

Experiments

Baselines

- LIWC (traditional): Logistic Regression (LIWC-LR), SVM (LIWC-SVM) and Random Forest (LIWC-RF)
- LSTM, CNN (LSTM_{semi}, CNN_{semi})
 - To show effects of automatic annotation, also proposed two semi-supervised models
- EANN (KDD'18)
- To show the role of data selector, design one variant of the proposed model named WeFEND– , which does not include data selector

Experiments

Performance Comparison

Category	Method	Accuracy	AUC-ROC	Fake News			Real News		
				Precision	Recall	F ₁	Precision	Recall	F ₁
Supervised	LIWC-LR	0.528	0.558	0.604	0.160	0.253	0.517	0.896	0.655
	LIWC-SVM	0.568	0.598	0.574	0.521	0.546	0.563	0.614	0.587
	LIWC-RF	0.590	0.616	0.613	0.483	0.541	0.574	0.696	0.629
	LSTM	0.733	0.799	0.876	0.543	0.670	0.669	0.923	0.775
	CNN	0.747	0.834	0.869	0.580	0.696	0.685	0.913	0.783
	EANN	0.767	0.803	0.863	0.634	0.731	0.711	0.899	0.794
Semi-supervised	LSTM _{semi}	0.753	0.841	0.854	0.611	0.713	0.697	0.895	0.784
	CNN _{semi}	0.759	0.848	0.850	0.630	0.723	0.706	0.889	0.787
Automatically annotated	WeFEND— WeFEND	0.807 0.824	0.858 0.873	0.846 0.880	0.751 0.751	0.795 0.810	0.776 0.783	0.863 0.898	0.817 0.836

- Observe that WeFEND achieves the best results in terms of Accuracy, AUC-ROC, precision, recall and F1 measurement