1.	Studies of signal and background separation using Mann-Whitney U test and some new methods




Figure 1: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5,10,20,40 TeV energy collision for $c_2^{(1)}$ in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1\times1(\text{cm}\times\text{cm})$ are shown here.




Figure 2: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using c2b1. The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40 TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 3: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40TeV energy collision for c2b1 in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1\times1(\text{cm}\times\text{cm})$ are shown here.




Figure 4: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using c2b1. The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40 TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 5: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40TeV energy collision for c2b1 in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1\times1(\text{cm}\times\text{cm})$ are shown here.




Figure 6: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using c2b1The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 7: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5,10,20,40 TeV energy collision for τ_{21} in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1\times1(\text{cm}\times\text{cm})$ are shown here.




Figure 8: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using τ_{21} . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 9: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40 TeV energy collision for τ_{21} in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1 \times 1 \text{(cm} \times \text{cm)}$ are shown here.




Figure 10: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using τ_{21} . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 11: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40TeV energy collision for τ_{21} in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1\times1(\text{cm}\times\text{cm})$ are shown here.




Figure 12: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using τ_{21} . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 13: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5,10,20,40 TeV energy collision for τ_{32} in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1\times1(\text{cm}\times\text{cm})$ are shown here.




Figure 14: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using τ_{32} . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 15: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40 TeV energy collision for τ_{32} in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and 1×1 (cm×cm) are shown here.




Figure 16: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using τ_{32} . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 17: Distributions of Mann-Whitney value U in 5, 10, 20, 40 TeV energy collision for τ_{32} in different detector sizes. Cell Size in 20×20 , 5×5 , and $1\times1(\text{cm}\times\text{cm})$ are shown here.




Figure 18: Signal efficiency versus background rejection rate using τ_{32} . The energies of collision at (a)5, (b)10, (c)20, (d)40TeV are shown here. In each picture, the three ROC curves correspond to different detector sizes.




Figure 19: The Mann-Whitney U values for τ_{21} , τ_{32} and $c_2^{(1)}$ reconstructed from calorimeter clusters at different collision energies correspond to different detector sizes in cluster. The energies of collision at 5, 10, 20, 40, 20, 40 TeV are shown in each figure.




Figure 20: The Mann-Whitney U values for τ_{21} , τ_{32} and $c_2^{(1)}$ reconstructed from calorimeter hit at 05GeV cut at different collision energies correspond to different detector sizes in rawhit cut at 05GeV. The energies of collision at 5, 10, 20, 40, 20, 40TeV are shown in each figure.




Figure 21: The Mann-Whitney U values for τ_{21} , τ_{32} and $c_2^{(1)}$ reconstructed from calorimeter hit at 0.25GeV cut at different collision energies correspond to different detector sizes in cluster. The energies of collision at 5, 10, 20, 40, 20, 40TeV are shown in each figure.