

## Mixture Distributions

### 1. Characterisation

- Each policy has distribution in same family,  $f(x; \theta)$
- However,  $i^{\text{th}}$  policy has  $\theta = \theta_i$
- Distribution of  $\theta_i$ 's in portfolio:  $\theta \sim g(t; \eta)$ .
- Claim generation from portfolio perspective:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Choose Random Policy} & \rightarrow & \text{Random Claim Amount} \\ & & \text{from Chosen Policy} \\ [\theta_i \sim g(t; \eta)] & & [X | \theta_i \sim f(x; \theta_i)] \end{array}$$

- “Portfolio-Wide” (Mixture Distribution) *pdf*:
  - IDEA:

$$Pr(\text{Claim} = x) = \sum_i Pr(\text{Claim} = x | \text{Policy } i) Pr(\text{Policy } i)$$

- FORMALLY:

$$f_X(x; \eta) = \int_{\Theta} f(x; t) g(t; \eta) dt,$$

where  $\Theta$  is set of possible  $\theta$  values; usually  $(0, \infty)$ .

## The Pareto Distributions

### 1. Characterisation

- Probability Density Function (*pdf*):
  - Claims for policy  $i$  are exponential with (mean) parameter  $\theta_i$
  - $\theta_i$ 's distributed in portfolio according to:

$$g(t; \alpha, \delta) = \frac{\delta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{-(\alpha+1)} \exp\left(-\frac{\delta}{t}\right)$$

the *inverse Gamma distribution*

(derived as distribution of  $Y = X^{-1}$  when  $X \sim \text{Gamma}$ )

- Mixture distribution *pdf*:

$$\begin{aligned} f_X(x; \alpha, \delta) &= \int_0^\infty t^{-1} e^{-x/t} \frac{\delta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} t^{-(\alpha+1)} e^{-\delta/t} dt \\ &= \frac{\delta^\alpha}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty t^{-(\alpha+2)} e^{-(x+\delta)/t} dt \\ &= \frac{\delta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha)(x+\delta)^{\alpha+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{(x+\delta)^{\alpha+1}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} t^{-(\alpha+2)} e^{-(x+\delta)/t} dt \\ &= \frac{\alpha \delta^\alpha}{(x+\delta)^{\alpha+1}} \end{aligned}$$

- Mixture distribution *CDF*:

$$F_X(x; \alpha, \delta) = \int_0^x \frac{\alpha \delta^\alpha}{(u+\delta)^{\alpha+1}} du = 1 - \left(\frac{\delta}{x+\delta}\right)^\alpha$$

## The Pareto Distributions

### 1. Characterisation (*Continued*)

- Moments:

$$E_{\alpha,\delta}(X) = E_{\alpha,\delta}\{E(X|\theta)\} = E_{\alpha,\delta}(\theta) = \frac{\delta}{\alpha - 1}, \quad \alpha > 1$$

$$E_{\alpha,\delta}(X^2) = E_{\alpha,\delta}\{E(X^2|\theta)\} = E_{\alpha,\delta}(2\theta^2) = \frac{2\delta^2}{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)}, \quad \alpha > 2$$

- Quantiles (Percentiles):

$$x_p \text{ solves : } Pr\{X \leq x_p\} = p$$

$$\implies 1 - \frac{\delta^\alpha}{(x_p + \delta)^\alpha} = p$$

$$\implies x_p = \delta\{(1 - p)^{-1/\alpha} - 1\}$$

## The Pareto Distributions

2. Estimation of Parameters based on  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ :

- (Standard) Method of Moments (*MOM*):

Solve system:

$$\frac{\delta}{\alpha - 1} = \bar{x}, \quad \frac{2\delta^2}{(\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 2)} = \overline{x^2},$$

yeilds solution:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha}_{MOM} &= \frac{2(\overline{x^2} - \bar{x}^2)}{\bar{x}^2 - 2\bar{x}^2} = \frac{2(n-1)s^2}{(n-1)s^2 - n\bar{x}^2} \\ \hat{\delta}_{MOM} &= \frac{\overline{xx^2}}{\bar{x}^2 - 2\bar{x}^2} = \frac{(n-1)\bar{x}s^2 + n\bar{x}^3}{(n-1)s^2 - n\bar{x}^2}\end{aligned}$$

· The MOM estimates for our data are found to be:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MOM} = 2.476, \quad \hat{\delta}_{MOM} = 4412.3$$

## The Pareto Distributions

### 2. Estimation of Parameters based on $x_1, \dots, x_n$ (*Continued*):

- Method of Percentiles (*MOP*):

Solve:

$$\{\delta\{(1 - p_1)^{-1/\alpha} - 1\} = \hat{x}_{p_1}; \quad \delta\{(1 - p_2)^{-1/\alpha} - 1\} = \hat{x}_{p_2},$$

for some choice of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$

Requires iterative (computer-based) solution methods.

For our data, *MOP* estimates based on upper and lower quartiles ( $p_1 = 0.25$ ,  $p_2 = 0.75$ ) are:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MOP} = 1.576 \quad \hat{\delta}_{MOP} = 2002.38$$

## The Pareto Distributions

### 2. Estimation of Parameters based on $x_1, \dots, x_n$ (*Continued*):

- Maximum Likelihood Estimate (*MLE*)

Log-Likelihood Function:

$$l(\alpha, \delta) = n \ln \alpha + n\alpha \ln \delta - (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i + \delta)$$

Score equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n}{\alpha} + n \ln \delta - \sum_{i=1}^n \ln(x_i + \delta) &= 0, \\ \frac{n\alpha}{\delta} - (\alpha + 1) \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i + \delta)^{-1} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

- *MLEs* require iterative (computer-based) solution method.
- For our data:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MLE} = 1.909; \quad \hat{\delta}_{MLE} = 2704.47$$

## The Pareto Distributions

### 3. Goodness-of-Fit Testing:

- Pearson Chi-Squared Test:
  - Use equal-count bins from previous exponential calculations.

Table 2.6: Observed and Expected Claim Amounts (in  $\mathcal{L}$  's)  
using the Pareto Distribution

Bin Range	$O_i$	$E_{i,MLE}$	$E_{i,MOM}$	$E_{i,MOP}$	Bin Range	$O_i$	$E_{i,MLE}$	$E_{i,MOM}$	$E_{i,MOP}$
0-260	12	15.4	12.7	16.8	2072-2618	5	6.0	6.7	5.6
260-545	18	12.9	11.4	13.5	2618-3285	6	5.3	6.1	4.9
545-860	10	10.9	10.2	11.0	3285-4145	6	4.8	5.9	4.4
860-1212	8	9.3	9.1	9.1	4145-5357	3	4.3	5.2	4.0
1212-1612	7	8.0	8.2	7.7	5357-7429	4	4.2	5.1	4.0
1612-2072	10	6.9	7.4	6.5	7429+	7	7.7	8.3	8.3

$$X_{MLE}^2 = 5.59, df = 12 - 1 - 2 = 9, p\text{-value} = 0.780$$

$$X_{MOM}^2 = 7.00, df = 12 - 1 - 2 = 9, p\text{-value} = 0.639$$

$$X_{MOP}^2 = 6.40, df = 12 - 1 - 2 = 9, p\text{-value} = 0.699$$

## The Pareto Distributions

### 3. Goodness-of-Fit Testing (*Continued*):

- Pearson Chi-Squared Test (*Continued*):
  - Calculating  $E_{i,MLE}$ 's:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{(a,b)} &= nPr_{\alpha,\delta}(a < X \leq b) = n\{F_X(b; \alpha, \delta) - F_X(a; \alpha, \delta)\} \\ &= n\left\{ \frac{\delta^\alpha}{(a + \delta)^\alpha} - \frac{\delta^\alpha}{(b + \delta)^\alpha} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

- Using MLEs yields:

$$E_{(0,260.15),MLE} = 96 \left\{ 1 - \frac{2704.47^{1.909}}{(260.15 + 2704.47)^{1.909}} \right\} = 15.44$$



## The Pareto Distributions

### 3. Goodness-of-Fit Testing (*Continued*):

- Pearson Chi-Squared Test (*Continued*):

- Equal-count bin construction, 12 bins each with  $E_i = 8$ :

- For first bin,  $(0, b)$ , solve:

$$\begin{aligned} 8 &= 96Pr_{\alpha,\delta}(0 < X \leq b) \\ &= 96\left\{1 - \frac{\delta^\alpha}{(b + \delta)^\alpha}\right\} \\ \implies b &= \delta\{(11/12)^{-1/\alpha} - 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Using  $\hat{\alpha}_{MLE}$  and  $\hat{\delta}_{MLE}$  yields  $b = 126.12$ .

- For next bin,  $(126.12, b)$ , solve:

$$96 = 8\left\{\frac{2704.47^{1.909}}{(126.12 + 2704.47)^{1.909}} - \frac{2704.47^{1.909}}{(b + 2704.47)^{1.909}}\right\}.$$

Yields  $b = 271.03$ . Continue.

- $X_{MLE}^2 = 3.25$ ,  $df = 12 - 1 - 2 = 9$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.953

- $X_{MOM}^2 = 5.5$ ,  $df = 12 - 1 - 2 = 9$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.789

- $X_{MOP}^2 = 7.75$ ,  $df = 12 - 1 - 2 = 9$ ,  $p$ -value = 0.559

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 1. Characterisation

- Model for *NUMBER* of claims per policy.
- Claims are rare, assume each policy has Poisson distribution
- However,  $i^{\text{th}}$  policy has rate  $\lambda = \lambda_i$
- Distribution of  $\lambda_i$ 's in portfolio:  $\lambda \sim G(\alpha, \theta)$ .
- Claim generation from portfolio perspective:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Choose Random Policy} & \rightarrow \text{Random Number of Claims} \\ & \text{from Chosen Policy} \\ [\lambda_i \sim G(\alpha, \theta)] & [N | \lambda_i \sim \text{Pois}(\lambda_i)] \end{array}$$

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 1. Characterisation (*Continued*)

- “Portfolio-Wide” (Mixture Distribution) *pmf*:

$$\begin{aligned} p_N(n; \alpha, \theta) &= \int_0^\infty \Pr(N = n | \lambda) g(\lambda; \alpha, \theta) d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\lambda^n e^{-\lambda}}{n!} \frac{1}{\theta^\alpha \Gamma(\alpha)} \lambda^{\alpha-1} e^{-\lambda/\theta} d\lambda \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \theta} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta} \right)^n. \end{aligned}$$

- Alternate form for *pdf* if  $\alpha = k$  an integer and  $p = (1 + \theta)^{-1}$ :

$$p_N(n; k, p) = \frac{(n + k - 1)!}{n! (k - 1)!} p^k (1 - p)^n$$

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 1. Characterisation (*Continued*)

- Moments (calculation left as exercise):

$$E_{\alpha,\theta}(N) = \alpha\theta \quad E_{\alpha,\theta}(N^2) = \alpha\theta(1 + \theta + \alpha\theta)$$

- Quantiles (Percentiles):

$$\begin{aligned} x_p \text{ solves : } Pr\{N \leq x_p\} &= p \\ \implies \sum_{n=0}^{x_p} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + n)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \theta}\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{\theta}{1 + \theta}\right)^n &= p \end{aligned}$$

- Requires iterative (computer-based) solution methods
- Since  $N$  is discrete,  $x_p$  not available for some choices of  $p$ .

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

2. Estimation of Parameters based on  $n_1, \dots, n_m$ :

- (Standard) Method of Moments (*MOM*):

Solve system:

$$\alpha\theta = \bar{n}, \quad \alpha\theta(1 + \theta + \alpha\theta) = \overline{n^2},$$

yeilds solution:

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\alpha}_{MOM} &= \frac{\bar{n}^2}{\overline{n^2} - \bar{n}^2 - \bar{n}} = \frac{m\bar{n}^2}{(m-1)s^2 - m\bar{n}} \\ \hat{\theta}_{MOM} &= \frac{\overline{n^2} - \bar{n}^2}{\bar{n}} - 1 = \frac{(m-1)s^2}{m\bar{n}} - 1\end{aligned}$$

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 2. Estimation of Parameters based on $n_1, \dots, n_m$ (*Continued*):

- Method of Percentiles (*MOP*):

Requires iterative (computer-based) solution methods.

No solutions available for some choices of  $p_1$  and  $p_2$ .

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 2. Estimation of Parameters based on $n_1, \dots, n_m$ (*Continued*):

- Maximum Likelihood Estimate (*MLE*)

Log-Likelihood Function:

$$\begin{aligned} l(\alpha, \theta) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \ln\{p_N(n_i; \alpha, \theta)\} \\ &= -m \ln\{\Gamma(\alpha)\} - m\alpha \ln(1 + \theta) + \sum_{i=1}^m \ln\{\Gamma(\alpha + n_i)\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \{\ln \theta - \ln(1 + \theta)\} - \sum_{i=1}^m \ln(n_i!) \end{aligned}$$

Score equations:

$$\begin{aligned} -m\psi(\alpha) - m \ln(1 + \theta) + \sum_{i=1}^m \psi(\alpha + n_i) &= 0, \\ -\frac{m\alpha}{1 + \theta} + \sum_{i=1}^m n_i \left\{ \frac{1}{\theta} - \frac{1}{1 + \theta} \right\} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where  $\psi(x) = \frac{d}{dx} \ln\{\Gamma(x)\}$  is the digamma function

- Second equation reduces to  $\alpha\theta = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m n_i$
- However, *MLEs* require iterative (computer-based) solution method. ■

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 2. Estimation of Parameters based on $n_1, \dots, n_m$ (*Continued*):

Table 2.7a: Observed Counts for Policies  
Making Various Numbers of Claims

Number of Claims Made	Observed Number of Policies
0	81056
1	16174
2	2435
3	295
4	36
5+	4

- $\bar{n} = 0.22093$ ,  $\overline{n^2} = 0.29245$ ,  $s^2 = 0.24364$ .
- Under Poisson model for  $N$ :
  - $\hat{\lambda}_{MLE} = \hat{\lambda}_{MOM} = \bar{n} = 0.22093$ .
  - MOP estimate not readily available.
  - Percentiles of Poisson require  
iterative (computer-based) calculation.
- Under Negative Binomial (or Poisson-mixture) model for  $N$ :
  - $\hat{\alpha}_{MOM} = \frac{\overline{n^2}}{n^2 - \overline{n^2} - \bar{n}} = 2.149$ ,  $\hat{\theta}_{MOM} = \frac{\overline{n^2} - \bar{n}^2}{\bar{n}} - 1 = 0.1028$
  - Using iterative (computer-based) solution:

$$\hat{\alpha}_{MLE} = 2.123 \quad \hat{\theta}_{MLE} = 0.1041$$



## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 3. Goodness-of-Fit Testing:

- Pearson Chi-Squared Test:
  - Data is already categorical, so bin choice less of an issue.

Table 2.7b: Observed and Expected Counts for Policies  
Making Various Numbers of Claims

Number of Claims Made	Observed Number of Policies	Expected Counts (Poisson)	Expected Counts (Neg. Bin.)
0	81056	80177.28	81035.34
1	16174	17713.57	16233.32
2	2435	1956.73	2382.57
3	295	144.10	307.16
4	36	7.96	36.86
5+	4	0.36	4.75

$$X_{Poisson}^2 = 553.35, df = 6 - 1 - 1 = 4, p\text{-value} \approx 0$$

$$X_{Neg.Bin.}^2 = 1.996, df = 6 - 1 - 2 = 3, p\text{-value} = 0.573$$

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 3. Goodness-of-Fit Testing (*Continued*):

- Pearson Chi-Squared Test (*Continued*):

- Calculating  $E_{i,Pois}$  's:

$$E_{i,Pois} = mPr_{\lambda}(N = i) = m \frac{\lambda^i e^{-\lambda}}{i!}$$

- Using MLE or MOM yields:

$$E_{0,Pois} = 100000 \frac{(0.22093)^0 e^{-0.22093}}{0!} = 80177.28$$

$$E_{1,Pois} = 100000 \frac{(0.22093)^1 e^{-0.22093}}{1!} = 17713.57$$

$$\vdots$$

$$E_{5+,Pois} = 100000 - \sum_{i=0}^4 E_{i,Pois} = 0.36$$

## The Negative Binomial Distributions

### 3. Goodness-of-Fit Testing (*Continued*):

- Pearson Chi-Squared Test (*Continued*):

- Calculating  $E_{i,Neg.Bin.}$ 's:

$$E_{i,Neg.Bin.} = mPr_{\alpha,\theta}(N = i) = m \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + i)}{i! \Gamma(\alpha)} \left( \frac{1}{1 + \theta} \right)^\alpha \left( \frac{\theta}{1 + \theta} \right)^i$$

- Using MOMs yields:

$$\begin{aligned} E_{0,Neg.Bin.} &= 100000 \frac{\Gamma(2.149)}{0! \Gamma(2.149)} \left( \frac{1}{1.1028} \right)^{2.149} \left( \frac{0.1028}{1.1028} \right)^0 \\ &= 81035.34 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{1,Neg.Bin.} &= 100000 \frac{\Gamma(2.149 + 1)}{1! \Gamma(2.149)} \left( \frac{1}{1.1028} \right)^{2.149} \left( \frac{0.1028}{1.1028} \right)^1 \\ &= 100000(2.149)(0.8103534)(0.093217) \\ &= 16233.32 \end{aligned}$$

$\vdots$

$$E_{5+,Neg.Bin.} = 100000 - \sum_{i=0}^4 E_{i,Neg.Bin.} = 4.75$$