

A Hybrid Algorithm for the Partition Coloring Problem

DIPLOMARBEIT

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Diplom-Ingenieurin

im Rahmen des Studiums

Computational Intelligence

eingereicht von

Gilbert Fritz

Matrikelnummer 0827276

an der
Fakultät für Informatik der Technischen Universität Wien

Betreuung: Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Günther Raidl
Mitwirkung: Univ.Ass. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Dr. Bin Hu

Wien, 21.Oct.2013

(Unterschrift Verfasserin)

(Unterschrift Betreuung)

Erklärung zur Verfassung der Arbeit

Gilbert Fritz
Schlosshofer Straße 49/18

Hiermit erkläre ich, dass ich diese Arbeit selbständig verfasst habe, dass ich die verwendeten Quellen und Hilfsmittel vollständig angegeben habe und dass ich die Stellen der Arbeit - einschließlich Tabellen, Karten und Abbildungen -, die anderen Werken oder dem Internet im Wortlaut oder dem Sinn nach entnommen sind, auf jeden Fall unter Angabe der Quelle als Entlehnung kenntlich gemacht habe.

(Ort, Datum)

(Unterschrift Verfasserin)

Danksagung

Ich danke meinen Betreuern, ao. Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Günther Raidl und Univ.Ass. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Bin Hu für ihre Unterstützung bei der Erstellung dieser Arbeit durch ihr konstruktives Feedback und ihre Ideen, welche es mir ermöglicht haben, immer neue Aspekte der Problemstellung zu erkennen.

Besonderer Dank gilt meinen Eltern, Franz und Justine Fritz, sowie meiner Partnerin Odnoo und meinen Freunden für Ihre Unterstützung.

Abstract

Todo

Kurzfassung

Todo

Contents

1 Preliminaries	1
1.1 Optimization Problems and Complexity	1
1.2 Graph Theory Definitions	2
1.3 Metaheuristics	5
Bibliography	7

Preliminaries

This chapter introduces theoretical fundamentals like definitions, terms and methods, that are necessary for analysing the Partition Coloring Problem. The presented notations will be used consistently in the thesis.

1.1 Optimization Problems and Complexity

Some definition and explanations of optimization problems and complexity are given in this section. For a more detailed insight the reader is referred to [6, 8, 14]. In general an optimization problem is the problem of finding best solution among all feasible solutions. Depending on whether the variables are continuous or discrete, the optimization problem is said to be a continuous optimization problem or a combinatorial optimization problem (COP). Since the PCP belongs to the latter category, this thesis will not cover further explanations of continuous optimization problems. For information on that topic, the reader is referred to [13].

Definition 1 (Combinatorial Optimization Problem) According to [15], a Combinatorial Optimization Problem P is defined as $P = (S, f)$

- A set of variables with their respective domains $x_1 \in D_1, x_2 \in D_2, \dots, x_n \in D_n$
- Constraints among the variables (e.g. $x_1 \neq x_2$ or $\sum_{i=0 \dots n} x_i \leq C \in D_1 \cap D_2 \cap \dots \cap D_n$)
- The fitness or objective function $f : D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots \times D_n \rightarrow R$ that evaluates each element in S
- A set S of all feasible solutions: $S = \{(x_1 = v_1, x_2 = v_2 \dots x_n = v_n) \mid \forall i \in \{0, \dots, n\}, v_i \in D_i, s \text{ satisfies all constraints} \}$

The goal is to find an element $s_{opt} \in S : \nexists s' \in S \mid f(s') > f(s_{opt})$ for a maximization problem and $f(s) < f(s_{opt})$ for a minimization problem.

For each COP P there exists a corresponding decision problem D , i.e. a problem whose output is either *YES* or *NO*. The complexity of the D determines the complexity of P .

Definition 2 (Decision Problem) *The decision problem D for a Combinatorial Optimization Problem P asks if, for a given solution $s \in S$, there exists a solution $s' \in S$, such that $f(s')$ is better than $f(s)$: for a minimization problem this means $f(s') < f(s)$ and for a maximization problem $f(s) > f(s')$.*

An important issue that comes up when considering combinatorial optimization problems is the classification of difficult problems [14]. To categorize problems into easy and difficult ones, the class of problems that are solvable in polynomial time by a deterministic touring machine and problems that are solvable in polynomial time by a nondeterministic touring machine are considered. We do not want to formalize the characterization of the classes \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{NP} via Turing machines and prefer to outline the characteristics and notions connected with these classes at a more intuitive level. This leads to a straightforward definition to characterize problems that belong to \mathcal{P} .

Definition 3 (Complexity class \mathcal{P}) *A problem is in \mathcal{P} iff it can be solved by an algorithm in polynomial time.*

Definition 4 (Complexity class \mathcal{NP}) *A decision problem is in \mathcal{NP} iff any given solution of the problem can be verified in polynomial time.*

Definition 5 (\mathcal{NP} -optimization Problem) *A COP is a \mathcal{NP} -optimization problem (NPO) if the corresponding decision problem is in \mathcal{NP} .*

Definition 6 (\mathcal{NP} -hard problems) *A problem is called \mathcal{NP} -hard iff it is at least as difficult as any problem in \mathcal{NP} , i.e., each problem in \mathcal{NP} can be reduced to it.*

Definition 7 (\mathcal{NP} -complete problems) *A problem is \mathcal{NP} -complete iff it is \mathcal{NP} -hard and in \mathcal{NP} .*

1.2 Graph Theory Definitions

Definition 8 (Graph) *A graph is a tuple $G = (V, E)$, where V denotes the set of nodes and $E \subseteq V \times V$ denotes the set of edges. An edge from node i to j is denoted by $\{i, j\}$. We call a graph simple, if it does not contain multiple edges, i.e. more than one edge between the same nodes, or loops, i.e. edges $\{i, i\}$.*

Definition 9 (Directed graph) *A directed graph or digraph is a tuple $D = (V, A)$, where V denotes the set of nodes and $A \subseteq V \times V$ denotes the set of arcs or directed edges. An arc from node i to j is denoted by (i, j) . We call a directed graph simple, if it does not contain multiple arcs, i.e. more than one arc between the same nodes, or loops, i.e. arcs (i, i) .*

COPYPASTE: When in the following it is clear from the context if we mean directed or undirected graphs or if it makes no difference, we will for simplicity just speak of graphs. Furthermore we consider only simple graphs, unless explicitly stated otherwise.

Definition 10 (Directed graph) Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, $G' = (V', E')$ is called a subgraph if $V' \subseteq V$, $E' \subseteq E$ and $E' \subseteq V' \times V'$. If $V' = V$ we call G a spanning subgraph or factor.

Definition 11 (Deletion of a node) Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, $G - v = (V \setminus v, E \setminus \{e \mid v \in e\})$.

Definition 12 (Adjacency and incidence) Two nodes x and y are called adjacent, if they share an edge e , i.e. $\exists e = \{x, y\} \in E$. Two edges e and f are called adjacent, if they share a node x , i.e. $e \cap f = x$. A node v is called incident to an edge e , if $v \in e$.

Definition 13 (Node degree) The degree of a node v in an undirected graph G , denoted by $d(v)$, is the number of edges, that are incident to the node v , i.e. in E there exists an edge $\{v, x\}$. The number of outgoing arcs (v, x) from a node v in a directed graph D is called out-degree and is denoted by $d^+(v)$, the number of ingoing arcs (x, v) to a node v is called in-degree and is denoted by $d^-(v)$.

Lemma 1 (Handshaking Lemma)

$$\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2|E|$$

Proof. As every edge $\{i, j\}$ is incident to exactly two nodes, namely i and j , it is counted one time at $d(i)$ and one time at $d(j)$. So the sum over all node degrees is exactly two times the number of edges. \square

Corollary 1 (Directed graph) The number of nodes with odd node degree is even.

Proof. This immediately follows from the handshaking lemma. \square

Lemma 2

$$\sum_{v \in V} d^+(v) = \sum_{v \in V} d^-(v) = 2|A|$$

Proof. As every arc (i, j) has exactly one "in-node" and one "out-node", it follows, that the sum of all out-degrees equals the sum of all in-degrees and hence the number of arcs. \square

Definition 14 (Maximum and minimum node degree) $\Delta(G) = \max\{d(v) \mid v \in V\}$ denotes the maximum node degree in a graph. $\delta(G) = \min\{d(v) \mid v \in V\}$ denotes the minimum node degree in a graph.

Definition 15 (Neighborhood of a node) The neighborhood of a node $v \in V$ is denoted by $N(v) = \{x \mid \{v, x\} \in E\}$. In the directed case the neighborhood consists of all nodes that are reachable from v , i.e. $N(v) = \{x \mid (v, x) \in A\}$.

Definition 16 (Walk) A sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a walk, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $e_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$.

Definition 17 (Directed walk) A sequence $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a directed walk, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $a_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \in A$.

Definition 18 (Trail) A sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a trail, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $e_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$ and all e_i are distinct.

Definition 19 (Directed Trail) A sequence $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a directed trail, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $a_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \in A$ and all a_i are distinct.

Definition 20 (Path) A sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a path, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $e_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$ and all v_i are distinct.

Definition 21 (Directed path) A sequence $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a directed path, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $a_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \in A$ and all v_i are distinct.

COPYPAST

When in the following it is clear from the context if we mean directed or undirected walks/trails/paths or if it makes no difference, we will for simplicity just speak of walks/trails/paths.

Definition 22 (Length of a path) Given a path $P = v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, v_n = P(v_0, v_n)$, the length is the number of edges and denoted by $l(P) = n$, analogously for the directed case.

Definition 23 (Cycle) A cycle is a path, where $v_0 = v_n$.

Definition 24 (Acyclic graph) A graph is called acyclic if it does not contain a cycle.

Theorem 1 Let $W = W(v_0, v_n)$ be a walk, then there is a subsequence $P = P(v_0, v_n) \subseteq W(v_0, v_n)$ such that P is a path.

Proof. We know that for a path holds $v_i = v_j \forall i < j$. Suppose for W holds that $v_i = v_j$ for arbitrary $i < j$. Then $W = v_0, e_1, v_1, \dots, v_i, e_{j+1}, v_{j+1}, \dots, v_n$ is a walk with $i - j$ less edges and W is a subsequence of W . Applying this until $\forall i, j : v_i = v_j$ yields a path from v_0 to v_n . This of course also holds for the directed case. \square

From Theorem 1 follows that dealing with paths suffices when we want to draw conclusions about a connection between two nodes.

Definition 25 (Network) A network $N = (G, c)$ consists of a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a cost function $c : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \geq 0$, which assigns each edge e a nonnegative value c_e . Networks are also called weighted graphs.

Definition 26 (Costs of a graph) *The cost c_G of a graph G is the sum of its edge costs, i.e. $c_G = \sum_{e \in E} c_e$.*

Definition 27 (Coloring) *A coloring is a mapping $v \rightarrow c_v \mid c_v \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in V$. The coloring is feasible, iff $\{x, y\} \in E \mid c_x \neq c_y, \forall x \in V, \forall y \in V$*

Definition 28 (chromatic number) *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. We state that c is a (proper) k -coloring of G if all the vertices in V are colored using k colors such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. The chromatic number is defined as the minimum k for which there exists a (proper) k -coloring of G .*

1.3 Metaheuristics

Metaheuristics for solving hard combinatorial optimization problems (COPs) are typically divided into two groups, local search based metaheuristics (e. g. Variable Neighborhood Search) and population based metaheuristics (e. g. evolutionary algorithms). The latter will not be considered here. Before moving to basic local search, some terms need to be defined [1]. As this thesis does consider minimization problems only, minimum and optimum refer to the same term.

Bibliography

- [1] R. Andersen. Finding large and small dense subgraphs. *CoRR*, 0707032, 2007.
- [2] M. Templ B. Meindl. Analysis of commercial and free and open source solvers for linear optimization problems. 2012.
- [3] M. Charikar. Greedy approximation algorithms for finding dense components in a graph. *APPROX*, (84-95), 2000.
- [4] C.Volko. Selective graph coloring problem. 2012.
- [5] Yuri Frota, Nelson Maculan, Thiago F. Noronha, and Celso C. Ribeiro. A branch-and-cut algorithm for the partition coloring problem. *Networks*, 55(3):194–204, 2010.
- [6] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of np-completeness. *Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences*, 1, 1979.
- [7] S.Pirkwieser G.Fritz, G.Raidl. Heuristic methods for the hop constrained survivable network design problem. 2011.
- [8] E. Lawler. Combinatorial optimization: Networks and matroids. 2002.
- [9] E.L. Lawler. Combinatorial optimization: Networks and matroids. 1976.
- [10] Guangzhi Li and Rahul Simha. The partition coloring problem and its application to wavelength routing and assignment. In *1st Workshop on Optical Networks*, 2000.
- [11] B.Hu M.Leitner, G.Raidl. Solving two generalized network design problems with exact and heuristic methods. 2006.
- [12] Thiago F. Noronha and Celso C. Ribeiro. Routing and wavelength assignment by partition colouring. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 171(3):797–810, 2006.
- [13] H.Romeijn P. Pardalos. Handbook of global optimization. 2, 2002.
- [14] C. M. Papadimitriou. Computational complexity. 1, 1994.
- [15] S.Pirkwieser P.Gebhard, G.Raidl. The vehicle routing problem with compartments based on solutions of lp-relaxations. 2012.

- [16] Petrica C. Pop, Bin Hu, and Günther R. Raidl. A memetic algorithm for the partition graph coloring problem. In *Extended Abstracts of the 14th International Conference on Computer Aided Systems Theory*, pages 167–169, Gran Canaria, Spain, 2013.
- [17] B. Saha S. Khuller. On finding dense subgraphs. 2009.
- [18] G. Kortsarz U. Feige and D. Peleg. The dense k-subgraph problem. *Algorithmica*, 29(410-421), 1997.
- [19] H. Tamaki T. Tokuyama Y. Asahiro, K. Iwama. Greedily finding a dense subgraph. *Journal of Algorithms*, 34, 2000.
- [20] K. Iwama Y. Asahiro, R. Hassin. Complexity of finding dense subgraphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 121(15–26), 2002.