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# Analysis

## Problem identification

Robots that can navigate small or restricted areas are invaluable in modern day engineering. They allow project managers and developers to fully map out an area, potentially for development or removal of rubble. Other applications of self-learning algorithms apply to many other sectors of the world, such as car development with calculating the path of least resistance for airflow or calculating the shortest distance between stations in a city. The nature of self-learning algorithms means they can adapt and evolve in a large array of ideas.

To continue this trend, I will hopefully be exploring a small part of this in self driving cars. I will specifically be using a car to navigate a maze using several sensors to simulate the ability for cars to detect a path to follow. I will be exploring this by creating a small vehicle and attaching either a distance sensor or a colours sensor to follow a specific path, further expanding the path and even adding different routes.

### Identification of stakeholders

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Stake Holder** | **Stakeholder Description** | **Needs** | **Appropriate** |
| Myself (The Designer) | I will be working directly on the project as the principal developer. Therefore, I will be responsible for the development, testing and main design of the system. | It is paramount that the final system runs efficiently and can operate alone to task completion without user interference. The system should not malfunction during operation. | The final project and operations system will be deemed appropriate if the car can auto-traverse areas whilst being user friendly and efficient. |
| Engineer (Final user) | An engineer will be using this project to navigate and survey small spaces (e.g. tunnels, service ducts). | Needs the system to be robust and reliable so it will work under variable and sometimes challenging conditions. | The final system will be appropriate as it will be able to work alone whilst under many different conditions. |
| Apprentice | An apprentice will be learning about the system, so it is assumed there is no prior knowledge of the system | Needs the system to be simplistic and easy to learn, whilst also being applicable in the field so it will mimic real life scenarios. | This solution will be appropriate to apprentices as it will be able to teach them how to use the car whilst also being complex enough to mimic real life. |

### Computational Methods

| **Feature of the system** | **Relevance of feature to the system** | **Computational Method Adopted to achieve feature** |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Calculations | The system must carry out a series of calculations, e.g.   * What distance has the car travelled * How long has the car been travelling * What direction has the car travelled * What is the velocity of the car * Calculation of the shortest route from ‘A’ to ‘B’ | * Distance computation = Summation of different parts of route length to calculate final length. * Velocity computation =  The car must track how long it has travelled for over the calculated length. * The system must record an accurate map of the area * The car will find the shortest route from A to B, then follow that route |
| Sorting | Different sets of data need to be sorted e.g.   * Route length | * Finding the shortest route by comparing different routes in a list. |
| Comparing data | Data needs to be compared e.g.   * Comparison of route lengths * Route and colours (using sensors) * ‘Blind’ dead end paths compared with viable routes | * Routes must be compared to each other to find optimal route * Potential routes should be compared to find viable routes (as compared to dead ends) * Comparison of previous sets of data for quicker search times |
| Storing data | Data must be stored e.g.   * Route lengths * The speed variable | * The car needs to store the different decisions it makes * Needs to be able to store the route to compare future routes |
| Searching data | The system must be capable of searching for data to optimise its output e.g.   * Searches for the minimum route length in a list * Areas to ignore during line searching algorithms | * The algorithm will search through the different routes to find the most efficient one * The previous routes will be searched to match to current route. |
| Decomposition | This is a key computational method whereby the system design is broken down e.g.   * Different algorithms * Different menus | * The algorithms will be clearly listed and presented, and each algorithm will be broken down * The different menus will be split up * The graph will be broken down into different lengths |
| Abstraction | Removes the unnecessary information to efficiently present a model of the solution | * The decisions, paths and corners will be presented as vertices on a graph |

## 

## Research

### Existing similar solution

#### .1. AI in LEGO EV3 Maze-Driving Robot by Tony—K

Goal of solution:

To navigate a maze, finding the optimal path, then executing the optimal path.

Description of the Solution

Multiple sensors are attached to a Lego EV3 car that can navigate a maze. The maze is first navigated inefficiently to crudely map out the maze and store the different directions it took. The directions are then converted into simplistic versions, reducing three instructions to one where possible. When placed back at the entrance, the car will navigate the optimal route back to the end.

Features of the solution:

* Uses the left-hand wall following method
* Stores its path and eliminates dead ends
* Finds combinations in the movements in the maze and replaces them with simplified instructions
* Uses three different sensors as ev3 cannot manage more than one type of sensor
* Uses a 2-wheel chassis to move through the maze
* Uses a colour sensor to detect when the maze is finished

Positives and Negatives of the solution:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Positives | Negatives |
| Efficient solution for solving the maze | Turning takes a large amount of space |
| Simple to reproduce for end users | Will be inefficient for larger mazes |
| Reduces the movements for optimal path | Does not allow for multiple ends |
|  | Does not allow for loops in the maze |

Graphical user interface, application, table, Excel

Description automatically generated

A sample of code from the solution:

#### .2. Design of a maze solving robot using Lego MINDSTORMS by B.J.S van Putten

Goal of solutions:

To use LEGO Mindstorms RCX 2.0 to navigate a maze made of black on white line patterns.

Description of the solution:

Uses the Mindstorm RCX 2.0 unit to explore the capabilities and restrictions of Lego hardware by developing a maze solving robot. The program designed will be able to efficiently navigate different maze scenarios and optimise a route through the maze, pushing the limits of the computing power of the RCX 2.0 unit. This identified the different restrictions of the unit and delivered solutions to the problems.

Features of the Solution:

* Uses a programming language call NQC (Not Quite C)
* Uses the follow the wall method to navigate the maze
* Uses two motors set up to be fully rotational to move
* Uses a light sensor to understand where the maze is
* Simple program outline to follow
* Efficient for the capabilities of the RCX 2.0 unit
* Optimises the information gathered in the first run

Positives and Negatives of the solution:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Positives | Negatives |
| Simple Algorithm to follow and adapt | Unable to accurately detect dead ends and 90 degree turns |
| Once the track is solved, can solve it efficiently | Battery of the robot can reduce accuracy |
|  | For much larger mazes, the RCX will run of memory |
|  | Cannot be easily reproduced |

Diagram

Description automatically generatedImages of the solution:

Right:   
A visualisation of the solution’s algorithm:

If (on black line)  
{   
Forward (left motor);   
Off (right motor);   
}

Else (on white)  
{   
Forward (right motor);   
Off (left motor);   
}

### Features of the proposed solution

#### Initial Concept of my solution considering my research

My initial concept will be using the EV3 unit to follow a maze comprised of black lines on white background.

There are two different algorithms that exist that can navigate from A to B in a maze:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | Dijkstra’s | Floyd’s |
| Description | A simple algorithm that will compute the shortest distance from a single vertex to any other vertex | A complex, multistage algorithm that will compute the shortest distance from any vertex to any other vertex |
| Positives | Simple to code the algorithm  Much more efficient to run | Simple to implement using arrays  Returns the shortest Hamiltonian cycle |
| Negatives | Cannot be used when multiple goals must be achieved | Uses a large amount of memory |
| Efficiency |  |  |
| Suitability | Is suited towards smaller mazes with only a single goal | Is suited towards larger mazes where multiple goals must be achieved |

Due to its much more efficient nature, and not needing to compute the distance between many goals, I will be using Dijkstra’s algorithm to find the shortest path through the maze. This is different to the previous solutions, as they both use the left or right-hand wall hugging method before simplifying their results. My solution will be more durable than the other solutions, which have a 20% success rate (from solution 2).

I have chosen to use a single colour sensor to navigate the maze for now. This is because it will be much more efficient to build and modify the maze compared to physical walls. This also allows me to calculate the result of Dijkstra’s algorithm beforehand to ensure the algorithm is working as intended. Also, this method is much more cost effective than other methods such as panels to develop the algorithm and work out any flaws.

Furthermore, I will be using EV3DEV software and OS to run my code. This allows me to use Bluetooth to communicate with the car and upload my program with ease. This means I am using MicroPython to program and control the car, as I am familiar with python and will be able to develop my code using Visual Studio Code.

The user will be able to interact with the car using a GUI interface located on the LCD display of the EV3 unit.

### A brief explanation of the LEGO MINDSTORMS EV3 unit

Since I have decided to use an EV3 set to execute this project, I will explain the aspects of the EV3 that are useful in this project, and some that limit the potential of my final product. I will be further comparing the EV3 to a previous unit and stating why features of the EV3 are more suited to my project.

The specifications of the EV3 and NXT units are:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | EV3 | NXT |
| Display | 178x128 pixel | 100x64 pixel |
| Main Processor | 300 MHz | 48MHz |
| Main Memory | 64MB RAM, 16MB flash | 64KB RAM, 256KB flash |
| Extra Features | USB host port, Wi-Fi (through dongle), BT | BT |
| Ports | 4 sensors, 4 motors | 4 sensors, 3 motors |
| weight | 215g | 213g |

As a result of the low RAM, the limited CPU speed and smaller screen resolution the NXT is not as suitable for this project as the EV3, however if an OS allows EV3DEV code to be run on the NXT, then if the algorithm is efficient enough then the code should still run on the NXT.

As for the EV3, the larger RAM and much faster CPU speed are definite bonuses, as well as the increased screen resolution. The larger RAM will allow me to store many more data values in a list or an array, allowing the car to perform the search on larger mazes. The fast CPU means I can run many operations per second without the EV3 slowing down considerably, meaning that the maze can be traversed quicker, and the sensors will be more accurate in their timings. The screen size, whilst better than the NXT, is still very small, and will only allow me to have 4 different lines with a readable font, so navigation will have to be optimised to account for this.

The use of LEGO allows the project to be easily expandable and if any aspect does break then parts can be easily replaced. However, if the concept of this project were to be applied to a real-life scenario, then a much sturdier and durable option should be considered as LEGO may not be acceptable in some circumstances.

#### Limitations of my proposed solution

The main limitation in my proposed solution is the necessity of a set up maze. This means that the car will not be very applicable to the open world in its finished state as of the previously stated specifications. This will result in the product potentially not meeting the system requirements. One solution to this will be that the colour sensor could be swapped out for an ultrasonic or IR sensor.

Further limitations of this design include the inability for the end user to change any of the code or perform any maintenance whilst in the field without any extra equipment, which means the car will not be stand-alone.

One further limitation is that this solution will have to be very memory efficient. The EV3 has only 64MB of RAM. This means that any longs lists or arrays of data must be stored efficiently and the car will only be able to navigate a small to medium sized maze as larger mazes may take up too much RAM, so some optimisation **must** be used.

## Requirements

### Software requirements

**Brickman OS** – This is the OS that is loaded onto an SD card so the EV3 can understand and interpret the code, as well as interfacing with the motors.

**Visual Studio Code** – Used to edit and load the code onto the car

**EV3Dev extension** – This is the extension that communicates with the car to transfer the code to the car

### Hardware Requirements

**EV3 Brick and base set** – This will be what the car is built from, so will be needed to reproduce the final product.

**Micro SD Card** – The Brickman OS will need to be installed onto some medium for the brick to understand. The EV3 unit has a built-in micro-SD card reader which can be booted from.

**A Maze or path to follow and solve** – The car must have something to follow and solve to work as intended.

**A computer with Bluetooth or USB** – This allows for the program to be loaded onto the car

### Stakeholder requirements

#### Design

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Requirement | Explanation |
| Simple Menu | The menu must be simple so that the users can easily understand how to navigate and use it |
| Fully independent | The car being fully independent, past pressing the “run” button, means that it will allow operation to be hassle free |
| Simple Instructions with screenshots | This allows users to understand each function of in the menu |

#### Functionality

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Requirement | Explanation |
| Use of the EV3 buttons to interact with the software | The software on the EV3 unit will require that buttons of the unit be pressed to begin operation. This allows the user to navigate the different menus and run different subroutines |
| Easy setup from box to final product | The setup process must be easy for users to recommend and continue to use the product in mass and to deploy remotely |
| The ability to remote into the EV3 unit | The ability to receive information and see the EV3 screen remotely will allow for the users to actively see the state of the car |
| The ability to change the speed of the motors | Users may wish to change the speed as the car is traversing different areas, so be able to change the speed will be useful |
| Different menus for stats and settings of the car | The user may wish to see the different values that the EV3 unit has stored or is using to allow for more in-depth debugging or logging |

#### Hardware and Software

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Requirement | Explanation |
| A computer with USB or Bluetooth | This will allow the user to load the code and OS onto the EV3 brick and interact with it once the code is loaded |
| Brickman OS image | This is the OS that will be used to understand and process the code that will move the car |
| Micro SD Card | This will hold the Brickman OS image as well as any code loaded onto the car |
| Visual Studio Code with EV3DEV | This will be needed to load code from the computer onto the car. However, this can be avoided by creating a premade OS image of Brickman that includes all the code which can be loaded directly onto the car’s storage |
| Lego EV3 Unit | This will be the main ‘brain’ of the car. All algorithms and subroutines will be processed using this. |

## Success Criteria

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Criteria | Evidence of completion |
| Simple User interface | Have stakeholders use the GUI and assess if they can navigate the menus efficiently and with little hassle. |
| Algorithm that can navigate mazes consistently | The algorithm should complete the maze at least 90% of the time. At least 10 tests should be run on a medium sized maze |
| Memory efficient design | The code and algorithms use no more than 75% of the available memory (48MB). |
| The ability to stop the program | A button that can turn off the EV3 |
| The ability to remotely connect to the system | Screen shots of the remote system capability |
| The ability for the car to be stand alone | The car completes at least 90% of mazes without interference. At least 10 tests should be run. |

# Design

## Overview of system

## User Interface