PS5

Chieh Lee

You Zhang

Part I

1)

We can sort the given list of job into ascending order. Then the algorithm will return the optimal result.

$$t_0 + (t_0 + t_{0+1}) + ... + (t_0 + t_{0+1} + t_n)/n$$

where $t_{n-1} < t_n$

We can use known sorting method that is efficient such as quicksort which is divide and conquer algorithm. And the running time is bounded to O (n log n)

2)

We can use greedy algorithm, compare the unhappy score for each person,

For I to N person P

Compare UiA and UiB

If U_{iA} > U_{iB}, send P_i to team A else send to team B

The whole process will take O(n),

3)

"messiness" is the cube of the number of spaces left at the end of a line between the final word of the line and W.

The goal here is to minimize the messiness

Each word w have length I + 1(including the separating space), except the last word of the line.

W is the total length of a line, thus $(I_1 + 1) + (I_2 + 1) + ... (I_{p-1} + 1) + I_p < W$, where Ip is the last word of the first line and so on

First we sort $w = \{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\}$ into a descending order. And insert w_1 to first line.

For i = w

Int counter k = 0;

 $k += (l_i + 1)$

```
If k < W
Remove w<sub>i</sub> from w
j++
if k > W,
skip to w_i and proceed to w_{i+1} until w_n
endfor
if w !isEmtpy()
run for loop again with w
messiness of each line will be optimal, function is taking O(n<sup>2</sup>)
Part II
1.
                  7
               6
             5
       3
     2
  1
2.
         4
   2
              6
           5
                  7
1
      3
3.
1
      2
                      4
                     6
      3
                       5
                  7
4.
1234567
```

Part III

- 1. **NO!** There has no prove that NP problem have no polynomial algorithm to solve, especially breaking the cryptography requires factoring and factoring isn't even a NP-complete
- 2. **YES!** solving 3-SAT hence we can also solve 2-SAT only prove that 2-SAT problem is at least as hard as 3-SAT. In other word, 2-SAT problem can be easier. 2-SAT cannot be proved as NP-Complete
- 3. **YES!** The solution can be check in polynomial time so this is an NP problem this problem is reducible from NP problem such as Hamilton path problem in a polynomial time. Therefore, this problem is surely NP-complete problem.

Part IV

Optimally solution (Brute force) has running bounded to O(2^N). Therefore slightly larger input will take enormous amount of time to compute.