Policy Excess

- 1. The idea:
 - Claim X occurs:
 - \cdot policy holder pays up to L
 - · insurer pays remainder, if any.
 - Benefits Small claims not reported:
 - \cdot Overhead/Administration costs reduced
 - · Premiums lower
- 2. Relationship to excess-of-loss reinsurance:
 - $\bullet \ Y = (X L)I_{(X > L)}$
 - \cdot Policy excess from insurer's perspective
 - \approx excess-of-loss reinsurance from reinsurer's perspective

Policy Excess

- 3. An Inconsistency?:
 - Policyholder Perspective
 - · Expected Payment on a Claim:

$$E\{(X-L)I_{(X>L)}\} = \int_0^\infty (x-L)I_{(x>L)}f_X(x)dx$$
$$= \int_L^\infty (x-L)f_X(x)dx,$$

- $\cdot X \sim f_X(x)$
- \cdot Policyholder knows of ALL claims (even those less than L)
- Insurer Perspective
 - · Expected Payment on a Claim:

$$E(X - L|X > L) = \int_{L}^{\infty} (x - L) \frac{f_X(x)}{1 - F_X(L)} dx.$$

$$X \sim f_X(x) \implies X|X > L \sim f_X(x)\{1 - F_X(L)\}^{-1}$$

- \cdot Insurer (usually) knows ONLY of claims greater than L
- The problem, expectation from insurer's perspective greater
 - · Premiums based on this may seem high to policyholder.
- The resolution
 - \cdot The NUMBER of claims made per period is different.
 - · Claim frequency higher for policyholder (knows all claims)
 - · Expected TOTAL claim amount:
 - $\cdot E(Claim\ Number) \times E(Claim\ Amount)$
 - · Same from both perspectives