

Agenda

- Progress Report
 - Isabel
 - Wireframes for initial UI design
 - transition diagram
 - Lily
 - Concepts
 - Design challenges
 - Maryann
 - Security policy for design doc
 - Motivation for design doc
 - Started the search model
 - Nadia
 - Data Model and challenges
 - Updating the Data Model given feedback
 - User Authentication - not pushed yet
- Questions
 - For design challenges, should I reformat so that each challenge is UI, data model, concepts, etc.--we formatted it as challenges per concept. Should this change?
 - Points: We lost 7 points for essentially the same thing, also we didn't know about this (in project 5 Nadia only received -1 pt for using 'has' and no points for same relation names)
 - Naming Error (-3): You have many relations called "has". Prof. Jackson said to avoid this.
 - Syntax Error (-4): You have multiple relations with the same name, this is not allowed
 - Necessity of Pantry Sharing
 - If no pantry sharing, then we will have a hook instead
 - MVP not have final UI design
- Goals for week
 - Next group work session availability
 - Lily: Friday 11a-6p, Saturday after WOD, Sunday except 5:30-7:30
 - Nadia: Friday afternoon on
 - Maryann: after Sunday afternoon
 - Isabel: Friday 8PM - sleep, Saturday and Sunday except 5:30-7:30 (lol are you actually coming to chapter)
 - Sunday evening
 - glue all the parts together to have MVP
 - MVP due at 11pm Monday

Minutes

- Data model points
 - separate issues with “has” (bad name) and multiple same names
 - User → pantry → Pantry
 - The claim is if you can actually think of a relation, you should use it. If not, pick a name as if you are naming a property on Java.
 - Design doc overall quality good, but data model is one of the most critical (24 pts)
 - data model is designing a database schema using a particular language
 - spec that describes a set of instances so every mutability and multiplicity marking has huge impact & changes the set of instances & what system supports
- Pantry sharing
 - ! - has to maintain a different collection of pantry share which records users grouped together sharing pantries b/c one owner per pantry
 - + - easier to share but no one owner per pantry
 - Might be easier to create a new account for cooking group and create shared pantry there (user A might not bring ALL of his ingredients to cook with user B)
 - consider whether this would be something that would be used
- Hook
 - Harihar prefers this because he can go in and use the website without creating an account
 - Anonymous pantry doesn't have to be in data model
- Other
 - Design is last priority (don't need for MVP and only worry for final if we're done with everything else)
 - Restructuring design challenges not necessary