Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Positive
Semidefinite-

The Law of

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Kohli

Department of Mathematics Indian Institute of Technology, Guwahati



Outline

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Kol

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher

Tests for Positive Semidefinite ness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem 1 Motivation

2 Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

3 Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

4 Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

5 The Law of Inertia

6 The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

7 Bibliography

Motivation

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Kol

Motivation

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Positive
Definiteness in Higher
Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalize Eigenvalue Problem

- Signs of eigenvalues are crucial.
 - Stability of differential equations governed by sign of λ . Example: $e^{\lambda t}$ is unstable for $\lambda > 0$.
- Finding Minima of a function is an extremely important problem. For single variable functions the tests are f'(a) = 0 and f''(a) > 0. Analogous tests required for multivariable functions.
- When a multiple of one row is subtracted from another, the row space, nullspace, rank and determinant, all remain the same. The eigenvalues are unchanged with similarity transformation. What are the elementary operations and invariants for $x^T A x$?

Tests for Minima in 2-variable functions

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Minima. Maxima and Saddle Points

• We want to check whether F(x, y) has minima at (a, b).

Step 1: Check whether (a, b) is a stationary point:

$$F_x(a, b) = 0$$
 and $F_y(a, b) = 0$

- o If yes, then z = F(a, b) will be tangential to z = F(x, y).
- **Step 2**: Check whether the neighbourhood of (a, b) is above F(a, b) or below or both. Now, we construct a test based on second order terms. First, note that the quadratic part of F is (assuming $F_{xy} = F_{yx}$):

$$g(x,y) = \frac{x^2}{2} F_{xx}(a,b) + xy F_{xy}(a,b) + \frac{y^2}{2} F_{yy}(a,b)$$

o g(x,y) behaves near (0,0) in the same manner as F(x,y)near (a, b). Note that $g_x(0, 0) = 0$ and $g_y(0, 0) = 0$.

¹Ignoring singular case when each second order term is zero, in which case, higher order terms are drawn into the problem. 🗗 🕟 🔞 🔻 💆 🔊 🤄 💍

Tests for Minima in 2-variable functions contd.

Positive Definite Matrices 1

.

Minima,

Maxima and Saddle Points

Positive
Definiteness in
Higher
Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem ■ Consider $g(x,y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$. Note, g(0,0) = 0, $g_x(0,0) = 0$ and $g_y(0,0) = 0$. So, g(x,y) has a stationary point at (0,0). When g(x,y) is strictly positive at points other than (0,0), then g(x,y) is called **positive definite** and it has a minima at (0,0).

■ What conditions on a, b and c ensure that g(x, y) is positive definite?

$$g(1,0) > 0 \Rightarrow a > 0$$

 $g(0,1) > 0 \Rightarrow c > 0$

o A large cross term can still pull graph below zero. Example: $h(x,y)=x^2-10xy+y^2$ has a=c=1>0 but h(1,1)=-8<0. Also, the sign of b is of no importance. Example: $h(x,y)=2x^2+4xy+y^2$ has a,b,c>0 but h(1,-1)=-1<0. It is the size of b compared to a and c that must be controlled.

Tests for Minima in 2-variable functions contd.

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

Bibliography

Express g(x, y) using squares:

$$g(x,y) = a\left(x + \frac{b}{a}y\right)^2 + \left(c - \frac{b^2}{a}\right)y^2 \tag{1}$$

So, the third necessary condition is:

$$g(x,y) > 0 \Rightarrow ac > b^2$$

Result 1

 $g(x,y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$ is positive definite $\iff a > 0$ and $ac > b^2$. The surface z = g(x,y) will be a bowl opening up. Any F(x,y) has a minimum at a point (a,b) if

$$F_{x}(a,b) = 0, F_{y}(a,b) = 0$$

$$F_{xx}(a,b) > 0$$
 and $F_{xx}(a,b)F_{yy}(a,b) > F_{xy}(a,b)^2$



 $^{^2}a > 0$ and $ac > b^2 \Rightarrow c > 0$

Other tests in 2-variable functions

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivati

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefinite ness

The Law o Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

- Maximum: g(x, y) has a maximum whenever -g(x, y) has a minimum. So, the necessary and sufficient conditions for a maximum can be derived by reversing signs of a, b and c in conditions for a minimum: a < 0 and $ac > b^2$.
- Singular case $ac = b^2$: Equation 1 reduces to $g(x,y) = a\left(x + \frac{b}{a}y\right)^2$ which is **positive semidefinite** if a > 0 and **negative semidefinite** if a < 0. The surface of z = g(x,y) will be a valley (not a bowl) running along $x + \frac{b}{a}y = 0$. Example: $g(x,y) = (x+y)^2$.
- **Saddle point** $\mathbf{ac} < \mathbf{b^2}$ The neighborhood of g(x,y) at (0,0) will have points both above and below zero. Therefore, (0,0) is neither a maximum nor a minimum and is called a **saddle point** and g(x,y) is **indefinite**. Example: h(x,y) = 2xy, $h(x,y) = x^2 y^2$.

Higher Dimensions

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Kohl

Motivation

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Point

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem $g(x,y) = ax^2 + 2bxy + cy^2$ can be expressed in matrix notation as:

$$ax^{2} + 2bxy + cy^{2} = \begin{bmatrix} x & y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$$

- o Note that a, b and c are second order terms.
- Similarly, for any symmetric matrix A, the product x^TAx is a pure quadratic form $P(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$:

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & . & x_n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & . & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & . & a_{2n} \\ . & . & . & . \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & . & a_{nn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ . \\ x_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_i x_j$$

o Note: $P(\mathbf{0}) = 0$ and $\mathbf{0}$ is a stationary point. A contains second order terms where $P_{x_ix_j}(\mathbf{0}) = P_{x_jx_i}(\mathbf{0})$, implying, A is symmetric. \mathbf{P} has a minimum at $\mathbf{0}$ when the pure quadratic form $\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T} \mathbf{A} \mathbf{x}$ is positive definite.

Tests for Positive Definiteness

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Kol

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Point

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

Result 2

Each of the following tests is a necessary and sufficient condition for the real symmetric matrix *A* to be **positive definite**:

- 1 $x^T Ax > 0$ for all nonzero real vectors x.
- 2 All the eigenvalues of A satisfy $\lambda_i > 0$.
- 3 All the upper left submatrices A_k have positive determinants.
- 4 All the pivots (without row exchanges) satisfy $d_k > 0$.

Before proving this result, we check it on $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{bmatrix}$.

Tests for Positive Definiteness - 2×2 case

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law o

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

■ From result 1, A is positive definite if and only if a > 0 and $ac > b^2$ ($\Rightarrow c > 0$).

o
$$\mathbf{1} \iff \mathbf{2}$$
:
 $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 = tr(A) = a + c > 0$
 $\lambda_1 \lambda_2 = det(A) = ac - b^2 > 0 \iff \lambda_1 > 0, \ \lambda_2 > 0$

o
$$\mathbf{1} \iff \mathbf{3}$$
:
 $\det(A_1) = a > 0$, $\det(A_2) = ac - b^2 > 0$

o 1 ←⇒ 4:

$$d_1 = a > 0, \ d_2 = c - \frac{b^2}{a} > 0$$

o Note: $\mathbf{3}\Rightarrow\mathbf{4}$ since $d_k=\frac{\det(A_k)}{\det(A_{k-1})}$ where $\det(A_0)=1$.

Tests for Positive Definiteness - Proof Hints

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivation

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Point

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

$$1 \iff 2$$

$$x_i^T A x_i = \lambda_i x_i^T x_i \Rightarrow \lambda_i = \frac{x_i^T A x_i}{x_i^T x_i}.$$

 $A = A^T \Rightarrow A$ has full set of orthonormal evecs x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i x_i \Rightarrow Ax = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i \lambda_i x_i \Rightarrow x^T Ax = \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i^2 \lambda_i > 0$$

$1 \Rightarrow 3$

Take $x = (x_k, 0)$ where last n - k terms are 0.

$$x^T A x > 0 \Rightarrow x_k^T A_k x_k > 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_{k_i} > 0 \Rightarrow det(A_k) = \prod \lambda_{k_i} > 0$$

$3 \Rightarrow 4, \ 4 \Rightarrow 1$

$$d_k = \det(A_k)/\det(A_{k-1}), \ \det(A_0) = 1.$$

$$A = A^T \Rightarrow A = LDL^T \Rightarrow x^T A x = y^T D y = \sum_i d_i y_i^2, \ y = L^T x$$



Remarks - Result 2

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Kohl

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

- Result 2 connects: Eigenvalues, Determinants and Pivots. Each test is enough by itself.
- 4 ⇒ 1 shows that elimination and completing the square are actually the same. Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = LDL^{T},$$

$$L^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{-1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -\frac{2}{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, D = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & \frac{3}{2} & \frac{4}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$y = L^{T}x = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1} - \frac{x_{2}}{2}, x_{2} - \frac{2}{3}x_{3}, x_{3} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$x^{T}Ax = y^{T}Dy = 2\left(x_{1} - \frac{x_{2}}{2}\right)^{2} + \frac{3}{2}\left(x_{2} - \frac{2}{3}x_{3}\right)^{2} + \frac{4}{3}x_{3}^{2}$$

Positive Definite Matrices and Least Squares

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Minima,

Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefinite ness

The Law of

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

Result 3

The symmetric matrix A is positive definite \iff there exists a matrix R with independent columns such that $A = R^T R$. Proof - Hints:

- $(\Leftarrow) x^T R^T R x = (Rx)^T (Rx) > 0$
- (\Rightarrow) **Elimination**: $A = LDL^T = R^TR$ where $R = \sqrt{D}L^T$. Since A's pivots $d_k > 0 \Rightarrow \text{rank}(A) = n$ and therefore, columns of R are independent.
- (\Rightarrow) **Eigenvalues**: $A = Q\Lambda Q^T = R^T R$ where $R = \sqrt{\Lambda} Q^T$. Since $\Lambda_{ii} > 0$ and Q's columns are orthonormal, therefore, columns of R are independent.
- (⇒) Symmetric Positive Def. Square Root: $A = Q\sqrt{\Lambda}Q^{T}$.
- (⇒) **Many Choices**: Let Q be a matrix with orthonormal columns, then $(QR)^T(QR) = R^TQ^TQR = R^TR$.

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Kol

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Point

Tests for Positive Definiteness i Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

Result 3

Each of the following tests is a necessary and sufficient condition for the real symmetric matrix *A* to be **positive semidefinite**:

- 1 $x^T Ax \ge 0$ for all nonzero real vectors x.
- **2** All the eigenvalues of A satisfy $\lambda_i \geq 0$.
- 3 All the principal submatrices have nonnegative determinants. All principal minors are nonnegative.
- 4 All the pivots satisfy $d_k \geq 0$.
- **5** $\exists R$ possibly with dependent columns such that $A = R^T R$.

Remark on proof: Add ϵI to A so that $A + \epsilon I$ is positive definite. Then let ϵ approach 0. Since determinants and eigenvalues depend continuously on ϵ , they will be positive until the very last moment. At $\epsilon = 0$, they must still be nonnegative.

What if *A* is unsymmetric?

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivoti

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Point

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem ■ A reasonable definition of unsymmetric positive definite matrices is: $\frac{1}{2}(A + A^H)$ should be positive definite. That guarantees that the real parts of eigenvalues of A are positive but the converse is not true.

$$x^{H}Ax = \lambda x^{H}x, \ x^{H}A^{H}x = \bar{\lambda}x^{H}x$$

 $\Rightarrow Re(\lambda) = \frac{x^{H}(A + A^{H})x}{2x^{H}x} > 0$

o Counterexample for converse: $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ has $\lambda > 0$, but $\frac{1}{2}(A + A^T) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is indefinite.

Ellipsoids in n Dimensions

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivati

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness ir Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem • When A is positive definite then $x^T A x = 1$ defines a curved figure called **ellipsoid** in n dimensions.

- When A = I then it is a sphere in n dimensions.
- When *A* is diagonal then *A* is ellipsoid with axes lined up with coordinate axes.
- When $A \neq I$ then the axes point towards eigenvectors of A with major axis pointing toward eigenvector corresponding to least eigenvalue.

$$x^{T}Ax = 1 \Rightarrow x^{T}Q\Lambda Q^{T}x = 1 \Rightarrow y^{T}\Lambda y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i}y_{i}^{2} = 1$$

o The resulting ellipsoid has length $\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_i}}$ from origin along the eigenvector Q_i . The change from x to $y = Q^T x$ rotates the axes of the space to match the axes of the ellipsoid.

The Law of Inertia

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Ohruv Ko

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness i Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem **Congruence transformation**: $A \rightarrow C^T A C$ for some nonsingular C.

Result 4

 C^TAC has the same number of positive eigenvalues, negative eigenvalues and zero eigenvalues.

Proof Try to prove in some other way.

The Law of Inertia - Application

Positive Definite Matrices 1

hruv Koh

Motivation

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Point

Tests for Positive Definiteness i Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefinite ness

The Law of Inertia

The Generalize Eigenvalue Problem

Result 5

For any symmetric matrix A, the signs of the pivots agree with the signs of eigenvalues. The eigenvalue matrix Λ and the pivots matrix D has the same number of positive entries, negative entries and zero entries.

Proof - *Hints*: Use $A = LDL^T$ (without row exchanges) and result 4 and conclude that signs of eigenvalues of A and D (for which eigenvalues are pivots) agree.

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivatio

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Points

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law o Inertia

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem ■ $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{M}\mathbf{x}$ is the **generalized eigenvalue problem** which involves two matrices instead of one as in $A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$.

- To solve this problem, we proceed in the same way as the standard eigenvalue problem, i.e., $det(A \lambda M) = 0$.
- If *M* is symmetric (then *A* is also symmetric) and is positive definite, then simplification of the generalized eigenvalue problem to standard eigenvalue problem is possible, since *M* can be decomposed into *R*^T*R*:

$$Ax = \lambda Mx = \lambda R^T Rx \xrightarrow{y=Rx} AR^{-1}y = \lambda R^T y$$

 $C^T ACy = \lambda y, C = R^{-1}$

o The eigenvalues are same as for the original problem and the eigenvectors are related by $y_j = Rx_j$.

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem contd.

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Dhruv Koh

Motivati

Minima, Maxima and Saddle Point

Positive
Definiteness in Higher
Dimensions

Tests for Positive Semidefiniteness

The Law o

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem

Result 6

When $A = A^T$ and M is symmetric and positive definite, then, $Ax = \lambda Mx$ reduces to $C^TACy = \lambda y$ where $C = R^{-1}$ and $M = R^TR$, leading to the following properties:

- **1** Eigenvalues for $Ax = \lambda Mx$ are real $C \cap C^T AC$ is symmetric.
- **2** The λ 's have same sign as sign of A by the law of inertia.
- 3 C^TAC has orthogonal eigenvectors y_j . So, the eigenvectors of $Ax = \lambda Mx$ have **M-orthogonality**, $y_i^T y_j = 0 \iff x_i^T R^T R x_j = 0 \iff x_i^T M x_j = 0$
- 4 A and M are simultaneously diagonalized.

$$C^T A C = Q \Lambda Q^T \Rightarrow S^T A S = \Lambda, S = CQ$$

$$S^{T}MS = (R^{-1}Q)^{T}R^{T}R(R^{-1}Q) = Q^{T}Q = I$$

This is congruence (S^T) not similarity transformation (S^{-1}) .

Bibliography

Positive Definite Matrices 1

Durus K

Mativation

Minima, Maxima ar Saddle Poi

Tests for Positive Definiteness in Higher

Tests for Positive Semidefinite

The Law o

The Generalized Eigenvalue Problem Gilbert Strang. *Linear algebra and its applications*. Belmont, CA: Thomson, Brooks/Cole, 2006.