THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO

ASLG 10200 2, ASLG 10200 2 - American Sign Language II - Instructor(s): David Reinhart

Project Title: **College Course Feedback - Winter 2024**

Number Enrolled: **15**
Number of Responses: **7**

Report Comments

Opinions expressed in these evaluations are those of students enrolled in the specific course and do not represent the University.

Creation Date: **Thursday, March 28, 2024**

blue®

## Why did you choose to take this course? (Select all that apply)

Response	Percentage
Core requirement (7)	58.33%
Instructor reputation (1)	8.33%
Faculty member recommended it (0)	0.00%
Major or minor requirement (0)	0.00%
Meets at a convenient time (0)	0.00%
A student recommended it (0)	0.00%
Topic interests me (4)	33.33%
Major or minor elective (0)	0.00%
[Respondent(s) (7)]	

I had a strong desire to take this course.

I had a strong desire to take this course.

Response	Percentage
Strongly disagree (0)	0.00%
Disagree (0)	0.00%
Neutral (0)	0.00%
Agree (4)	57.14%
Strongly agree (3)	42.86%
[Total (7)]	

What proportion of classes did you attend?

What proportion of classes did you attend?

Response	Percentage
None (0)	0.00%
25% (0)	0.00%
50% (0)	0.00%
75% (0)	0.00%
All (7)	100.00%
[Total (7)]	

Were the demands of this course reasonable?

Were the demands of this course reasonable?

Response	Percentage
No (1)	14.29%
Yes (6)	85.71%
[Total (7)]	

Have you studied this language before?

Have you studied this language before?

|	
Not at all (3)	42.86%
Somewhat (4)	57.14%
Extensively (0)	0.00%
[Total (7)]	

0   50%   100%

Rate the extent to which different aspects of the language were stressed:

	Mean	Median	Not At All	A Little	Some	A Lot	Extremely	Vigorously
Speaking	3.71	4.00	28.57%	0.00%	0.00%	28.57%	28.57%	14.29%
Spelling	4.86	5.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	42.86%	28.57%	28.57%
Reading	2.57	3.00	14.29%	28.57%	42.86%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%
Writing	1.71	1.00	57.14%	28.57%	0.00%	14.29%	0.00%	0.00%
Grammar	4.57	4.00	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	42.86%	14.29%	28.57%
Vocabulary	5.43	6.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	14.29%	28.57%	57.14%

Were you satisfied with the text?

Were you satisfied with the text?

Not at all (1)	16.67%
Somewhat (2)	33.33%
Extenstively (3)	50.00%
[Total (6)]	

0   50%   100%

Did the instructor follow the text closely?

Did the instructor follow the text closely?

Not at all (0)	0.00%
Somewhat (3)	50.00%
Extenstively (3)	50.00%
[Total (6)]	

0   50%   100%

Explain:

| Comments |
|---|
| Was all canvas modules, worked great |
| The only reading we had was on deaf culture. Due to the nature of ASL, there were no traditional textbooks. |
| The text was typically in the form of text we had to read and sign about what we read. It's hard to say the text was followed closely because what there were important things related to deaf culture in the texts but they weren't texts directly related to what we learned in class. |
| There was not much text to use. |

Was the vocabulary useful?

| Was the vocabulary useful?	
Not at all (0)	0.00%
Somewhat (0)	0.00%
Extensively (7)	100.00%
[Total (7)]	

Why?

Comments
Expanded my vocab a bunch
The vocabulary helped you have more extensive conversations

Describe the format of the class (lecture, drills, etc.). Was this format productive? Please be specific.

| Comments |
|---|
| Few canvas quizzes/ assignments a week, in person final conversation |
| He would give us vocabulary or a grammar concept then have us practice. It was very productive |
| The format of the class is lecture for the most part with some time to practice what we learn with classmates moments throughout the class. |
| I loved the format. You watch the modules before class and then you review in groups during. |

How many hours were you expected to study each week for the class?	
0 - 4 (4)	100.00%
5 - 9 (0)	0.00%
10 - 14 (0)	0.00%
15 - 19 (0)	0.00%
20 - 24 (0)	0.00%
25 - 30 (0)	0.00%
[Total (4)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	4
Mean	1.50
Median	1.00
Standard Deviation	1.00

How many hours did you spend?

Hours	Percentage
0 - 4 (5)	100.00%
5 - 9 (0)	0.00%
10 - 14 (0)	0.00%
15 - 19 (0)	0.00%
20 - 24 (0)	0.00%
25 - 30 (0)	0.00%
[Total (5)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	5
Mean	1.80
Median	2.00
Standard Deviation	0.84

How many written quizzes were given?

Quizzes	Percentage
0 - 1 (2)	66.67%
2 - 3 (0)	0.00%
4 - 5 (1)	33.33%
6 - 7 (0)	0.00%
8 - 9 (0)	0.00%
10 - 11 (0)	0.00%
12 - 13 (0)	0.00%
14 - 15 (0)	0.00%
16 - 17 (0)	0.00%
18 - 20 (0)	0.00%
[Total (3)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	3
Mean	1.67
Median	0.00
Standard Deviation	2.89

Were there daily assignments? (i.e., journals)?

| Were there daily assignments? (i.e., journals)?	Percentage
No (1)	16.67%
Yes (5)	83.33%
[Total (6)]	

How many oral exams were given?

0 - 1 (2)	33.33%
2 - 3 (4)	66.67%
4 - 5 (0)	0.00%
6 - 7 (0)	0.00%
8 - 10 (0)	0.00%
[Total (6)]	

Statistics	Value
Response Count	6
Mean	1.67
Median	2.00
Standard Deviation	0.52

Were the oral exams fair?

Were the oral exams fair?

No (0)	0.00%
Yes (6)	100.00%
[Total (6)]	

Were the oral exams challenging?

Were the oral exams challenging?

No (4)	66.67%
Yes (2)	33.33%
[Total (6)]	

Why? Please be specific.

Comments
We met with David twice for the quarter (midterm and final), we just signed back and forth like we were having a conversation. They weren't difficult at all if you went to class and understood what was happening.
With the caveat that you review the vocabulary before the exam, it is very easy.
I think that Professor Reinhart makes the oral exams enjoyable because he frames them as a conversation.

If there were required outside activities (i.e. foreign films or SCOLA), describe your experience.

Comments
no

Was your instructor organized?

Mean	Median	Very Unorganized	Somewhat Unorganized	Fairly Organized	Somewhat Organized	Very Organized
5.00	5.00	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	100.00%

Explain

Comments
all course material was in the module section

Were cultural units included?

Were cultural units included?

No (1)	16.67%
Yes (5)	83.33%
[Total (6)]	

0   50%   100%

Were they interesting or helpful?

Were they interesting or helpful?

No (0)	0.00%
Yes (6)	100.00%
[Total (6)]	

0   50%   100%

Overall, would you say you had a good instructor?

Overall, would you say you had a good instructor?

| No (0) | 0.00% |
| Yes (7) | 100.00% |
| [Total (7)] | |

0   50%   100%

Why?

Comments
Reinhart is fantastic, great prof
Professor Reinhart is an awesome instructor!
He is Phenomenal!
He really immersed you in the language.

Please provide your TA's name. Did the TA answer your questions fully and clearly?

Comments
yes she did
Ciarah Simmons; yes
Elena Gill and Ciarah Simmons

## Did taking this course improve your language skills significantly?

Did taking this course improve your language skills significantly?
No (0) — 0.00%
Yes (6) — 100.00%
[Total (6)]

0   50%   100%

In what way?

Comments
I can clearly communicate and understand others

How would you improve this course?

Comments
I would change nothing

Would you recommend this class to another student?

Would you recommend this class to another student?
No (0) — 0.00%
Yes (6) — 100.00%
[Total (6)]

0   50%   100%

Why?

Comments
David is an amazing teacher!
It is really interesting to learn more about deaf culture, and the language is a lot of fun
I feel like I really understand the language and had no prior experience.