference (which is also a consecutive sum) is a multiple of n.

Example 1.3. Any graph with $|V| \geq 2$ has at least two vertices of equal degree.

There are n vertices and n possible degrees. However, we cannot simultaneously have a vertex of degree 0 (isolated) and degree $n - 1$. Thus, apply PHP in each case.

Example 1.4. 6 distinct integers have no prime divisor greater than 10. Show that two share a common prime divisor.

Let A be the set of 6 numbers, and define $f : A \to \{1, 2, 3, 5, 7\}$ be the largest prime factor dividing the number (or 1 is no prime divides it). By PHP, f maps at least two integers in A to the same value, which cannot be 1 since elements of A are distinct. Thus, the two elements share a common prime divisor.

Example 1.5. 200 balls are distributed in 100 bins, so that no bin has more than 100 balls. Show that some set of bins contains exactly 100 balls.

If all bins contain two balls, pick any 50 bins. Otherwise, there exists a bin with 1 ball. Label the bins so $1 = n_{99} \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_{98} \leq n_{100}$. Form a new sequence m_i by decrementing n_{100}, so that $\sum m_i = 199$. Some consecutive subsequence is a multiple of 100 by Example 1.2, and thus equals 100. If it does not include m_{100}, then the corresponding sequence in n_i sums to 100. Otherwise, the corresponding sequence in n_i with n_{99} removed sums to 100.

Example 1.6. Let $A \in M_n(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ with at least $2n$ entries equal to 1. Prove that A contains two entries equal to 1 so that one of them is strictly above and right of the other.

There are $2n - 1$ antidiagonals of the matrix, so apply PHP.

Theorem 1.7 (Generalized Pigeonhole Principle). If n balls are distributed into k bins for $n > kr > 0$, then there exists a bin with more than r balls.

Proof. Suppose not. Let $n_i \leq 1$ be the number of balls in bin i. Then $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} n_i \leq kr$, contradiction. $\square$

Example 1.8. How many people must be in a group to guarantee a cluster of 3 friends or 3 strangers?

5 is not sufficient, since a cyclic graph may be formed, but 6 is. Fix a person, who is either friends or strangers with 3 others by PHP; without loss of generality let it be friends. If any of them are friends with each other, there is a cluster of 3 friends; otherwise, there is a cluster of 3 strangers.

Example 1.9. Let 9 points be distributed in a unit square. Show that 3 points can be covered with a disk of radius $1/2$.

Divide the square into four triangles using the diagonals. By PHP, one of these triangles contains at least 3 points, and a disk can cover this triangle.

2. Permutations

2.1 Introduction

Definition 2.1. A permutation of a set S is a linear ordering of its elements. Let S_n denote the set of permutations of $[n]$.

   ↳ S_n is also called the symmetric group

   ↳ We can also define S_n to be the set of bijections $\pi : S \rightarrowtail\!\!\!\!\!\rightarrow S$

Theorem 2.2. The number of permutations of n elements is $|S_n| = n!$.

> *Proof.* By induction, the number of choices for the first element is n, and the number of options for the remainder is $(n-1)!$. ∎

Example 2.3. Show $\sum_{i=1}^{n} i(n-i+1) = \binom{n+2}{3}$.

> LHS conditions on the middle element chosen.

Theorem 2.4. The number of distinguishable permutations of a multiset $S = \{\{1^{n_1}, 2^{n_2}, \ldots, k^{n_k}\}\}$ is $\binom{n}{n_1\, n_2\, \cdots\, n_k} = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \cdots n_k!}$ (this is called the multinomial coefficient).

> *Proof.* Temporarily label each object to obtain $n!$ permutations. Then, for each object type i, divide by the number of permutations of these objects $n_i!$. ∎

Definition 2.5. A k-string (or k-word) over a set (alphabet) A is a sequence $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_k \subset A$.

Theorem 2.6. If A is an alphabet with $|A| = n$, then the number of k-words is n^k.

Definition 2.7. A k-permutation of S is a k-word on S in which all elements are distinct.

Theorem 2.8. The number of k-permutations of S, for $|S| = n$, is $(n)_k = n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1) = \frac{n!}{(n-k)!}$.

2.2 Inversions

Definition 2.9. If $\pi \in S_n$, let $\pi_j = \pi(j)$. Then π can be represented as:

- 2-line representation: $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \cdots & n \\ \pi_1 & \pi_2 & \cdots & \pi_n \end{pmatrix}$

- 1-line representation: $\pi_1 \pi_2 \cdots \pi_n$

Concept 2.10. The inverse of a permutation π can be found with the 2-line representation by exchanging the two rows and then reordering the columns so that the first row is in order.

Definition 2.11. Let $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n \in S_n$. A pair (π_i, π_j) for $i < j$ is called an inversion of π if $\pi_i > \pi_j$. Let $E(\pi)$ denote the set of all inversions of π. Then the inversion table π_I of π is constructed as $\pi_I = b_1 b_2 \cdots b_n$ by letting b_j count the number of times that j is the second component in $E(\pi)$. The inversion number of π is defined $i(\pi) = |E(\pi)| = \sum_{k=1}^{n} b_k$.

   ↳ $0 \leq b_j < n$ for all j, so $b_n = 0$

   ↳ The inversion table provides a unique representation of permutations. The 1-line representation can be reconstructed as follows. First place n. Then, work through π_I in reverse. For each b_j, insert j so that b_j elements are to the left of it.

Example 2.12. Consider $\pi = 463512 \in S_6$. Then:

$$E(\pi) = \{(4,3),(4,1),(4,2),(6,3),(6,5),(6,1),(6,2),(3,1),(3,2),(5,1),(5,2)\}$$

We thus have $\pi_I = 4\,4\,2\,0\,1\,0$. Now suppose we are given $\pi_I = 4\,4\,2\,0\,1\,0$. To reconstruct π, first place 6. Since $b_5 = 1$, we obtain 65. Since $b_4 = 0$, place it at the left to obtain 465. Continue to get 4635, 46352, and finally 463512.

Theorem 2.13. The inversion number of π equals the inversion number of π^{-1}, so that $i(\pi) = i(\pi^{-1})$.

Proof. $(\pi_i, \pi_j) \in E(\pi) \iff (\pi_i, \pi_j)$ appears in an opposite order as (i, j) in the 2-line representation $\iff (i, j) \in E(\pi^{-1})$. Thus, we have a bijection from $E(\pi)$ to $E(\pi^{-1})$, so that $i(\pi) = i(\pi^{-1})$. □

Definition 2.14. A permutation $\pi \in S_n$ can be represented via an <u>inversion grid</u>. On an $n \times n$ grid, place ● in cell (j, k) whenever $\pi_j = k$ (so that each element of the permutation is represented by a row). Place × in any cell where there exists a ● directly below it and another ● directly right of it, so that × in cell (i, j) means that (π_i, π_j) is an inversion. The number of × in column j is equal to b_j, and $|\pi_I|$ is the total number of ×. The <u>transpose</u> of an inversion grid is formed by transposing the grid, and is the inversion grid of π^{-1}.

For example, the inversion grid (and its transpose) of $\pi = 531264$ is:

Inversion Grid for π Inversion Grid for π^{-1} (transpose)

↳ Counting the number of × symbols directly gives $i(\pi) = i(\pi^{-1})$

Definition 2.15. Let $I_n(k)$ count the number of permutations in S_n with exactly k inversions. Define $I_0(0) = 1$.

Theorem 2.16.

(a) $I_n(j) > 0$ iff $0 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{2}$

(b) $I_n\left(\binom{n}{2}\right) = 1$

(c) $I_n(1) = n - 1$

(d) $I_n(k) = I_n\left(\binom{n}{2} - k\right)$, so that $I_n(k)$ is symmetric

Proof.

(a) A permutation in S_n with exactly $0 \leq j \leq \binom{n}{2}$ inversions can be inductively constructed as follows. If $j \leq \binom{n-1}{2}$, then choose a permutation in S_{n-1} with j inversions and add n at the end. Otherwise, pick $(n-1 \ \cdots \ 1) \in S_{n-1}$ and then add n so that $j - \binom{n-1}{2} \leq n - 1$ elements are to its right.

(b) A permutation $\pi \in S_n$ has $\binom{n}{2}$ inversions if every (π_i, π_j) is an inversion, which occurs iff the permutation is $\pi = (n \ n-1 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1)$.

(c) There is one inversion in $\pi \in S^n$ iff precisely one pair of adjacent entries in $(1 \ 2 \ \cdots \ n)$ is swapped, which can be done $n - 1$ ways.

(d) Compose the permutation $\pi \in S_n$ with $\sigma : (n \ n-1 \ \cdots \ 2 \ 1)$ to obtain an involution from permutations with k inversions to permutations with $\binom{n}{2} - k$ inversions.

□

Theorem 2.17. $I_n(k) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} I_{n-1}(k - j)$

↳ Given a permutation in S_{n-1} with k inversions, inserting n to form a permutation in S_n can produce any of $\{k, k+1, \ldots, k+n-1\}$ inversions depending on the placement of n

Proof. We form the bijection from permutations in S_n with k inversions and permutations in S_{n-1} with between $k - n + 1$ and k inversions. In the forward direction, remove n from the permutation. In the reverse, add n to the permutation in the location that causes k inversions to be present. Specifically, if the permutation has $k - j$ inversions, insert n so that j values are right of it in the one-line representation. □

Theorem 2.18. Let $J_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_n(k)x^k$ be the generating function for $\{I_n(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$. Then $J_n(x) = (1 + x + \cdots + x^{n-1})J_{n-1}(x)$.

Proof. Using the recurrence in Theorem 2.17:

$$J_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_n(k)x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} I_{n-1}(k-j)x^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_{n-1}(k-j)x^k$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} I_{n-1}(k-j)x^{k-j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j J_{n-1}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^j \right) J_{n-1}(x) \qquad \square$$

Corollary 2.19. The generating function for $\{I_n(k)\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ is:

$$J_n(x) = \frac{(1 - x^n)(1 - x^{n-1}) \cdots (1 - x^2)(1 - x)}{(1 - x)^n}$$

.

Proof. Write $(1 + x + \cdots + x^{n-1}) = \frac{1 - x^n}{1 - x}$ since it is the partial sum of a geometric series, and then apply the recursion in Theorem 2.18. □

Definition 2.20. The <u>sign</u> of a permutation π is $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi) = (-1)^{i(\pi)}$. If the sign is 1 (so that there are an even number of inversions), then the permutation is called <u>even</u>. If the sign is -1 (so that there are an odd number of inversions), then the permutation is called <u>odd</u>.

↳ Composing a simple transposition $\tau = (k, k+1)$ with π causes it to switch sign. This is shown by forming a bijection $E(\pi) \setminus (k+1, k) \leftrightarrow E(\tau\pi) \setminus (k+1, k)$, then noting that precisely one of $E(\pi)$ or $E(\tau\pi)$ contains $(k+1, k)$, so that $E(\tau\pi) = E(\pi) \pm 1$.

↳ Any transposition is the composition of an odd number of simple transpositions. This is shown by induction since $(i, i+k+1) = (i, i+k) = (i+k, i+k+1)(i, i+k)(i+k, i+k+1)$.

↳ Thus, applying any transposition switches the sign of a permutation.

↳ Any cycle of length j can be written as a product of $j-1$ transpositions. This is shown by induction since $(a_1, \ldots, a_j) = (a_1, a_j)(a_1, \ldots, a_{j-1})$.

↳ Thus, a cycle of length j is even iff j is odd.

↳ sgn is a group homomorphism, so that $\operatorname{sgn}(\pi\delta) = \operatorname{sgn}(\pi)\operatorname{sgn}(\delta)$. This is shown by writing the cycle decomposition of each and then writing each cycle as transpositions.

↳ Using the cycle decomposition for a permutation, we have that a permutation is even if it can be written as an even number of transpositions, and odd if it can be written as an odd number of transpositions.

2.3 Cycles

Lemma 2.21. Let $\pi \in S_n$ and $x \in [n]$. Then there exists a positive $1 \le k \le n$ such that $\pi^k(x) = x$.

Proof. Consider $\{\pi(x), \pi^2(x), \ldots, \pi^n(x)\}$. Either one is equal to x (in which case we are done), or by PHP $\pi^i(x) = \pi^j(x)$ for $i < j$. Apply π^{-i} to each side. □

Definition 2.22. Let $\pi = (\pi_1\ \pi_2\ \cdots\ \pi_n) \in S_n$. For some $j \in [n]$, suppose $\pi(j), \pi^2(j), \ldots, \pi^k(j)$ are distinct and $\pi^k(j) = j$. This sequence is called a <u>cycle</u>. A permutation can be written in <u>cycle notation</u> as a product of distinct cycles. For example, $\pi = 145263$ can be written as $(1)(24)(356)$. Each cycle should start with its smallest element, and the collection of cycles is ordered by the smallest element in each cycle.

    ↪ Every element belongs to a cycle by Lemma 2.21

    ↪ The singletons are sometimes dropped from the notation

    ↪ There is one permutation in S_n with n cycles (the identity) and $(n-1)!$ with 1 cycle

Theorem 2.23. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_n$ be nonnegative integers with $\sum_{j=1}^{n} jc_j = n$. Then the number of permutations from S_n with c_j cycles of length j is:

$$\frac{n!}{c_1!c_2!\cdots c_n!1^{c_1}2^{c_2}\cdots n^{c_n}}$$

Proof. Let C be the set of permutations with the given number of cycles. We map $S_n \twoheadrightarrow C$ by inserting parentheses from left to right to form all one-cycles, then all two-cycles, and so on. Each permutation in C has $c_1!c_2!\cdots c_n!1^{c_1}2^{c_2}\cdots n^{c_n}$ preimages, since all cycles of length c_i may be permuted $c_i!$ ways, and each cycle of length j has j equivalent ways to write it. □

Example 2.24.

(a) The number of permutations with exactly one 3-cycle and two 4-cycles is $\frac{11!}{1!2!3^14^2}$

(b) The number of permutations with exactly one cycle in S_n is $\frac{n!}{1!n^1} = (n-1)!$

Example 2.25. We can also show that the number of permutations in S_n with exactly one cycle is $(n-1)!$ via a combinatorial argument. Write the cycle in cycle notation such that n is the leftmost element. Map this bijectively to a permutation in S_{n-1} (written in one-line notation) by removing the character n.

Definition 2.26. Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}[n]\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ denote the set of all n-permutations with exactly k cycles. Let $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}0\\0\end{smallmatrix}\right] = 1$ and $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left|\left[\begin{smallmatrix}[n]\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right]\right|$ otherwise. These are <u>signless Stirling number of the first kind</u>. These are also denoted $c(n,k)$.

    ↪ This counts the number of ways to seat n knights at k unlabeled tables, so that no table is empty

Example 2.27. $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}[4]\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \{(1)(234), (1)(243), (12)(34), (13)(24), (14)(23), (123)(4), (132)(4), (124)(3),$ $(142)(3), (134)(2), (143)(2)\}$, so that $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}4\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right] = 11$.

Proposition 2.28. $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right] = n!$

Proof. RHS counts permutations of $[n]$, while LHS conditions on the number of cycles k. □

Proposition 2.29. For $n \geq k \geq l$, $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right] = \left[\begin{smallmatrix}n-1\\k-1\end{smallmatrix}\right] + (n-1)\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n-1\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right]$.

Proof. RHS conditions on whether n is a fixed point (its own cycle). If so, permute the remaining $n-1$ elements in $k-1$ cycles. If not, permute the $n-1$ elements in k cycles and then pick which of the $n-1$ elements n should go after (indeed, for each cycle of length p, there are p elements n could go after, so sum over all cycles). □

Proposition 2.30. The generating function for $\left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right]$ is $g_n(x) = \sum_{k} \left[\begin{smallmatrix}n\\k\end{smallmatrix}\right] x^k = x^{\overline{n}}$.

Proof. When $n = 0$, we have $g_0(x) = 1$ since $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = 1$ and $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ k \end{bmatrix} = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$. Otherwise:

$$g_n(x) = \sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k = \sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k-1 \end{bmatrix} x^k + (n-1) \sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n-1 \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k = x g_{n-1}(x) + (n-1) g_{n-1}(x)$$

$$= g_{n-1}(x) \cdot (x + n - 1)$$

Thus, by induction, we have $g_n(x) = x \cdot (x+1) \cdots (x+n-1) = x^{\overline{n}}$. □

Corollary 2.31. $\sum_k (-1)^{n-k} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} x^k = (x)_n$.

Proof. Begin with the generating function, the substitute $-x$ for x and rearrange. □

Proposition 2.32. $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \dfrac{n!}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \dfrac{1}{m(n-m)}$

Proof. Rewrite this as $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{2} \frac{1}{m(n-m)}$. LHS counts the number of permutations in S_n with two cycles. RHS counts the same thing via over-counting. First count all permutations of $[n]$, $n!$ ways. Now group the string by placing the first m into a group and the remaining $n-m$ into another group. Of all such ways to do this, precisely $\frac{1}{2m(n-m)}$ ways yield a valid permutation in canonical form. Indeed, there are m ways to rotate the first group, $n-m$ ways to rotate the second, and 2 ways to order the cycles, and all together only one combination of these is a valid permutation in canonical form. Thus, by summing over all m, we have our result. □

Proposition 2.33. $\sum_k \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-1)^k = 0$ for $n \geq 2$

Proof. We form an involution between permutations with an odd number of cycles and those with an even number of cycles. If 1 and 2 belong to different cycles, then concatenate these cycles; for example, $(134)(25)$ becomes (13425). If 1 and 2 belong to the same cycle, then split the cycle at 2; for example, (13425) becomes $(134)(25)$. This will always result in a valid permutation in canonical form. This is clearly an involution, and it changes the parity of the number of cycles, hence we have the result. □

3. Binomial Coefficient

Definition 3.1. $\binom{S}{k}$ denotes the collection of subsets of S of size k. The binomial coefficient is $\binom{n}{k} = \left| \binom{S}{k} \right|$ for $|S| = n$.

Theorem 3.2. $\dbinom{n}{k} = \dfrac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} = \dfrac{(n)_k}{k!}$

> *Proof.* There are $(n)_k$ k-permutations, then divide by $k!$ to remove the order. □

Theorem 3.3. $\dbinom{n}{k} = \dbinom{n}{n-k}$

> *Proof.* LHS selects elements in the set S, while RHS selects elements in the set S^c. □

Theorem 3.4. $\dbinom{n}{k} = \dbinom{n-1}{k-1} + \dbinom{n-1}{k}$

> *Proof.* RHS conditions on whether n is in the subset $S \subset [n]$. □

3.1 Binomial Theorem

Theorem 3.5 (Binomial Theorem). $\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} x^k y^{n-k} = (x+y)^n$

> *Proof.* Consider expanding the RHS. Each term $x^k y^{n-k}$ arises by choosing k factors to include x and $n-k$ to include y, which can be done $\binom{n}{k}$ ways. □

Theorem 3.6. $\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^i \binom{n}{i} = \delta_{n0}$

> *Proof.* Take $x = -1$ and $y = 1$ in the Binomial Theorem. Or, for $n > 0$, form the involution from $A \subset [n]$ by the symmetric set difference $A \triangle n$ (i.e., add the element n if $n \notin A$ or remove n if $n \in A$). This reverses the parity, so the number of odd/even subsets are the same. □

Theorem 3.7. $2^n = \displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}$

> *Proof.* Apply Binomial Theorem with $x = y = 1$. Or, note both sides count subsets of $[n]$, with RHS conditioned by size k. □

Example 3.8. Prove that there are 3^n ways to select two disjoint subsets from $[n]$.

> *Proof.* Each element may be placed in either of the two subsets of neither, so apply product rule. □

3.2 Multichoose

Definition 3.9. The collection of multisubsets of S of size k is $\left(\!\!\binom{S}{k}\!\!\right)$. Define $\left(\!\!\binom{n}{k}\!\!\right) = \left| \left(\!\!\binom{S}{k}\!\!\right) \right|$ for $|S| = n$.

> ↪ Each element of $\left(\!\!\binom{S}{k}\!\!\right)$ is a n-tuple of whole numbers summing to k

> ↪ This can be used to count how many ways k votes can be distributed among n candidates (the analog of the binomial coefficient with replacement)

Theorem 3.10. $\left(\!\!\binom{n}{k}\!\!\right) = \dbinom{n+k-1}{k} = \dbinom{n+k-1}{n-1}$

Proof. Every element of $\left(\!\binom{S}{k}\!\right)$ can be represented by a string of $k-1$ bars and n stars. The bars divide the word into k bins, which represent each element of S. The number of stars in each bin represent how often that element is chosen. To count these words, simply choose k stars from $n+k-1$ symbols. □

Theorem 3.11. $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \left(\!\binom{k}{m}\!\right) (-1)^k = (-1)^n \delta_{nm}$

Proof. LHS counts the number of ways to select an even number of candidates and then cast m votes for them, minus the corresponding quantity for an odd number of candidates. Group the terms of the sum by the vote distribution. When $n > m$, any person receiving a vote must be a candidate, and the remaining $p \geq 1$ people may either be a candidate or not a candidate. Thus, the terms for some fixed vote distribution are $\sum_{k=0}^{p} \binom{p}{k}(-1)^k = 0$ by Theorem 3.6. Thus, we have our claim for $n > m$, and it is trivial when $n = m$. □

Theorem 3.12. $\left(\!\binom{n}{k}\!\right) = \left(\!\binom{n}{k-1}\!\right) + \left(\!\binom{n-1}{k}\!\right)$

Proof. LHS counts number of ways to distribute k votes to n candidates. RHS conditions on whether the last candidate receives a vote. □

3.3 Other Identities

Theorem 3.13 (Hockey-Stick Identity). $\displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{k+j}{k} = \binom{n+1}{k+1}$

Proof. RHS counts number of ways to choose subset from $[n+1]$ of size $k+1$. LHS conditions on the largest element in the set $k+j+1$. □

Theorem 3.14 (Extraction/Absorption). $k\binom{n}{k} = n\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ for $0 < k < n$, or $\binom{n}{k} = \dfrac{n}{k}\binom{n-1}{k-1}$

Proof. LHS selects committee of size n then selects chair, while RHS selects chair then the rest of the committee. □

Theorem 3.15. $\binom{n}{k}\binom{k}{m} = \binom{n}{m}\binom{n-m}{k-m}$

Proof. LHS selects committee of size k from n people, then selects m chairs. RHS selects chairs, then the rest of the committee. □

Theorem 3.16. $\binom{n}{m}\binom{n-m}{k} = \binom{n}{k}\binom{n-k}{m}$

Proof. LHS selects committee of size m then a different committee of size k, while RHS selects the committees in the reverse order. □

Theorem 3.17. $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k} = n2^{n-1}$

Proof. Apply extraction/absorption then the binomial theorem. Or, LHS selects committee of any size then selects chairs, while RHS selects chair then committee of any size. □

Theorem 3.18. $\displaystyle\sum_{k=2}^{n} k(k-1)\binom{n}{k} = n(n-1)2^{n-2}$

Proof. LHS constructs committee of any size from n people and then selects a president and vice president, while RHS selects the president, vice president, and then fills the rest of the committee. □

Example 3.19. $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(-1)^k \frac{2^k}{k+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} I[n \text{ is even}]$

Proof. Using Extraction/Absorption and the Binomial Theorem:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}(-1)^k \frac{2^k}{k+1} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k+1}(-1)^k \frac{2^k}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n+1}{k+1}(-2)^k$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \sum_{k=1}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k}(-2)^k = -\frac{1}{2(n+1)} \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n+1} \binom{n+1}{k}(-2)^k - 1 \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1-(-1)^{n+1}}{2} = \frac{1}{n+1} \frac{1+(-1)^n}{2} = \frac{1}{n+1} I[n \text{ is even}] \qquad \square$$

Theorem 3.20 (Vandermonde's Identity). $\displaystyle\sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{n}{j}\binom{m}{k-j} = \binom{n+m}{k}$

Proof. RHS selects committee of size k from n women and m men, while LHS conditions on the number of women j.

Or, consider a lattice formed by k rows of squares and $n+m-k$ columns. RHS counts paths from the lower left $(0,0)$ to the upper right $(k, n+m-k)$ which only move up or right, by picking among the $m+n$ moves which k are vertical. LHS conditions on which point $(j, n-j)$ along the diagonal is passed through; there are $\binom{n}{j}$ ways to reach this point and $\binom{m}{k-j}$ ways to complete the path. □

Theorem 3.21. $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 = \binom{2n}{n}$

Proof. Apply Vandermonde's Identity to obtain:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}\binom{n}{n-k}\binom{2n}{n} \qquad \square$$

Theorem 3.22. $\displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k}^2 = n\binom{2n-1}{n-1}$

Proof. Apply Absorption/Extraction and Vandermonde's Identity to obtain:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k\binom{n}{k}^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n\binom{n-1}{k-1}\binom{n}{k} = n\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k}\binom{n}{k+1}$$

$$= n\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k}\binom{n}{n-k-1} = n\binom{2n-1}{n-1} \qquad \square$$

Example 3.23. For $2 \leq k < n$, we have $k^n < \binom{kn}{n}$.

Proof. Consider a $n \times k$ grid. k^n chooses one entry from each column, while $\binom{kn}{n}$ may choose any n entries in the grid. □

Lemma 3.24. $\displaystyle\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}\binom{k}{m}(-1)^k = (-1)^n \delta_{nm}$

Proof. By Theorem 3.15 and Theorem 3.6:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}\binom{k}{m}(-1)^k = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{m}\binom{n-m}{k-m}(-1)^k = \binom{n}{m}(-1)^m \sum_{k=0}^{n-m} \binom{n-m}{k}(-1)^k$$

$$= \binom{n}{m}(-1)^m \delta_{nm} = (-1)^n \delta_{nm}$$

Or, note that $\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k}\binom{k}{m}$ counts the number of ordered pairs (T, S) for $T \subset S \subset [n]$ and $|T| = m$. Let $E, \mathcal{O}$ be the sets for which $|S|$ is even or odd, respectively. If $n \leq m$, the result is trivial. Otherwise, form the involution $(T, S) \mapsto (T, S \triangle \max([n] \setminus T))$, i.e., add/remove to S the largest element in $[n]$ that is not in T. This maps $E \leftrightarrow \mathcal{O}$, so we have the result. $\square$

3.4 Properties of Sequence of Binomial Coefficients

Definition 3.25. A finite sequence $\{a_k\}_{k=1}^{n}$ is <u>unimodal</u> if there exists m such that $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_m$ and $a_m \geq \cdots \geq a_n$. The sequence is furthermore <u>log-concave</u> if $\left| \begin{smallmatrix} a_k & a_{k+1} \\ a_{k-1} & a_k \end{smallmatrix} \right| = a_k^2 - a_{k-1}a_{k+1} \geq 0$ for all k.

↪ Log-concave sequences have $\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k} \leq \frac{a_k}{a_{k-1}}$, so that the ratios are monotone decreasing

↪ Using the above interpretation, it is easy to show that any log-concave sequence is indeed unimodal

Theorem 3.26. $\binom{n}{k}$ is unimodal in k.

Proof. We prove that it is monotone increasing when $k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$, then the remainder follows by symmetry. Consider associating elements in S_{k-1} with those in S_k if the former is a subset of the latter. Then every element of S_{k-1} is associated to $n - k + 1$ elements in S_k, and every element in S_k is associated to $k - 1$ elements in S_{k-1}. Thus, $|S_{k-1}|(n - k + 1) = |S_k|(k - 1)$. We have $n - k + 1 \geq k - 1$, so that $|S_{k-1}| \leq |S_k|$. $\square$

Theorem 3.27. $\binom{n}{k}$ is log-concave in k (this follows algebraically).

3.5 Multinomial Theorem

Theorem 3.28 (Multinomial Theorem). $(x_1 + \cdots + x_k)^n = \sum \binom{n}{n_1 \ \cdots \ n_k} x_1^{n_1} \cdots x_k^{n_k}$ where the summation is taken over all nonnegative $n_1 + \cdots + n_k = n$ (this follows similarly to the Binomial Theorem)

Theorem 3.29. $\binom{n}{n_1 \ \cdots \ n_k} = \binom{n}{n_1}\binom{n - n_1}{n_2} \cdots \binom{n - n_1 - \cdots - n_{k-1}}{n_k}$

Proof. LHS is distinguishable permutations of $\{\{1^{n_1}, \ldots, k^{n_k}\}\}$, while RHS successively picks the locations for all 1s, then all 2s, and so on. $\square$

Theorem 3.30. $\displaystyle\sum_{a+b+c=n} a \binom{n}{a \, b \, c} = n3^{n-1}$

Proof. LHS counts number of ways to form three groups of size a, b, c from n people and then select a chair in the first group. RHS selects the chair first then distributes the remaining people. $\square$

3.6 Generalized Binomial Theorem

Definition 3.31. The generalized binomial coefficient $\binom{\alpha}{k}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ is 0 for $k < 0$, 1 for $k = 1$, and $\frac{(\alpha)_k}{k!}$ for $k > 0$.

Theorem 3.32 (Generalized Binomial Theorem). $(1+x)^\alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{n} x^n$ as a formal power series (this follows by computing the Taylor series)

Example 3.33.

$$\sqrt{1-4x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{1/2}{n}(-4x)^n = 1 - 2x + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n!}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)_{n-1}(-4x)^n = 1 - 2x - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2n!}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\overline{n-1}}(4x)^n$$

$$= 1 - 2x - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{4^n}{2n!}\frac{(2n-3)!!}{2^{n-1}}x^n = 1 - 2x - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^n(2n-3)!!}{n!}x^n$$

$$= 1 - 2x - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2^n(2n-3)!!(2n-2)!!}{n!2^{n-1}(n-1)!}x^n = 1 - 2x - \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{2(2n-2)!}{n!(n-1)!}x^n$$

Example 3.34. We can prove Vandermonde's identity using the Generalized Binomial Theorem.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m+n}\binom{m+n}{k} = (1+x)^{m+n} = (1+x)^m(1+x)^n = \left[\sum_{k=0}^{m}\binom{m}{k}x^k\right]\left[\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{n}{j}x^j\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{m}{j}\binom{n}{k-j}x^k$$

Theorem 3.35. $\binom{\alpha}{n} = (-1)^n\binom{n-\alpha-1}{n}$

Proof.
$$\binom{\alpha}{n} = \frac{(\alpha)_n}{n!} = \frac{(-\alpha)^{\overline{n}}(-1)^n}{n!} = \frac{(n-\alpha-1)_n(-1)^n}{n!} = (-1)^n\binom{n-\alpha-1}{n} \qquad \square$$

Theorem 3.36. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k}x^n = \dfrac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k+1}}$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.35 and the Generalized Binomial Theorem:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k}x^n = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k}x^n = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\binom{n}{n-k}x^n = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{-k-1}{n-k}x^n$$

$$= x^k\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}(-1)^{n-k}\binom{-k-1}{n-k}x^{n-k} = x^k\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{-k-1}{n}(-x)^n = x^k(1-x)^{-k-1}$$

$$= \frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k+1}} \qquad \square$$

Theorem 3.37. $(1-x)^{-m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{m+n-1}{n}x^n$

Proof. By the Generalized Binomial Theorem and Theorem 3.35:

$$(1-x)^{-m} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{-m}{n}(-x)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\binom{m+n-1}{n}x^n \qquad \square$$

4.   Partitions

Concept 4.1. Imagine placing n objects into k bins so that no bin is empty. We can count:

- Surjections/ordered set partitions, where the objects and bins are distinct

- Compositions, where the objects are identical but the bins are distinct

- Set partitions, where the objects are distinct but the bins are identical

- Integer partitions, where the objects and bins are identical

4.1   Compositions

Definition 4.2. A sequence of k nonnegative integers summing to n is called a weak composition of n into k parts. If all elements are nonzero, then it is called a composition.

Theorem 4.3. The number of weak compositions of n into k parts is $\left(\!\!\binom{k}{n}\!\!\right) = \binom{n+k-1}{k-1} = \binom{n+k-1}{n}$.

> *Proof.* Weak compositions represent ways of dividing the summands of $n = 1 + \cdots + 1$ into k distinct bins, which can be done $\left(\!\!\binom{k}{n}\!\!\right)$ ways. □

Theorem 4.4. The number of compositions of n into k parts is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$.

> *Proof.* Put one object in each bin, then distribute the remainder via a weak composition $\left(\!\!\binom{k}{n-k}\!\!\right) = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ ways. Or, group the summands in $n = 1 + \cdots + 1$ into k groups by choosing $k - 1$ dividers from $n - 1$ gaps. □

Theorem 4.5. The number of compositions of n is 2^{n-1}.

> *Proof.* Apply binomial theorem, or select any subset of the $n - 1$ gaps to be dividers. □

Theorem 4.6. The number of compositions of $n \geq 2$ into an even (odd) number of parts is 2^{n-2}.

> *Proof.* The number of compositions into k parts is $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$, so we can compute:
>
> $$\sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ k \text{ even}}}^{n} \binom{n-1}{k-1} = \frac{1}{2}\left[\sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{k-1}(-1)^k + \sum_{k=1}^{n}\binom{n-1}{k-1}\right]$$
>
> $$= \frac{1}{2}\left[-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}(-1)^k + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k}\right] = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k} = 2^{n-2}$$
>
> Or, form an involution from compositions with an even number of parts to those with an odd number. If the composition begins with 1, combine this with the value to its right; otherwise, remove 1 from the first value and place 1 as the first value. □

Example 4.7. Let $F(n)$ be the number of all partitions of $[n]$ with no singleton blocks. Show $B(n) = F(n) + F(n+1)$.

> *Proof.* We prove $B(n) - F(n) = F(n+1)$. LHS counts partitions with a singleton block, and RHS counts partitions with a new element $n + 1$ with no singletons. We can form the bijection which combines all singleton elements with $n + 1$ into a new block (and the reverse takes all elements in the same block as $n + 1$ and places them in singleton blocks). □

4.2 Set Partitions

Definition 4.8. Let S be a set. A collection of non-empty disjoint subsets whose union is S is a set partition. Let $\left\{ {S \atop k} \right\}$ denote set partitions of S into k blocks, and $\mathcal{P}_n$ be the set partitions of $[n]$. Let $S(n,k) = \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\} = \left| \left\{ {[n] \atop k} \right\} \right|$ be the number of such set partitions (called Stirling set numbers of the second kind).

↪ The Bell numbers count all partitions of n: $B(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}$

↪ The number of ordered set partitions is $k! \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}$

↪ For $S = [n]$, we represent $\{1, 2, 4\}, \{6\}, \{5, 3\}$ by $124/35/6$, so that each block is ascending and blocks are ordered by their first element

↪ One can also reverse the order of each block (e.g., $421/53/6$) so that the dividers may be removed

↪ The canonical form of set partition $\sigma \in \mathcal{P}_n$ is $w(\sigma) = w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n \in [k]^n$ where $w_i = j$ if $i \in B_j$, for $\sigma = B_1 / \cdots / B_n$

↪ For example, $\sigma = 13/267/45$ implies $w(\sigma) = 1213322$

Theorem 4.9. $\left\{ {n \atop k} \right\} = 0$ if $k > n$, $n < 0$, or $k < 0$. $\left\{ {n \atop 0} \right\} = 0$, and otherwise $\left\{ {n \atop k} \right\} = \left\{ {n-1 \atop k-1} \right\} + k \left\{ {n-1 \atop k} \right\}$.

Proof. Suppose $n, k \geq 1$. RHS conditions on whether $\{n\}$ is its own block. If so, then the remainder of the partition can be performed $\left\{ {n-1 \atop k-1} \right\}$ ways. Otherwise, partition all other elements $\left\{ {n-1 \atop k} \right\}$ ways then choose which of the k blocks contains n. □

Theorem 4.10. $k! \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} (k-j)^n = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} j^n$

Proof. LHS counts surjections $[n] \to [k]$. RHS uses the Inclusion-Exclusion principle. There are k^n total functions, and let p_j be the property that j is not in the image of the function. Then we have:

$$k! \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\} = k^n - \sum_j N(p_j) + \sum_{j \leq k} N(p_j, p_k) - \cdots + (-1)^n N(p_1, \ldots, p_k)$$

$$= k^n - \sum_j (k-1)^n + \sum_{j \leq k} (k-2)^n - \cdots + (-1)^n (k-k)^k$$

$$= k^n - \binom{k}{1}(k-1)^n + \binom{k}{2}(k-2)^n - \cdots + \binom{k}{k}(-1)^k (k-k)^n$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \binom{k}{j}(k-j)^n \qquad \square$$

Theorem 4.11. $x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\} (x)_k$ for $n \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof. Each side is a polynomial, so it suffices to prove it for all $\mathbb{N}$. LHS is number of ways to distribute n identical balls into x distinct bins. RHS conditions on the number of empty bins k, grouping the balls $\left\{ {n \atop k} \right\}$ ways then picking the bins $(x)_k$ ways. □

Theorem 4.12. $\sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k k! \left\{ {n \atop k} \right\} = (-1)^n$

Proof. $(-1)^k k! = (-1)_k$, so apply Theorem 4.11. Or, form an involution between the ordered set partitions with an even number of blocks and those with an odd number of blocks, excluding the set partition $1/2/\cdots/n$. If $\{1\}$ is not the first block, then either combine 1 with the block to its right (if $\{1\}$ is a block) or remove 1 from its block and place it in its own block to the left. If $\{1\}$ is the first block, apply the same process with 2, then 3, and so on.

This can also be proved using Theorem 4.11 and Lemma 3.24:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} k^n (-1)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^{n} \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ j \end{Bmatrix} (k)_j = \sum_{j=0}^{n} \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ j \end{Bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} (-1)^k (k)_j$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} j! \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ j \end{Bmatrix} \sum_{k=0}^{m} \binom{m}{k} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n} j! \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ j \end{Bmatrix} (-1)^m \delta_{mj} = (-1)^m m! \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ m \end{Bmatrix} \square$$

Theorem 4.13. $(-x)^n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k k! \begin{Bmatrix} n \\ k \end{Bmatrix} \binom{x+k-1}{k}$

Proof. $(-x)_k = (x+k-1)_k = k!\binom{x+k-1}{k}$, now apply Theorem 4.11. $\square$

Theorem 4.14. $\begin{Bmatrix} n \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} = 2^{n-1} - 1$

Proof. $w : \begin{Bmatrix} [n] \\ 2 \end{Bmatrix} \to [2]^n$ is injective, and the image is all words beginning with 1 and containing both 1 and 2. $\square$

Theorem 4.15. $\begin{Bmatrix} n+1 \\ m+1 \end{Bmatrix} = \sum_{k=m}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \begin{Bmatrix} k \\ m \end{Bmatrix}$

Proof. LHS counts set partitions of $[n+1]$ into $m+1$ blocks. RHS conditions on the number of elements k in a block different from $n+1$; the elements are chosen $\binom{n}{k}$ ways and distributed $\begin{Bmatrix} k \\ m \end{Bmatrix}$ ways. $\square$

Theorem 4.16. $B(n+1) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} B(k)$

Proof. Take sum of Theorem 4.15. Or, LHS counts ways to partition $[n+1]$, while RHS conditions on number of elements k in a block different from $n+1$. $\square$

Theorem 4.17. $\sum_{k=0}^{m} k \begin{Bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} n+m+1 \\ m \end{Bmatrix}$

Proof. RHS counts set partitions of $[n+m+1]$ into m blocks. LHS conditions on the largest k such that $n+k+1$ is not a singleton. This guarantees $(n+m+1) - (n+k+1) = m-k$ singletons, so the number of remaining blocks is k. The number of remaining elements is $n+k+1$. Distribute the first $n+k$, then pick which block contains $n+k+1$.

Or, use a telescoping sum. Note that by Theorem 4.9:

$$k \begin{Bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{Bmatrix} = \left[\begin{Bmatrix} n+k \\ k-1 \end{Bmatrix} + k \begin{Bmatrix} n+k \\ k \end{Bmatrix} \right] - \begin{Bmatrix} n+k \\ k-1 \end{Bmatrix} = \begin{Bmatrix} n+k+1 \\ k \end{Bmatrix} - \begin{Bmatrix} n+k \\ k-1 \end{Bmatrix}$$

Using this, we get a telescoping sum. $\square$

Theorem 4.18. For $n \geq 3$, we have $B(n) < n!$.

Use the representation where each block is listed in reverse order (so that dividers are not needed). Then every set partition of $[n]$ can be represented uniquely by a permutation in S_n. For $n \geq 3$, not every permutation is a valid set partition, such as any beginning with 231 (since 1 needs to appear in the first block).

4.3 Integer Partitions

Definition 4.19. An <u>integer partition</u> of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a multiset λ whose elements in $\mathbb{N}$ sum to n. This is notated $(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k) \vdash n$, and λ is often written in descending order. $P(n)$ is the set of integer partitions of n, and $p(n) = |P(n)|$. $P_k(n)$ and $p_k(n)$ are defined similarly for integer partitions into k parts. $P_{\leq k}(n)$ and $p_{\leq k}(n)$ are used for integer partitions into at most k parts.

↪ Integer partitions are similar to compositions, but where order does not matter

Concept 4.20. A Young Diagram can be used to represent an integer partition, such as:

$$\lambda = (5, 3, 2, 2) \vdash 12 \quad \longleftrightarrow$$

Definition 4.21. The transpose λ^t of an integer partition λ is formed by taking the transpose of the Young Diagram, such as:

$$\lambda^t = (4, 4, 2, 1, 1) \vdash 12 \quad \longleftrightarrow$$

If $\lambda^t = (\lambda_1^t, \ldots, \lambda_m^t)$, then λ_j^t counts the number of $\lambda_i \geq j$. This is a bijection.

Proposition 4.22. $P_k(n) = P_{\leq k}(n) - P_{\leq k-1}(n)$

Theorem 4.23. $P_k(n) = P_{\leq k}(n - k)$

Proof. For $\lambda \in P_k(n)$, taking the transpose yields a Young Diagram where the first row has precisely k blocks. Removing this first row is a bijection into $P_{\leq k}(n - k)$. Thus, composing these, we have the result. □

Theorem 4.24. The number of partitions of n into at most k parts is equal to the number of partitions of n into parts not larger than k.

Proof. The transpose forms a bijection between these. □

5. Binomial Inversion

Theorem 5.1 (Binomial Inversion)**.** Let $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ be sequences for $n \geq 0$. Then:

$$a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} b_k \text{ for all } n \iff b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k (-1)^{n-k} \text{ for all } n$$

↳ The symmetric version is $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} b_k (-1)^k$ iff $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k (-1)^k$

↳ Binomial inversion can sometimes offer an alternative for proofs other than Inclusion/Exclusion

Proof. Suppose the RHS. Using Lemma 3.24:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} b_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} a_j (-1)^{k-j} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{k}{j} a_j (-1)^{k-j}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j (-1)^{-j} \sum_{k=j}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{j} (-1)^k = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_j (-1)^{-j} (-1)^n \delta_{nj} = a_n$$

The converse and symmetric version follow by applying the above to modified versions of a_n and b_n. □

Definition 5.2. A permutation is called a <u>derangement</u> if it has no fixed points.

Example 5.3. To count the number of derangements in S_n, define $D(n,k)$ to equal the number of permutations with k fixed points. $D(n,k) = \binom{n}{k} D(n-k, 0)$ by the product rule. Conditioning permutations based on their number of fixed points:

$$n! = \sum_{k=0}^{n} D(n,k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} D(n-k, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{n-k} D(n-k, 0) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} D(k, 0)$$

Thus, by Binomial Inversion, we have $D(n,0) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} k! (-1)^{n-k}$. $D(n,0)$ is often denoted $!n$, the <u>subfactorial</u>.

Example 5.4. Find $\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{!n}{n!}$, the fraction of permutations which are derangements.

Proof.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{!n}{n!} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n!} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} k! (-1)^{n-k} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} (-1)^{n-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} = e^{-1} \quad □$$

Definition 5.5. The <u>binomial transform</u> of $\{a_n\}$ is $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k (-1)^k$.

↳ This is an involution, corresponding to the symmetric form of binomial inversion

↳ We also have $b_n = (-1)^n (\delta^n a)_0$, the nth forward difference with alternating sign

Example 5.6. The binomial transform of $a_n = n^2$ is:

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} k^2 (-1)^k = (-1)^n n! \begin{Bmatrix} 2 \\ n \end{Bmatrix} = \{0, -1, 2, 0, 0, \dots\}$$

This can also be found using the forward difference interpretation:

$$
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
\boxed{0} & & 1 & & 4 & & 9 & & 16 \\
& \boxed{1} & & 3 & & 5 & & 7 & \\
& & \boxed{2} & & 2 & & 2 & & \\
& & & \boxed{0} & & 0 & & &
\end{array}
$$

Example 5.7. The binomial transform of $a_n = 1$ is:

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a_k (-1)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-1)^k = \delta_{n0}$$

Example 5.8. Using Extraction/Absorption, the binomial transform of $a_n = n$ is:

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} k (-1)^k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} n \binom{n-1}{k-1} (-1)^k = -n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} (-1)^k = -n \delta_{n1} = -\delta_{n1}$$

Example 5.9. The binomial transform of $a_n = a^n$ is:

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} a^k (-1)^k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (-a)^k = (1-a)^n$$

Example 5.10. The binomial transform of $a_n = \delta_{n1}$ is:

$$b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} \delta_{k1} (-1)^k = \binom{n}{1} (-1)^1 = -n$$

6. Formal Power Series & Generating Functions

Definition 6.1. A <u>formal power series</u> is a summation $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ for a sequence of coefficients $\{a_n\}$

↳ Two series are equal if the coefficients are equal

↳ The formal power series corresponding to $\{a_n\}$ is called its <u>ordinary generating function</u>, and the relationship is denoted $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \overset{ogf}{\longleftrightarrow} \{a_n\}$

Proposition 6.2. Let $\mathbb{F}[[x]]$ denote the space of formal power series over a field $\mathbb{F}$, such as $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$. This forms an algebra with the operations:

(i) Addition: $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_n + b_n) x^n$

(ii) Scalar Multiplication: $c \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c a_n x^n$

(iii) Multiplication: $\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k} \right) x^n$

Definition 6.3. $[x^k] : \mathbb{F}[[x]] \to \mathbb{F}$ defined by $[x^k] \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n = a_k$ is a linear functional.

Theorem 6.4. A formal power series has a reciprocal iff $a_0 \neq 0$, and it is unique if it exists.

> *Proof.* Uniqueness follows from algebra (uniqueness of multiplicative inverses). For $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, suppose $\left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n \right] \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n x^n \right] = 1$. For $n = 0$, this implies $a_0 b_0 = 1$, so $a_0 \neq 0$ and $b_0 = -a_0^{-1}$. For $n = 1$, we have $a_0 b_1 + a_1 b_0 = 0$, so $b_1 = -a_0^{-1} a_1 b_0$. This can be continued recursively to obtain a reciprocal. $\qquad \square$

Definition 6.5. The composition of two formal power series $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ and $g(x)$ is $f(g(x)) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n g(x)^n$. However, this may not be well-defined. If $[x^0] g(x) \neq 0$, then infinitely many terms in the above definition are needed to calculate each coefficient of the resulting power series, so since infinite series are not defined, neither is the power series. However, if $[x^0] g(x) = 0$, then $a_n (g(x))^n = a_n (g_1 x + g_2 x^2 + \cdots)^n = a_n x^n (g_1 + g_2 x + \cdots)$, so that finitely many terms are needed to compute each coefficient. So, the composition is well-defined if $[x^0] g(x) = 0$ or if f is a polynomial.

Proposition 6.6. Suppose $[x^0] f(x) = 0$. Then f has an inverse g, so that $f(g(x)) = x = g(f(x))$, iff $[x^1] f(x) \neq 0$.

Definition 6.7. Let $\{f_k(x)\}_{k \geq 0}$ be a sequence of formal power series. $f_k(x)$ converges to $f(x)$ if $[x^n] f_k(x)$ is eventually constant and equal to $[x^n] f(x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for all n.

↳ We require each $[x^n] f_k(x)$ to become constant since there is not necessarily a notion of convergence in the field $\mathbb{F}$

Example 6.8. For a power series $A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$, the sequence of partial sums $s_k(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{k} a_n x^n$ converges to $A(x)$.

Proposition 6.9. Define the <u>degree</u> of a formal power series $f(x)$ to be the smallest n such that $a_n \neq 0$, denoted $\deg(f(x))$. If $f(x) = 0$, then let $\deg(f(x)) = \infty$. $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_k(x)$ exists iff $\lim_{k \to \infty} \deg(f_k(x)) \to \infty$.

Proposition 6.10. Define <u>differentiation</u> as $f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n a_n x^{n-1}$ for $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$. All normal derivative rules such as the <u>sum</u>, product, and quotient rule hold for formal power series.

Example 6.11. $\dfrac{1}{1-x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$, so that $[x^k] \dfrac{1}{1-x} = 1$

> *Proof.* This can be shown with the Generalized Binomial Theorem:
>
> $$(1-x)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-1}{n} (-x)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)_n}{n!} (-x)^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$$

Or, carry out the multiplication:

$$(1-x)\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}x^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}x^{n+1} = x^0 = 1$$

$\square$

Example 6.12. $\dfrac{1}{1+x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^n x^n$, so that $[x^k]\dfrac{1}{1+x} = (-1)^k$

Example 6.13. $[x^n]x^k A(x) = [x^{n-k}]A(x)$ and $[x^n]\dfrac{1}{x^k}A(x) = [x^{n+k}]A(x)$ for appropriate n, k

Example 6.14. $[x^n]\dfrac{A(x)}{1-x} = \sum_{k=0}^{n}a_k$ from Example 6.11 and definition of multiplication

$\hookrightarrow$ In other words, dividing by $1-x$ gives the partial sums

Example 6.15. $[x^n]\dfrac{x}{(1-x)^2} = n$

Proof.

$$\frac{x}{(1-x)^2} = x\frac{d}{dx}\frac{1}{1-x} = x\frac{d}{dx}\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}x^n = x\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}nx^{n-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}nx^n$$

$\square$

Example 6.16. $[x^n]\dfrac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k+1}} = \dbinom{n}{k}$ by Theorem 3.36.

Example 6.17. $[x^n]\dfrac{2x}{(1-x)^8} = 2[x^{n+6}]\dfrac{x^7}{(1-x)^8} = 2\dbinom{n+6}{7}$

Theorem 6.18. Let $a_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}b_k$, so that by Binomial Inversion, $b_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}a_k(-1)^{n-k}$. Let $A(x), B(x)$ be the corresponding power series. Then:

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}B\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad B(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}A\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)$$

Proof. Using Theorem 3.36:

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\sum_{k=0}^{n}\binom{n}{k}b_k x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}b_k\sum_{n=k}^{\infty}\binom{n}{k}x^n$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}b_k\frac{x^k}{(1-x)^{k+1}} = \frac{1}{1-x}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}b_k\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)^k = \frac{1}{1-x}B\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)$$

Let $\widetilde{a}_n = (-1)^n a_n$ and $\widetilde{b}_n = (-1)^n b_n$. By the above result, $\widetilde{B}(x) = \frac{1}{1-x}\widetilde{A}\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)$, or $B(-x) = \frac{1}{1-x}A\left(\frac{x}{x-1}\right)$. Replace x with $-x$ to obtain $B(x) = \frac{1}{1+x}A\left(\frac{x}{1+x}\right)$. $\square$

Example 6.19. Let $a_n = 2a_{n-1}$ with a_0 given. Let $\{a_n\} \longleftrightarrow A(x)$ and write:

$$A(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}a_n x^n = a_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}2a_{n-1}x^n = a_0 + 2x\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}a_{n-1}x^{n-1} = a_0 + 2xA(x)$$

Thus, $A(x) = \frac{a_0}{1-2x}$. Then $a_n = [x^n]\frac{a_0}{1-2x} = a_0[x^n]\frac{1}{1-2x} = a_0 2^n$ by !!!.

Definition 6.20. Other types of generating functions are also possible, such as:

Type	Abbreviation	Form	Notation
Ordinary Generating Function	ogf	$f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$	$f(x) \overset{ogf}{\longleftrightarrow} \{a_n\}$
Exponential Generating Function	egf	$g(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$	$g(x) \overset{egf}{\longleftrightarrow} \{b_n\}$
Dirichlet Generating Function	dgf	$h(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^s}$	$h(s) \overset{dgf}{\longleftrightarrow} \{c_n\}$

7. Sieve Method

Theorem 7.1 (Principal of Inclusion/Exclusion). Let S be a collection of N objects and let $P = \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$ be a set of properties that one or more of the elements in S possess. Let $N(p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_n})$ count the number of objects that satisfy at least the properties $p_{i_1}, \ldots, p_{i_n}$, and let P_i be the set of elements satisfying p_i. Let e_j count the number of objects with exactly j properties. Then:

$$e_0 = \underbrace{N}_{N_0} - \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{r} N(p_j)}_{N_1} + \underbrace{\sum_{j<k} N(p_j, p_k)}_{N_2} - \underbrace{\sum_{j<k<\ell} N(p_j, p_k, p_\ell)}_{N_3} + \cdots + (-1)^r \underbrace{N(p_1, \ldots, p_r)}_{N_r}$$

Alternatively, the number of objects satisfying at least one property is:

$$\left| \bigcup_{j=1}^{r} P_j \right| = N_1 - N_2 + \cdots + (-1)^{r+1} N_r$$

The number satisfying at least j properties is similarly computed, and by taking differences, the number satisfying exactly j properties can be computed. Or, using generating functions $E(x) = \sum_k e_k x^k$ and $N(x) = \sum_k N_k x^k$, we have $E(x) = N(x-1)$, so that expanding $N(x-1)$ gives us all values of e_j.

Proof. We have $N_k = \sum_{j=k}^{r} \binom{j}{k} e_j$ by conditioning on the number of properties j and then picking k to use. Then the generating functions satisfy:

$$N(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{r} N_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{r} \sum_{j=k}^{r} \binom{j}{k} e_j x^k = \sum_{j=0}^{r} e_j \sum_{k=0}^{j} \binom{j}{k} x^k = \sum_j e_j (1+x)^j = E(1+x)$$

Then $E(x) = N(x-1)$. Substituting $x = 0$ yields $e_0 = N(-1) = \sum_k N_k (-1)^k$. $\qquad \square$

Example 7.2. Consider counting the derangements in S_n, as in 5.3. Letting $N = n!$ and p_j be the property that the permutation fixes j, then $N(p_j) = (n-1)!$, $N(p_j, p_k) = (n-2)!$, and so on. Thus:

$$!n = n! - \sum_j (n-1)! + \sum_{j<k} (n-2)! - \sum_{j<k<\ell} (n-3)! + \cdots + (-1)^r (n-n)!$$

$$= n! - \binom{n}{1}(n-1)! + \binom{n}{2}(n-2)! - \binom{n}{3} \sum_{j<k<\ell} (n-3)! + \cdots + (-1)^r \binom{n}{n}(n-n)!$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n} \binom{n}{j}(n-j)!(-1)^j = n! \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^j}{j!}$$

Example 7.3. The number of nonnegative integer solutions to $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 17$ is given by the number of weak compositions as $\left(\!\binom{3}{17}\!\right) = \binom{19}{2} = 171$. If we add the restriction that $x_1 \le 6$, $x_2 \le 10$, and $x_3 \le 8$, then this can be counted using Inclusion/Exclusion with properties $p_1 = (x_1 > 6)$, $p_2 = (x_2 > 10)$, and $p_3 = (x > 8)$. Allocating the 7 guaranteed parts to x_1, we obtain that $N(p_1) = \left(\!\binom{3}{17-7}\!\right) = \left(\!\binom{3}{10}\!\right) = 66$, and similarly $N(p_2) = \left(\!\binom{3}{6}\!\right) = 28$, $N(p_3) = \left(\!\binom{3}{8}\!\right) = 45$, $N(p_1 p_2) = 0$, $N(p_1 p_3) = \left(\!\binom{3}{1}\!\right) = 3$, $N(p_2 p_3) = 0$, and $N(p_1 p_2 p_3) = 0$. Then the total number of given by $171 - 66 - 28 - 45 + 3 = 35$.