

Experiments.....

Performance Comparison: Weibo Dataset

Method	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1
Text	0.763	<u>0.827</u>	0.683	0.748
Vis	0.615	0.615	0.677	0.645
VQA	0.773	0.780	0.782	0.781
NeuralTalk	0.717	0.683	0.843	0.754
att-RNN	0.779	0.778	0.799	0.789
EANN-	<u>0.795</u>	0.806	0.795	<u>0.800</u>
EANN	0.827	0.847	<u>0.812</u>	0.829

- Similar result can be observed as those on Twitter dataset.
- However, we can see that Text is greatly higher than that of Vis
 - Because Weibo dataset doesn't have imbalanced issue, and with sufficient diversity, useful linguistic patterns can be extracted.
 - Images of Weibo dataset are more complicated in semantic meaning than Twitter.
 - Vis can't learn meaningful representations, although use VGG19 (But MVNN can?)

Experiments.....

Performance Comparison: Weibo Dataset

Method	Accuracy	Precision	Recall	F1
Text	0.763	<u>0.827</u>	0.683	0.748
Vis	0.615	0.615	0.677	0.645
VQA	0.773	0.780	0.782	0.781
NeuralTalk	0.717	0.683	0.843	0.754
att-RNN	0.779	0.778	0.799	0.789
EANN-	<u>0.795</u>	0.806	0.795	<u>0.800</u>
EANN	0.827	0.847	<u>0.812</u>	0.829

- EANN- is better than all multi-modal approaches on Weibo dataset
 - Since length of each post is relatively short (<140 characters), Text-CNN may capture more local representative features.
- EANN compared with EANN-
 - Can conclude that using event discriminator component indeed improves the performance of fake news detection