FAKULTÄT
FÜR !NFORMATIK
Faculty of Informatics

A Hybrid Algorithm for the Partition Coloring Problem

DIPLOMARBEIT

zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades

Diplom-Ingenieurin

im Rahmen des Studiums

Computational Intelligence

eingereicht von

Gilbert Fritz
Matrikelnummer 0827276

an der
Fakultät für Informatik der Technischen Universität Wien

Betreuung: Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Günther Raidl
Mitwirkung: Univ.Ass. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Dr. Bin Hu

Wien, 21.Oct.2013

(Unterschrift Verfasserin) (Unterschrift Betreuung)

Erklärung zur Verfassung der Arbeit

Gilbert Fritz
Schlosshofer Straße 49/18

Hiermit erkläre ich, dass ich diese Arbeit selbständig verfasst habe, dass ich die verwendeten Quellen und Hilfsmittel vollständig angegeben habe und dass ich die Stellen der Arbeit - einschließlich Tabellen, Karten und Abbildungen -, die anderen Werken oder dem Internet im Wortlaut oder dem Sinn nach entnommen sind, auf jeden Fall unter Angabe der Quelle als Entlehnung kenntlich gemacht habe.

(Ort, Datum) (Unterschrift Verfasserin)

Danksagung

Ich danke meinen Betreuern, ao. Univ.-Prof. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Günther Raidl und Univ.Ass. Dipl.-Ing. Dr.techn. Bin Hu für ihre Unterstützung bei der Erstellung dieser Arbeit durch ihr konstruktives Feedback und ihre Ideen, welche es mir ermöglicht haben, immer neue Aspekte der Problemstellung zu erkennen.

Besonderer Dank gilt meinen Eltern, Franz und Justine Fritz, sowie meiner Partnerin Odnoo und meinen Freunden für Ihre Unterstützung.

Abstract

Todo

Kurzfassung

Todo

Contents

CHAPTER 1

Preliminaries

This chapter introduces theoretical fundamentals like definitions, terms and methods, that are necessary for analysing the Partition Coloring Problem. The presented notations will be used consistently in this thesis.

1.1 Optimization Problems and Complexity

Since this thesis deals with an optimization problem and the analysis of a solution to it needs to consider some complexity theory is an important field of computer science Some definition and explainations of optimization problems and complexity are given in this section. For a more detailed insight the reader is refered to [4, 7, 10].
In general an optimization problem is the problem of finding the best solution among all feasible solutions. Depending on weather the variables are continuous or discrete, the optimization problem is said to be a continuous optimization problem or a combinatorial optimization problem (COP). Since the PCP belongs to the latter category, this thesis will not cover further explainations on continuous optimization problems. For information on that topic, the reader is refered to [6, 9]. Most of the following the definitions have been introduced in [1, 3].

Definition 1 (Combinatorial Optimization Problem) *A Combinatorial Optimization Problem P is defined as $P = (S, f)$*

- *A set of variables $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots x_n\}$*
- *variable domains $D_1, \dots, D_n$*
- *constraints among the variables*
- *an objective function $f : D_1 \times D_2 \times \dots D_n \rightarrow R$ that evaluates each element in S*

The set of all feasible assignments is $S = \{s = \{(x_1, v_1), (x_2, v_2), \dots (x_n, v_n)\}) \mid v_i \in D_i$ *satisfies all the constraints* $\}$

For each COP P there exists a corresponding decision problem D, i.e. a problem whose output is either *YES* or *NO*. The complexity of D determines the complexity of P.

Definition 2 (Decision Problem) *The decision problem D for a Combinatorial Optimization Problem P asks if, for a given solution $s \in S$, there exists a solution $s' \in S$, such that $f(s')$ is better than $f(s)$: for a minimization problem this means $f(s') < f(s)$ and for a maximization problem $f(s) > f(s')$.*

An important issue that comes up when considering combinatorial optimization problems is the classification problems by their difficulty . To categorize problems into easy and difficult ones, the class of problems that are solvable in polynomial time by a deterministic touring machine and problems that are solvable in polynomial time by a nondeterministic touring machine are considered. This thesis prefers to describe the characteristics of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{NP}$ at a more intuitive level, rather than formalizing the classes via Turing Machines. An examples for a problem in $\mathcal{P}$ is single source shortest path.

Definition 3 (Complexity class $\mathcal{P}$) *A problem is in $\mathcal{P}$ iff it can be solved by an algorithm in polynomial time.*

The complexity class $\mathcal{NP}$ is associated with hard problems. $\mathcal{NP}$ stands for "nondeterministic polynomial time", where "nondeterministic" is a way to express that solutions are guessed. The class $\mathcal{NP}$ is restricted to Decision Problems.

Definition 4 (Complexity class $\mathcal{NP}$) *A decision problem is in $\mathcal{NP}$ iff any given solution of the problem can be verified in polynomial time.*

The above definition states, that the solutions for problems in $\mathcal{NP}$ do not require to be calculated in polynomial time, but the solutions need to be verified in polynomial time. Therefore $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathcal{NP}$ holds (slightly abusing notation by restricting $\mathcal{P}$ to decision problems) [3].

Definition 5 ($\mathcal{NP}$-optimization Problem) *A COP is a $\mathcal{NP}$-optimization problem (NPO) if the corresponding decision problem is in $\mathcal{NP}$.*

As an example the decision variant of the Stardard Vertex Coloring Problem (VCP) is considered, which asks weather a graph G is colorable within k colors or not. An algorithm has to verify for each vertex v colored with color c_v, if all its neighbours are colored with a color different to c_v and further count the number of distinct colors to check weather the number of colors is lower or equal to k. This can be done in time $\mathcal{O}(\left|V^2\right|)$, where V is the set of vertices.

The VCP and many other optimization and decision problems are at least as difficult as any problem in $\mathcal{NP}$. These problems are said to be $\mathcal{NP}$-hard. Giving a polynomial-time reduction from an $\mathcal{NP}$-hard problem to a particular problem shows that this problem is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard, too.

Such a reduction links the considered problem to the known $\mathcal{NP}$-hard problem in such a way that iff the considered problem can be solved in polynomial time also the $\mathcal{NP}$-hard problem to which it has been reduced can [3]. To gain a more detailed insight into that topic, the reader is referenced to [12].

Definition 6 ($\mathcal{NP}$-hard problems) *A problem is called $\mathcal{NP}$-hard iff it is at least as difficult as any problem in $\mathcal{NP}$, i.e., each problem in $\mathcal{NP}$ can be reduced to it.*

A lot of optimization problems are $\mathcal{NP}$-hard but not in $\mathcal{NP}$. For example, the optimization variant of the VCP, which searches for the minimum chromatic number is clearly at least as hard at its decision variant described above. Since the output is a number rather than a decision, it is not in $\mathcal{NP}$. $\mathcal{NP}$-hard problems that are also in $\mathcal{NP}$ are called $\mathcal{NP}$-complete. Many decision variants of $\mathcal{NP}$-hard problems like the VCP are $\mathcal{NP}$-complete.

Definition 7 ($\mathcal{NP}$-complete problems) *A problem is $\mathcal{NP}$-complete iff it is $\mathcal{NP}$-hard and in $\mathcal{NP}$.*

Informally, $\mathcal{NP}$-complete problems are the hardest problems in the class $\mathcal{NP}$. If there is an algorithm that solves any $\mathcal{NP}$-complete problem in polynomial time, then every problem in $\mathcal{NP}$ can be solved in polynomial time. So far no polynomial time deterministic algorithm has been found to solve one of them.

Theorem 1 *If any $\mathcal{NP}$-complete problem can be solved by a polynomial-time deterministic algorithm, then $\mathcal{NP} = \mathcal{NP}$. If any problem in $\mathcal{NP}$ cannot be solved by a polynomial-time deterministic algorithm, then $\mathcal{NP}$-complete problems are not in $\mathcal{P}$.*

Most computer scientists assume that $\mathcal{P} \neq \mathcal{NP}$, although it has not been proven yet. The question $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{NP}$ is one of the most promiment unresolved questions in the field of complexity theory, since a proof would imply a huge impact on any other discipline in discrete mathematics and computer science.

1.2 Graph Theory Definitions

Definition 8 (Graph) *A graph is a tuple $G = (V, E)$, where V denotes the set of nodes and $E \subseteq V \times V$ denotes the set of edges. An edge from node i to j is denoted by $\{i, j\}$. We call a graph simple, if it does not contain multiple edges, i.e. more than one edge between the same nodes, or loops, i.e. edges $\{i, i\}$.*

Definition 9 (Directed graph) *A directed graph or digraph is a tuple $D = (V, A)$, where V denotes the set of nodes and $A \subseteq V \times V$ denotes the set of arcs or directed edges. An arc from node i to j is denoted by (i, j). We call a directed graph simple, if it does not contain multiple arcs, i.e. more than one arc between the same nodes, or loops, i.e. arcs (i, i).*

Unless declared explicitly, this thesis considers only simple, undirected graphs $G = (V, E)$.

Definition 10 (Directed graph) *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, $G' = (V', E')$ is called a subgraph if $V' \subseteq V$, $E' \subseteq E$ and $E' \subseteq V' \times V'$. If $V' = V$ we call G a spanning subgraph or factor.*

Definition 11 (Deletion of a node) *Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, $G-v = (V \backslash v, E \backslash \{e \mid v \in e\})$.*

Definition 12 (Adjacency and incidence) *Two nodes x and y are called adjacent, if they share an edge e, i.e. $\exists e = \{x, y\} \in E$. Two edges e and f are called adjacent, if they share a node x, i.e. $e \cap f = x$. A node v is called incident to an edge e, if $v \in e$.*

Definition 13 (Node degree) *The degree of a node v in an undirected graph G, denoted by $d(v)$, is the number of edges, that are incident to the node v, i.e. in E there exists an edge $\{v, x\}$. The number of outgoing arcs (v, x) from a node v in a directed graph D is called out-degree and is denoted by $d^+(v)$, the number of ingoing arcs (x, v) to a node v is called in-degree and is denoted by $d^-(v)$.*

Lemma 1 (Handshaking Lemma)

$$\sum_{v \in V} d(v) = 2\,|E|$$

Proof. As every edge $\{i, j\}$ is incident to exactly two nodes, namely i and j, it is counted one time at $d(i)$ and one time at $d(j)$. So the sum over all node degrees is exactly two times the number of edges. □

Corollary 1 (Directed graph) *The number of nodes with odd node degree is even.*

Proof. This immediately follows from the handshaking lemma. □

Lemma 2

$$\sum_{v \in V} d^+(v) = \sum v \in V d^-(v) = 2\,|A|$$

Proof. As every arc (i, j) has exactly one "in-node" and one "out-node", it follows, that the sum of all out-degrees equals the sum of all in-degrees and hence the number of arcs. □

Definition 14 (Maximum and minimum node degree) *$\triangle(G) = max\{d(v) \mid v \in V\}$ denotes the maximum node degree in a graph. $\delta(G) = min\{d(v) \mid v \in V\}$ denotes the minimum node degree in a graph.*

Definition 15 (Neighborhood of a node) *The neighborhood of a node $v \in V$ is denoted by $N(v) = \{x \mid \{v, x\} \in E\}$. In the directed case the neighborhood consists of all nodes that are reachable from v, i.e. $N(v) = \{x \mid (v, x) \in A\}$.*

Definition 16 (Walk) *A sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a walk, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $e_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$.*

Definition 17 (Directed walk) *A sequence $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a directed walk, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $a_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \in A$.*

Definition 18 (Trail) *A sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a trail, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $e_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$ and all e_i are distinct.*

Definition 19 (Directed Trail) *A sequence $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a directed trail, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $a_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \in A$ and all a_i are distinct.*

Definition 20 (Path) *A sequence $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a path, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $e_i = \{v_{i-1}, v_i\} \in E$ and all v_i are distinct.*

Definition 21 (Directed path) *A sequence $v_0, a_1, v_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, v_n$ with $n \geq 0$ is called a directed path, if for all v_i with $i \neq 0$ exists an $a_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i) \in A$ and all v_i are distinct.*

Definition 22 (Length of a path) *Given a path $P = v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, v_n = P(v_0, v_n)$, the length is the number of edges and denoted by $l(P) = n$, analogously for the directed case.*

Definition 23 (Cycle) *A cycle is a path, where $v_0 = v_n$.*

Definition 24 (Acyclic graph) *A graph is called acyclic if it does not contain a cycle.*

Theorem 2 *Let $W = W(v_0, v_n)$ be a walk, then there is a subsequence $P = P(v_0, v_n) \subseteq W(v_0, v_n)$ such that P is a path.*

Proof. We know that for a path holds $v_i = v_j \forall i < j$. Suppose for W holds that $v_i = v_j$ for arbitrary $i < j$. Then $W = v_0, e_1, v1, \ldots, v_i, e_{j+1}, v_{j+1}, \ldots, v_n$ is a walk with $i - j$ less edges and W is a subsequence of W. Applying this until $\forall i, j : v_i = v_j$ yields a path from v_0 to v_n. This of course also holds for the directed case. □

Definition 25 (Network) *A network $N = (G, c)$ consists of a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a cost function $c : E(G) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \geq 0$, which assigns each edge e a nonnegative value c_e . Networks are also called weighted graphs.*

Definition 26 (Costs of a graph) *The cost c_G of a graph G is the sum of its edge costs, i.e. $c_G = \sum_{e \in E} c_e$.*

Definition 27 (Coloring) *A coloring is a mapping $v \rightarrow c_v \mid c_v \in \mathbb{R}, \forall v \in V$. The coloring is feasible, iff $\{x, y\} \in E \mid c_x \neq c_y, \forall x \in V, \forall y \in V$*

Definition 28 (chromatic number) *Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. We state that c is a (proper) k-coloring of G if all the vertices in V are colored using k colors such that no two adjacent vertices have the same color. The chromatic number is defined as the minimum k for which there exists a (proper) k-coloring of G.*

1.3 Metaheuristics

As defined before, a COP consists of a set of feasible solutions, which is in most cases of huge size and growing exponentially with the size of the instance. Solving a COP exactly means finding the optimal solution out of that set. The famous no free lunch theorem [13] states, that over all possible problems, there is no heuristic that performs better than any other heuristic including random search. According to the theorem, if a strategy performs better in one subarea, it performs worse in another. By acquiring and including problemspecific knowledge, it is possible to develop strategies for classes of problems that perform better than others [2]. In this context, Gebhard defined in [11]:

Definition 29 *Metaheuristic algorithms make no assumptions on the problem and (in theory) can be applied on any optimization problem. They define an abstract order of instructions which lead to improved or feasible solutions. In almost any case these instructions must be implemented using problem specific knowledge.*

Definition 30 (Neighborhood structure) *A neighborhood structure is a function $\mathcal{N} : S \to 2^S$ that assigns to every $s \in S$ a set of neighbors $\mathcal{N}(s) \subseteq S$. $\mathcal{N}(s)$ is called the neighborhood of s.*

The introduction of a neighborhood structure enables us to define the concept of locally minimal solutions.

Definition 31 (Local minimum) *A locally minimal solution (or local minimum) with respect to a neighborhood structure $\mathcal{N}$ is a solution $\hat{s}$ such that $\forall s \in \mathcal{N}(\hat{s}) : f(\hat{s}) \leq f(s)$. We call $\hat{s}'$ a strict locally minimal solution if $f(\hat{s}) < f(s) \mid \forall s \in \mathcal{N}(\hat{s})$.*

Metaheuristics for solving hard combinatorial optimization problems (COPs) are typically divided into two groups, local search based metaheuristics (e. g. Variable Neighborhood Search) and population based metaheuristics (e. g. evolutionary algorithms). The latter will not be considered here. Before moving to basic local search, some terms need to be defined [1]. As this thesis does consider minimization problems only, minimum and optimum refer to the same term.

As mentioned before, solving COPs implies the search for the best solution among a possibly huge set of feasible solutions.

Just because a problem is NP-complete, doesn't mean we should give up on trying to solve it. • For some NP-complete problems, it is possible to develop algorithms that have average-case polynomial complexity (despite having worst- case exponential complexity) • For other NP-complete problems, approximate solutions can be found in polynomial time. Developing good approximation algorithms is an important area of research.

1.4 Linear Programming

Linear Programming (LP) or mathematical programming is a large field of operations re- search and tries to optimize an objective function under a set of constraints. The objective function and

all constraints are linear inequations and equations. Most optimization prob- lems which arise from nowadays industries needs can be written as a linear program. A short introduction to the field of linear programming and the corresponding solving methods is given in Section 2.5. The following sections provide a detailed insight into the implemented metaheuristic algorithms.

Bibliography

[1] C. Blum and A. Roli. *Metaheuristics in combinatorial optimization: Overview and conceptual comparision*. ACM Computing Surveys, 2003.

[2] Raymond Chiong. Nature-inspired algorithms for optimisation. *Studies in Computational Intelligence*, 193, 2009.

[3] Bioinspired Computation F. Neumann, C. Witt. Bioinspired computation in combinatorial optimization. *Combinatorial Optimization, Natural Computing Series*, 2010.

[4] M. R. Garey and D. S. Johnson. Computers and intractability: A guide to the theory of np-completeness. *Series of Books in the Mathematical Sciences*, 1, 1979.

[5] S.Pirkwieser G.Fritz, G.Raidl. Heuristic methods for the hop constrained survivable network design problem. 2011.

[6] S. Wright J. Nocedal. Numerical optimization. 2000.

[7] E. Lawler. Combinatorial optimization: Networks and matroids. 2002.

[8] B.Hu M.Leitner, G.Raidl. Solving two generalized network design problems with exact and heuristic methods. 2006.

[9] H.Romeijn P. Pardalos. Handbook of global optimization. 2, 2002.

[10] C. M. Papadimitriou. Computational complexity. 1, 1994.

[11] S.Pirkwieser P.Gebhard, G.Raidl. The vehicle routing problem with compartments based on solutions of lp-relaxations. 2012.

[12] Ingo Wegener. *Complexity Theory: Exploring the Limits of Efficient Algorithms*. Springer, 2005. Reflects recent developments in its emphasis on randomized and approximation algorithms and communication models.

[13] D. H. Wolpert and W. G. Macready. No free lunch theorems for optimization. *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation*, 1(67-82), 1997.