

# Experiments

## Performance Comparison: Twitter

Method	Twitter				Weibo			
	5-Shot		10-Shot		5-Shot		10-Shot	
	Accuracy	F1 Score	Accuracy	F1 Score	Accuracy	F1 Score	Accuracy	F1 Score
VQA	$73.62 \pm 1.83$	$76.69 \pm 1.23$	$73.49 \pm 2.61$	$74.69 \pm 2.97$	$76.93 \pm 0.71$	$75.88 \pm 0.45$	$77.80 \pm 1.43$	$76.36 \pm 1.77$
attRNN	$63.04 \pm 2.09$	$60.25 \pm 4.63$	$63.14 \pm 2.00$	$56.60 \pm 5.25$	$76.07 \pm 1.63$	$74.36 \pm 2.96$	$78.09 \pm 0.58$	$77.69 \pm 0.35$
EANN	$70.01 \pm 3.58$	$72.95 \pm 2.86$	$70.56 \pm 1.00$	$67.77 \pm 0.80$	$76.43 \pm 0.84$	$74.51 \pm 0.56$	$77.49 \pm 1.95$	$76.56 \pm 1.28$
CNP	$71.42 \pm 2.58$	$72.58 \pm 3.57$	$72.47 \pm 3.61$	$72.11 \pm 5.74$	$77.47 \pm 5.19$	$77.01 \pm 4.66$	$78.81 \pm 1.57$	$78.07 \pm 1.98$
ANP	$77.08 \pm 2.92$	$79.65 \pm 3.81$	$74.25 \pm 0.76$	$75.16 \pm 1.27$	$77.85 \pm 1.67$	$76.00 \pm 3.61$	$76.52 \pm 1.84$	$73.73 \pm 2.78$
MAML	$82.24 \pm 1.54$	$82.97 \pm 1.76$	$85.22 \pm 0.64$	$84.98 \pm 1.70$	$74.68 \pm 0.75$	$74.16 \pm 0.33$	$75.87 \pm 0.33$	$73.41 \pm 0.86$
Meta-SGD	$74.13 \pm 2.31$	$75.35 \pm 2.56$	$74.63 \pm 2.46$	$74.57 \pm 2.74$	$71.73 \pm 1.81$	$69.51 \pm 2.28$	$73.34 \pm 2.35$	$71.42 \pm 2.80$
MetaFEND	$86.45 \pm 1.83$	$86.21 \pm 1.32$	$88.79 \pm 1.27$	$88.66 \pm 1.09$	$81.28 \pm 0.75$	$80.19 \pm 1.27$	$82.92 \pm 0.13$	$82.37 \pm 0.28$
(Improvement)	( $\uparrow 5.12\%$ )	( $\uparrow 3.91\%$ )	( $\uparrow 4.19\%$ )	( $\uparrow 4.33\%$ )	( $\uparrow 4.41\%$ )	( $\uparrow 4.13\%$ )	( $\uparrow 5.22\%$ )	( $\uparrow 5.51\%$ )

- MetaFEND inherits the advantage of MAML to [learn a set of parameters which can rapidly learn](#) to detect with small support set.
- MetaFEND can [use support data as conditioning set explicitly](#) to better capture the uncertainty of events.

# Experiments

## Performance Comparison: Weibo

Method	Twitter				Weibo			
	5-Shot		10-Shot		5-Shot		10-Shot	
	Accuracy	F1 Score	Accuracy	F1 Score	Accuracy	F1 Score	Accuracy	F1 Score
VQA	$73.62 \pm 1.83$	$76.69 \pm 1.23$	$73.49 \pm 2.61$	$74.69 \pm 2.97$	$76.93 \pm 0.71$	$75.88 \pm 0.45$	$77.80 \pm 1.43$	$76.36 \pm 1.77$
attRNN	$63.04 \pm 2.09$	$60.25 \pm 4.63$	$63.14 \pm 2.00$	$56.60 \pm 5.25$	$76.07 \pm 1.63$	$74.36 \pm 2.96$	$78.09 \pm 0.58$	$77.69 \pm 0.35$
EANN	$70.01 \pm 3.58$	$72.95 \pm 2.86$	$70.56 \pm 1.00$	$67.77 \pm 0.80$	$76.43 \pm 0.84$	$74.51 \pm 0.56$	$77.49 \pm 1.95$	$76.56 \pm 1.28$
CNP	$71.42 \pm 2.58$	$72.58 \pm 3.57$	$72.47 \pm 3.61$	$72.11 \pm 5.74$	$77.47 \pm 5.19$	$77.01 \pm 4.66$	$78.81 \pm 1.57$	$78.07 \pm 1.98$
ANP	$77.08 \pm 2.92$	$79.65 \pm 3.81$	$74.25 \pm 0.76$	$75.16 \pm 1.27$	$77.85 \pm 1.67$	$76.00 \pm 3.61$	$76.52 \pm 1.84$	$73.73 \pm 2.78$
MAML	$82.24 \pm 1.54$	$82.97 \pm 1.76$	$85.22 \pm 0.64$	$84.98 \pm 1.70$	$74.68 \pm 0.75$	$74.16 \pm 0.33$	$75.87 \pm 0.33$	$73.41 \pm 0.86$
Meta-SGD	$74.13 \pm 2.31$	$75.35 \pm 2.56$	$74.63 \pm 2.46$	$74.57 \pm 2.74$	$71.73 \pm 1.81$	$69.51 \pm 2.28$	$73.34 \pm 2.35$	$71.42 \pm 2.80$
MetaFEND (Improvement)	<b><math>86.45 \pm 1.83</math></b> (↑5.12%)	<b><math>86.21 \pm 1.32</math></b> (↑3.91%)	<b><math>88.79 \pm 1.27</math></b> (↑4.19%)	<b><math>88.66 \pm 1.09</math></b> (↑4.33%)	<b><math>81.28 \pm 0.75</math></b> (↑4.41%)	<b><math>80.19 \pm 1.27</math></b> (↑4.13%)	<b><math>82.92 \pm 0.13</math></b> (↑5.22%)	<b><math>82.37 \pm 0.28</math></b> (↑5.51%)

- On the Weibo dataset, most of posts are associated with **different images**.
- Thus, can evaluate the performance of models under the circumstance where support sets **don't include direct clues with query set**.