Discussion

RQ1: Limited Training Data

- Conducted the experiments using different sizes of the training dataset.
- Observe that consistent improvements over the baselines under both limited and sufficient data conditions.

Systems	AUC score at different training percentages				
	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%
CSI	0.6363	0.6714	0.6700	0.6887	0.6911
GCN	0.5918	0.6445	0.6797	0.6642	0.7064
FANG(-*s*) (without stance loss)	0.6396	0.6708	0.6773	0.7090	0.7411
FANG	**0.6683**	**0.7036**	**0.7166**	**0.7232**	**0.7518**



Discussion

RQ1: Limited Training Data

Systems	AUC score at different training percentages				
	10%	30%	50%	70%	90%
CSI	0.6363	0.6714	0.6700	0.6887	0.6911
GCN	0.5918	0.6445	0.6797	0.6642	0.7064
FANG(-*s*) (without stance loss)	0.6396	0.6708	0.6773	0.7090	0.7411
FANG	**0.6683**	**0.7036**	**0.7166**	**0.7232**	**0.7518**

- CSI's AUC drops by 7.93%
- GCN's AUC drops by 16.22%
- FANG's AUC drops by 11.11%
- The experimental results emphasize our model's effectiveness even at low training data availability compared to the ablated version, GNN and Euclidean.