

Experiments...

Performance Comparison: Twitter Dataset

Method	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1
Text	0.532	0.598	0.541	0.568
Vis	0.596	0.695	0.518	0.593
VQA	0.631	<u>0.765</u>	0.509	0.611
NeuralTalk	0.610	0.728	0.504	0.595
att-RNN	<u>0.664</u>	0.749	<u>0.615</u>	<u>0.676</u>
EANN-	0.648	0.810	0.498	0.617
EANN	0.715	0.822	0.638	0.719

- # of Tweets on different events is imbalanced and more than 70% of tweets are related to a single event.
 - Cause the learned the text feature mainly focus on some specific events.
 - Seriously prevent extracting transferable feature among events on Text Model
- Text is lowest, Vis is better than Text.
 - Images are more transferable, with VGG19 extracting useful feature.
 - Vis still worse than that multi-modal approaches
 - Confirms that multiple modalities is superior for the task of fake news detection.

Experiments....

Performance Comparison: Twitter Dataset

Method	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1
Text	0.532	0.598	0.541	0.568
Vis	0.596	0.695	0.518	0.593
VQA	0.631	<u>0.765</u>	0.509	0.611
NeuralTalk	0.610	0.728	0.504	0.595
att-RNN	<u>0.664</u>	0.749	<u>0.615</u>	<u>0.676</u>
EANN-	0.648	0.810	0.498	0.617
EANN	0.715	0.822	0.638	0.719

- att-RNN performs better than VQA and NeuralTalk
 - Shows that applying attention mechanism can improve
- EANN- tend to capture the event-specific features
 - Would lead failure of learning enough shared features among events
- EANN significantly improves the performance in terms of all the measures