# Time series analysis of COVID-19 related emotion detection using natural language processing

Chia-Wei Lin

#### **Abstract**

The COVID-19 outbreak which started in early 2020 not only influences human's physical health, causes a rapid number of confirmed cases and death globally but also directly affects mental health issues worldwide. Social media like Twitter has been a valuable resource to gather public opinion with the help of natural language processing techniques and tools. This project aims to analyze the association between the global COVID-19 confirmed case number and the emotional response of English Twitter users over time. To answer the question, we first compare the f1-score of SVM, LSTM and pre-trained BERT classification model on a labeled tweet datasets, then apply the best-performing model to a public twitter dataset which captured COVID-19 related tweets posted from March 2020 to November 2020 in order to annotate tweets with four emotion, anger, fear, joy and sadness. Finally, we investigate the trend of emotions across time. Our result shows a correlation between XX and XX. Results also revealed that XXXXXX. We believe that the result of this paper generates some valuable information on people's emotional reaction toward COVID-19 related issues and will aid in public health questions and provide practical strategies for supporting the public and navigating the pandemic.

#### 1 Approach

# 1.1 Data Processing

Prior to training, the original corpus was cleaned from various symbols like @, , URLs and punctuation marks using the "re" python module. Emojis and unicode emoticons were handled and transformed into textual ASCII representations. All texts were converted to lowercase, tokenized, lemmatized and stemmed to obtain the original forms, and stop-words were removed.

#### 1.2 Models

We used the Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier as our baseline model. Cleaned unigrams were vectorized with CountVectorizer in scikit-learn library and served as the input.

#### 1.2.1 SVM Model

We first used fastText, a pre-trained word embedding library, to generate word embedding features. Then we employed SVM as the classifier to predict the emotion category of each tweet.

#### 1.2.2 LSTM Model

The Long Short-Term Memory (LSTM) deep neural network we used contained five layers: a fast-Text embedding layer, three LSTM layers, and an output layer. For word embedding features, we used fastText to generate 100-dimensional word vectors as the input for downstream classification tasks. To be able to train the sequential neural network in batches, we normalized each tweet length by zero padding. We applied XX dropout rate on the LSTM layers to prevent overfitting and used Sigmoid neurons for the output layer. The models used binary cross-entropy loss and ADAM optimization, the best model was trained for XX epochs with learning rate XX.

# 1.2.3 BERT Model

Bidirectional Encoder Representations from Transformers (BERT) is a new method of pretraining language representations which obtains state-of-the-art results of Natural Language Processing tasks including sentiment analysis or text classification. In our project, we used BERT Tokenizer along with BERT Embeddings as the input, then fine-tuned a English-only BERT-Small model on our classification task. We then added a single-layer classifier with the Sigmoid activation function, which receives BERT output and pre-

dicted the emotion category of the targeted tweet. The models used binary cross-entropy loss and the best model was trained for XX epochs with learning rate XX.

# 2 Experiments

# 2.1 Model Selection

#### 2.1.1 Data

To assess the performance of different models on emotion classification tasks, we chose an annotated English twitter dataset as our train and dev set, which was released from Semeval-2018 Task 1: Affect in Tweets. The EI-oc datasets we used included 7102 training data and 1464 development data, labeled with one emotion category from anger, fear, joy and sadness.

	Train set	Dev set
anger	1701	388
fear	2252	289
joy	1616	290
sadness	1533	397

#### 2.1.2 Evaluation Method

To evaluate the models, we used the macroaveraged F1 score, which computes the metric independently for each class and then takes the average.

## 2.1.3 Experimental Details

For each of the model types, we trained models with different combinations of hyper-parameters. Still work-in-progress

# 2.1.4 Results and Quantitative Analysis

Still work-in-progress

Model	Baseline	SVM	LSTM	BERT
F1score	0.23	0.31		

## 2.2 Time Series Tweets Analysis

## 2.2.1 Data

We used the coronavirus (COVID-19) geo-tagged tweets dataset as our corpus, which is an open dataset which captured a collection of tweets ID using 90+ different keywords and hashtags that are commonly used while referencing the COVID-19 pandemic. The dataset collected tweets posted from March 20, 2020 and updated daily. We hydrated the tweets ID dated from March 20 to November 20, 2020, and a total of 266,670 tweets were collected.

#### 2.2.2 Evaluation Method

Since the data was unlabeled, we were not able to conduct quantitative evaluations.

# 2.2.3 Experimental Details

We plotted the proportion of tweets in each emotion category for every 10 days with a line chart and compared it with the number of global COVID-19 confirmed cases.

## 2.2.4 Results and Qualitative Analysis

Still work-in-progress

## 3 Future Work

We are currently classifying the tweets into four emotions and investigating the trend of emotions in relation to the infected case number. Since the original training dataset also annotated the valence and emotion intensity, we could train different models, identify the tweets with low to high emotion intensity class and gain more insight of the emotion response in scale. We could also apply our model on a larger corpus for a more accurate and meaningful result. Besides the time analysis, the geographical differences could also be investigated to see the influence of pandemic for people located in different countries or states. The project could also be extended to apply topic modeling on top of current results for better understanding of public concerns.

# 4 Reference

- 1. Rabindra Lamsal, April 26, 2020, "Coronavirus (COVID-19) Geo-tagged Tweets Dataset", IEEE Dataport, doi: https://dx.doi.org/10.21227/fpsb-jz61.
- 2. Semeval-2018 Task 1: Affect in Tweets. Saif M. Mohammad, Felipe Bravo-Marquez, Mohammad Salameh, and Svetlana Kiritchenko. In Proceedings of International Workshop on Semantic Evaluation (SemEval-2018), New Orleans, LA, USA, June 2018.
- 3. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding, Jacob Devlin, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, Kristina Toutanova, arXiv:1810.04805
- 4. Documenting the Now. (2020). Hydrator [Computer Software]. Retrieved from https://github.com/docnow/hydrator
- 5.Gupta, R., Vishwanath, A., and Yang, Y. (2020), COVID-19 Twitter Dataset with La-

# ACL 2019 Submission \*\*\*. Confidential Review Copy. DO NOT DISTRIBUTE.

200	tent Topics, Sentiments and Emotions Attributes,	250 251
201	Preprint at: https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.06954	
202 203	<ul><li>6. Global Sentiments Surrounding the COVID-</li><li>19 Pandemic on Twitter: Analysis of Twitter</li></ul>	252 253
	Trends May Oo Lwin, PhD, Jiahui Lu, PhD, [],	
204	and Yinping Yang, PhD	254
205	and Imping Tang, Find	255
206		256
207		257
208		258
209		259
210		260
211		261
212		262
213		263 264
214		265
215		266
216		267
217 218		268
219		269
220		270
221		271
222		272
223		273
224		274
225		275
226		276
227		277
228		278
229		279
230		280
231		281
232		282
233		283
234		284
235		285
236		286
237		287
238		288
239		289
240		290
241		291
242		292
243		293
244		294
245		295
246		296
247		297
248		298
249		299