

ICPSR 37067

Continuity and Change in Contraceptive Use, United States, 2012-2014

Rachel Jones

Alan Guttmacher Institute

ICPSR Codebook for Wave 4

Inter-university Consortium for Political and Social Research P.O. Box 1248 Ann Arbor, Michigan 48106 www.icpsr.umich.edu

Terms of Use

The terms of use for this study can be found at: http://www.icpsr.umich.edu/icpsrweb/ICPSR/studies/37067/terms

Information about Copyrighted Content

Some instruments administered as part of this study may contain in whole or substantially in part contents from copyrighted instruments. Reproductions of the instruments are provided as documentation for the analysis of the data associated with this collection. Restrictions on "fair use" apply to all copyrighted content. More information about the reproduction of copyrighted works by educators and librarians is available from the United States Copyright Office.

NOTICE WARNING CONCERNING COPYRIGHT RESTRICTIONS

The copyright law of the United States (Title 17, United States Code) governs the making of photocopies or other reproductions of copyrighted material. Under certain conditions specified in the law, libraries and archives are authorized to furnish a photocopy or other reproduction. One of these specified conditions is that the photocopy or reproduction is not to be "used for any purpose other than private study, scholarship, or research." If a user makes a request for, or later uses, a photocopy or reproduction for purposes in excess of "fair use," that user may be liable for copyright infringement.

ICPSR PROCESSING NOTES FOR #37067

Continuity and Change DS # 4: Wave 4

- Confidentiality/Disclosure Risk: In order to protect respondent anonymity and prevent disclosure risk, ICPSR recoded the following variables in the data: TM_START, TM_FINISH, OTRO2PILL, OTRO2DEPO, OTRO2IUD, OTRO2WD, OTRO2CD, OTROINS, and OTRODOC. The day and time were dropped in TM_START AND TM_FINISH, and openended responses were masked in the other variables.
- 2. **Variable Link:** The variable **CASEID** is the household-level linking variable for the three datasets.
- 3. Extent of Processing: These data are being released in BETA version to facilitate early access to the study for research purposes. This collection has not been fully processed by DSDR or ICPSR at this time; the original materials provided by the principal investigator were only converted to other file types for ease of use. As the study is processed and given enhanced features by ICPSR, users will be able to access the updated versions of the study. Please report any data errors or problems to user support and we will work with you to resolve any data-related issues.
- 4. **Suspicious Characters:** Several variable labels in the original deposit file included an acute accent (') in place of an apostrophe (e.g. "DON'T KNOW"). These labels have not been amended for the beta release and may be rendered incorrectly (e.g. "DON?T KNOW") in the datasets. Variable labels will be edited to render properly in future updates.

ICPSR 37067

Continuity and Change in Contraceptive Use, United States, 2012-2014

Variable Description and Frequencies

Note: Frequencies displayed for the variables are not weighted. They are purely descriptive and may not be representative of the study population. Please review any sampling or weighting information available with the study.

Summary statistics (minimum, maximum, mean, median, and standard deviation) may not be available for every variable in the codebook. Conversely, a listing of frequencies in table format may not be present for every variable in the codebook either. However, all variables in the dataset are present and display sufficient information about each variable. These decisions are made intentionally and are at the discretion of the archive producing this codebook.

CASEID: Case ID

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 1-4 (width: 4; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEIGHT: weights for qualified respondents

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0526	-	60	3.3 %
0.0529	-	1	0.1 %
0.0530	-	4	0.2 %
0.0532	-	4	0.2 %
0.0538	-	7	0.4 %
0.0545	-	1	0.1 %
0.0547	-	1	0.1 %
0.0550	-	2	0.1 %
0.0552	-	1	0.1 %
0.0553	-	1	0.1 %
0.0555	-	2	0.1 %
0.0556	-	2	0.1 %
0.0560	-	7	0.4 %
0.0566	-	1	0.1 %
0.0568	-	1	0.1 %
0.0576	-	1	0.1 %
0.0577	-	1	0.1 %
0.0579	-	2	0.1 %
0.0596	-	6	0.3 %
0.0605	-	2	0.1 %
0.0607	-	2	0.1 %
0.0608	-	1	0.1 %
0.0621	-	3	0.2 %
0.0625	-	1	0.1 %
0.0639	-	1	0.1 %
0.0642	-	1	0.1 %
0.0644	-	2	0.1 %
0.0653	-	1	0.1 %
0.0656	-	1	0.1 %
0.0670	-	2	0.1 %
0.0672	-	1	0.1 %
0.0674	-	5	0.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.0676	-	5	0.3 %
0.0679	-	1	0.1 %
0.0683	-	1	0.1 %
0.0685	-	1	0.1 %
0.0686	-	1	0.1 %
0.0687	-	4	0.2 %
0.0694	-	1	0.1 %
0.0719	-	1	0.1 %
0.0725	-	8	0.4 %
0.0728	-	2	0.1 %
0.0734	-	1	0.1 %
0.0735	-	2	0.1 %
0.0736	-	2	0.1 %
0.0739	-	1	0.1 %
0.0742	-	1	0.1 %
0.0751	-	1	0.1 %
0.0756	-	1	0.1 %
0.0757		1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 1.0000Minimum: 0.0526Maximum: 5.3918

• Standard Deviation: 1.2733

Location: 5-10 (width: 6; decimal: 4)

Variable Type: numeric

TM_START: Interview start time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
01-JUN-2014 00:00	-	1119	60.7 %
01-MAY-2014 00:00	-	723	39.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 11-27 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

TM_FINISH: Interview finish time (GMT)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
01-JUN-2014 00:00	-	1150	62.4 %
01-MAY-2014 00:00	-	692	37.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 28-44 (width: 17; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

DURATION: Interview duration in minutes

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	3	0.2 %
1	-	7	0.4 %
2	-	36	2.0 %
3	-	125	6.8 %
4	-	194	10.5 %
5	-	249	13.5 %
6	-	231	12.5 %
7	-	197	10.7 %
8	-	138	7.5 %
9	-	91	4.9 %
10	-	79	4.3 %
11	-	60	3.3 %
12	-	52	2.8 %
13	-	37	2.0 %
14	-	27	1.5 %
15	-	20	1.1 %
16	-	17	0.9 %
17	-	18	1.0 %
18	-	11	0.6 %
19	-	13	0.7 %
20	-	11	0.6 %
21	-	8	0.4 %
22	-	9	0.5 %
23	-	9	0.5 %
24	-	6	0.3 %
25	-	8	0.4 %
26	-	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
27	-	8	0.4 %
28	-	5	0.3 %
29	-	4	0.2 %
30	-	3	0.2 %
31	-	8	0.4 %
32	-	1	0.1 %
33	-	1	0.1 %
34	-	3	0.2 %
35	-	1	0.1 %
36	-	3	0.2 %
37	-	1	0.1 %
38	-	5	0.3 %
39	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	1	0.1 %
41	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	3	0.2 %
43	-	1	0.1 %
44	-	2	0.1 %
46	-	1	0.1 %
47	-	3	0.2 %
48	-	4	0.2 %
49	-	2	0.1 %
51	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 171.69Median: 7.00Mode: 5.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 17644.00

• Standard Deviation: 1094.15

Location: 45-49 (width: 5; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

INTVLANG: Interview Language

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	English	1771	96.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	Spanish	71	3.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 2.00

Location: 50-50 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MAINLANG: Primary Language

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	English Dominant	127	6.9 %
2	Bilingual	72	3.9 %
3	Spanish Dominant	66	3.6 %
4	Hispanic missing data	0	0.0 %
5	Non-Hispanic	1577	85.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 51-51 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XIUD: Used IUD at T3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1669	90.6 %
1	Yes	173	9.4 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 52-53 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XIMPLANT: Used Implant at T3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1809	98.2 %
1	Yes	33	1.8 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 54-55 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XPILL: used pill at T1, T2, or T3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1065	57.8 %
1	Yes	777	42.2 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 56-57 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XDEPO: used Depo at T1, T2, or T3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1752	95.1 %
1	Yes	90	4.9 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 58-59 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XMIRENA: used IUD (Mirena) at T1, T2, or T3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1626	88.3 %
1	Yes	216	11.7 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 60-61 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XWITHDRA: used withdrawal at T1, T2, or T3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1225	66.5 %
1	Yes	617	33.5 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 62-63 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XCONDOM: used condoms at T1, T2, or T3

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1159	62.9 %
1	Yes	683	37.1 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 64-65 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q26_1: [Withdrawl] At sex in the past 30 days, did you use ____

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1024	55.6 %
1	Yes	358	19.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 66-66 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q26_2: [Vasectomy] At sex in the past 30 days, did you use ____

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1347	73.1 %
1	Yes	35	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 67-67 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q26_3: [Condoms] At sex in the past 30 days, did you use ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1026	55.7 %
1	Yes	356	19.3 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 68-68 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q26_4: [Natural/Calendar] At sex in the past 30 days, did you use ____

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1307	71.0 %
1	Yes	75	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 69-69 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q26_5: [Spermicide] At sex in the past 30 days, did you use ____

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1363	74.0 %
1	Yes	19	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 70-70 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q26_6: [None of the above] At sex in the past 30 days, did you use ____

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	657	35.7 %
1	Yes	725	39.4 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 71-71 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOV_Q26_REFUSED: [Refused/Missing] At sex in the past 30 days, did you use ____

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1378	74.8 %
1	Yes	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 72-72 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MARITAL: Q1: What is your current marital status?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Married	947	51.4 %
2	Not married but living together with a partner	327	17.8 %
3	Separated from my spouse	19	1.0 %
4	Never been married	479	26.0 %
5	Widowed	6	0.3 %
6	Divorced	57	3.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
		7	0.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 73-74 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

STILLP: Q1b: Do you still consider your spouse to be your current partner?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	16	0.9 %
1	Yes	3	0.2 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1823	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 19 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 75-76 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DATING: Q2: Is there someone whom you see or date on more or less regularly?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	234	12.7 %
1	Yes	324	17.6 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1284	69.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 558 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 77-78 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NDATING: Q2b: How many partners of this type do you have?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	1	304	16.5 %
2	2	18	1.0 %
3	3 or more	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1518	82.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 324 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 79-80 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q3B_A: Is {A} male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
0	Female	15	0.8 %
1	Male	931	50.5 %
	Missing Data		
	-	894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 948 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 81-82 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q3B_B: Is {B} male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
0	Female	8	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Male	316	17.2 %
	Missing Data		
	- -	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 83-84 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q3B_C: Is {C} male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Female	12	0.7 %
1	Male	291	15.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 85-86 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q3B_D1: Is {D1} male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Female	1	0.1 %
1	Male	19	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1822	98.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 20 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 87-88 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Q3B_D2: Is {D2} male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Female	2	0.1 %
1	Male	18	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1822	98.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 20 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 89-90 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q3B_E1: Is {E1} male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Female	0	0.0 %
1	Male	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 91-92 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q3B_E2: Is {E2} male or female?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Female	1	0.1 %
1	Male	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 93-94 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q4_A: Have you and {A} been together for 6 months or longer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	Yes	943	51.2 %
2	Yes, though we have broken up and gotten back together during that time	1	0.1 %
3	No	3	0.2 %
4	Unsure	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 948 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 95-96 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q4_B: Have you and {B} been together for 6 months or longer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
1	Yes	310	16.8 %
2	Yes, though we have broken up and gotten back together during that time	8	0.4 %
3	No	6	0.3 %
4	Unsure	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 326 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 97-98 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q4_C: Have you and {C} been together for 6 months or longer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Yes	196	10.6 %
2	Yes, though we have broken up and gotten back together during that time	36	2.0 %
3	No	70	3.8 %
4	Unsure	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 99-100 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q4_D1: Have you and {D1} been together for 6 months or longer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Yes	5	0.3 %
2	Yes, though we have broken up and gotten back together during that time	9	0.5 %
3	No	6	0.3 %
4	Unsure	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1822	98.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 20 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 101-102 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q4_D2: Have you and {D2} been together for 6 months or longer?

Valu	e Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Yes	4	0.2 %
2	Yes, though we have broken up and gotten back together during that time	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	No	13	0.7 %
4	Unsure	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1822	98.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 103-104 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q4_E1: Have you and {E1} been together for 6 months or longer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
2	Yes, though we have broken up and gotten back together during that time	0	0.0 %
3	No	2	0.1 %
4	Unsure	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 3.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 105-106 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q4_E2: Have you and {E2} been together for 6 months or longer?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
2	Yes, though we have broken up and gotten back together during that time	1	0.1 %
3	No	1	0.1 %
4	Unsure	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 2.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 107-108 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHSA: Q5: [Months;A] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1841	99.9 %
5	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.12

Location: 109-110 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHSB: Q5: [Months;B] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1836	99.7 %
1	-	1	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
6	-	1	0.1 %
8	-	1	0.1 %
9	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.02Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 9.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.35

Location: 111-112 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHSC: Q5: [Months;C] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1807	98.1 %
1	-	6	0.3 %
2	-	5	0.3 %
3	-	4	0.2 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	1	0.1 %
6	-	3	0.2 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
20	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
26	-	1	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.1 %
72	-	1	0.1 %
80	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.21Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 80.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.88

Location: 113-114 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHSD1: Q5: [Months;D1] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
_1	Refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1833	99.5 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
4	-	3	0.2 %
8	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.04Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 42.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.02

Location: 115-116 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHSD3: Q5: [Months;D2] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1841	99.9 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.01Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 13.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.30

Location: 117-118 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHSE1: Q5: [Months;E1] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 119-120 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHSE3: Q5: [Months;E2] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1841	99.9 %
11	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.01Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 11.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.26

Location: 121-122 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKSA: Q5: [Weeks;A] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 123-124 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKSB: Q5: [Weeks;B] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1837	99.7 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.07

Location: 125-126 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKSC: Q5: [Weeks;C] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1824	99.0 %
1	-	4	0.2 %
2	-	11	0.6 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.02Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 12.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.34

Location: 127-128 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKSD1: Q5: [Weeks;D1] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1837	99.7 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.01Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.13

Location: 129-130 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKSD3: Q5: [Weeks;D2] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 131-132 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKSE1: Q5: [Weeks;E1] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 133-134 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKSE3: Q5: [Weeks;E2] Approx how many __ have you been in a relationship with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1841	99.9 %
3	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.07

Location: 135-136 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_MONTHS_A: [Months] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [A]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1840	99.9 %
5	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.02Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 24.00

Standard Deviation: 0.57

Location: 137-138 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_MONTHS_B: [Months] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [B]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1836	99.7 %
2	-	1	0.1 %
3	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	2	0.1 %
32	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.03Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 32.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.77

Location: 139-140 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_MONTHS_C: [Months] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [C]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1789	97.1 %
1	-	9	0.5 %
2	-	11	0.6 %
3	-	13	0.7 %
4	-	12	0.7 %
5	-	6	0.3 %
7	-	1	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.09Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 12.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.61

Location: 141-142 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_MONTHS_D1: [Months] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [D1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1839	99.8 %
2	-	1	0.1 %
3	-	1	0.1 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.13

Location: 143-144 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_MONTHS_D2: [Months] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [D2]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1831	99.4 %
1	-	1	0.1 %
2	-	4	0.2 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
5	-	3	0.2 %
6	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.02Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.29

Location: 145-146 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_MONTHS_E1: [Months] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [E1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1841	99.9 %
3	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.07

Location: 147-148 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_MONTHS_E2: [Months] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [E2]?

Va	alue	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
	0	-	1841	99.9 %
	1	-	1	0.1 %
		Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.02

Location: 149-150 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_WEEKS_A: [Weeks] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [A]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1841	99.9 %
365	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.20
Median: 0.00
Mode: 0.00
Minimum: 0.00
Maximum: 365.00
Standard Deviation: 8.50

Location: 151-153 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_WEEKS_B: [Weeks] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [B]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1837	99.7 %
1	-	1	0.1 %
2	-	4	0.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.10

Location: 154-155 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_WEEKS_C: [Weeks] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [C]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1807	98.1 %
1	-	11	0.6 %
2	-	10	0.5 %
3	-	12	0.7 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.04Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.32

Location: 156-157 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_WEEKS_D1: [Weeks] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [D1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1840	99.9 %
1	-	2	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.03

Location: 158-159 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_WEEKS_D2: [Weeks] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [D2]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1837	99.7 %
1	-	3	0.2 %
2		2	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.08

Location: 160-161 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_WEEKS_E1: [Weeks] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [E1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1841	99.9 %
2	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.05

Location: 162-163 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q5A_WEEKS_E2: [Weeks] Approximately how long have you been in a relationship with [E2]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.00Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.00

Location: 164-165 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q6_A: [A] Likelihood _ has had another partner in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
1	Not at all likely 1	860	46.7 %
2	2	43	2.3 %
3	3	7	0.4 %
4	4	5	0.3 %
5	5	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very likely 6	27	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	-	894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 166-167 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q6_B: [B] Likelihood _ has had another partner in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
1	Not at all likely 1	265	14.4 %
2	2	30	1.6 %
3	3	4	0.2 %
4	4	7	0.4 %
5	5	3	0.2 %
6	Very likely 6	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 326 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 168-169 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q6_C: [C] Likelihood _ has had another partner in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	Not at all likely 1	171	9.3 %
2	2	41	2.2 %
3	3	21	1.1 %
4	4	15	0.8 %
5	5	18	1.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very likely 6	36	2.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 170-171 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q6_D1: [D1] Likelihood _ has had another partner in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all likely 1	3	0.2 %
2	2	4	0.2 %
3	3	1	0.1 %
4	4	3	0.2 %
5	5	0	0.0 %
6	Very likely 6	7	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 172-173 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q6_D2: [D2] Likelihood _ has had another partner in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all likely 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	2	0.1 %
3	3	3	0.2 %
4	4	3	0.2 %
5	5	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very likely 6	9	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 174-175 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q6_E1: [E1] Likelihood _ has had another partner in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all likely 1	0	0.0 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	1	0.1 %
6	Very likely 6	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 5.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 176-177 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q6_E2: [E2] Likelihood _ has had another partner in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all likely 1	0	0.0 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	1	0.1 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very likely 6	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 3.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 178-179 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q7_A: How committed are you to your relationship with [A]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
1	Not committed 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	5	0.3 %
3	3	10	0.5 %
4	4	17	0.9 %
5	5	50	2.7 %
6	Very committed 6	861	46.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 948 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 180-181 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q7_B: How committed are you to your relationship with [B]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	3	0.2 %
1	Not committed 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	2	0.1 %
3	3	7	0.4 %
4	4	11	0.6 %
5	5	26	1.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very committed 6	276	15.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 326 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 182-183 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q7_C: How committed are you to your relationship with [C]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not committed 1	9	0.5 %
2	2	8	0.4 %
3	3	25	1.4 %
4	4	36	2.0 %
5	5	45	2.4 %
6	Very committed 6	180	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 6.00

Location: 184-185 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q7_D1: How committed are you to your relationship with [D1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not committed 1	2	0.1 %
2	2	4	0.2 %
3	3	5	0.3 %
4	4	4	0.2 %
5	5	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very committed 6	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 186-187 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q7_D2: How committed are you to your relationship with [D2]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not committed 1	5	0.3 %
2	2	4	0.2 %
3	3	6	0.3 %
4	4	2	0.1 %
5	5	0	0.0 %
6	Very committed 6	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 188-189 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q7_E1: How committed are you to your relationship with [E1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not committed 1	2	0.1 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very committed 6	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 190-191 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q7_E2: How committed are you to your relationship with [E2]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not committed 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	1	0.1 %
6	Very committed 6	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 192-193 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q8_A: How happy are you with your relationship with [A]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	6	0.3 %
1	Not at all happy 1	8	0.4 %
2	2	17	0.9 %
3	3	34	1.8 %
4	4	67	3.6 %
5	5	260	14.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very happy 6	556	30.2 %
	Missing Data		
		894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 948 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 194-195 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q8_B: How happy are you with your relationship with [B]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all happy 1	3	0.2 %
2	2	3	0.2 %
3	3	9	0.5 %
4	4	53	2.9 %
5	5	98	5.3 %
6	Very happy 6	160	8.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 326 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 196-197 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q8_C: How happy are you with your relationship with [C]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
1	Not at all happy 1	7	0.4 %
2	2	7	0.4 %
3	3	27	1.5 %
4	4	67	3.6 %
5	5	74	4.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very happy 6	117	6.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 198-199 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q8_D1: How happy are you with your relationship with [D1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all happy 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	1	0.1 %
3	3	8	0.4 %
4	4	5	0.3 %
5	5	2	0.1 %
6	Very happy 6	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 200-201 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q8_D2: How happy are you with your relationship with [D2]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all happy 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	1	0.1 %
3	3	6	0.3 %
4	4	4	0.2 %
5	5	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very happy 6	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 202-203 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q8_E1: How happy are you with your relationship with [E1]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all happy 1	0	0.0 %
2	2	1	0.1 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	1	0.1 %
5	5	0	0.0 %
6	Very happy 6	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 2.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 204-205 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q8_E2: How happy are you with your relationship with [E2]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all happy 1	0	0.0 %
2	2	1	0.1 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	1	0.1 %
5	5	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Very happy 6	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 2.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 206-207 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q9_A: [A] How satisfied are you in your sexual relationship with _?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	3	0.2 %
1	Not at all satisfied 1	26	1.4 %
2	2	36	2.0 %
3	3	59	3.2 %
4	4	162	8.8 %
5	5	249	13.5 %
6	Very satisfied 6	413	22.4 %
7	NA, we have never had had sex	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 948 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 208-209 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q9_B: [B] How satisfied are you in your sexual relationship with _?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	Not at all satisfied 1	5	0.3 %
2	2	8	0.4 %
3	3	32	1.7 %
4	4	61	3.3 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	5	90	4.9 %
6	Very satisfied 6	129	7.0 %
7	NA, we have never had had sex	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 326 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 210-211 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q9_C: [C] How satisfied are you in your sexual relationship with _?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
1	Not at all satisfied 1	7	0.4 %
2	2	9	0.5 %
3	3	16	0.9 %
4	4	55	3.0 %
5	5	72	3.9 %
6	Very satisfied 6	111	6.0 %
7	NA, we have never had had sex	29	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 212-213 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q9_D1: [D1] How satisfied are you in your sexual relationship with _?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all satisfied 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	3	3	0.2 %
4	4	7	0.4 %
5	5	2	0.1 %
6	Very satisfied 6	5	0.3 %
7	NA, we have never had had sex	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 214-215 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q9_D2: [D2] How satisfied are you in your sexual relationship with _?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all satisfied 1	0	0.0 %
2	2	2	0.1 %
3	3	1	0.1 %
4	4	2	0.1 %
5	5	3	0.2 %
6	Very satisfied 6	3	0.2 %
7	NA, we have never had had sex	7	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 2.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 216-217 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q9_E1: [E1] How satisfied are you in your sexual relationship with _?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Not at all satisfied 1	0	0.0 %
2	2	1	0.1 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	1	0.1 %
5	5	0	0.0 %
6	Very satisfied 6	0	0.0 %
7	NA, we have never had had sex	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 2.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 218-219 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q9_E2: [E2] How satisfied are you in your sexual relationship with _?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all satisfied 1	0	0.0 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	1	0.1 %
6	Very satisfied 6	0	0.0 %
7	NA, we have never had had sex	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
		1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 5.00 Maximum: 7.00

Location: 220-221 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q10_A: [A] How many serious arguments have you had with _ in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	0	452	24.5 %
2	1	327	17.8 %
3	2-5	150	8.1 %
4	6 or more	18	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 948 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 222-223 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q10_B: [B] How many serious arguments have you had with _ in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	0	128	6.9 %
2	1	119	6.5 %
3	2-5	67	3.6 %
4	6 or more	11	0.6 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 326 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 224-225 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q10_C: [C] How many serious arguments have you had with _ in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	0	142	7.7 %
2	1	103	5.6 %
3	2-5	45	2.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	6 or more	12	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 226-227 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q10_D1: [D1] How many serious arguments have you had with _ in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	8	0.4 %
2	1	4	0.2 %
3	2-5	4	0.2 %
4	6 or more	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 228-229 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q10_D2: [D2] How many serious arguments have you had with _ in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	10	0.5 %
2	1	3	0.2 %
3	2-5	4	0.2 %
4	6 or more	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 230-231 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q10_E1: [E1] How many serious arguments have you had with _ in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	2	0.1 %
2	1	0	0.0 %
3	2-5	0	0.0 %
4	6 or more	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 232-233 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q10_E2: [E2] How many serious arguments have you had with _ in the last 30 days?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	1	0.1 %
2	1	0	0.0 %
3	2-5	0	0.0 %
4	6 or more	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 234-235 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q13_A: [A] How strongly do you agree- Want to have [more] children with _

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
1	Agree	399	21.7 %
2	Somewhat agree	127	6.9 %
3	Somewhat disagree	55	3.0 %
4	Disagree	248	13.5 %
5	Unsure/Don't know	115	6.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	894	48.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 948 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 236-237 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q13_B: [B] How strongly do you agree- Want to have [more] children with _

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	142	7.7 %
2	Somewhat agree	60	3.3 %
3	Somewhat disagree	5	0.3 %
4	Disagree	66	3.6 %
5	Unsure/Don't know	53	2.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1516	82.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 326 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 238-239 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q13_C: [C] How strongly do you agree- Want to have [more] children with _

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree	90	4.9 %
2	Somewhat agree	55	3.0 %
3	Somewhat disagree	14	0.8 %
4	Disagree	61	3.3 %
5	Unsure/Don't know	82	4.5 %
	Missing Data		
		1539	83.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 303 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 240-241 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q13_D1: [D1] How strongly do you agree- Want to have [more] children with _

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	1	0.1 %
2	Somewhat agree	2	0.1 %
3	Somewhat disagree	2	0.1 %
4	Disagree	9	0.5 %
5	Unsure/Don't know	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 242-243 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q13_D2: [D2] How strongly do you agree- Want to have [more] children with _

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	2	0.1 %
2	Somewhat agree	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Somewhat disagree	1	0.1 %
4	Disagree	9	0.5 %
5	Unsure/Don't know	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 244-245 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q13_E1: [E1] How strongly do you agree- Want to have [more] children with _

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	0	0.0 %
2	Somewhat agree	0	0.0 %
3	Somewhat disagree	0	0.0 %
4	Disagree	2	0.1 %
5	Unsure/Don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 4.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 246-247 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q13_E2: [E2] How strongly do you agree- Want to have [more] children with _

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	0	0.0 %
2	Somewhat agree	0	0.0 %
3	Somewhat disagree	0	0.0 %
4	Disagree	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Unsure/Don't know	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 4.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 248-249 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PREG: In the last 6 months, did you experience any of the following?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	I had a miscarriage	28	1.5 %
2	I had an abortion	8	0.4 %
3	I had a baby	109	5.9 %
4	I	111	6.0 %
5	I might be pregnant	44	2.4 %
6	None of the above	1534	83.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	8	0.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,834 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 250-251 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BORNMO: In the previous question, you said that you had a baby in the last 6 months. In

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Jan	8	0.4 %
2	Feb	10	0.5 %
3	Mar	18	1.0 %
4	Apr	9	0.5 %
5	May	20	1.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
6	Jun	2	0.1 %
7	Jul	0	0.0 %
8	Aug	1	0.1 %
9	Sept	1	0.1 %
10	Oct	7	0.4 %
11	Nov	14	0.8 %
12	Dec	19	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1733	94.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 109 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 12.00

Location: 252-253 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AVOIDPG: This last time you became pregnant, how important was it to you to AVOID becomin

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	161	8.7 %
2	2	24	1.3 %
3	3	17	0.9 %
4	4	17	0.9 %
5	5	13	0.7 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	23	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1587	86.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 255 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 254-255 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HAPPYPG: How did you feel when you found out you were pregnant?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all happy to be pregnant 1	17	0.9 %
2	2	3	0.2 %
3	3	11	0.6 %
4	4	28	1.5 %
5	5	35	1.9 %
6	Very happy to be pregnant 6	160	8.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1588	86.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 254 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 256-257 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

FERTINT: Right before you became pregnant this time, did you yourself want to have a(noth

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	25	1.4 %
1	Yes	203	11.0 %
3	Dont Know	25	1.4 %
4	Didnt care	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1586	86.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 256 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 258-259 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TIMINGPG: Would you say you became pregnant:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Too soon	29	1.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	At about the right time	131	7.1 %
3	Later than you wanted	43	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1639	89.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 203 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 260-261 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BCPREG: During the month you became pregnant were you:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No, wanted to get pregnant	170	9.2 %
2	No, different reasons	44	2.4 %
3	Yes, but not all the time	25	1.4 %
4	Yes, all the time	16	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1587	86.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 255 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 262-262 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AVOID: How important is it to you to AVOID becoming pregnant now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	231	12.5 %
2	2	78	4.2 %
3	3	127	6.9 %
4	4	203	11.0 %
5	5	230	12.5 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	852	46.3 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	121	6.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,721 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 263-264 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

INTEND: Which of the following best describes your current plans regarding having a(noth

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	I am trying to get pregnant now.	126	6.8 %
2	I am not trying to get pregnant now but expect to try in the future.	712	38.7 %
3	I don't want to have any (more) children.	449	24.4 %
4	I'm not sure if I want to have a(nother) baby.	429	23.3 %
5	currently pregnant	111	6.0 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	15	0.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,827 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 265-266 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

FEELPG: How would you feel if you found out you were pregnant today?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all happy to be pregnant 1	371	20.1 %
2	2	258	14.0 %
3	3	254	13.8 %
4	4	318	17.3 %
5	5	209	11.3 %
6	Very happy to be pregnant 6	308	16.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	124	6.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,718 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 267-268 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q19_A: [A] How strongly do you agree- __ wants to have [more] children with me

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	12	0.7 %
1	Agree	347	18.8 %
2	Somewhat agree	119	6.5 %
3	Somewhat disagree	65	3.5 %
4	Disagree	255	13.8 %
5	Unsure/don't know	59	3.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	985	53.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 857 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 269-270 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q19_B: [B] How strongly do you agree- __ wants to have [more] children with me

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
1	Agree	148	8.0 %
2	Somewhat agree	55	3.0 %
3	Somewhat disagree	15	0.8 %
4	Disagree	51	2.8 %
5	Unsure/don't know	40	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1529	83.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 313 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 271-272 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q19_C: [C] How strongly do you agree- __ wants to have [more] children with me

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	2	0.1 %
1	Agree	82	4.5 %
2	Somewhat agree	59	3.2 %
3	Somewhat disagree	12	0.7 %
4	Disagree	56	3.0 %
5	Unsure/don't know	86	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1545	83.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 297 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 273-274 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q19_D1: [D1] How strongly do you agree- __ wants to have [more] children with me

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	0	0.0 %
2	Somewhat agree	3	0.2 %
3	Somewhat disagree	1	0.1 %
4	Disagree	10	0.5 %
5	Unsure/don't know	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 2.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 275-276 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q19_D2: [D2] How strongly do you agree- __ wants to have [more] children with me

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	2	0.1 %
2	Somewhat agree	2	0.1 %
3	Somewhat disagree	1	0.1 %
4	Disagree	9	0.5 %
5	Unsure/don't know	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 277-278 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q19_E1: [E1] How strongly do you agree- __ wants to have [more] children with me

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Agree	0	0.0 %
2	Somewhat agree	0	0.0 %
3	Somewhat disagree	0	0.0 %
4	Disagree	2	0.1 %
5	Unsure/don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	- -	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 4.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 279-280 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q19_E2: [E2] How strongly do you agree- __ wants to have [more] children with me

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Agree	0	0.0 %
2	Somewhat agree	0	0.0 %
3	Somewhat disagree	0	0.0 %
4	Disagree	2	0.1 %
5	Unsure/don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 4.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 281-282 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q20_A: [A] How important is it to _ that you avoid pregnancy now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	7	0.4 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	173	9.4 %
2	2	62	3.4 %
3	3	71	3.9 %
4	4	130	7.1 %
5	5	128	6.9 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	286	15.5 %
	Missing Data		
		985	53.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 857 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 283-284 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q20_B: [B] How important is it to _ that you avoid pregnancy now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	4	0.2 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	41	2.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2	15	0.8 %
3	3	37	2.0 %
4	4	28	1.5 %
5	5	56	3.0 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	132	7.2 %
	Missing Data		
		1529	83.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 313 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 285-286 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q20_C: [C] How important is it to _ that you avoid pregnancy now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	21	1.1 %
2	2	8	0.4 %
3	3	24	1.3 %
4	4	24	1.3 %
5	5	39	2.1 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	181	9.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1545	83.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 297 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 287-288 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q20_D1: [D1] How important is it to _ that you avoid pregnancy now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	1	0.1 %
4	4	1	0.1 %
5	5	2	0.1 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	13	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
	- -	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 289-290 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q20_D2: [D2] How important is it to _ that you avoid pregnancy now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	1	0.1 %
5	5	4	0.2 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	12	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 291-292 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q20_E1: [E1] How important is it to _ that you avoid pregnancy now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	0	0.0 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
		1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 293-294 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q20_E2: [E2] How important is it to _ that you avoid pregnancy now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Not at all important to avoid pregnancy 1	1	0.1 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3	0	0.0 %
4	4	0	0.0 %
5	5	0	0.0 %
6	Very important to avoid pregnancy 6	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
		1840	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 2 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 295-296 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TUBAL: Have you had your tubes tied (tubal ligation) or had a hysterectomy?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	13	0.7 %
0	No	1788	97.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	41	2.2 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 297-298 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PILL: [birth control pills] In the last 30 days, which of the following methods have

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	
0	No	1277	69.3 %
1	Yes	565	30.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 299-299 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PATCH: [the birth control patch (Ortho Evra)] In the last 30 days, which of the follow

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1836	99.7 %
1	Yes	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 300-300 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RING: [the vaginal ring (Nuvaring)] In the last 30 days, which of the following metho

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1800	97.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	42	2.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 301-301 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DEPO: [the birth control shot (Depo-Provera)] In the last 30 days, which of the follo

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1794	97.4 %
1	Yes	48	2.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 302-302 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

IMPLANT: [the Implant (Implanon)] In the last 30 days, which of the following methods ha

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1811	98.3 %
1	Yes	31	1.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 303-303 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

IUD: [the IUD (Mirena, copper intrauterine device)] In the last 30 days, which of th

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1652	89.7 %
1	Yes	190	10.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 304-304 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOBC: [None of the above] In the last 30 days, which of the following methods have y

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	890	48.3 %
1	Yes	952	51.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 305-305 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XBC: [Refused] In the last 30 days, which of the following methods have you used, e

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1828	99.2 %
1	Yes	14	0.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 306-306 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23A_PILL: For how many of the last 6 months have you used birth control pills?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	7	0.4 %
2	1	22	1.2 %
3	2	9	0.5 %
4	3	22	1.2 %
5	4	12	0.7 %
6	5	17	0.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
7	6	476	25.8 %
	Missing Data		
		1277	69.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 565 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 307-308 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23A_PATCH: For how many of the last 6 months have you used birth control patch?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	0	0.0 %
2	1	0	0.0 %
3	2	1	0.1 %
4	3	1	0.1 %
5	4	0	0.0 %
6	5	1	0.1 %
7	6	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1836	99.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 3.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 309-310 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23A_RING: For how many of the last 6 months have you used vaginal ring (Nuvaring)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	1	0.1 %
2	1	1	0.1 %
3	2	2	0.1 %
4	3	4	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	4	0	0.0 %
6	5	2	0.1 %
7	6	32	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
	- -	1800	97.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 42 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 311-312 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23A_DEPO: For how many of the last 6 months have you used the birth control shot (Depo-Pro

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	1	0.1 %
2	1	6	0.3 %
3	2	13	0.7 %
4	3	4	0.2 %
5	4	1	0.1 %
6	5	0	0.0 %
7	6	23	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1794	97.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 48 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 7.00

Location: 313-314 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23A_IMPLANT: For how many of the last 6 months have you used implant (Implanon)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	0	0.0 %
2	1	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	2	3	0.2 %
4	3	1	0.1 %
5	4	2	0.1 %
6	5	0	0.0 %
7	6	25	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1811	98.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 3.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 315-316 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23A_IUD: For how many of the last 6 months have you used the IUD (Mirena, copper IUD)?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	0	2	0.1 %
2	1	9	0.5 %
3	2	2	0.1 %
4	3	6	0.3 %
5	4	7	0.4 %
6	5	4	0.2 %
7	6	160	8.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1652	89.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 190 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 317-318 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MISSPILL: birth control pills: Altogether, in the last 30 days, how many pills did you mi

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0%

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	361	19.6 %
1	-	80	4.3 %
2	-	72	3.9 %
3	-	19	1.0 %
4	-	11	0.6 %
5	-	4	0.2 %
6	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	4	0.2 %
8	-	1	0.1 %
10	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
28	-	1	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1281	69.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.95Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 45.00

• Standard Deviation: 2.87

Location: 319-320 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PATCHON: birth control patch: Altogether, in the last 30 days, how many times did you pu

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	0	3	0.2 %
1	1	3	0.2 %
2	2	0	0.0 %
3	3 or more	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1836	99.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 321-321 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RINGON: vaginal ring (Nuvaring): The last time that you inserted the Nuvaring, was it:

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	The day I was supposed to	36	2.0 %
2	1 day later than I was supposed to	3	0.2 %
3	2 or more days later than I was supposed to	2	0.1 %
4	Earlier than I was supposed to	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1800	97.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 42 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 322-323 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DEPOWHN: the birth control shot (Depo-Provera): Thinking about the last time you receive

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Earlier than scheduled	1	0.1 %
2	Later than scheduled	6	0.3 %
3	On time	36	2.0 %
4	My last shot was my first depo shot.	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1794	97.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 48 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 324-325 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23D_PILL: [pill] Overall, how satisfied are you with {INS}?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	Very satisfied	361	19.6 %
2	Somewhat satisfied	177	9.6 %
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	1.0 %
4	Very dissatisfied	8	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1277	69.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 326-327 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23D_PATCH: [patch] Overall, how satisfied are you with {INS}?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Very satisfied	3	0.2 %
2	Somewhat satisfied	3	0.2 %
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0.0 %
4	Very dissatisfied	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1836	99.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 2.00

Location: 328-329 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23D_RING: [ring] Overall, how satisfied are you with {INS}?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Very satisfied	34	1.8 %
2	Somewhat satisfied	5	0.3 %
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Very dissatisfied	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1800	97.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 330-331 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23D_DEPO: [Depo] Overall, how satisfied are you with {INS}?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Very satisfied	35	1.9 %
2	Somewhat satisfied	11	0.6 %
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0.0 %
4	Very dissatisfied	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1794	97.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 48 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 332-333 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23D_IMPLANT: [Implant] Overall, how satisfied are you with {INS}?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Very satisfied	19	1.0 %
2	Somewhat satisfied	8	0.4 %
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	0.2 %
4	Very dissatisfied	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1811	98.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 334-335 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23D_IUD: [IUD] Overall, how satisfied are you with {INS}?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Very satisfied	154	8.4 %
2	Somewhat satisfied	25	1.4 %
3	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	0.4 %
4	Very dissatisfied	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1652	89.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 190 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 4.00

Location: 336-337 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAY4BC: [birth control pills: \$] In an average month, approximately how much do you, yo

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	363	19.7 %
1	-	3	0.2 %
2	-	3	0.2 %
3	-	9	0.5 %
4	-	5	0.3 %
5	-	17	0.9 %
6	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	1	0.1 %
8	-	3	0.2 %
9	-	12	0.7 %
10	-	29	1.6 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	6	0.3 %
13	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
15	-	15	0.8 %
17	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	19	1.0 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
25	-	7	0.4 %
26	-	1	0.1 %
27	-	2	0.1 %
28	-	1	0.1 %
29	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	19	1.0 %
31	-	1	0.1 %
33	-	1	0.1 %
35	-	6	0.3 %
36	-	1	0.1 %
37	-	1	0.1 %
38	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	2	0.1 %
45	-	2	0.1 %
50	-	3	0.2 %
55	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	2	0.1 %
63	-	1	0.1 %
65	-	1	0.1 %
70	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	1	0.1 %
86	-	1	0.1 %
90	-	1	0.1 %
100	-	1	0.1 %
148	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1283	69.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 7.10Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 148.00

• Standard Deviation: 15.07

Location: 338-340 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAY4PAT: [birth control patch: \$] In an average month, approximately how much do you, yo

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	4	0.2 %
23	-	1	0.1 %
250	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1836	99.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 6 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 45.50Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 250.00

• Standard Deviation: 100.61

Location: 341-343 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAY4RING: [vaginal ring (Nuvaring): \$] In an average month, approximately how much do you

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	30	1.6 %
3	-	1	0.1 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	1	0.1 %
55	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	1	0.1 %
89	-	1	0.1 %
100	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1801	97.8 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 10.78
Median: 0.00
Mode: 0.00
Minimum: 0.00
Maximum: 100.00
Standard Deviation: 24.30

Location: 344-346 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAY4DEPO: [the birth control shot (Depo-Provera): \$] How much do you, yourself, have to p

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	32	1.7 %
10	-	3	0.2 %
15	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	1	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	2	0.1 %
75	-	2	0.1 %
209	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1794	97.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 48 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 14.85Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 209.00

• Standard Deviation: 35.01

Location: 347-349 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAY4IMPL: [implant (Implanon): \$] How much did you, yourself, have to pay when you got yo

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	4	0.2 %
25	-	1	0.1 %
800	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1836	99.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 137.50Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 800.00

• Standard Deviation: 324.71

Location: 350-352 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAY4IUD: [IUD (Mirena, copper intrauterine device): \$] How much did you, yourself, have

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	22	1.2 %
20	-	1	0.1 %
30	-	2	0.1 %
40	-	1	0.1 %
45	-	1	0.1 %
60	-	1	0.1 %
100	-	1	0.1 %
160	-	1	0.1 %
400	-	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
		1811	98.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 31 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 28.55Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 400.00

• Standard Deviation: 77.41

Location: 353-355 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PREGPILL: [You wanted to get pregnant] In a previous survey you reported using the pill, b

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	174	9.4 %
1	Yes	73	4.0 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 356-356 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

GOTPREGPILL: [You got pregnant while you were using the pill] In a previous survey you report

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	243	13.2 %
1	Yes	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 357-357 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOSEXPILL: [You were not having sex very often] In a previous survey you reported using the

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	223	12.1 %
1	Yes	24	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 358-358 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

COSTPILL: [It was too expensive] In a previous survey you reported using the pill, but you

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	232	12.6 %
1	Yes	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 359-359 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

INSPILL: [You lost insurance coverage or your insurance didn

٧	alue	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	0	No	235	12.8 %
	1	Yes	12	0.7 %
		Missing Data		
		-	1595	86.6 %
		Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 360-360 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

APPTPILL: [You missed your appointment/never went back] In a previous survey you reported

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	234	12.7 %
1	Yes	13	0.7 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
		1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 361-361 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HARDPILL: [It was hard to use the method correctly] In a previous survey you reported usin

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	226	12.3 %
1	Yes	21	1.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 362-362 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SIDEPILL: [You were having side effects] In a previous survey you reported using the pill,

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	170	9.2 %
1	Yes	77	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 363-363 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SIDE2PILL: [You were worried about possible side effects] In a previous survey you reported

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	209	11.3 %
1	Yes	38	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 364-364 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOLIKEPILL: [You didn

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	214	11.6 %
1	Yes	33	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 365-365 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BETTERPILL: [You wanted to switch to a more effective method] In a previous survey you repor

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	220	11.9 %
1	Yes	27	1.5 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 366-366 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

PARTNERPILL: [Your partner was using a method] In a previous survey you reported using the pi

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	234	12.7 %
1	Yes	13	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 367-367 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTROPILL: [You had some other reason (specify)] In a previous survey you reported using th

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	209	11.3 %
1	Yes	38	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 247 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 368-368 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23F_REFUSED: [Refused] In a previous survey you reported using the pill, but you are not usin

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	246	13.4 %
1	Yes	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1595	86.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 369-369 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTRO2PILL: [Text] In a previous survey you reported using the pill, but you are not using i

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1807	98.1 %
[MASKED]	-	35	1.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 370-377 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

PREGDEPO: [You wanted to get pregnant] In a previous survey you reported using Depo, but y

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	42	2.3 %
1	Yes	10	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 378-378 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

GOTPREGDEPO: [You got pregnant while you were using Depo] In a previous survey you reported u

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	49	2.7 %
1	Yes	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 379-379 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOSEXDEPO: [You were not having sex very often] In a previous survey you reported using Dep

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	47	2.6 %
1	Yes	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 380-380 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

COSTDEPO: [It was too expensive] In a previous survey you reported using Depo, but you are

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	47	2.6 %
1	Yes	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 381-381 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

INSDEPO: [You lost insurance coverage or your insurance didn

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	51	2.8 %
1	Yes	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	- -	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 382-382 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

APPTDEPO: [You missed your appointment/never went back] In a previous survey you reported

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	47	2.6 %
1	Yes	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 383-383 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HARDDEPO: [It was hard to use the method correctly] In a previous survey you reported usin

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	52	2.8 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 384-384 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SIDEDEPO: [You were having side effects] In a previous survey you reported using Depo, but

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	33	1.8 %
1	Yes	19	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 385-385 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SIDE2DEPO: [You were worried about possible side effects] In a previous survey you reported

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	49	2.7 %
1	Yes	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 386-386 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOLIKEDEPO: [You didn

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	46	2.5 %
1	Yes	6	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 387-387 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BETTERDEPO: [You wanted to switch to a more effective method] In a previous survey you repor

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	48	2.6 %
1	Yes	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 388-388 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PARTNERDEPO: [Your partner was using a method] In a previous survey you reported using Depo,

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	52	2.8 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 389-389 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTRODEPO: [You had some other reason (specify)] In a previous survey you reported using De

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	44	2.4 %
1	Yes	8	0.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 390-390 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23G_REFUSED: [Refused] In a previous survey you reported using Depo, but you are not using it

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	52	2.8 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1790	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 52 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 391-391 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTRO2DEPO: [Text] In a previous survey you reported using Depo, but you are not using it no

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1836	99.7 %
[MASKED]	-	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 392-399 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

PREGIUD: [You wanted to get pregnant] In a prior survey you reported using the IUD, but y

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	36	2.0 %
1	Yes	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 51 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00

• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 400-400 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

GOTPREGIUD: [You got pregnant while you were using the IUD] In a prior survey you reported u

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	50	2.7 %
1	Yes	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 51 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 401-401 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOSEXIUD: [You were not having sex very often] In a prior survey you reported using the IU

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	50	2.7 %
1	Yes	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 51 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 402-402 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SIDEIUD: [You were having side effects] In a prior survey you reported using the IUD, but

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	35	1.9 %
1	Yes	16	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 403-403 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SIDE2IUD: [You were worried about possible side effects] In a prior survey you reported us

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	45	2.4 %
1	Yes	6	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 51 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 404-404 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOLIKEIUD: [You didn

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	42	2.3 %
1	Yes	9	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 51 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 405-405 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PARTNERIUD: [Your partner was using a method] In a prior survey you reported using the IUD,

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	48	2.6 %
1	Yes	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 406-406 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTROIUD: [You had some other reason (specify)] In a prior survey you reported using the I

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	35	1.9 %
1	Yes	16	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 51 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 407-407 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q23H_REFUSED: [Refused] In a prior survey you reported using the IUD, but you are not using it

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	51	2.8 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1791	97.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 51 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 408-408 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTRO2IUD: [Text] In a prior survey you reported using the IUD, but you are not using it no

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1827	99.2 %
[MASKED]	-	15	0.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Location: 409-416 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

SEXMO: Q24a: In the past 30 days, have you had vaginal sex with a man?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	21	1.1 %
0	No	431	23.4 %
1	Yes	1390	75.5 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 417-418 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NSEXMO: Q24: Past 30 days, approx how many times did you have sex with a man?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	1	118	6.4 %
2	2-5	619	33.6 %
3	6-10	413	22.4 %
4	11 or more	231	12.5 %
	Missing Data		
		461	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,381 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 419-420 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NSEXP: Q25: In the past 30 days, how many men have you had sex with?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	1	1345	73.0 %
2	2	28	1.5 %
3	3 or more	10	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
	-	459	24.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,383 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 421-422 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WITHDRAW: Q26: [withdrawl] In the past 30 days, did you use ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1002	54.4 %
1	Yes	343	18.6 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	497	27.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,345 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 423-423 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

VASECTOMY: Q26: [vasectomy] In the past 30 days, did you use ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1310	71.1 %
1	Yes	35	1.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	497	27.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,345 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 424-424 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CONDOM: Q26: [condom] In the past 30 days, did you use ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1010	54.8 %
1	Yes	335	18.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	497	27.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,345 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 425-425 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NFP: Q26: [natural/calendar method] In the past 30 days, did you use ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1273	69.1 %
1	Yes	72	3.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	497	27.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,345 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 426-426 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SPERM: Q26: [spermicide/barrier method] In the past 30 days, did you use ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1328	72.1 %
1	Yes	17	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	497	27.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 427-427 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOBARRIER: Q26: [none of the above] In the past 30 days, did you use ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	630	34.2 %
1	Yes	715	38.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	497	27.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,345 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 428-428 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XBARR: Q26: [Refused] In the past 30 days, did you use ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1341	72.8 %
1	Yes	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	497	27.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,345 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 429-429 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP1WD: Q26: [withdrawl] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 1?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	
0	No	27	1.5 %
1	Yes	10	0.5 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	- -	1805	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 430-430 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP1VAS: Q26: [vasectomy] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 1?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	37	2.0 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1805	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 37 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 431-431 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP1COND: Q26: [condom] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 1?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	22	1.2 %
1	Yes	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1805	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 37 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 432-432 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP1NFP: Q26: [natural/calendar] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 1?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	34	1.8 %
1	Yes	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1805	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 433-433 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP1SPERM: Q26: [spermicide/other barrier] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	35	1.9 %
1	Yes	2	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1805	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 37 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 434-434 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP1NONE: Q26: [None of the above] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 1?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	22	1.2 %
1	Yes	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1805	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 37 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 435-435 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XBARR1: Q26: [Refused] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 1?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	37	2.0 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1805	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 37 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 436-436 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP2WD: Q26: [withdrawl] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 2?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	23	1.2 %
1	Yes	13	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 437-437 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP2VAS: Q26: [vasectomy] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 2?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	36	2.0 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 438-438 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP2COND: Q26: [condom] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 2?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	20	1.1 %
1	Yes	16	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 439-439 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP2NFP: Q26: [natural/calendar] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 2?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	35	1.9 %
1	Yes	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 440-440 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP2SPERM: Q26: [spermicide/other barrier] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	36	2.0 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 441-441 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SP2NONE: Q26: [None of the above] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 2?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	24	1.3 %
1	Yes	12	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 442-442 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XBARR2: Q26: [Refused] In the past 30 days, did you use __ with Partner 2?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	36	2.0 %
1	Yes	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 443-443 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NWITHDRAW: Q26a: [Withdrawl;Partner 1] Past 30 days, how often did you use __ with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	191	10.4 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	53	2.9 %
3	About half the time you had sex	38	2.1 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	68	3.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Never	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1489	80.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 444-445 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NCONDOMS: Q26a: [Condom;Partner 1] Past 30 days, how often did you use __ with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	214	11.6 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	60	3.3 %
3	About half the time you had sex	34	1.8 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	39	2.1 %
5	Never	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1492	81.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 350 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 446-447 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NNFP: Q26a: [Natural;Partner 1] Past 30 days, how often did you use __ with __

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	36	2.0 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	10	0.5 %
3	About half the time you had sex	12	0.7 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	15	0.8 %
5	Never	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1768	96.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 448-449 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NSPERM: Q26a: [Spermicide/Oth;Partner 1] In the past 30 days, how often did you use __ w

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	11	0.6 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	2	0.1 %
3	About half the time you had sex	0	0.0 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	4	0.2 %
5	Never	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1824	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 18 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 450-451 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NWITHDRAWR: In the last 30 days, when you had sex [with SP2], did you and he use [Withdrawal

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	8	0.4 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	0	0.0 %
3	About half the time you had sex	1	0.1 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	4	0.2 %
5	Never	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1829	99.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 452-453 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NCONDOMSR: In the last 30 days, when you had sex [with SP2], did you and he use [Condoms]?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	12	0.7 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	2	0.1 %
3	About half the time you had sex	2	0.1 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	0	0.0 %
5	Never	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1826	99.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 16 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 454-455 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NNFPR: In the last 30 days, when you had sex [with SP2], did you and he use [Calendar m

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	0	0.0 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	0	0.0 %
3	About half the time you had sex	1	0.1 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	0	0.0 %
5	Never	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1841	99.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 3.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 456-457 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NSPERMR: In the last 30 days, when you had sex [with SP2], did you and he use [Spermicide

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Every time you had sex	0	0.0 %
2	More than half the times you had sex	0	0.0 %
3	About half the time you had sex	0	0.0 %
4	Less than half the time you had sex	0	0.0 %
5	Never	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 0 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 458-459 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RSAT1: Q27: [withdrawl] How satisfied are you with ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	didn?t use/not applicable	1484	80.6 %
1	very satisfied	122	6.6 %
2	somewhat satisfied	147	8.0 %
3	somewhat dissatisfied	59	3.2 %
4	very satisfied	27	1.5 %
5	don't know	0	0.0 %
100	don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	3	0.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,839 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 460-462 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RSAT2: Q27: [vasectomy] How satisfied are you with ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	didn?t use/not applicable	1807	98.1 %
1	very satisfied	29	1.6 %
2	somewhat satisfied	4	0.2 %
3	somewhat dissatisfied	2	0.1 %
4	very satisfied	0	0.0 %
5	don't know	0	0.0 %
100	don't know	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 463-465 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RSAT3: Q27: [condoms] How satisfied are you with ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	didn?t use/not applicable	0	0.0 %
1	very satisfied	152	8.3 %
2	somewhat satisfied	149	8.1 %
3	somewhat dissatisfied	41	2.2 %
4	very satisfied	14	0.8 %
5	don't know	0	0.0 %
100	don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
		1486	80.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 356 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 466-468 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RSAT4: Q27: [natural/calendar] How satisfied are you with ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	didn?t use/not applicable	0	0.0 %
1	very satisfied	35	1.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	somewhat satisfied	30	1.6 %
3	somewhat dissatisfied	8	0.4 %
4	very satisfied	2	0.1 %
5	don't know	0	0.0 %
100	don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1767	95.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 469-471 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RSAT5: Q27: [spermicide/other barrier] How satisfied are you with ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	-	1	0.1 %
0	didn?t use/not applicable	0	0.0 %
1	very satisfied	5	0.3 %
2	somewhat satisfied	9	0.5 %
3	somewhat dissatisfied	3	0.2 %
4	very satisfied	1	0.1 %
5	don't know	0	0.0 %
100	don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1823	99.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 19 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 472-474 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HOWDUAL: Q28: In the past 30 days, describe dual method use

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Use these methods at the same time	238	12.9 %
2	Switch between methods	77	4.2 %
3	Both of the above	50	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1477	80.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 475-476 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PREGWD: [You wanted to get pregnant] Which of the following are reasons you stopped usin

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	181	9.8 %
1	Yes	49	2.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 477-477 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PREG2WD: [You got pregnant even though you were using withdrawal] Which of the following

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	224	12.2 %
1	Yes	6	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 478-478 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

NOSEXWD: [You were not having sex very often] Which of the following are reasons you stop

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	218	11.8 %
1	Yes	12	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 479-479 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PARTNERWD: [Your partner didn

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	206	11.2 %
1	Yes	24	1.3 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 480-480 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PROBWD: [You or your partner had problems using withdrawal correctly] Which of the follo

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	225	12.2 %
1	Yes	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 481-481 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOLIKEWD: [You don

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	208	11.3 %
1	Yes	22	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 482-482 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MESSYWD: [Withdrawal is messy] Which of the following are reasons you stopped using this

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	198	10.7 %
1	Yes	32	1.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 483-483 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BADSEXWD: [Withdrawal interrupts sex and it

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	208	11.3 %
1	Yes	22	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 484-484 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BETTERWD: [You wanted a more effective method] Which of the following are reasons you stop

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	169	9.2 %
1	Yes	61	3.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 485-485 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

STILLUSEWD: [You still use withdrawal, you just haven

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	191	10.4 %
1	Yes	39	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 486-486 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTROWD: [You had some other reason (specify)] Which of the following are reasons you sto

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	182	9.9 %
1	Yes	48	2.6 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
		1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 487-487 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q29A_REFUSED: [Refused] Which of the following are reasons you stopped using this method?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	227	12.3 %
1	Yes	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1612	87.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 230 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 488-488 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTRO2WD: [Text] Which of the following are reasons you stopped using this method?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1796	97.5 %
[MASKED]	-	46	2.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 489-496 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

PREGCD: [You wanted to get pregnant] Which of the following are reasons you stopped usin

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	219	11.9 %
1	Yes	53	2.9 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
		1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 497-497 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PREG2CD: [You got pregnant even though you were using condoms] Which of the following are

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	268	14.5 %
1	Yes	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 498-498 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOSEXCD: [You were not having sex very often] Which of the following are reasons you stop

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	249	13.5 %
1	Yes	23	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 499-499 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOSTDCD: [You became less concerned about sexually transmitted diseases] Which of the fol

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	250	13.6 %
1	Yes	22	1.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 500-500 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TRUSTCD: [You trusted your partner more] Which of the following are reasons you stopped u

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	238	12.9 %
1	Yes	34	1.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 501-501 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOHAVECD: [You didn

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	257	14.0 %
1	Yes	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 502-502 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PARTNERCD: [Your partner didn

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	232	12.6 %
1	Yes	40	2.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 503-503 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PROBCD: [You or your partner had problems using condoms correctly] Which of the followin

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	268	14.5 %
1	Yes	4	0.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 504-504 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOLIKECD: [You don

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	204	11.1 %
1	Yes	68	3.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 505-505 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MESSYCD: [Condoms are messy] Which of the following are reasons you stopped using condoms

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	267	14.5 %
1	Yes	5	0.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 506-506 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BADSEXCD: [Condoms interrupt sex and are hard to use every time] Which of the following ar

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	247	13.4 %
1	Yes	25	1.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 507-507 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BETTERCD: [You wanted a more effective method] Which of the following are reasons you stop

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	234	12.7 %
1	Yes	38	2.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 508-508 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

STILLUSECD: [You still use condoms, you just haven

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	221	12.0 %
1	Yes	51	2.8 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 509-509 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTROCD: [You had some other reason (specify)] Which of the following are reasons you sto

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	215	11.7 %
1	Yes	57	3.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 272 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 510-510 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q29B_REFUSED: [Refused] Which of the following are reasons you stopped using condoms?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	269	14.6 %
1	Yes	3	0.2 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1570	85.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 511-511 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTRO2CD: [Text] Which of the following are reasons you stopped using condoms?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1788	97.1 %
[MASKED]	-	54	2.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 512-519 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

EC: Q30: In the last 30 days, did you use emergency contraception?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	3	0.2 %
0	No	1368	74.3 %
1	Yes	19	1.0 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	452	24.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,390 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 520-521 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

USE6MOS: Q35: In the last 6 months, did you use a method every month

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	432	23.5 %
1	Yes	941	51.1 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
		469	25.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 522-523 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WANTPG: Q35b: During that period, pregnant or trying to get pregnant?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	229	12.4 %
1	Yes	202	11.0 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1411	76.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 431 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 524-525 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TYPEINS: Q38: What type of health insurance do you currently have?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Insurance obtained through a health insurance marketplace or exchange, such as www.healthcare.gov or {state-specific mar	119	6.5 %
1	Private health insurance that I get through my job or school, a family member or that I pay for myself.	1255	68.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
2	{PPSTATE} or some other government-sponsored health insurance.	243	13.2 %
3	Some other type of health insurance	7	0.4 %
4	I don't have health insurance.	200	10.9 %
5	Insurance obtained through a health insurance marketplace or exchange, such as www.healthcare.gov{#POV_PROGNAME_Q	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	18	1.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 526-527 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTROINS: Q38: [Other-specify] What type of health insurance do you have?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
[MASKED]	-	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 528-535 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

EXCHANGE: Was that coverage from {PPSTATEN}?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	1	0.1 %
1	Yes	23	1.2 %
2	No	80	4.3 %
3	Don	15	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1723	93.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 119 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 536-537 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SUBSIDY: Did you receive government subsidies to reduce the cost of your insurance plan?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Yes	55	3.0 %
2	No	31	1.7 %
3	Don	9	0.5 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	1747	94.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 95 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 538-539 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SIXINS: Q39: Did you have health insurance during all of the last 6 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	141	7.7 %
1	Yes	1480	80.3 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
		221	12.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,621 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 540-541 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ANYINS: Q39b: Did you have health insurance during any of the last 6 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	158	8.6 %
1	Yes	36	2.0 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1648	89.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 542-543 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

REGCARE: Q40: Do you have a regular place you go to for medical care?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	363	19.7 %
1	Yes	1461	79.3 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	18	1.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,824 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 544-545 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

GYNVISIT: Q41a: [Annual GYN visit/pap smear] Did you make a visit for ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1033	56.1 %
1	Yes	780	42.3 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	29	1.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 546-547 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BCVISIT: Q41b: [birth control] Did you make a visit for ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1281	69.5 %
1	Yes	509	27.6 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
		52	2.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,790 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 548-549 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WHCVIS: Q41c: [Other womens health care incl preg] Did you make a visit for ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1362	73.9 %
1	Yes	436	23.7 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	44	2.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,798 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 550-551 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

GENVISIT: Q41d: [General health visit] Did you make a visit for ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1144	62.1 %
1	Yes	649	35.2 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	49	2.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,793 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 552-553 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SICKVIS: Q41e: [Sick/health issue] Did you make a visit for ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1037	56.3 %
1	Yes	767	41.6 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	38	2.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,804 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 554-555 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TYPEDOC: Q43: Last womens health visit, what type of facility?

Valu	e Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
1	Private doctor	851	46.2 %
2	Planned Parenthood or other family planning clinic	63	3.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Public health department or community health clinic	70	3.8 %
4	Student health clinic	17	0.9 %
5	Some other type of health care facility	17	0.9 %
	Missing Data		
	-	824	44.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 556-557 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OTRODOC: Q43: [Other-specify] Last womens health visit, what type of facility?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	1829	99.3 %
[MASKED]	-	13	0.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Location: 558-565 (width: 8; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: character

PAIDOOP: Q44_1: [Respondent paid some or all incl copay] How did you pay for the visit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	666	36.2 %
1	Yes	354	19.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	822	44.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 566-566 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

INSPAID: Q44_2: [Insurance paid some or all] How did you pay for the visit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	245	13.3 %
1	Yes	775	42.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	822	44.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 567-567 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

REDUCFEE: Q44_3: [Reduced fee] How did you pay for the visit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	977	53.0 %
1	Yes	43	2.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	822	44.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 568-568 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

FREECARE: Q44_4: [Free services] How did you pay for the visit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	942	51.1 %
1	Yes	78	4.2 %
	Missing Data		
	-	822	44.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 569-569 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

XHOWPAY: Q44_5: [Refused] How did you pay for the visit?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1019	55.3 %
1	Yes	1	0.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	822	44.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,020 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 570-570 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DOCTALK: Q47a: [Doctor talked about having children] At last visit, how strongly agree

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	512	27.8 %
1	Yes	502	27.3 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
•	-	828	45.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,014 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 571-572 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BCINFO: Q47b: [Got info about birth control] At last visit, did you ___

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	593	32.2 %
1	Yes	422	22.9 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	827	44.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 573-574 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HOUREMP: Q48_1: [Employment] Approx how many hours did you spend on ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	includes 58 nonresponders	607	33.0 %
1	-	6	0.3 %
2	-	2	0.1 %
3	-	3	0.2 %
4	-	3	0.2 %
5	-	8	0.4 %
6	-	5	0.3 %
7	-	1	0.1 %
8	-	10	0.5 %
9	-	2	0.1 %
10	-	20	1.1 %
11	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	9	0.5 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
14	-	2	0.1 %
15	-	15	0.8 %
16	-	11	0.6 %
17	-	3	0.2 %
18	-	7	0.4 %
20	-	56	3.0 %
21	-	2	0.1 %
22	-	4	0.2 %
23	-	2	0.1 %
24	-	17	0.9 %
25	-	27	1.5 %
26	-	2	0.1 %
27	-	3	0.2 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
28	-	8	0.4 %
29	-	3	0.2 %
30	-	80	4.3 %
31	-	1	0.1 %
32	-	24	1.3 %
33	-	2	0.1 %
34	-	4	0.2 %
35	-	52	2.8 %
36	-	25	1.4 %
37	-	11	0.6 %
38	-	18	1.0 %
39	-	4	0.2 %
40	-	515	28.0 %
41	-	5	0.3 %
42	-	12	0.7 %
43	-	7	0.4 %
44	-	7	0.4 %
45	-	77	4.2 %
46	-	2	0.1 %
47	-	1	0.1 %
48	-	13	0.7 %
49	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 24.56Median: 30.50Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 100.00

• Standard Deviation: 19.85

Location: 575-577 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HOURED: Q48_2: [Attending school] Approx how many hours did you spend on ___?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	includes 188 nonresponders	1685	91.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	-	5	0.3 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
3	-	6	0.3 %
4	-	9	0.5 %
5	-	18	1.0 %
6	-	6	0.3 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	5	0.3 %
9	-	6	0.3 %
10	-	23	1.2 %
12	-	9	0.5 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
14	-	1	0.1 %
15	-	7	0.4 %
16	-	2	0.1 %
17	-	1	0.1 %
18	-	1	0.1 %
20	-	25	1.4 %
22	-	1	0.1 %
25	-	2	0.1 %
30	-	8	0.4 %
32	-	1	0.1 %
40	-	10	0.5 %
60	-	1	0.1 %
120	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 1.23Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 120.00Standard Deviation: 5.69

Location: 578-580 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DEATH: Q51_1: [Close friend/family member died] In the past 6 months, important problem

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1602	87.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Yes	240	13.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 581-581 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RENT: Q51_2: [Fell behind on rent/mortgage] In the past 6 months, important problems?

Valu	Label	Unweighted Frequency	
0	No	1754	95.2 %
1	Yes	88	4.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 582-582 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

JOBPROB: Q51_3: [Changed job/was unemployed] In the past 6 months, important problems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1594	86.5 %
1	Yes	248	13.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 583-583 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MEDPROB: Q51_4[Sudden medical problem] In the past 6 months, important problems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1669	90.6 %
1	Yes	173	9.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 584-584 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

FAMHLTH: Q51_5: [Dependent/relative had serious medical problem] In the past 6 months, pr

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1676	91.0 %
1	Yes	166	9.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 585-585 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MOVED: Q51_6: [Respondent moved] In the past 6 months, important problems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1656	89.9 %
1	Yes	186	10.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 586-586 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PSYCH: Q51_7: [Mental/emotional health/substance abuse counseling] In the past 6 months

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1724	93.6 %
1	Yes	118	6.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 587-587 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONEY: Q51_8: [Financial situation got worse] In the past 6 months, important problems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1574	85.5 %
1	Yes	268	14.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 588-588 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

Q51_09: [None of the above.] In the past 6 months, have you experienced any of the follo

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	924	50.2 %
1	Yes	918	49.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 589-589 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOEVENT: Q51_10: [Refused] In the past 6 months, important problems?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	1811	98.3 %
1	Yes	31	1.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 590-590 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

AGE: Age

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
18	-	6	0.3 %
19	-	26	1.4 %
20	-	44	2.4 %
21	-	69	3.7 %
22	-	78	4.2 %
23	-	112	6.1 %
24	-	134	7.3 %
25	-	147	8.0 %
26	-	122	6.6 %
27	-	122	6.6 %
28	-	138	7.5 %
29	-	110	6.0 %
30	-	91	4.9 %
31	-	85	4.6 %
32	-	69	3.7 %
33	-	76	4.1 %
34	-	74	4.0 %
35	-	83	4.5 %
36	-	70	3.8 %
37	-	68	3.7 %
38	-	60	3.3 %
39	-	58	3.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 28.62Median: 28.00Mode: 25.00Minimum: 18.00Maximum: 39.00

• Standard Deviation: 5.31

Location: 591-592 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

DEGREE: Education (Highest Degree Received)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	No formal education	0	0.0 %
2	1st, 2nd, 3rd, or 4th grade	1	0.1 %
3	5th or 6th grade	8	0.4 %
4	7th or 8th grade	4	0.2 %
5	9th grade	8	0.4 %
6	10th grade	17	0.9 %
7	11th grade	12	0.7 %
8	12th grade NO DIPLOMA	17	0.9 %
9	HIGH SCHOOL GRADUATE - high school DIPLOMA or the equivalent (GED)	226	12.3 %
10	Some college, no degree	430	23.3 %
11	Associate degree	197	10.7 %
12	Bachelors degree	637	34.6 %
13	Masters degree	231	12.5 %
14	Professional or Doctorate degree	54	2.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 2.00 Maximum: 14.00

Location: 593-594 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ED: Education (Categorical)

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	Less than high school	67	3.6 %
2	High school	226	12.3 %
3	Some college	627	34.0 %
4	Bachelor's degree or higher	922	50.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 595-596 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RACETH: Race / Ethnicity

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	White, Non-Hispanic	1265	68.7 %
2	Black, Non-Hispanic	150	8.1 %
3	Other, Non-Hispanic	83	4.5 %
4	Hispanic	261	14.2 %
5	2+ Races, Non-Hispanic	83	4.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 597-598 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SEX: Gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Female	1842	100.0 %
1	Male	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 0.00

Location: 599-600 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HHEAD: Household Head

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	554	30.1 %
1	Yes	1288	69.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

• Minimum: 0.00

• Maximum: 1.00

Location: 601-602 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HHSIZE: Household Size

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	-	220	11.9 %
2	-	577	31.3 %
3	-	438	23.8 %
4	-	336	18.2 %
5	-	154	8.4 %
6	-	74	4.0 %
7	-	15	0.8 %
8	-	13	0.7 %
9	-	8	0.4 %
10	-	4	0.2 %
11	-	1	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.1 %
13	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 3.04Median: 3.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 13.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.54

Location: 603-604 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HHTYPE: Housing Type

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	A one-family house detached from any other house	956	51.9 %
2	A one-family house attached to one or more houses	209	11.3 %
3	A building with 2 or more apartments	609	33.1 %
4	A mobile home	68	3.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
5	Boat, RV, van, etc.	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 605-606 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HHINC: Household Income

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	Less than \$5,000	68	3.7 %
2	\$5,000 to \$7,499	34	1.8 %
3	\$7,500 to \$9,999	36	2.0 %
4	\$10,000 to \$12,499	40	2.2 %
5	\$12,500 to \$14,999	46	2.5 %
6	\$15,000 to \$19,999	72	3.9 %
7	\$20,000 to \$24,999	108	5.9 %
8	\$25,000 to \$29,999	128	6.9 %
9	\$30,000 to \$34,999	114	6.2 %
10	\$35,000 to \$39,999	120	6.5 %
11	\$40,000 to \$49,999	229	12.4 %
12	\$50,000 to \$59,999	175	9.5 %
13	\$60,000 to \$74,999	167	9.1 %
14	\$75,000 to \$84,999	119	6.5 %
15	\$85,000 to \$99,999	131	7.1 %
16	\$100,000 to \$124,999	121	6.6 %
17	\$125,000 to \$149,999	54	2.9 %
18	\$150,000 to \$174,999	35	1.9 %
19	\$175,000 or more	45	2.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 19.00

Location: 607-608 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MARSTAT: Marital Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	Married	854	46.4 %
2	Widowed	3	0.2 %
3	Divorced	59	3.2 %
4	Separated	16	0.9 %
5	Never married	599	32.5 %
6	Living with partner	311	16.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

Location: 609-610 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MSA: MSA Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
0	Non-Metro	235	12.8 %
1	Metro	1607	87.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 611-612 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

REGION: Region 4 - Based on State of Residence

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	Northeast	320	17.4 %
2	Midwest	505	27.4 %
3	South	547	29.7 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4	West	470	25.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 613-614 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

REGION9: Region 9 - Based on State of Residence

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	New England	95	5.2 %
2	Mid-Atlantic	225	12.2 %
3	East-North Central	332	18.0 %
4	West-North Central	173	9.4 %
5	South Atlantic	309	16.8 %
6	East-South Central	89	4.8 %
7	West-South Central	149	8.1 %
8	Mountain	152	8.3 %
9	Pacific	318	17.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 9.00

Location: 615-615 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

OWNHOME: Ownership Status of Living Quarters

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	Owned or being bought by you or someone in your household	915	49.7 %
2	Rented for cash	876	47.6 %
3	Occupied without payment of cash rent	51	2.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 3.00

Location: 616-617 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

STATE: State

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
11	me	4	0.2 %
12	nh	9	0.5 %
13	vt	6	0.3 %
14	ma	51	2.8 %
15	ri	6	0.3 %
16	ct	19	1.0 %
21	ny	102	5.5 %
22	nj	27	1.5 %
23	ра	96	5.2 %
31	oh	74	4.0 %
32	in	44	2.4 %
33	il	82	4.5 %
34	mi	72	3.9 %
35	wi	60	3.3 %
41	mn	48	2.6 %
42	ia	34	1.8 %
43	mo	44	2.4 %
44	nd	6	0.3 %
45	sd	5	0.3 %
46	ne	14	0.8 %
47	ks	22	1.2 %
51	de	5	0.3 %
52	md	25	1.4 %
53	dc	4	0.2 %
54	va	42	2.3 %
55	wv	10	0.5 %
56	nc	68	3.7 %
57	sc	16	0.9 %
58	ga	48	2.6 %
59	fl	91	4.9 %
61	ky	27	1.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
62	tn	26	1.4 %
63	al	26	1.4 %
64	ms	10	0.5 %
71	ar	16	0.9 %
72	la	18	1.0 %
73	ok	14	0.8 %
74	tx	101	5.5 %
81	mt	8	0.4 %
82	id	15	0.8 %
83	wy	2	0.1 %
84	со	27	1.5 %
85	nm	14	0.8 %
86	az	37	2.0 %
87	ut	35	1.9 %
88	nv	14	0.8 %
91	wa	48	2.6 %
92	or	40	2.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Please note that only the first 50 response categories are displayed in the PDF codebook. To view all response categories, please analyze the data file in the statistical package of your choice (SAS, SPSS, Stata, R).

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 11.00 Maximum: 95.00

Location: 618-619 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

INFANTS: Presence of Household Members - Children 0-1

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1589	86.3 %
1	-	245	13.3 %
2	-	8	0.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.14Median: 0.00

Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.36

Location: 620-621 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TODDLERS: Presence of Household Members - Children 2-5

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1390	75.5 %
1	-	353	19.2 %
2	-	94	5.1 %
3	-	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.30Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.57

Location: 622-623 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

KIDS: Presence of Household Members - Children 6-12

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1471	79.9 %
1	-	244	13.2 %
2	-	105	5.7 %
3	-	13	0.7 %
4	-	8	0.4 %
5	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.29Median: 0.00

Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.65

Location: 624-625 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TEENS: Presence of Household Members - Children 13-17

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
0	-	1679	91.2 %
1	-	131	7.1 %
2	-	27	1.5 %
3	-	5	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.11Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.38

Location: 626-627 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NADULTS: Presence of Household Members - Adults 18+

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	-	301	16.3 %
2	-	1113	60.4 %
3	-	259	14.1 %
4	-	122	6.6 %
5	-	30	1.6 %
6	-	12	0.7 %
7	-	2	0.1 %
8	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 2.20Median: 2.00Mode: 2.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 12.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.95

Location: 628-629 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

EMPSTAT: Current Employment Status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-2	Not asked	0	0.0 %
-1	refused	0	0.0 %
1	Working - as a paid employee	1146	62.2 %
2	Working - self-employed	85	4.6 %
3	Not working - on temporary layoff from a job	17	0.9 %
4	Not working - looking for work	212	11.5 %
5	Not working - retired	0	0.0 %
6	Not working - disabled	29	1.6 %
7	Not working - other	353	19.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

Location: 630-631 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

INTERNET: HH Internet Access

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	No	76	4.1 %
1	Yes	1766	95.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 632-632 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

UNION: Q1 RECODE. Rs union status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	married	949	51.5 %
2	cohabiting	328	17.8 %
3	separated from spouse	19	1.0 %
4	never married	483	26.2 %
5	prev married	63	3.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 633-633 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

UNIONB: Q1 and 2 RECODE. Rs union status

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	married	949	51.5 %
2	cohabiting	328	17.8 %
3	dating	324	17.6 %
4	never married	201	10.9 %
5	prev married	40	2.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 634-634 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PGENDER: Q3b RECODE. Ps gender

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	Female	36	2.0 %
1	Male	1557	84.5 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	249	13.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 635-636 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOBREAK: Q4 RECODE. Been together for 6 months or longer

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	yes	1454	78.9 %
2	broken up but back together	54	2.9 %
3	no	85	4.6 %
4	unsure	1	0.1 %
9	not in relationship	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	248	13.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,594 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 4.00

Location: 637-637 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PWANTS: Q19 RECODE. P wants kids with R

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	agree	577	31.3 %
2	somewhat agree	236	12.8 %
3	somewhat disagree	93	5.0 %
4	disagree	374	20.3 %
5	unsure, dk	189	10.3 %
	Missing Data		
		373	20.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,469 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 638-638 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAVOID: Q20 RECODE. How important to P to avoid preg now?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	not at all imp	237	12.9 %
2	-	85	4.6 %
3	-	133	7.2 %
4	-	183	9.9 %
5	-	225	12.2 %
6	very important	613	33.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	366	19.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,476 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 4.30Median: 5.00Mode: 6.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.87

Location: 639-639 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

B2: intermediate variable used to construct hormonal4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	966	52.4 %
1	-	870	47.2 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.48Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.51

Location: 640-640 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HORMONAL: Q23 RECODE. hormonal method used by R in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	pill	561	30.5 %
2	patch	5	0.3 %
3	ring	40	2.2 %
4	depo	47	2.6 %
5	implant	30	1.6 %
6	iud	187	10.2 %
7	multiple methods	6	0.3 %
8	none	966	52.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 8.00

Location: 641-641 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WITHDRAWR: Q26 RECODE. R used withdrawal at all with any P in last 40 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	1032	56.0 %
1	yes	358	19.4 %
	Missing Data		
	-	452	24.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,390 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 642-642 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SNIP: Q26 RECODE. R used vasectomy at all with any P in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1355	73.6 %
1	Yes	35	1.9 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	-	452	24.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 643-644 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CONDOMR: Q26 RECODE. R used condom at all with any P in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	1034	56.1 %
1	yes	356	19.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	452	24.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,390 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 645-645 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NFPR: Q26 RECODE. R used NFP at all with any P in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	1315	71.4 %
1	yes	75	4.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	452	24.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,390 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 646-646 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SPERMR: Q26 RECODE. R used other barrier method at all with any P in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	1371	74.4 %
1	yes	19	1.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	452	24.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 647-647 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NOBARR: Q26 RECODE. R used NO barrier method at all with any P in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	650	35.3 %
1	yes	732	39.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	460	25.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,382 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 648-648 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MOGAMY: Q6 RECODE. how likely P had other P

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	not at all likely	1299	70.5 %
2	-	118	6.4 %
3	-	33	1.8 %
4	-	30	1.6 %
5	-	26	1.4 %
6	very likely	86	4.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	250	13.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,592 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 1.51Median: 1.00Mode: 1.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.30

Location: 649-649 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

COMMIT: Q7 RECODE. how committed is R to relationship

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	not at all	14	0.8 %
2	-	19	1.0 %
3	-	47	2.6 %
4	-	68	3.7 %
5	-	123	6.7 %
6	very committed	1319	71.6 %
	Missing Data		
-	-	252	13.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,590 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 5.66Median: 6.00Mode: 6.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.90

Location: 650-650 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HAPPY: Q8 RECODE. how happy is R with relationship

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	not at all	19	1.0 %
2	-	29	1.6 %
3	-	78	4.2 %
4	-	193	10.5 %
5	-	434	23.6 %
6	very happy	834	45.3 %
	Missing Data		
		255	13.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 5.20Median: 6.00Mode: 6.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.08

Location: 651-651 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SEXSAT: Q9 RECODE. how happy is R with sexual relationship

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	not at all	39	2.1 %
2	-	54	2.9 %
3	-	110	6.0 %
4	-	286	15.5 %
5	-	413	22.4 %
6	very satisfied	658	35.7 %
7	NA, no sex yet	29	1.6 %
	Missing Data		
	-	253	13.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,589 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 4.93Median: 5.00Mode: 6.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 7.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.28

Location: 652-652 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ARGUE: Q10 RECODE. N of serious arguments in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	0	732	39.7 %
2	1	553	30.0 %
3	2-5	266	14.4 %
4	6-10	43	2.3 %
5	11 or more	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	248	13.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 653-653 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WANTWP: Q13 RECODE. R wants kids with P

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	agree	632	34.3 %
2	somewhat agree	244	13.2 %
3	somewhat disagree	76	4.1 %
4	disagree	386	21.0 %
5	unsure, dk	254	13.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	250	13.6 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,592 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 654-654 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

SATISBC: Q23d RECODE. overall satisfaction with hormonal method

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	very satisfied	602	32.7 %
2	somewhat satisfied	227	12.3 %
3	somewhat dissatisfied	32	1.7 %
4	very dissatisfied	14	0.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	967	52.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 875 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 655-655 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

G: G

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	967	52.5 %
1	-	869	47.2 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.48Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.51

Location: 656-656 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WOMENHC: Q41 RECODE. received any womens health care in last 6 months

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	822	44.6 %
1	yes	1020	55.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 657-657 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RHHINC: RECODE. Household income

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
4999	-	68	3.7 %
6250	-	34	1.8 %
8750	-	36	2.0 %
11250	-	40	2.2 %
13750	-	46	2.5 %
17500	-	72	3.9 %
22500	-	108	5.9 %
27500	-	128	6.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
32500	-	114	6.2 %
37500	-	120	6.5 %
45000	-	229	12.4 %
55000	-	175	9.5 %
67500	-	167	9.1 %
80000	-	119	6.5 %
92500	-	131	7.1 %
112500	-	121	6.6 %
137500	-	54	2.9 %
162500	-	35	1.9 %
176000	-	45	2.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 56921.79
Median: 45000.00
Mode: 45000.00
Minimum: 4999.00
Maximum: 176000.00

• Standard Deviation: 40508.25

Location: 658-663 (width: 6; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

ANYVISIT: Q41 RECODE. Make a visit for any of 5 reasons in last 6 months

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	465	25.2 %
1	yes	1377	74.8 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 664-664 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MISSVIS: Number of missed health care visits in last 6 months

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1764	95.8 %
1	-	30	1.6 %
2	-	8	0.4 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	-	14	0.8 %
4	-	6	0.3 %
5	-	20	1.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.12Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 5.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.64

Location: 665-665 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

NPROBS: Q51 RECODE. Total N of problems experienced in last 6 months

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	949	51.5 %
1	-	513	27.9 %
2	-	232	12.6 %
3	-	105	5.7 %
4	-	26	1.4 %
5	-	11	0.6 %
6	-	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.81Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.07

Location: 666-666 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BREAKUP: RECODE q4: Broke up and got back together with P from prior survey

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1540	83.6 %
1	Yes	54	2.9 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	248	13.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 667-668 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MOWEEKS: intermediate variable used to construct months

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0.00	-	1726	93.7 %
4.33	-	16	0.9 %
8.66	-	20	1.1 %
12.99	-	23	1.2 %
17.32	-	18	1.0 %
21.65		13	0.7 %
25.98	-	4	0.2 %
30.31	-	3	0.2 %
34.64	-	4	0.2 %
38.97	-	3	0.2 %
43.30	-	2	0.1 %
51.96	-	1	0.1 %
86.60	-	1	0.1 %
103.92	-	2	0.1 %
112.58	-	1	0.1 %
138.56	-	1	0.1 %
155.88	-	1	0.1 %
181.86	-	1	0.1 %
311.76	-	1	0.1 %
346.40	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 1.77243213898Median: 0.000000000000

Mode: 0.00000000000Minimum: 0.00000000000Maximum: 346.40000000000

• Standard Deviation: 14.14173016466

Location: 669-683 (width: 15; decimal: 11)

Variable Type: numeric

WEEKS: RECODE Q5: [Weeks] How many __ been (back together with Partner

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1770	96.1 %
1	-	24	1.3 %
2	-	27	1.5 %
3	-	17	0.9 %
4	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.1 %
365	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.28
Median: 0.00
Mode: 0.00
Minimum: 0.00
Maximum: 365.00
Standard Deviation: 8.52

Location: 684-686 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MONTHS: RECODE Q5: [Months] How many __ been (back together with Partner

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0		1717	93.2 %
1	-	24	1.3 %
2	-	17	0.9 %
3	-	25	1.4 %
4	-	18	1.0 %
5	-	15	0.8 %
6	-	4	0.2 %
7	-	3	0.2 %
8	-	3	0.2 %
9	-	4	0.2 %
10	-	2	0.1 %
12	-	1	0.1 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
20	-	1	0.1 %
24	-	1	0.1 %
26	-	1	0.1 %
32	-	1	0.1 %
36	-	1	0.1 %
42	-	1	0.1 %
72	-	1	0.1 %
83	-	1	0.1 %
108	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.47
Median: 0.00
Mode: 0.00
Minimum: 0.00
Maximum: 108.00
Standard Deviation: 4.12

Location: 687-689 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

HOWLONG: RECODE Q4 & Q5: How long been with P

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	not in rel, or missing data on relationship	0	0.0 %
1	-	24	1.3 %
2	-	17	0.9 %
3	-	25	1.4 %
4	-	18	1.0 %
5	-	15	0.8 %
6	6 months or longer	1480	80.3 %
	Missing Data		
	-	263	14.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,579 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 5.80Median: 6.00Mode: 6.00Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.84

Location: 690-691 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

TIMEUSE: RECODE Q23A: How many of last 6 months used hormonal method?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	missing	0	0.0 %
0	-	8	0.4 %
1	-	36	2.0 %
2	-	29	1.6 %
3	-	38	2.1 %
4	-	22	1.2 %
5	-	24	1.3 %
6	6 or more months	719	39.0 %
99	not a user	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	966	52.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 876 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 5.40Median: 6.00Mode: 6.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 6.00

• Standard Deviation: 1.43

Location: 692-693 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RPWANT: Qs13 & 19 RECODE. R&P dis/agree about wanting kids

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	disagree	109	5.9 %
1	more or less agree	1154	62.6 %
3	unsure about one but not both	204	11.1 %
	Missing Data		
	-	375	20.4 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,467 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

Location: 694-694 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RPAVOID: Qs 16 & 20 RECODE. R&P dis/agree about avoiding pregnancy

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	disagree	279	15.1 %
1	more or less agree	1194	64.8 %
3	unsure about one but not both	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	369	20.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,473 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 695-695 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

VAR10: N of barrier methods used with 1st P among women with 1 P

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	- -	1216	66.0 %
1	-	467	25.4 %
2	-	142	7.7 %
3	-	17	0.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.44Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 3.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.68

Location: 696-696 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

FREQBAR: Q26A RECODE Frequency of barrier method use with first/only P in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	missing info on method or sex	0	0.0 %
1	every time	445	24.2 %
2	not sure	46	2.5 %
3	not every time	155	8.4 %
5	did not use a barrier method	730	39.6 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
9	no sex in last 30 days	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	466	25.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 697-698 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

VAR11: intermediate variable used to construct freqbar4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1820	98.8 %
1	-	16	0.9 %
2	-	5	0.3 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.02Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.17

Location: 699-699 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

VAR12: intermediate variable used to construct freqbarb4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1818	98.7 %
1	-	18	1.0 %
2	-	6	0.3 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.02Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 2.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.15

Location: 700-700 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

FREQBARB: Q26A RECODE Frequency of barrier method use with SECOND P in last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	every time	20	1.1 %
2	not sure	0	0.0 %
3	not every time	4	0.2 %
5	never, did not use barrier method	12	0.7 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1806	98.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 36 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 5.00

Location: 701-701 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

VARZ: intermediate variable used to construct protect4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1189	64.5 %
1	-	483	26.2 %
2	-	151	8.2 %
3	-	18	1.0 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.46Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.69

Location: 702-702 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MISSED: Q23C RECODE. Whether R was imperfect user of hormonal method

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	skipped/missing	0	0.0 %
0	no	660	35.8 %
1	yes	212	11.5 %
9	not a user	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
		970	52.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 703-704 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

MISSEDB: Q23C RECODE. R was imperfect user of hormonal method, lenient version

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	skipped/missing	0	0.0 %
0	no	742	40.3 %
1	yes	130	7.1 %
9	not a user	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	970	52.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 872 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 705-706 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CONSISTEN: Qs 23C & 26A RECODE. Perfect user of hormonal and barrier methods in last 30 day

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	missing	0	0.0 %
0	no	394	21.4 %
1	yes	1075	58.4 %
9	no sex in last 30 days	250	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
		123	67%

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 9.00

Location: 707-708 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PROTECT: Qs 23C & 26A RECODE. Protected against pregnancy in the last 30 days

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	missing	0	0.0 %
0	no	318	17.3 %
1	yes	1154	62.6 %
9	no sex in last 30 days	250	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
	-	120	6.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,722 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 9.00

Location: 709-710 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

VAR16: intermediate variable used to construct rsatis4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1189	64.5 %
1	-	483	26.2 %
2	-	151	8.2 %
3	-	18	1.0 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Mean: 0.46Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.69

Location: 711-711 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

RSATIS: Q27 RECODE. Rs satisfaction with barrier methods

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	didn?t use/not applicable	0	0.0 %
1	very satisfied	224	12.2 %
2	somewhat satisfied	118	6.4 %
3	somewhat dissatisfied	32	1.7 %
4	very satisfied	14	0.8 %
5	don't know	0	0.0 %
100	don't know	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
		1454	78.9 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 388 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 712-714 (width: 3; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PAYCP: Q23E RECODE. How much pay for hormonal method each month

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	no info on hormonal cost or cont IUD or implant user	0	0.0 %
1	nothing	453	24.6 %
2	\$10 or less	92	5.0 %
3	\$11-\$25	64	3.5 %
4	>\$25	78	4.2 %
9	does not use hormonal methods	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	1155	62.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 687 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 1.00 Maximum: 4.00

Location: 715-716 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

BIRTH3MO: Q14i: Gave birth in the last 3 months?

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	Refused	0	0.0 %
0	No	1793	97.3 %
1	Yes	49	2.7 %
3	Dont Know	0	0.0 %
4	Didnt care	0	0.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 717-718 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CONSTAT: Hierarchical ranking of contraceptive use

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	tubal or snip	74	4.0 %
1	larc	218	11.8 %
2	pill	551	29.9 %
3	depo	47	2.6 %
4	patch or ring	44	2.4 %
5	condoms	206	11.2 %
6	withdrawal	126	6.8 %
7	other barrier	9	0.5 %
8	no method	81	4.4 %
97	preg or postpartum	114	6.2 %
98	no sex in last 30 days	233	12.6 %
99	trying to get pregnant	126	6.8 %
	Missing Data		
	-	13	0.7 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,829 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 99.00

Location: 719-720 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

VAR99: intermediate variable used to construct hormonal4

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	-	1189	64.5 %
1	-	483	26.2 %
2	-	151	8.2 %
3	-	18	1.0 %
4	-	1	0.1 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Mean: 0.46Median: 0.00Mode: 0.00Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 4.00

• Standard Deviation: 0.69

Location: 721-721 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

CPUSE: RECODE Q23: Methods used in the last 30 days, includes those not had sex

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	tubal or snip	74	4.0 %
1	hormonal only	635	34.5 %
2	1 barrier	257	14.0 %
3	multiple barrier only	122	6.6 %
4	both hormonal and barrier	234	12.7 %
5	did not use	271	14.7 %
9	no sex in last 30 (& no hormonal used)l	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	249	13.5 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,593 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 5.00

Location: 722-722 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

GAPINS: Q39 RECODE, did R have any gaps in insurance in last six months

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
0	no	1484	80.6 %
1	yes	340	18.5 %

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
	Missing Data		
		18	1.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Minimum: 0.00Maximum: 1.00

Location: 723-723 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

UNINS: Qs 38 and 39 RECODE. Whether R was WITHOUT health insurance all of the last 6 m

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	ins type missing	0	0.0 %
0	no	1660	90.1 %
1	yes	164	8.9 %
98	missing info on last 6 mos	0	0.0 %
	Missing Data		
	-	18	1.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,824 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 0.00 Maximum: 1.00

Location: 724-725 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

PROTECTB: RECODE Q35 + protect4, measures whether R was protected in each of last six mont

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
-1	refused	100	5.4 %
0	no	421	22.9 %
1	yes	1016	55.2 %
2	unprotected but trying to get pg	32	1.7 %
9	no sex and no method in last 30 days	250	13.6 %
	Missing Data		
	-	23	1.2 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,819 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: -1.00Maximum: 9.00

Location: 726-727 (width: 2; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric

WAVE: Wave of survey

Value	Label	Unweighted Frequency	%
1	Wave 1	0	0.0 %
2	Wave 2	0	0.0 %
3	Wave 3	0	0.0 %
4	Wave 4	1842	100.0 %
	Total	1,842	100%

Based upon 1,842 valid cases out of 1,842 total cases.

Minimum: 4.00Maximum: 4.00

Location: 728-728 (width: 1; decimal: 0)

Variable Type: numeric