

# Studies of granularity of a hadronic calorimeter for tens-of-TeV jets at a 100 TeV $pp$ collider

S.V. Chekanov<sup>a</sup>, M. Beydler<sup>a</sup>, A.V. Kotwal<sup>b,c</sup>, J. Proudfoot<sup>a</sup>, S. Sen<sup>b</sup>, N.V. Tran<sup>c</sup>,  
S.-S. Yu<sup>e</sup>, Chih-Hsiang Yeh<sup>e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> *HEP Division, Argonne National Laboratory, 9700 S. Cass Avenue, Argonne, IL 60439, USA.*

<sup>b</sup> *Department of Physics, Duke University, USA*

<sup>c</sup> *Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory*

<sup>d</sup> *Department of Physics, Michigan State University, 220 Trowbridge Road, East Lansing, MI 48824*

<sup>e</sup> *Department of Physics, National Central University, Chung-Li, Taoyuan City 32001, Taiwan*

---

## Abstract

Texts

*Keywords:* multi-TeV physics,  $pp$  collider, future hadron colliders, FCC, SppC

---

## 1. Introduction

Particle collisions at energies beyond those attained at the LHC will lead to many challenges for detector technologies. Future experiments, such as high-energy LHC (HE-LHC), future circular  $pp$  colliders of the European initiative, FCC-hh [?] and the Chinese initiative, SppC [?].

The studies of this paper are based on full Geant4 simulation and reconstruction as implemented in the detector described in [?]. This study included the discussion of the impact of the calorimeter granularity on the shape of hadronic showers in terms of the calorimeter hits for two particles separated by some angle. It was concluded that HCAL granularity is essential in resolving two close-by particles for energies above 100 GeV. This paper makes a new step towards understanding of this problem using high-level physics quantities used in physics studies.


## 2. Studies of effective jet radius

The effective radius is the average of the energy weighted radial distance in  $\eta - \phi$  space of jet constituents. Recently, it has been studied for multi-TeV jets in Ref.[?].


New we will study jet splitting the effect of granularity on jet splitting scales. A jet  $k_T$  splitting scale [?] is defined as a distance measure used to form jets by the  $k_T$  recombination algorithm [? ?]. This has been studied by ATLAS [?], and more

---


*Email addresses:* chekanov@anl.gov (S.V. Chekanov), mmbeydler@gmail.com (M. Beydler), ashutosh.kotwal@duke.edu (A.V. Kotwal), proudfoot@anl.gov (J. Proudfoot), sourav.sen@duke.edu (S. Sen), ntran@fnal.gov (N.V. Tran), syu@cern.ch (S.-S. Yu), jwzuzelski18@gmail.com (Chih-Hsiang Yeh)




(a) 5 TeV



(b) 10 TeV



(c) 20 TeV



(d) 40 TeV

Figure 1: Jet effective radius for different jet transverse moment and HCAL granularity.




Figure 2: Jet splitting scale for different jet transverse moment and HCAL granularity.

recently in the context of 100 TeV physics [? ]. The distribution of the splitting scale  $\sqrt{d_{12}} = \min(p_T^1, p_T^2) \times \delta R_{12}$  [? ] at the final stage of the  $k_T$  clustering, where two subjets are merged into the final one, is shown in Fig. 2.

### 3. Studies of signal and background separation in cluster

In the Future detector ,when energy of collision is upper, pileup is bigger and bigger, and the most important thing is that can separate signal and background efficiently. In this section, we want to study in different variables and see wether those can efficiently separate the signal and background in different detector size in cluster.

In the Figure 3 to 5, there are three variables about  $c2b1$  ,  $\tau_{21}$ , and  $\tau_{32}$  signal efficiency versus background rejection rate plots. The criteria of separation efficiency is





Figure 3: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using  $c2b1$ : We can see this variable is the best variable to separate the signal and background, because all lines are higher than other two variables (Figure 4 and Figure 5). But in the different size of separation efficiency in this variable, we can't see more improvement in, as you can see, all lines nearly merge together in all energy, it means all detector size has the similar separation efficiency.

that, if the point is higher, it means it can separate the signal and background more efficiently. Because at the same signal efficiency of different detector size, higher point means lower background efficiency, and that means it can separate well, and it will have the higher separation efficiency


We can see  $c2b1$  is the best way to separation, but the detector size can't improve the separation efficiency very well.  $\tau_{21}$  can't improve the separation in different detector size at 10, 20, 40 TeV, and  $\tau_{32}$  has the good pattern to follow that the smaller detector size, the better the separation efficiency. In summary,  $c2b1$  and  $\tau_{32}$  are two variables that can suit for the higher energy for the future analysis.

## Acknowledgements


This research was performed using resources provided by the Open Science Grid, which is supported by the National Science Foundation and the U.S. Department of Energy's Office of Science. We gratefully acknowledge the computing resources provided




(a) 5 TeV



(b) 10 TeV




(c) 20 TeV




(d) 40 TeV


Figure 4: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using  $\tau_{21}$ : we can see at 5 TeV in smallest detector size, it can separate signal and background well. But at 10TeV, all lines nearly merge together, they have similar separation efficiency. In 20TeV and 40TeV, we can see that it won't improve the separation efficiency. Specially, bigger detector size has the higher separation efficiency than smaller detector size.




(a) 5 TeV



(b) 10 TeV



(c) 20 TeV



(d) 40 TeV

Figure 5: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using  $\tau_{32}$ : we can see that it follows the role which smaller detector size have the bigger separation efficiency in all energy.

on Blues, a high-performance computing cluster operated by the Laboratory Computing Resource Center at Argonne National Laboratory. Argonne National Laboratory's work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Science under contract DE-AC02-06CH11357. The Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory (Fermilab) is operated by Fermi Research Alliance, LLC under Contract No. DE-AC02-07CH11359 with the United States Department of Energy.

## References