

1. Studies of signal and background separation using Mann-Whitney U test and some new methods







Figure 1: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40TeV energy collision for c2b1 in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20, 5×5, and 1×1(cm×cm) are shown here.




(a) 5 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method



(b) 10 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method



(c) 20 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method



(d) 40 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method

Figure 2: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using c2b1. The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.







Figure 3: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40TeV energy collision for  $\tau_{21}$  in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20x20, 5x5, and 1x1(cm x cm) are shown here.




(a) 5 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method



(b) 10 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method



(c) 20 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method



(d) 40 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method

Figure 4: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using  $\tau_{21}$ . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.








Figure 5: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40TeV energy collision for  $\tau_{32}$  in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20 $\times$ 20, 5 $\times$ 5, and 1 $\times$ 1(cm $\times$ cm) are shown here.



(a) 5 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method (b) 10 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method



(c) 20 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method (d) 40 TeV using Rawhit 0.5GeV cut method with New2 no cut Method

Figure 6: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using  $\tau_{32}$ . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.