Logistics: Project Milestone 2

- Milestone 2: Due Nov 5
  - Summarize your progress
    - Should make enough progress to know **whether the project is feasible**
  - Last chance to convert a research project to an extensive literature survey

- Midterm Pitch: Nov 2 (Tue), in lecture
  - Opportunity to engage other classmates
  - Format
    - Every group gives a 1-min elevator pitch about their project
    - Group discussions
      - Three big groups, with 4 teams per group
      - Each team gets ~12 minutes of time from other 3 teams
  - Everyone is expected to attend

Logistics: Project Milestone 2

- More on Midterm Pitch: Nov 2 (Tue)

- What to do beforehand
  - Prepare a 1-min elevator pitch about your project
  - Prepare a 1-page slide and send it to me before noon next Tuesday
  - Think about how to utilize the discussion time

- Let me know by this Thursday if you plan to engage the entire class

Student Presentation

- Remember to submit the peer review form by 6pm

Lecture 16
Non-Independent Work

Instructor: Chien-Ju (CJ) Ho

Human Computation

Input   Black Box   Output

"Crowd"

Flower
Dog
Cute
...

Open the Black Box

Flower
Dog
Cute
...

Human as data sources: Label aggregation
Probabilistic reasoning to aggregate noisy human data

Humans are "Humans": Incentive design
Game theoretical modeling of humans and incentive design

The Crowd is Connected

A Connected Crowd

Flower
Dog
Cute
…

Beyond the Independent Workers

Designing Workflows

- Some workers are asked to perform **improvement** tasks

- Some workers are asked to **vote** on whether the improvement is good

Little et al. "TurKit: Tools for Iterative Tasks on Mechanical Turk". HCOMP 2009

Expert Sourcing and Teams

- Dynamically assembling a team of experts from crowd

Collaborative Argumentation

For Each Question

Train

1. Present annotation guidelines
2. Show Gold Standard sentences
(Opt.) 3. Collect Justifications
(Opt.) 4. Eliminate workers using justification complexity

Assess

{Claim}
{Sentence}

[TRUE] or [FALSE]

If need more justifications for given answer

Else if

∃ counterargum

Justify

What is the reasoning behind your answer?

[Justification]

If ∃ counte

You labeled differently on the following items. Please review all the explanations provided by other workers and pick or come up with good category names so the requesters can make an informed decision afterwards.

Create

big cats
cartoon cats
cats with dogs

worker1: This is a tiger.

worker2: This is a big cat.

worker3: Do lions and other big cats

Create

big cats
cartoon cats
cats with dogs

worker1: This is a cartoon drawing of a cat.

worker2: Cat drawing.

worker3: Do cartoon cats count?

Peer Instruction: Communication helps in education

How Peer Instruction Works

Year	Method	FCI pre	FCI post	Absolute gain (post−pre)	Normalized gain $\langle g \rangle$	MBT	MBT quant. questions	N
Calculus-based								
1990	Traditional	(70%)	78%	8%	0.25	66%	62%	121
1991	PI	71%	85%	14%	0.49	72%	66%	177
1993	PI	70%	86%	16%	0.55	71%	68%	158
1994	PI	70%	88%	18%	0.59	76%	73%	216
1995	PI	67%	88%	21%	0.64	76%	71%	181
1996	PI	67%	89%	22%	0.68	74%	66%	153
1997	PI	67%	92%	25%	0.74	79%	73%	117
Algebra-based								
1998	PI	50%	83%	33%	0.65	68%	59%	246
1999	Traditional	(48%)	69%	21%	0.40	⋯	⋯	129
2000	PI	47%	80%	33%	0.63	66%	69%	126

[a]The FCI pretest was administered on the first day of class; in 1990 no pretest was given, so the average of the 1991–1994 pretest is listed. In 1995 the 30-question revised version was introduced (Ref. 15). In 1999 no pretest was given so the average of the 1998 and 2000 pretest is listed. The FCI posttest was administered after two months of instruction, except in 1998 and 1999, when it was administered the first week of the following semester to all students enrolled in the second-semester course (electricity and magnetism). The MBT was administered during the last week of the semester after all mechanics instruction had been completed. For years other than 1990 and 1999, scores are reported for matched samples for FCI pre- and posttest and MBT. No data are available for 1992 (EM was on sabbatical) and no MBT data are available for 1999.

[Crouch and Mazur. 2001]

Can we apply similar ideas in crowdsourcing?

Leveraging Peer Communication to Enhance Crowdsourcing.
Wei Tang, Chien-Ju Ho, and Ming Yin. WWW 2019

# Peer Instruction => Peer Communication

- Apply the idea in crowdsourcing
  - Recruit a pair of workers
  - Each complete and submit the task on his/her own
  - Discuss with another worker for 2 min
  - Decide whether to revise the answer

- Research Questions:
  - Does peer communication lead to higher quality of work?
 - Are there spill-over effects?

Experiment Design

- Task Types:
  - Independent tasks: work individually
  - Discussion tasks: work with peer communication

- A naïve experiment design
  - Post two HITs
  - One with independent tasks
  - One with discussion tasks
  - Measure the end results

- What might go wrong?
  - Self selection (the worker population won't be the same across treatments)

Experiment Design

- Goal of our experiment design
  - Ensure there won't be self selection concerns
  - Be able to answer the two research questions
    - Q1: Does peer communication lead to higher quality of work?
    - Q2: Are there spill-over effects?

	Task 1	Task 2	Task 3	Task 4	Task 5	Task 6
Treatment 1	Independent Task	Independent Task	Independent Task	Independent Task	Discussion Task	Discussion Task
Treatment 2	Discussion Task	Discussion Task	Independent Task	Independent Task	Independent Task	Independent Task

Session 1: Examine Peer Communication   Session 2: Examine Spillover Effects   Session 3: Balance Task Types

Peer Communication Leads to Better Work

Long-term Performance Might Not Improve

- Might be due to the design
  - Need longer communication time?
  - Need feedback?

Does Diversity in Communication Help?

- Not that trivial [Duan et al. HCOMP 2020]
- Example:
 - Diversity in (artificial) skills

Training Type 1: Skin color salient   Training Type 2: Hair color salient

Does Diversity in Communication Help?

- Diversity in perspectives (Republicans/Democrats)
 - Opinions or factual statements

---

Republicans and Democrats more likely to see factual and opinion news statements as factual when they favor their side

% who classified each factual statement as factual

	Democrat	Republican	REP-DEM DIFF
Spending on Social Security, Medicare, and Medicaid make up the largest portion of the U.S. federal budget	54%	63%	+9
ISIS lost a significant portion of its territory in Iraq and Syria in 2017	66	72	+6
Health care costs per person in the U.S. are the highest in the developed world	80	73	-7
Immigrants who are in the U.S. illegally have some rights under the Constitution	65	43	-22
President Barack Obama was born in			-26

Does Diversity in Communication Help?

- Not that trivial [Duan et al. HCOMP 2020]
  - A couple of designs are tested; none lead to significant improvements
  - Could be that the designs happen to not work
  - Or could be due to that the "micro" properties of tasks in crowdsourcing

Peer Communication and Network

- Broader question
  - Peer communication is an edge (point to point) of a network
  - Design better network topology?
    - What do we mean by better

- What if we can't design network
  - Networks are already there
  - The influences are also there
  - What'll happen?

Discussion

- What can you do to utilize the network/communication to improve crowdsourcing or to achieve social good in general?

- What are the potential drawbacks given that humans are in the network and are influenced/biased by others (e.g., echo chambers)? What might we do to address that?

Hard but Important Questions

Social learning and partisan bias in the interpretation of climate trends

Douglas Guilbeault, [ID] Joshua Becker, and [ID] Damon Centola

Exposure to opposing views on social media can increase political polarization

Christopher A. Bail, Lisa P. Argyle, Taylor W. Brown, John P. Bumpus, Haohan Chen, M. B. Fallin Hunzaker, Jaemin Lee, Marcus Mann, Friedolin Merhout, and Alexander Volfovsky

"we find that belief exchange in structured bipartisan networks can significantly improve the ability of both conservatives and liberals to interpret climate data, eliminating belief polarization."

"Republican participants expressed substantially more conservative views after following a liberal Twitter bot, whereas Democrats' attitudes became slightly more liberal after following a conservative Twitter bot"