

Insert a Nice Title here with Title Case*

An (optional) Nice Subtitle for the Paper

David S. Hippocampus[†]

Department of Computer Science
Cranberry-Lemon University
hippo@cs.cranberry-lemon.edu

Elias D. Striatum[‡]

Department of Electrical Engineering
Mount-Sheikh University
stariate@ee.mount-sheikh.edu

Elias D. Striatum[§]

Department of Electrical Engineering
Mount-Sheikh University
stariate@ee.mount-sheikh.edu

Elias D. Striatum[¶]

Department of Electrical Engineering
Mount-Sheikh University
stariate@ee.mount-sheikh.edu

This Version: 25th August 2024

DRAFT: PLEASE DO NOT CITE OR DISTRIBUTE WITHOUT CONSENT

Abstract

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

JEL Classification: P16; G21; D72; E51

Keywords: Monetary Policy, Income Inequality, Structural VAR, Average Propensity of Consume

*Acknowledgements with Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. . Use footnote for providing further information about author (webpage, alternative address) *and* funding agencies.

[†]Department of Computer Science, Pittsburgh, PA 15213, hippo@cs.cranberry-lemon.edu.

[‡]Department of Electrical Engineering, Santa Narimana, Levand, stariate@ee.mount-sheikh.edu.

[§]Department of Electrical Engineering, Santa Narimana, Levand, stariate@ee.mount-sheikh.edu.

[¶]Department of Electrical Engineering, Santa Narimana, Levand, stariate@ee.mount-sheikh.edu.

1 Section 1

The finding in this paper cannot conclude the root cause of economic inequality in Cambodia. However, This study provides a broad overview and big picture to economists and policymakers to overlook and take ongoing action discussions and the way to design the innovation and creative policy for reducing economic inequality among households and individuals. According to the literature, many authors provide different perspectives associated with policy options to reduce economic inequality that can be resilient to technological change, globalization, and long-term economic development. Herrán (2005) suggest a higher level of democracy reduces the level of income inequality.¹ Bastagli, Coady and Gupta (2012); Breunig and Rose (2019); and Bastagli, Coady and Gupta (2012) suggest to increase graduation rates and improving education², well-designed labor market³ and institutions policies⁴, foster the integration of immigrants, improving tax and transfer systems⁵, promoting and considering to create the personal income tax system and boosting GDP per capita. An econometric test by GIZ (2015) confirms that the reduction of inequality is possible even under open economy conditions if a given set of appropriate macroeconomic, labor, fiscal and social policies is adopted by governments.⁶

Here I am testing the scalar input command: **the first beta is 132.24!**

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

[TABLE 1 ABOUT HERE.]

Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper,

¹This is based on an empirical analysis covering 69 countries during the period from 1960 to 1996.

²For example, "hybrid policies" like as the higher education contribution scheme (HECS) student loans with the collaboration between public and private sector Breunig and Rose (2019).

³A case of Brazil, Herrán (2005) suggest that provide effective training programs for the workforce will help them to highly competitive and high productivity.

⁴Especially, reducing the gap between employment protection on temporary and permanent work as well as a relatively high minimum wage that make people living better with the temporary inflation.

⁵Fiscal policy and cash transfer program are the primary indicator to reduce income inequality of low-income employees and poor households.

⁶GIZ (2015) discusses the income inequality changes which have taken place in some representative developing regions during the 1980s–1990s, while inequality rose in the majority of the countries of these regions.

felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

Todo.

2 Section 2

2.1 Some Citations

This is an example of section with citations by McAfee and Williams (1992) (see McAfee and Williams, 1992, for citation in brackets). Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Etiam lobortis facilisis sem. Nullam nec mi et neque pharetra sollicitudin. Praesent imperdiet mi nec ante. Donec ullamcorper, felis non sodales commodo, lectus velit ultrices augue, a dignissim nibh lectus placerat pede. Vivamus nunc nunc, molestie ut, ultricies vel, semper in, velit. Ut porttitor. Praesent in sapien. Lorem ipsum dolor sit amet, consectetur adipiscing elit. Duis fringilla tristique neque. Sed interdum libero ut metus. Pellentesque placerat. Nam rutrum augue a leo. Morbi sed elit sit amet ante lobortis sollicitudin. Praesent blandit blandit mauris. Praesent lectus tellus, aliquet aliquam, luctus a, egestas a, turpis. Mauris lacinia lorem sit amet ipsum. Nunc quis urna dictum turpis accumsan semper.

[FIGURE 1 ABOUT HERE.]

Table 1 summarizes the Granger-causality results for the six-variable VAR. It shows the p -values associated with the chi-squared statistic for testing whether the relevant sets of coefficients are zero. For example, if inflation does not help predict broad money, then the coefficient on the lags of inflation will all be zero in the reduced-form broad money supply equation. Inflation does not help predict the broad money ($M2$), the unemployment rate, the exchange rate and the interest rate levels of statistical significance. Nevertheless, inflation helps predict output at the 10% significance level with the p -value 0.076 or 7.6%. My Granger tests show the $M2$ significantly impacts output, inflation and exchange rates at 1% and 5% of statistical significance (the p -value is 0.004, 0.017, and 0.036, respectively). In addition, the results reflect that the use of exchange rates as an instrument policy to control money supply in the market has a true consensus effect on other macroeconomic variables. As we see in Table 1, the exchange rate has Granger-cause broad money and output statistically significant at a 1% and 5% level with p -value is 0.001 or 1% and 0.097 or 9.7%. Surprisingly, the unemployment rate has a consequence to many economic variables like


inflation at a 1% of statistical significance, to $M2$ (4.9%), the interest rate (4%), and exchange rates at p -value 0.019 or 1.9%.

References

- Bastagli, Francesca, David Coady, and Sanjeev Gupta.** 2012. "Income Inequality and Fiscal Policy." International Monetary Fund, IMF Staff Discussion Note.
- Breunig, Robert, and Trevor Rose.** 2019. "Public Policy and the Economics of Inequality, Case Study prepared for Economics of Government POGO8081." Crawford School of Public Policy, Australian National University, Working Paper.
- GIZ.** 2015. "Reducing Rnequality: The Role of Good Financial Governance." Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit, Policy Report.
- Herrán, Carlos.** 2005. "Reducing Poverty and Inequality in Brazil." Inter-American Development Bank, Economic and Social Study Series RE1-05-002.
- McAfee, R Preston, and Michael A Williams.** 1992. "Horizontal Mergers and Antitrust Policy." *The Journal of Industrial Economics*, 40(2): 181–187.

Figures

Figure 1: The growth of the broad money and inflation during 2010Q1–2021Q2



Notes: This two figures represent the moving average of the broad money and inflation between 2010Q1–2021Q2. Panel A reports the broad money and Panel B reports inflation rate growth. The y -axis reports the percentage change with multiply by 100 bias, the x -axis is the time period in quarters. The data uses to plot these figure come the National Bank of Cambodia and the National Institute of Statistics.

Tables

Table 1: Granger Causality Tests for VAR Model

Regressor	Inflation	M2	Output	Unemployment	Exchange rate	Interest rate
Inflation	—	0.000	0.076	0.510	0.162	0.493
M2	0.004	—	0.017	0.555	0.000	0.036
Output	0.045	0.078	—	0.153	0.000	0.000
Unemployment	0.010	0.049	0.405	—	0.019	0.040
Exchange rate	0.131	0.001	0.097	0.973	—	0.158
Interest rate	0.007	0.000	0.014	0.000	0.000	—

Notes: All entries are chi-square test statistics at degrees of freedom with an indicated significant at 1%, 5% and 10% levels, parentheses are *P*-values. The row labeled *Regressor* do not enter the reduced form equation for column variable labeled *Dependent Variable*. The results were computed from a VAR with four lags and a constant term over the 2010Q1–2021:Q2 sample period.