Experiments

Performance Analysis

		\mathbf{LIWC}^{\dagger}	VGG-19 [≀]	$ \mathbf{att}\text{-}\mathbf{RNN}^{\ddagger} $	SAFE∖T [≀]	$SAFEackslash\mathbf{V}^\dagger$	$SAFE \backslash \mathbf{S}^{\ddagger}$	SAFE\W [‡]	SAFE [‡]
	Acc.	0.822	0.649	0.769	0.674	0.721	0.796	0.738	0.874
Politi-	Pre.	0.785	0.668	0.735	0.680	0.740	0.826	0.752	0.889
Fact	Rec.	0.846	0.787	0.942	0.873	0.831	0.801	0.844	0.903
	\mathbf{F}_1	0.815	0.720	0.826	0.761	0.782	0.813	0.795	0.896
	Acc.	0.836	0.775	0.743	0.721	0.802	0.814	0.812	0.838
Gossip-	Pre.	0.878	0.775	0.788	0.734	0.853	0.875	0.853	0.857
Cop	Rec.	0.317	0.970	0.913	0.974	0.883	0.872	0.901	0.937
	\mathbf{F}_1	0.466	0.862	0.846	0.837	0.868	0.874	0.876	0.895

^{†:} Text-based methods

• Based on PolitiFact data, the general performance of methods is SAFE (multi-modal) > att-RNN (multi-modal) \approx LIWC (text) > VGG-19 (visual)

Image-based methods

^{‡:} Multi-modal methods

Experiments

Performance Analysis

		$ \mathbf{LIWC}^{\dagger} $	VGG-19 [≀]	$ \mathbf{att}\text{-}\mathbf{RNN}^{\ddagger} $	SAFE\ T [≀]	$SAFE ackslash \mathbf{V}^\dagger$	$SAFE \backslash \mathbf{S}^{\ddagger}$	SAFE\W [‡]	SAFE [‡]
	Acc.	0.822	0.649	0.769	0.674	0.721	0.796	0.738	0.874
Politi-	Pre.	0.785	0.668	0.735	0.680	0.740	0.826	0.752	0.889
Fact	Rec.	0.846	0.787	0.942	0.873	0.831	0.801	0.844	0.903
	\mathbf{F}_1	0.815	0.720	0.826	0.761	0.782	0.813	0.795	0.896
	Acc.	0.836	0.775	0.743	0.721	0.802	0.814	0.812	0.838
Gossip-	Pre.	0.878	0.775	0.788	0.734	0.853	0.875	0.853	0.857
\mathbf{Cop}	Rec.	0.317	0.970	0.913	0.974	0.883	0.872	0.901	0.937
	\mathbf{F}_1	0.466	0.862	0.846	0.837	0.868	0.874	0.876	0.895

^{†:} Text-based methods

 While for GossipCop data, such performance is SAFE (multi-modal) > VGG-19 (visual) > att-RNN (multi-modal) > LIWC (text)

Image-based methods

^{‡:} Multi-modal methods