

Experiments

Overall Performance

Weibo

Method	Class	Acc.	Prec.	Rec.	F_1
DTC	F T	0.831	0.847 0.815	0.815 0.824	0.831 0.819
SVM-RBF	F T	0.879	0.777 0.579	0.656 0.708	0.708 0.615
SVM-TS	F T	0.885	0.950 0.124	0.932 0.047	0.938 0.059
RvNN	F T	0.908	0.912 0.904	0.897 0.918	0.905 0.911
PPC_RNN+CNN	F T	0.916	0.884 0.955	0.957 0.876	0.919 0.913
Bi-GCN	F T	0.961	0.961 0.962	0.964 0.962	0.961 0.960

Twitter15

Method	Acc.	N	F	T	U
		F_1	F_1	F_1	F_1
DTC	0.454	0.415	0.355	0.733	0.317
SVM-RBF	0.318	0.225	0.082	0.455	0.218
SVM-TS	0.544	0.796	0.472	0.404	0.483
SVM-TK	0.750	0.804	0.698	0.765	0.733
RvNN	0.723	0.682	0.758	0.821	0.654
PPC_RNN+CNN	0.477	0.359	0.507	0.300	0.640
Bi-GCN	0.886	0.891	0.860	0.930	0.864

Twitter16

Method	Acc.	N	F	T	U
		F_1	F_1	F_1	F_1
DTC	0.473	0.254	0.080	0.190	0.482
SVM-RBF	0.553	0.670	0.085	0.117	0.361
SVM-TS	0.574	0.755	0.420	0.571	0.526
SVM-TK	0.732	0.740	0.709	0.836	0.686
RvNN	0.737	0.662	0.743	0.835	0.708
PPC_RNN+CNN	0.564	0.591	0.543	0.394	0.674
Bi-GCN	0.880	0.847	0.869	0.937	0.865

- Bi-GCN is significantly superior to the RvNN method, RvNN only uses the hidden feature vector of **all the leaf nodes** so that it's heavily impacted by information of the latest post (lack of information such as comments, and just follow the former posts).
- Root feature enhancement of Bi-GCN to **pay attention to the information of the source posts**.

Experiments

Ablation Study

- All variants **outperform without root feature enhancement.**
- Indicates that the **source posts** plays an important role in rumor detection.
- TD-GCN and BU-GCN can't always achieve better results than UD-GCN, but Bi-GCN is always superior to them.
- Implies the **importance to simultaneously** consider both top-down and bottom-up representations.

