EB-1A Petition RFE Risk Assessment

Filename: sample_petition2.txt

Generated QA Memo for Pre-Submission Review

Executive Summary

This report summarizes red flags detected in the petition draft, classified under EB-1A criteria. It includes excerpt patterns, severity ratings, improvement suggestions, and simulated reviewer notes.

Table of Contents

- Introduction
- Award

Risk Matrix

Section: Introduction

- ! Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'financial data is self-reported without verification'

L Found in: INA

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

L Found in: INA

L Severity: High

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- ! Common Denials → 'no final merits determination required due to failure in initial criteria'
- L, Found in: INA
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Section: Award

- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award lacks documentation of selection criteria

- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 1 Awards → 'award not shown to be competitive or prestigious'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 1 Awards → 'award is not exclusive or merit-based'

L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award appears local or non-industry specific' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 1 - Awards → 'petitioner did not demonstrate how award signifies acclaim' Լ Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 1 - Awards → 'no evidence award is recognized by peers in the field' Լ Found in: I L Severity: High L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - Criterion 1 - Awards → 'no documentation showing awards are nationally or internationally recognized' Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 1 Awards → 'educational certifications submitted are not considered prizes for excellence'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

☐ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award documentation lacks detail on scope and prestige'

- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 1 Awards → 'has not demonstrated that the award was nationally recognized'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 1 Awards → 'submitted certificates do not indicate competitive selection process'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Criterion 1 - Awards → 'awards are generic recognitions without merit-based evaluation'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Medium

4. Suggestion: Use field-specific metrics or endorsements to boost credibility.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

 ! Criterion 1 - Awards → 'no documentation of prestigious or merit-based awards submitted'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 1 - Awards → 'educational credentials not recognized as prizes for excellence'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- | Criterion 1 - Awards \rightarrow 'petition does not cite competitive recognition for professional excellence'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: High

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Criterion 1 - Awards → 'insufficient evidence about selection criteria for the award'

- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 1 Awards → 'unclear whether other candidates were considered'
- L Found in։ I
- L Severity: Medium
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 1 Awards → 'award not corroborated with independent validation'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 1 Awards → 'Awards were regional or university-level, not recognized nationally or internationally.'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 1 Awards → 'Certificates related to academic presentations, not fitness training.'
- L Found in: I

- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 1 Awards → 'No proof that awards were based on excellence in the claimed field of endeavor.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 1 - Awards → 'Recognition limited to one institution; lacked broader acclaim.'

- ل Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- 4 Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 2 Memberships → 'membership open to general public'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 2 Memberships → 'no indication of selective or merit-based admission'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- ! Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'fails to demonstrate recognition of outstanding achievements'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'membership does not require prior accomplishments'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: High

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'organization's criteria not provided or vague'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

4. Suggestion: Include the missing data or supporting letter.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'petitioner did not show how membership reflects distinction in the field'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- ! Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'organization's by laws do not require professional achievements for admission'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no evidence the membership was selective'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

Ly Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- | Criterion 2 - Memberships \rightarrow 'membership does not reflect recognition by experts in the field'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no selective or achievement-based memberships shown'

ل Found in: I

L Severity: Low

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

 Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no evidence that associations require outstanding accomplishments for entry'

- Լ Found in: I Լ Severity: High Լ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 2 Memberships → 'not addressed by petitioner'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 2 Memberships \rightarrow 'no documentation submitted to show merit-based membership'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- 1 Criterion 2 Memberships → 'No evidence submitted of membership in prestigious associations requiring outstanding achievement.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary → 'media coverage is promotional in nature'
- Լ Found in: I

- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary → 'articles do not focus on the petitioner'
- Լ Found in։ I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- Ly Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary \rightarrow 'articles not from independent or reputable sources'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- \bot Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary \rightarrow 'coverage lacks critical review or analysis'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High

- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary → 'no third-party publications submitted'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4 Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- | Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary \rightarrow 'petitioner failed to demonstrate media was about their work'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary → 'articles authored by petitioner are not independent evaluations'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary → 'submitted materials are self-published or promotional'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'articles authored by petitioner are not independent evaluations'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'submitted materials are self-published or promotional'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- \downarrow Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary \rightarrow 'coverage lacks objectivity and professional analysis'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary → 'This criterion was claimed but not evaluated due to failure to meet the threshold of three criteria.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 3 Publications About the Beneficiary → 'Insufficient evidence provided regarding independent media coverage or acclaim.'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 4 Judging → 'no documentation of judging activities'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 4 Judging → 'invitations to judge not shown to be based on professional merit'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 4 - Judging → 'judging occurred outside the petitioner's field of expertise' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 4 - Judging → 'events not linked to recognized professional organizations' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 4 - Judging → 'judging role not clearly described or verified' Լ Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 4 - Judging → 'no evidence of evaluating work of others in the field' L Found in: I L Severity: High L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials. Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 4 - Judging → 'judging invitations not supported by credible organizations' L Found in: I L Severity: Low

- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 4 Judging → 'participation appears ceremonial or honorary'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 4 Judging → 'no proof of selection to judge based on expertise'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 4 Judging → 'petitioner failed to demonstrate criteria for selection as judge'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 4 Judging → 'No documentation of judging work in professional competitions or scholarly panels related to fitness.'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 5 Original Contributions → 'contributions not widely cited or adopted'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- Ly Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 5 Original Contributions → 'no peer-reviewed studies or third-party endorsements provided'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 5 Original Contributions → 'contributions not demonstrably influential beyond immediate team'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
 - Criterion 5 Original Contributions → 'letters lack detail or come from biased sources'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'claims are vague or unsupported by objective evidence'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Medium

L Suggestion: Clarify claims with specific examples and data.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'no proof of major significance in the field'

ل Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'no publications, patents, or measurable impact tied to contributions'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'contributions are not distinguishable from normal professional work'

Լ Found in: I

- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- $\frac{1}{2}$ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions \rightarrow 'evidence lacks third-party validation of contribution'

- Լ Found in։ I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 5 Original Contributions \rightarrow 'contributions not evaluated due to failure to meet threshold criteria'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- $\frac{1}{2}$ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions \rightarrow 'letters of support are conclusory and lack specific impact'

- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- Ly Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 5 Original Contributions → 'Methodology praised by clients but lacked evidence of impact beyond personal sessions.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High

- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 5 Original Contributions → 'Reference letters vague on what made his methods 'innovative' or how they were novel.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Medium
- L Suggestion: Clarify claims with specific examples and data.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 5 Original Contributions \rightarrow 'No demonstration of adoption by wider fitness or health industry.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 5 Original Contributions → 'Claimed use in universities lacked documentation or third-party verification.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- \downarrow Criterion 5 Original Contributions \rightarrow 'Medical applications of methodology questionable due to lack of credentials.'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

- Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no scholarly publications provided'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'articles not published in peer-reviewed journals'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

☐ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no evidence of impact or recognition in the field'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no citation metrics or readership figures submitted'

↳ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'publications not shown to be influential'

L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'authorship appears unrelated to petitioner's claimed area of expertise' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no evidence that books were published in professional or major media' L Found in: I L Severity: High L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'did not submit documentation of authorship' L Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'authorship was not demonstrated' L Found in: I L Severity: Low

- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 6 Authorship → 'no verification of journal reputation'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 6 Authorship → 'articles not indexed in academic databases'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 6 Authorship → 'authorship appears incidental to job duties'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 6 Authorship → 'Claimed but reserved from review due to failure to meet the threshold of three criteria.'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'Published work appeared in student-focused journals, not major trade or academic publications.' Լ Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'no documentation of exhibitions or showcases' L Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'exhibits not shown to be national or international in scope' L Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'no evidence of public recognition' لم Found in: I L Severity: High L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'venue or event lacks reputation in the field'

Լ Found in: I

- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 7 Exhibitions → 'exhibition appears self-organized or not professionally curated'
- Լ Found in։ I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 7 Exhibitions → 'no third-party acknowledgment of artistic merit'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 7 Exhibitions → 'no curator statement or professional review of exhibition'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 7 Exhibitions → 'showcases were private or non-public in nature'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- | Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'event does not appear in professional calendars or industry listings'

Լ Found in: I

Լ Severity։ High

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 7 - Exhibitions \rightarrow 'No clear explanation of how work was 'displayed' beyond competition participation.'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'Presentations at academic conferences were unrelated or tangential to field of endeavor.'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'titles alone do not establish critical role'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- Criterion 8 Leading/Critical Role → 'letters do not explain impact or significance' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'no independent evidence of leadership' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'no organizational metrics showing influence' Լ Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'critical role not tied to major projects or recognized institutions' لم Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 8 Leading/Critical Role → 'petitioner failed to show that their role was essential to success'
- L Found in: I

- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 8 Leading/Critical Role → 'duties appear routine or administrative'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 8 Leading/Critical Role → 'project outcomes not directly tied to petitioner's actions'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4 Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 8 Leading/Critical Role → 'role described as supportive, not leading'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 8 Leading/Critical Role \rightarrow 'organizations not shown to be distinguished or influential'
 - L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'no salary data provided'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'no comparison to industry standards'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'compensation not shown to be above peers'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'petitioner failed to submit credible wage surveys'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'income data not verified by third-party sources'

L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'earnings not adjusted for geography or job level' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'income derived from freelance work or inconsistent sources' L Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'submitted pay stubs do not show comparative earnings' لم Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'salary appears within average range for region and profession' L Found in: I L Severity: Low

- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Criterion 9 High Salary → 'no comparative wage data provided'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 9 High Salary \rightarrow 'no indication that compensation exceeds norms within civil engineering field'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 10 Commercial Success \rightarrow 'did not provide evidence of commercial impact of work'
- Լ Found in։ I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- | Criterion 10 Commercial Success \rightarrow 'failed to demonstrate commercial success in the performing arts'
- L Found in։ I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

- Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'no sales or revenue figures submitted'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'no third-party verification of commercial impact'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'letters do not support claims of success'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: Low

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ! Criterion 10 - Commercial Success \rightarrow 'petitioner did not link commercial success to own work'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- ! Criterion 10 Commercial Success → 'no industry awards, rankings, or recognitions provided'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 10 Commercial Success → 'financial data is self-reported without verification'
- L Found in։ I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ☐ Criterion 10 Commercial Success → 'sales figures not provided or unverifiable'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Include the missing data or supporting letter.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 10 Commercial Success → 'no reviews or ratings provided to support success claims'

- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Criterion 10 Commercial Success → 'criterion not applicable to petitioner's occupational field'
- Լ Found in։ I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- | Criterion 10 Commercial Success \rightarrow 'no evidence of commercial impact in arts or entertainment submitted'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
 - Common Denials → 'no evidence'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'not sufficient'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low

- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Common Denials → 'insufficient documentation'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Common Denials → 'not significant'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Common Denials → 'does not establish'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: High

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Common Denials → 'failed to demonstrate'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

- Common Denials → 'not significant'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Common Denials → 'not substantial'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: Low

L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Common Denials → 'does not establish'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: High

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Common Denials → 'insufficient documentation'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Common Denials → 'evaluation of this criterion was reserved since the petitioner failed to meet three total criteria'

Լ Found in։ I

- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Common Denials → 'petitioner has not demonstrated satisfaction of at least three criteria'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
 - Common Denials → 'no evidence presented to support regulatory requirements'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Common Denials \rightarrow 'documentation submitted is insufficient to meet the evidentiary threshold'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
 - Common Denials → 'petition lacks support from independent or objective sources'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- 4 Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

- Common Denials → 'submitted evidence is vague, conclusory, or self-generated'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Medium
- L Suggestion: Clarify claims with specific examples and data.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'no third-party validation of claimed achievements'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Common Denials → 'petitioner's role appears routine and not distinguished'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'the record does not establish eligibility under the required

classification'

- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'claims are not supported by verifiable documentation'

- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Common Denials → 'evaluation of this criterion was reserved due to failure to meet overall eligibility'
- Լ Found in։ I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- \downarrow Common Denials \rightarrow 'the petitioner failed to respond adequately to the request for evidence'
- ե Found in։ I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- | Common Denials → 'financial documentation does not demonstrate ability to pay the proffered wage'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Common Denials → 'petitioner has not demonstrated satisfaction of at least three criteria'
- L Found in: I

- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Common Denials → 'documentation is insufficient to meet evidentiary threshold'

- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'claims are unsupported by objective, independent sources'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'no third-party validation of achievements'
- L Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

Lommon Denials → 'evidence submitted does not meet plain language requirements of the regulation'

- L Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! Common Denials → 'no final merits determination required due to failure in initial criteria'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'record does not support sustained national or international acclaim'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- $\frac{1}{2}$ Common Denials \rightarrow 'petitioner does not appear to be among the small percentage at the top of their field'
- Լ Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- 4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- Common Denials → 'materials submitted lack credibility or professional recognition'
- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- Common Denials → 'petition denied due to failure to meet evidentiary burden' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. critical_role → 'no documentation of critical role' L Found in: I L Severity: Low L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. critical_role → 'did not establish' Լ Found in: I L Severity: Low Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. 🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - critical_role → 'limited evidence' L Found in: I L Severity: Medium Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence. Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised. - ! critical_role → 'not in a distinguished organization' L Found in: I L Severity: Low

- L Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

critical_role → 'insufficient evidence'

L Found in: I

L Severity: High

L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

! critical_role → 'the petitioner did not show'

Լ Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

critical_role → 'no documentation of critical role'

لم Found in: I

L Severity: Low

Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

critical_role → 'not in a distinguished organization'

L Found in: I

L Severity: Low

4. Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

critical_role → 'insufficient evidence'

- لم Found in: I
- L Severity: High
- L Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.
- ! critical_role → 'the petitioner did not show'
- ل Found in: I
- L Severity: Low
- Ly Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.
- Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.