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# Executive Summary

This report summarizes red flags detected in the petition draft, classified under EB-1A criteria. It includes excerpt patterns, severity ratings, improvement suggestions, and simulated reviewer notes.
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## Section: Introduction

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'financial data is self-reported without verification'

↳ Found in: INA

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'financial documentation does not demonstrate ability to pay the proffered wage'

↳ Found in: INA

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'no final merits determination required due to failure in initial criteria'

↳ Found in: INA

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

## Section: Award

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'no evidence of a nationally or internationally recognized award'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award lacks documentation of selection criteria'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award not shown to be competitive or prestigious'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award is not exclusive or merit-based'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award appears local or non-industry specific'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'petitioner did not demonstrate how award signifies acclaim'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'no evidence award is recognized by peers in the field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'no documentation showing awards are nationally or internationally recognized'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'educational certifications submitted are not considered prizes for excellence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award documentation lacks detail on scope and prestige'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'has not demonstrated that the award was nationally recognized'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'submitted certificates do not indicate competitive selection process'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'awards are generic recognitions without merit-based evaluation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Medium

↳ Suggestion: Use field-specific metrics or endorsements to boost credibility.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'no documentation of prestigious or merit-based awards submitted'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'educational credentials not recognized as prizes for excellence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'petition does not cite competitive recognition for professional excellence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'insufficient evidence about selection criteria for the award'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'unclear whether other candidates were considered'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Medium

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'award not corroborated with independent validation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'Awards were regional or university-level, not recognized nationally or internationally.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'Certificates related to academic presentations, not fitness training.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'No proof that awards were based on excellence in the claimed field of endeavor.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 1 - Awards → 'Recognition limited to one institution; lacked broader acclaim.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'membership open to general public'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no indication of selective or merit-based admission'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'fails to demonstrate recognition of outstanding achievements'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'membership does not require prior accomplishments'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'organization’s criteria not provided or vague'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Include the missing data or supporting letter.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'petitioner did not show how membership reflects distinction in the field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'organization's by laws do not require professional achievements for admission'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no evidence the membership was selective'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'membership does not reflect recognition by experts in the field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no selective or achievement-based memberships shown'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no evidence that associations require outstanding accomplishments for entry'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'not addressed by petitioner'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'no documentation submitted to show merit-based membership'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 2 - Memberships → 'No evidence submitted of membership in prestigious associations requiring outstanding achievement.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'media coverage is promotional in nature'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'articles do not focus on the petitioner'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'no evidence of national or international circulation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'articles not from independent or reputable sources'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'coverage lacks critical review or analysis'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'no third-party publications submitted'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'petitioner failed to demonstrate media was about their work'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'articles authored by petitioner are not independent evaluations'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'submitted materials are self-published or promotional'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'coverage lacks objectivity and professional analysis'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'articles authored by petitioner are not independent evaluations'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'submitted materials are self-published or promotional'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'coverage lacks objectivity and professional analysis'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'This criterion was claimed but not evaluated due to failure to meet the threshold of three criteria.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 3 - Publications About the Beneficiary → 'Insufficient evidence provided regarding independent media coverage or acclaim.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'no documentation of judging activities'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'invitations to judge not shown to be based on professional merit'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'judging occurred outside the petitioner's field of expertise'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'events not linked to recognized professional organizations'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'judging role not clearly described or verified'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'no evidence of evaluating work of others in the field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'judging invitations not supported by credible organizations'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'participation appears ceremonial or honorary'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'no proof of selection to judge based on expertise'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'petitioner failed to demonstrate criteria for selection as judge'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 4 - Judging → 'No documentation of judging work in professional competitions or scholarly panels related to fitness.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'contributions not widely cited or adopted'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'letters of support are conclusory and lack specific impact'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'no peer-reviewed studies or third-party endorsements provided'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'contributions not demonstrably influential beyond immediate team'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'letters lack detail or come from biased sources'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'claims are vague or unsupported by objective evidence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Medium

↳ Suggestion: Clarify claims with specific examples and data.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'no proof of major significance in the field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'no publications, patents, or measurable impact tied to contributions'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'contributions are not distinguishable from normal professional work'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'evidence lacks third-party validation of contribution'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'contributions not evaluated due to failure to meet threshold criteria'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'letters of support are conclusory and lack specific impact'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'Methodology praised by clients but lacked evidence of impact beyond personal sessions.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'Reference letters vague on what made his methods 'innovative' or how they were novel.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Medium

↳ Suggestion: Clarify claims with specific examples and data.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'No demonstration of adoption by wider fitness or health industry.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'Claimed use in universities lacked documentation or third-party verification.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 5 - Original Contributions → 'Medical applications of methodology questionable due to lack of credentials.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no scholarly publications provided'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'articles not published in peer-reviewed journals'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no evidence of impact or recognition in the field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no citation metrics or readership figures submitted'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'publications not shown to be influential'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'authorship appears unrelated to petitioner’s claimed area of expertise'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no evidence that books were published in professional or major media'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'did not submit documentation of authorship'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'authorship was not demonstrated'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'no verification of journal reputation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'articles not indexed in academic databases'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'authorship appears incidental to job duties'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'Claimed but reserved from review due to failure to meet the threshold of three criteria.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 6 - Authorship → 'Published work appeared in student-focused journals, not major trade or academic publications.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'no documentation of exhibitions or showcases'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'exhibits not shown to be national or international in scope'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'no evidence of public recognition'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'venue or event lacks reputation in the field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'exhibition appears self-organized or not professionally curated'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'no third-party acknowledgment of artistic merit'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'no curator statement or professional review of exhibition'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'showcases were private or non-public in nature'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'event does not appear in professional calendars or industry listings'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'No clear explanation of how work was 'displayed' beyond competition participation.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 7 - Exhibitions → 'Presentations at academic conferences were unrelated or tangential to field of endeavor.'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'titles alone do not establish critical role'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'letters do not explain impact or significance'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'no independent evidence of leadership'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'no organizational metrics showing influence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'critical role not tied to major projects or recognized institutions'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'petitioner failed to show that their role was essential to success'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'duties appear routine or administrative'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'project outcomes not directly tied to petitioner’s actions'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'role described as supportive, not leading'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 8 - Leading/Critical Role → 'organizations not shown to be distinguished or influential'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'no salary data provided'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'no comparison to industry standards'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'compensation not shown to be above peers'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'petitioner failed to submit credible wage surveys'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'income data not verified by third-party sources'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'earnings not adjusted for geography or job level'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'income derived from freelance work or inconsistent sources'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'submitted pay stubs do not show comparative earnings'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'salary appears within average range for region and profession'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'no comparative wage data provided'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 9 - High Salary → 'no indication that compensation exceeds norms within civil engineering field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'did not provide evidence of commercial impact of work'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'failed to demonstrate commercial success in the performing arts'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'no sales or revenue figures submitted'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'no third-party verification of commercial impact'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'letters do not support claims of success'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'petitioner did not link commercial success to own work'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'no industry awards, rankings, or recognitions provided'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'financial data is self-reported without verification'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'sales figures not provided or unverifiable'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Include the missing data or supporting letter.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'media coverage does not mention commercial impact'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'no reviews or ratings provided to support success claims'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'criterion not applicable to petitioner’s occupational field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Criterion 10 - Commercial Success → 'no evidence of commercial impact in arts or entertainment submitted'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'no evidence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'not sufficient'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'insufficient documentation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'not significant'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'does not establish'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'failed to demonstrate'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'not significant'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'not substantial'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'does not establish'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'insufficient documentation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'evaluation of this criterion was reserved since the petitioner failed to meet three total criteria'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'petitioner has not demonstrated satisfaction of at least three criteria'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'no evidence presented to support regulatory requirements'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Add third-party documentation or supporting materials.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'documentation submitted is insufficient to meet the evidentiary threshold'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'petition lacks support from independent or objective sources'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'submitted evidence is vague, conclusory, or self-generated'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Medium

↳ Suggestion: Clarify claims with specific examples and data.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'no third-party validation of claimed achievements'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'petitioner's role appears routine and not distinguished'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'the record does not establish eligibility under the required classification'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'claims are not supported by verifiable documentation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'evaluation of this criterion was reserved due to failure to meet overall eligibility'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'the petitioner failed to respond adequately to the request for evidence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Re-evaluate this section with the USCIS criteria checklist.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'financial documentation does not demonstrate ability to pay the proffered wage'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'petitioner has not demonstrated satisfaction of at least three criteria'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'documentation is insufficient to meet evidentiary threshold'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'claims are unsupported by objective, independent sources'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'no third-party validation of achievements'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'evidence submitted does not meet plain language requirements of the regulation'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'no final merits determination required due to failure in initial criteria'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'record does not support sustained national or international acclaim'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'petitioner does not appear to be among the small percentage at the top of their field'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'materials submitted lack credibility or professional recognition'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Submit corroborating evidence from independent sources.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ Common Denials → 'petition denied due to failure to meet evidentiary burden'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'no documentation of critical role'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'did not establish'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'limited evidence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Medium

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'not in a distinguished organization'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'insufficient evidence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'the petitioner did not show'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'no documentation of critical role'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'not in a distinguished organization'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'insufficient evidence'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: High

↳ Suggestion: Provide more detailed and verifiable documentation.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.

- ❗ critical\_role → 'the petitioner did not show'

↳ Found in: I

↳ Severity: Low

↳ Suggestion: Consider strengthening this section with clearer and more credible evidence.

🧠 Reviewer Note: This issue reflects a common reason USCIS may deny a case. Additional evidence or clarification is strongly advised.