Solution of Q2 of the exam paper 2010

```
Data:

P(\text{Def}) = 0.05

P(\text{U}) = 0.10

P(\text{Deb}) = 0.20

P(\text{U} | \text{Def}) = 0.40

P(\text{Deb} | \text{Def}) = 0.80
```

There are two alternative solutions to this problem.

Solution A:

We are asked to find the posterior probability of default given that the borrower is both unemployed and has other debts:

$$P(\text{Def} \mid \text{Deb} \wedge \text{U})$$

Applying Bayes' theorem, this is:

$$P(\text{Def} \mid \text{Deb} \land \text{U}) = P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \text{Def}) \quad \frac{P(\text{Def})}{P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U})} \tag{1}$$

We assume that U and Deb are <u>both</u> "independent" and "conditionally-independent given the value of Def". This is a strong assumption because it ignores the fact that a person who is unemployed is also likely to have made other debts in order to pay their bills.

Under these two assumptions, we have (respectively):

$$P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U}) = P(\text{Deb}) P(\text{U}) = 0.2 \cdot 0.1 = 0.02$$

 $P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \text{Def}) = P(\text{Deb} \mid \text{Def}) P(\text{U} \mid \text{Def}) = 0.8 \cdot 0.4 = 0.32$

If we plug in these figures in eq (1), we obtain:

$$P(\text{Def} \mid \text{Deb} \land \text{U}) = P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \text{Def}) \quad \frac{P(\text{Def})}{P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U})} = 0.32 \cdot \frac{0.05}{0.02} = 0.8$$

Solution B:

We are asked to find the posterior probability of default given that the borrower is both unemployed and has other debts:

$$P(\text{Def} | \text{Deb} \wedge \text{U})$$
.

Applying Bayes' theorem, this probability is:

$$P(\text{Def} \mid \text{Deb} \land \text{U}) = P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \text{Def}) \frac{P(\text{Def})}{P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U})} . \tag{1}$$

We assume that U and Deb are "conditionally-independent given the value of Def". This is a strong assumption but weaker than that made in Solution A. The assumption we make here coincides with a Naive Bayes approach where the input attributes (Deb and U) are assumed to be conditionally

independent given the output class (Def).

Under this assumption, we have:

$$P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \text{Def}) = P(\text{Deb} \mid \text{Def}) P(\text{U} \mid \text{Def}) = 0.8 \cdot 0.4 = 0.32$$

and also:

$$P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \neg \text{Def}) = P(\text{Deb} \mid \neg \text{Def}) \ P(\text{U} \mid \neg \text{Def}) \ . \tag{2}$$

Applying the "law of total probability" (see the class notes of the first afternoon class), we have:

$$P(Deb) = P(Deb \mid Def) P(Def) + P(Deb \mid \neg Def) P(\neg Def)$$
.

that we can use to find:

$$P(\text{Deb} \mid \neg \text{Def}) = \frac{P(\text{Deb}) - P(\text{Deb} \mid \text{Def}) \ P(\text{Def})}{1 - P(\text{Def})} = \frac{0.2 - 0.8 \cdot 0.05}{1 - 0.05} \approx 0.168$$
.

Similarly, we have:

$$P(U \mid \neg Def) = \frac{P(U) - P(U \mid Def) P(Def)}{1 - P(Def)} = \frac{0.1 - 0.4 \cdot 0.05}{1 - 0.05} \approx 0.084$$
.

Replacing these two values in (2), we obtain:

$$P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \neg \text{Def}) \simeq 0.168 \cdot 0.084 \simeq 0.014$$

Applying the "law of total probability" again, we have:

$$P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U}) = P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \neg \text{Def}) P(\neg \text{Def}) + P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \text{Def}) P(\text{Def})$$

$$\simeq 0.014 \cdot 0.95 + 0.32 \cdot 0.05 \simeq 0.029$$

Please note that this value is significantly higher than the corresponding value computed in Solution A. We can finally compute (1):

$$P(\text{Def} \mid \text{Deb} \land \text{U}) = P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U} \mid \text{Def}) \frac{P(\text{Def})}{P(\text{Deb} \land \text{U})} \approx 0.32 \frac{0.05}{0.029} \approx 0.551$$
.

As Solution B only requires a subset of the assumptions made for Solution A, the former is clearly the preferred solution. If correctly and clearly presented, Solution B (or an equivalent one) will earn you the full mark for the exercise.