UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FACULTY OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

FINAL YEAR BACHELOR PROJECT
CSC / SWE / CNS 4949A, SKM 4950A
PROPOSAL DOCUMENTATION EVALUATION FORM
SEMESTER: _____   SESSION: _____

Matric No.   :   _____   Student's Name :   _____

Project Title   :   _____

Supervisor   :   _____

No.	Evaluation Criteria	Marks
1.	Lifelong learning(CPS1 (C), 2%) ● Demonstrate evidence of extensive literature search and all relevant information. ● Critically comparing the review of literature relating to the solutions.	[__/2]
2.	Proposal should have the following elements: (CPS1 (C), 5%) ● Project introduction is clearly stated. ● Good formulation of problem statement. ● The objective is in line with the problem statement. ● Scope of the project is appropriate with a description of the users and/or system scope. ● Demonstrate excellent understanding of theoretical and practical knowledge of methodology/technique/application/ simulation/theory/configuration to solve the problem. ● Hardware and software correctly selected and described. ● Provide a complete and comprehensive project timeline. Task lists are well articulated and are relevant to the identified goals, with a viable timeline indicated. Gantt chart representation is reasonable and achievable. ● Expected results can be achieved.	[__/5]
3.	Methodology/technique/software/application/simulation/theory/configuration (CPS1 (C), 5%) ● Able to use the appropriate project management tool to accomplish the project. ● Able to manipulate theoretical and practical knowledge on methodology/technique/application/ simulation/theory/configuration to solve the problem. ● Able to justify the techniques, skills, and tools chosen for solving the problem. ● Able to provide a detailed and correct flow of the project process.	[__/3]
	JUMLAH	[__/10]

Supervisor Signature: **Date:**

Name:

RUBRIC GUIDELINES FOR BACHELOR PROJECT PROGRESS EVALUATION – SUPERVISOR (10%)

No.	Evaluation Criteria
1.	Lifelong learning - (CPS1 (C), 2%)

	0	The student demonstrates **no comparison and analysis of previous works related to the project topic.**
	1	The student demonstrates **poor comparison without any analysis of previous works related to the project topic.**
	2	The student could demonstrate **good comparison and analysis of previous works related to the project topic.**

No.	Evaluation Criteria
2..	Knowledge - Proposal contents (CPS1 (C), 5%)

	0	Proposal was not presented
	1	The student **elaborated** on several of the required elements, but with **unclear** and **unsatisfactory arguments**.
	2	The student **elaborated** on several of the required elements with **satisfactory arguments**.
	3	The student **elaborated** on several of the required elements clearly and with **good arguments**.
	4	The student successfully **elaborated** all elements clearly and with **good arguments**.
	5	The student successfully **elaborated** all elements clearly, understandable, achievable with good and **justified arguments**.

No.	Evaluation Criteria
3.	Methodology/technique/software/application/simulation/theory/configuration (CPS1 (C), 3%)

	0	Does not make use or select methodology tools and/or techniques relevant to the project
	1	Choice of methodology/technique/software is suitable with project development but **without** proper justification
	2	Choice of methodology/technique/software is suitable with project development and supported with **a brief explanation** to justify the selection
	3	Employ appropriate methodology tools and/or techniques. Clearly demonstrates **mastery usage of the tools**.