## Experiments

## Performance Comparison

Model	Contextual	Temporal	Graphical	AUC
Feature SVM				0.5525
CSI(-t) (without $time(e)$ )	✓			0.6678
CSI	✓	<b>✓</b>		0.6911
GCN	✓		<b>✓</b>	0.7064
FANG(-t) (without $time(e)$	)		<b>✓</b>	0.7179
FANG	<b>✓</b>	✓	<b>✓</b>	0.7518

- Improvement from context modeling: 0.1153 for CSI(-t), 0.199 for FANG
- This demonstrates that considering social context is helpful for fake news detection.

## Experiments

## Performance Comparison

Model	Contextual	Temporal	Graphical	AUC
Feature SVM				0.5525
CSI(-t) (without $time(e)$ )	<b>✓</b>			0.6678
CSI	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>		0.6911
GCN	<b>✓</b>		<b>✓</b>	0.7064
FANG(-t) (without $time(e)$	)) 🗸		✓	0.7179
FANG	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	<b>✓</b>	0.7518

- Improvement from temporality: 0.0233 for CSI, 0.0339 for FANG
- These results demonstrate the importance of modeling the temporality of news spreading.