

1

STAT2008/STAT6038

More on MR Residual Diagnostics

Body Fat Data

2

A study of the relationship of body fat to several physical measurements was conducted on a sample of 20 healthy women aged 25-34 years. The predictor measurements were the triceps, skinfold, the thigh circumference and the midarm circumference.

If we perform a multiple linear regression of body fat on the three predictors, the associated internally and externally Studentized residuals are:

Body Fat Data

3

```
> bft.df <- read.csv("bft.csv")
> attach(bft.df)
> names(bft.df)
[1] "Triceps" "Thigh" "Midarm"
"BodyFat"
> bft.reg <-
lm(bft ~ cbind(Triceps, Thigh, Midarm), BodyFat)
> bft.sum <- ls.diag(bft.reg)
> ri <- bft.sum$std.res
> ti <- bft.sum$stud.res
> cbind(ri, ti)
```

	ri	ti
[1.]	-1.46802633	-1.52803951
[2.]	1.13326956	1.14416429
[3.]	-1.23262045	-1.25452990
[4.]	-1.29571232	-1.32606735
[5.]	0.57630252	0.56388572
[6.]	-0.23525791	-0.22818249
[7.]	0.62249950	0.61016668
[8.]	1.38022830	1.42385079
[9.]	0.76529502	0.75493974
[10.]	-0.57761774	-0.56519997
[11.]	0.34965240	0.33985038
[12.]	0.94324119	0.93979234
[13.]	-1.50477923	-1.57251203
[14.]	1.84715613	2.01637183
[15.]	0.49352568	0.48153342
[16.]	0.07392664	0.07159138
[17.]	-0.16107977	-0.15609143
[18.]	-0.63614383	-0.62388391
[19.]	-1.61308352	-1.70680191
[20.]	0.25538013	0.24777625

Diagnostics

4

None of these (internally or externally) studentised residuals seem excessively large.

Suppose we wish to test whether the data point with the largest standardised residual is a mean-shift residual.

We could compare the t_i or r_i value for the 14th observation with

```
> bodyfat.lm$df
[1] 16
> qt(0.975, bodyfat.lm$df)
[1] 2.119905
```

Bonferroni correction

5

If we look at all nt_i values, we are implicitly performing n hypothesis tests.

We need to adjust α thresholds with Bonferroni

An adjustment made to P values when several dependent or independent statistical tests are being performed simultaneously on a single data set.

Divide α by the number of comparisons being made.

Bonferroni

6

Suppose a researcher is testing 20 hypotheses simultaneously, with a critical P value of 0.05. In this case, the following would be true:

$P(\text{at least one significant result}) = 1 - P(\text{no significant results})$
 $P(\text{at least one significant result}) = 1 - (1 - 0.05)^{20}$
 $P(\text{at least one significant result}) = 0.64$

So performing 20 tests on a data set yields a 64 percent chance of identifying at least one significant result, even if all of the tests are actually not significant.

If you want an overall significance level α and you perform n individual tests, simply divide α by n to obtain the significance level required for the individual tests.

Suppose $\alpha = 0.05$, with 20 tests

7

```
> qt(1-0.05/(2*20)), bodyfat.lm$df)
[1] 3.580522
```

Which is far larger than any of the studentised residual values