CSE 417T
Introduction to Machine Learning

Lecture 6
Instructor: Chien-Ju (CJ) Ho

Recap

Discussion on the VC Bound

- Think about the high-level tradeoff of choosing d_{VC} and its dependency on N
- The approximation-generalization trade-off

What we want to minimize

How well g generalizes

$$E_{out}(g) \leq E_{in}(g) + O\left(\sqrt{d_{VC}\frac{\ln N}{N}}\right)$$

How well g approximates f in training data



Today’s Lecture

The notes are not intended to be comprehensive. They should be accompanied by lectures and/or textbook.

Let me know if you spot errors.

Bias-Variance Decomposition

Another theory of generalization

Real-Value Target and Squared Error

- So far, we focus on binary target function and binary error
  - Binary target function $f(\vec{x}) \in \{-1,1\}$
  - Binary error $e\big(h(\vec{x}), f(\vec{x})\big) = \mathbb{I}[h(\vec{x}) \neq f(\vec{x})]$

- Real-value target functions [”**regression**”] and squared error?
  - Real-value target function $f(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$
  - Squared error $e\big(h(\vec{x}), f(\vec{x})\big) = \big(h(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\big)^2$



Real-Value Target and Squared Error

- Real-value target functions [called "**regression**"] and squared error?
  - Real-value target function $f(\vec{x}) \in \mathbb{R}$
  - Squared error $e\big(h(\vec{x}), f(\vec{x})\big) = \big(h(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\big)^2$

- Errors:
  - In-sample error: $E_{in}(g) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N} e(h(\vec{x}_n), f(\vec{x}_n)) = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{n=1}^{N}\big(h(\vec{x}_n) - f(\vec{x}_n)\big)^2$
  - Out-of-sample error: $E_{out}(g) = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}[e\big(h(\vec{x}), f(\vec{x})\big)] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}[\big(g(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\big)^2]$

- Theory of generalization: What can we say about $E_{out}(g)$?

- Note that g is learned by some algorithm on the dataset D
  - We'll make the dependency on D explicit and write it as $\boldsymbol{g^{(D)}}$ here.
  - [In VC theory, we consider the worst-case D through the definition of growth function $m_H(N)$]

- $E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right) = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]$
- $\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right]$

$$= \mathbb{E}_D\left[\mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x}) + \bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x}) + \bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2 + \left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2 + 2\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)\right]\right]$$

Define "expected" hypothesis
$\bar{g}(\vec{x}) = \mathbb{E}_D\left[g^{(D)}(\vec{x})\right]$

- Note that $\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)\right] = \left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)\right] = 0$

Finishing Up

$\bar{g}(\vec{x}) = \mathbb{E}_D\left[g^{(D)}(\vec{x})\right]$

X: a random variable
μ: the mean of X

Variance of X:
$Var(X) = \mathbb{E}[(X-\mu)^2]$

- $\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right]$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2 + \left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\text{Variance of } g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) + \text{Bias of } \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right]$$

$$= \text{Variance} + \text{Bias}$$

- Bias-Variance Decomposition

Discussion

$\text{Bias}(\vec{x})$ $\qquad\qquad$ $\text{Var}(\vec{x})$

- $\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$

- This is a **conceptual** decomposition
  - Both $\bar{g}$ and f are unknown
  - We can't really calculate bias and variance in practice

- However, it provides a conceptual guideline in decreasing E_{out}

Example of Bias-Variance Decomposition

- Fitting a sine function
  - $f(x) = \sin(\pi x)$
  - x is drawn uniformly at random from $[0,2]$
- Two hypothesis set
  - H_0: $h(x) = b$
  - H_1: $h(x) = ax + b$
- Assume our algorithm finds g with minimum in-sample error

Example of Bias-Variance Decomposition

$H_0: h(x) = b$

$H_1: h(x) = ax + b$

N=2



$$\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

Bias($\vec{x}$) Var($\vec{x}$)

Discussion:

If $N = 2$, would you choose H_0 or H_1? Why?

If $N = 50$, would you choose H_0 or H_1? Why?

What's the change of biases/variances for $H_0/\ H_1$ from $N = 2$ to $N = 50$.

Example of Bias-Variance Decomposition

$H_0: h(x) = b$

$H_1: h(x) = ax + b$

N=2





Example of Bias-Variance Decomposition

$H_0: h(x) = b$

$H_1: h(x) = ax + b$

N=2





$$\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

Bias($\vec{x}$) Var($\vec{x}$)

Example of Bias-Variance Decomposition

$H_0: h(x) = b$

$H_1: h(x) = ax + b$

N=2





Bias of $\bar{g}(\vec{x}) \approx 0.50$
Variance of $g_{\mathcal{D}}(\vec{x}) \approx 0.25$
$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{out}(g_{\mathcal{D}})] \approx 0.75$

Bias of $\bar{g}(\vec{x}) \approx 0.21$
Variance of $g_{\mathcal{D}}(\vec{x}) \approx 1.74$
$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{out}(g_{\mathcal{D}})] \approx 1.95$

$$\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

Bias($\vec{x}$) Var($\vec{x}$)

What if we increase N to 5?

$H_0: h(x) = b$



Bias of $\bar{g}(\vec{x}) \approx 0.50$

Variance of $g_{\mathcal{D}}(\vec{x}) \approx 0.10$

$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{out}(g_{\mathcal{D}})] \approx 0.60$

$H_1: h(x) = ax + b$



Bias of $\bar{g}(\vec{x}) \approx 0.21$

Variance of $g_{\mathcal{D}}(\vec{x}) \approx 0.21$

$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}}[E_{out}(g_{\mathcal{D}})] \approx 0.42$

Discussion

$\text{Bias}(\vec{x})$ $\qquad\qquad$ $\text{Var}(\vec{x})$

- $\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$

- Increasing the number of data points N
  - Biases roughly stay the same
  - Variances decrease
  - Expected E_{out} decreases

Discussion

$\text{Bias}(\vec{x})$ $\qquad\qquad$ $\text{Var}(\vec{x})$

- $\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$

- Increasing the complexity of H
  - Bias goes down (more likely to approximate f)
  - Variance goes up (The stability of $g^{(D)}$ is worse)



Very small model



Very large model

Discussion

$\text{Bias}(\vec{x})$ $\qquad\qquad$ $\text{Var}(\vec{x})$

- $\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$

- This is a **conceptual** decomposition
  - Both $\bar{g}$ and f are unknown
  - We can't really calculate bias and variance for practical problems

- However, it provides a conceptual guidelines in decreasing E_{out}

Example

- Will talk about this in details in the 2nd half of the semester
- Decision tree
  - A low bias but high variance hypothesis set
  - Practical performance is not ideal



- Random forest
  - Trying to reduce the variance while not sacrificing bias
  - Idea: Generate many trees randomly and average them

Two Theories of Generalization

- VC Generalization Bound

$$E_{out}(g) \leq E_{in}(g) + O\left(\sqrt{d_{vc}\frac{\ln N}{N}}\right)$$

- Bias-Variance Tradeoff

$$\mathbb{E}_D\left[E_{out}\left(g^{(D)}\right)\right] = \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\left(\bar{g}(\vec{x}) - f(\vec{x})\right)^2\right] + \mathbb{E}_{\vec{x}}\left[\mathbb{E}_D\left[\left(g^{(D)}(\vec{x}) - \bar{g}(\vec{x})\right)^2\right]\right]$$

Learning Curves





Learning Curves

Simple Model



Complex Model



Learning Curves





Learning Curves

VC Analysis



Bias-Variance Analysis



Learning Curves

VC Analysis



Bias-Variance Analysis



Learning Curves

VC Analysis



Bias-Variance Analysis




UNKNOWN TARGET FUNCTION
$f : \mathcal{X} \mapsto \mathcal{Y}$
$y_n = f(\mathbf{x}_n)$
UNKNOWN INPUT DISTRIBUTION
$P(\mathbf{x})$
TRAINING EXAMPLES
$(\mathbf{x}_1, y_1), (\mathbf{x}_2, y_2), \ldots, (\mathbf{x}_N, y_N)$
$\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_N$
$\mathbf{x}$
Our focus so far
$g(\mathbf{x}) \approx f(\mathbf{x})$
LEARNING ALGORITHM
$\mathcal{A}$
FINAL HYPOTHESIS
g
HYPOTHESIS SET
$\mathcal{H}$



Let's spend some time here

Linear Models

Linear Models

This is why it's called linear models

- H contains hypothesis $h(\vec{x})$ as **some function of $\vec{w}^T\vec{x}$**

	Domain	Model	Credit Card Example
Linear Classification	$y \in \{-1, +1\}$	$H = \{h(\vec{x}) = sign(\vec{w}^T\vec{x})\}$	Approve or not
Linear Regression	$y \in \mathbb{R}$	$H = \{h(\vec{x}) = \vec{w}^T\vec{x}\}$	Credit line
Logistic Regression	$y \in [0,1]$	$H = \{h(\vec{x}) = \theta(\vec{w}^T\vec{x})\}$	Prob. of default

$$\theta(s) = \frac{e^s}{1+e^s}$$

- Linear models:
  - Simple models => Good generalization error

- Reminder:
  - We will **interchangeably use h and $\vec{w}$** to represent a hypothesis in linear models

Learning Algorithm?



- Goal of the algorithm: Find $g \in H$ that minimizes $E_{out}(g)$ (We don't know E_{out})

- Common algorithms:
  - $g = argmin_{h \in H} E_{in}(h)$
    - Works well when the model is simple (generalization error is small)
    - Will focus on this in the discussion of linear models
  - $g = argmin_{h \in H} \{E_{in}(h) + \Omega(h)\}$
    - $\Omega(h)$: penalty for complex h
    - Will discuss this when we get to LFD Section 4

VC Bound: $E_{out}(g) \leq E_{in}(g) + O\left(\sqrt{d_{vc} \frac{\ln N}{N}}\right)$

- Optimization is a key component in machine learning