## Experiments

## Performance Comparison

Category	Method	Accuracy	AUC-ROC	Fake News			Real News		
				Precision	Recall	$F_1$	Precision	Recall	$F_1$
Supervised	LIWC-LR	0.528	0.558	0.604	0.160	0.253	0.517	0.896	0.655
	LIWC-SVM	0.568	0.598	0.574	0.521	0.546	0.563	0.614	0.587
	LIWC-RF	0.590	0.616	0.613	0.483	0.541	0.574	0.696	0.629
	LSTM	0.733	0.799	0.876	0.543	0.670	0.669	0.923	0.775
	CNN	0.747	0.834	0.869	0.580	0.696	0.685	0.913	0.783
	EANN	0.767	0.803	0.863	0.634	0.731	0.711	0.899	0.794
Semi-supervised	$LSTM_{semi}$	0.753	0.841	0.854	0.611	0.713	0.697	0.895	0.784
	$CNN_{semi}$	0.759	0.848	0.850	0.630	0.723	0.706	0.889	0.787
Automatically annotated	WeFEND-	0.807	0.858	0.846	0.751	0.795	0.776	0.863	0.817
	WeFEND	0.824	0.873	0.880	0.751	0.810	0.783	0.898	0.836

• To reduce the influence of noisy labels, WeFEND has the data selector component based on reinforcement learning techniques, precision values of WeFEND are improved compared with WeFEND-

## Experiments

## **Insight Analysis**

- Aim to answer the following questions:
  - Does the distribution of news change with time?
  - Why should we use the reports to annotate the fake news?