

CS3231 Final Printed Notes

Chong Chin Herng

November 2024

9 Turing Machines

Turing Machine A Turing machine $(Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, B, F)$ is an automaton where

- Q is a finite set of states
- Σ is a finite set of input symbols
- $\Gamma \supseteq \Sigma$ is a finite set of tape symbols
- $\delta : Q \times \Gamma \rightarrow Q \times \Gamma \times \{L, R\}$ is a transition function
- $q_0 \in Q$ is the starting state
- $B \in \Gamma - \Sigma$ is the blank symbol
- $F \subseteq Q$ is a finite set of accepting states

Instantaneous Description of a Turing Machine An instantaneous description of a Turing machine with set of states Q and set of tape symbols Γ is of the form $x_0x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}qx_nx_{n+1} \cdots x_m$ where $x_i \in \Gamma$ for all i , $q \in Q$, $n > 0 \implies x_0 \neq B$, and $m \geq n \implies x_m \neq B$.

Step of a Turing Machine Intuitively, a Turing machine has an infinite tape divided into cells, a head that can read/write and move/right in one step, and a finite number of states. The step-relation \vdash on the set of instantaneous descriptions of M is defined accordingly. Moreover, \vdash^* is the reflexive and transitive closure of \vdash .

Language accepted by a Turing Machine A language L is accepted by a Turing machine M with input symbol set Σ if and only if $L = L(M) = \{w \in \Sigma^* \mid q_0w \vdash^* \alpha q_f \beta \text{ for some } q_f \in F\}$.

Halting A machine M with starting state q_0 halts on input w if and only if there exists an instantaneous description ID such that $q_0w \vdash^* ID$ and for all instantaneous description ID' we have $ID \not\vdash ID'$.

Function Computed by Turing Machine A function $f : S \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ is computed by a Turing machine M with input symbol set $\Sigma \supseteq S$ and tape symbol set Γ if and only if

1. $f(x)$ is defined $\iff M$ halts on input x .
2. The tape symbols on the instantaneous description of M when it halts on input x can be interpreted as $f(x)$.

Computationally Enumerable (CE) Language A CE language is a language accepted by a Turing machine.

Decidable Language A decidable language is a language accepted by a Turing machine that halts on all inputs.

Partially Computable Function A partially computable function is a function computed by a Turing machine.

Computable Function A computable function is a function $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ computed by a Turing machine.

Theorem 9.1 A Turing machine is as powerful as the following automata:

- A Turing machine with multiple but fixed number of tapes/heads.
- A Turing machine whose head can stay where it is in one step.
- A Turing machine with a storage that can store a fixed finite number of tape symbols.
- A Turing machine whose tapes consist of a fixed finite number of tracks.
- A Turing machine that can use other Turing machines as subroutines.
- A Turing machine whose tapes are semi-infinite.
- A nondeterministic Turing machine.

Conjecture 9.2 (Church-Turing Thesis) A Turing machine is as powerful as any automaton.

Code of Strings The code for a string $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ is $1x$ (in binary) -1 . The string with code i is denoted as w_i .

Code of Turing Machines Let $M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_1, X_3, \{q_2\})$ be a Turing machine where

- $Q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_{|Q|}\}$
- $\Gamma = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{|X|}\}$
- $X_1 = 0$ and $X_2 = 1$
- $\delta = \{\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_{|\delta|}\}$

Let $L = D_1$ and $R = D_2$. Let the code of $((q_i, X_j), (q_k, X_l, D_m)) \in \delta$ be $0^i 10^j 10^k 10^l 10^m$. The code of M is $C_1 11 C_2 11 \dots C_{|\delta|}$ where C_i is the code of δ_i for all i . The code number of a Turing machine is the code of its code. The Turing machine with code number i is denoted as M_i . Moreover, denote $W_i = L(M_i)$

Universal Turing Machine The universal Turing machine is a Turing machine that on input (M, w) , simulates M on input w and accepts $L_u = \{(M, w) : M \text{ accepts } w\}$. If i is not the code number of any Turing machine, then M_i is defined to be a Turing machine such that for all j , M_i on input w_j accepts/rejects/does not halt if the universal Turing machine accepts/rejects/does not halt on input (i, w_j) .

Theorem 9.3 $L_d = \{w_i : w_i \notin L(M_i)\}$ is not CE.

Theorem 9.4 $\overline{L_u}$ is not CE.

Theorem 9.5 If L is decidable, then \overline{L} is decidable.

Theorem 9.6 L is decidable if and only if L and \overline{L} are CE.

Many-One Reduction A language P_1 many-one reduces to a language P_2 , or $P_1 \leq_m P_2$, if and only if there exists a computable function f such that $x \in P_1 \iff f(x) \in P_2$.

Theorem 9.7 If $P_1 \leq_m P_2$, then

- If P_2 is decidable, then P_1 is decidable.
- If P_2 is CE, then P_1 is CE.
- If P_1 is undecidable, then P_2 is undecidable.
- If P_1 is non-CE, then P_2 is non-CE.

Theorem 9.8 $L_{ne} = \{M_i : L(M_i) \neq \emptyset\}$ is CE.

Theorem 9.9 $L_e = \{M_i : L(M_i) = \emptyset\}$ is non-CE.

Corollary 9.10 L_{ne} is undecidable.

Theorem 9.11 (Rice's Theorem) For any property P about CE languages, if P is non-trivial, i.e. there exists a CE language that satisfies P and a CE language that does not, then $L_P = \{M_i : L(M_i) \text{ satisfies } P\}$ is undecidable.

Post's Correspondence Problem (PCP)

$$PCP = \{(A = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k, B = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : \\ \exists i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m (m > 0 \wedge w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \dots w_{i_m} = x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m})\}$$

$$MPCP = \{(A = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k, B = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) : \\ \exists i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m (w_1 w_{i_1} w_{i_2} \dots w_{i_m} = x_1 x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_m})\}$$

Theorem 9.12 $L_u \leq_m MPCP \leq_m PCP$.

Theorem 9.13 Let $A = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_k$ and $B = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$ be sequences of strings over Σ . Let $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k \notin \Sigma$. If G_A is defined to be the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} A &\rightarrow w_i A a_i \text{ for all } i \\ A &\rightarrow w_i a_i \end{aligned}$$

and G_B is defined to be the grammar

$$\begin{aligned} B &\rightarrow x_i B a_i \text{ for all } i \\ B &\rightarrow x_i a_i \end{aligned}$$

then $\overline{L(G_A)}$ and $\overline{L(G_B)}$ are context-free.

Theorem 9.14 The following languages are undecidable.

- $\{\text{CFG } G : G \text{ is ambiguous}\}$
- $\{\text{CFG } G_1, G_2 : L(G_1) \cap L(G_2) = \emptyset\}$
- $\{\text{CFG } G_1, G_2 : L(G_1) = L(G_2)\}$
- $\{\text{CFG } G_1, G_2 : L(G_1) \subseteq L(G_2)\}$
- $\{\text{CFG } G, \text{ regular expression } R : L(R) = L(G)\}$
- $\{\text{CFG } G, \text{ regular expression } R : L(R) \subseteq L(G)\}$
- $\{\text{CFG } G : L(G) = T^*\}$ where T is the underlying set of terminals

Unrestricted Grammar An unrestricted grammar (V, T, P, S) is a method to describe a language over T where

- V is a finite set of non-terminal symbols
- T is a finite set of terminal symbols such that $V \cap T = \emptyset$
- P is a finite set of productions of the form $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$, where $\alpha \in (V \cup T)^* V (V \cup T)^*$ and $\beta \in (V \cup T)^*$
- $S \in V$ is the start symbol

Steps and derivations of unrestricted grammar are similar to that of CFG.

Context Sensitive Grammar A context sensitive grammar is an unrestricted grammar with set of productions P such that for every production $\alpha \rightarrow \beta \in P$, we have $|\alpha| \leq |\beta|$.

Theorem 9.15 If G is an unrestricted grammar, then $L(G)$ is CE.

Theorem 9.16 If L is CE, then there exists an unrestricted grammar describing L .

10 Complexity

Model of Computation We assume the model of Turing machine with multiple but fixed number of tapes. The input tape is read only and the output tape is one-way write only. All other tapes are known as worktapes.

Time Complexity For deterministic Turing machines, $Time_M(x)$ is defined to be the time/number of steps used by a Turing machine M on input x before halting. If M does not halt on input x , then $Time_M(x)$ is defined to be ∞ .

For nondeterministic Turing machines, $Time_M(x)$ is defined to be the maximum time used by a Turing machine M on any path on input x before halting. If M does not halt on some path on some input x , then $Time_M(x)$ is defined to be ∞ .

A Turing machine M is $T(n)$ time bounded if and only if for any input x of length n , $Time_M(x) \leq T(n)$.

Space Complexity $Space_M(x)$ is defined to be the maximum number of cells touched by a Turing machine M on input x on any of its worktapes before halting. If M does not halt on input x , then $Space_M(x)$ is defined to be ∞ .

For nondeterministic Turing machines, $Space_M(x)$ is defined to be the maximum number of cells touched by a Turing machine M on any path on input x before halting. If M does not halt on some path on some input x , then $Space_M(x)$ is defined to be ∞ .

A Turing machine M is $S(n)$ space bounded if and only if for any input x of length n , $Space_M(x) \leq S(n)$.

Complexity Classes Let Σ be the underlying alphabet set.

$$DSPACE(S(n)) = \{L \subseteq \Sigma^* : \exists S(n) \text{ space bounded deterministic Turing machine accepting } L\}$$

$$DTIME(T(n)) = \{L \subseteq \Sigma^* : \exists T(n) \text{ time bounded deterministic Turing machine accepting } L\}$$

$$NSPACE(S(n)) = \{L \subseteq \Sigma^* : \exists S(n) \text{ space bounded nondeterministic Turing machine accepting } L\}$$

$$NTIME(T(n)) = \{L \subseteq \Sigma^* : \exists T(n) \text{ time bounded nondeterministic Turing machine accepting } L\}$$

11 NP Completeness

Efficient Complexity Classes Let Σ be the underlying alphabet set.

$$P = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} DTIME(n^k)$$

$$NP = \cup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} NTIME(n^k)$$

$$coNP = \{L \subseteq \Sigma^* : \bar{L} \in NP\}$$

Theorem 11.1 $P \subseteq NP$.

Theorem 11.2 Let Σ be the underlying alphabet set. $L \in NP$ if and only if there exists a predicate $P : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \{\text{true}, \text{false}\}$ computed by a poly time bounded Turing machine and a polynomial $q(\cdot)$ such that $x \in L \iff \exists y \in \Sigma^* (|y| \leq q(|x|) \wedge P(x, y))$. y is known as a proof that $x \in L$.

Poly Time, Many-One, Reduction Let L_1 and L_2 be languages over Σ and Γ respectively. L_1 is poly time, many-one, reducible to L_2 , or $L_1 \leq_m^p L_2$ if and only if there exists a function $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ computed by a poly time bounded Turing machine such that $x \in L_1 \iff f(x) \in L_2$.

Poly Time, Turing, Reduction Let L_1, L_2 be languages and M be an oracle deciding L_2 . L_1 is poly time, Turing, reducible to L_2 , or $L_1 \leq_T^p L_2$ if and only if there exists a poly time bounded Turing machine deciding L_1 that uses M as a subroutine polynomial number of times.

Log-Space Many-One Reduction Let L_1 and L_2 be languages over Σ and Γ respectively. L_1 is log-space many-one reducible to L_2 , or $L_1 \leq_m^{\log \text{space} L_2} L_2$ if and only if there exists a function $f : \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ computed by a $\log n$ space bounded Turing machine such that $x \in L_1 \iff f(x) \in L_2$.

NP-Hardness A language L is NP-hard if and only if $\forall L' \in NP (L' \leq_m^p L)$.

NP-Completeness A language L is NP-complete if and only if $L \in NP$ and L is NP-hard.

Theorem 11.3 \leq_m^p is reflexive and transitive.

Corollary 11.4 If L is NP-complete, $L' \in NP$ and $L \leq_m^p L'$, then L' is NP-complete.

Graph A graph is an ordered pair (V, E) where

- V is a set of vertices
- $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a set of (directed) edges

Undirected Graph A graph (V, E) is undirected if and only if E is symmetric.

Cycle Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph. A cycle of G is a sequence $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1}, v_k \in V$ such that $v_k = v_1$ and for all i , $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ and are pairwise distinct.

Acyclicity A graph is acyclic if and only if it has no cycle.

Child and Parent Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph. v is a child of u and u is a parent of v if and only if $(u, v) \in E$.

Satisfiability A literal is a Boolean variable or its negation. A clause is of the form $(l_1 \vee l_2 \vee \dots \vee l_k)$ where l_i is a literal for all i .

$$\text{Satisfiability} = \{(\text{underlying set of Boolean variables } U, \text{set of clauses } C) : \bigwedge_{c \in C} c \equiv \text{true}\}$$

$$3\text{-SAT} = \{(\text{underlying set of Boolean variables } U, \text{set of clauses with 3 literals } C) : \bigwedge_{c \in C} c \equiv \text{true}\}$$

3-Dimensional Matching

$$\begin{aligned} \text{3-Dimensional Matching} = \{ & (X, Y, Z, S \subseteq X \times Y \times Z) : \\ & X, Y, Z \text{ are pairwise disjoint, } |X| = |Y| = |Z| = n, \\ & \exists S' \subseteq S (|S'| = n \wedge \text{no two elements of } S' \text{ agree in any coordinate}) \} \end{aligned}$$

Vertex Cover

$$\text{Vertex Cover} = \{(V, E \subseteq V \times V, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+) : \exists V' \subseteq V (|V'| \leq k \wedge \forall (u, v) \in E (u \in V' \vee v \in V'))\}$$

MAX-CUT

$$\text{MAX-CUT} = \{(V, E \subseteq V \times V, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+) : \exists \text{partition } (X, Y) \text{ of } V (|(X \times Y) \cap E| > k)\}$$

Clique

$$\text{Clique} = \{(V, E \subseteq V \times V, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+) : \exists V' \subseteq V (|V'| \geq k \wedge \forall u, v \in V' (u \neq v \implies (u, v) \in E))\}$$

Independent Set

$$\text{Independent Set} = \{(V, E \subseteq V \times V, k \in \mathbb{Z}^+) : \exists V' \subseteq V (|V'| \geq k \wedge \forall u, v \in V' (u \neq v \implies (u, v) \notin E))\}$$

Hamiltonian Circuit A Hamiltonian circuit of a graph G is a cycle $v_1, v_2 \cdots v_k, v_1$ of G such that every vertex except v_1 appears exactly once.

$$\text{Hamiltonian Circuit} = \{\text{graph } G : G \text{ has a Hamiltonian circuit}\}$$

Partition

$$\text{Partition} = \left\{ (\text{finite } A, s : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}) : \exists A' \subseteq A \left(\sum_{a \in A'} s(a) = \sum_{a \in A - A'} s(a) \right) \right\}$$

Set Cover

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Set Cover} = \{ & (\text{finite } A, \{S_1, S_2, \dots, S_m\} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(A)), k \in \mathbb{Z}^+) : \\ & \exists Y \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, m\} (|Y| \leq k \wedge A \subseteq \cup_{i \in Y} S_i) \} \end{aligned}$$

Traveling Salesman Problem

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Traveling Salesman Problem} = \{ & (V, wt : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, B \in \mathbb{R}) : \\ & (V, V \times V) \text{ has a Hamiltonian circuit } v_1 v_2 \cdots v_k v_1 \\ & \text{such that } \sum wt(v_i, v_{i+1}) + wt(v_k, v_1) \leq B \} \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 11.5 3-SAT, 3-Dimensional Matching, Vertex Cover, MAX-CUT, Clique, Independent Set, Hamiltonian Circuit, Partition, Set Cover and Traveling Salesman Problem are NP-complete.

Theorem 11.6 If there exists an NP-complete language L such that $L \in P$, then for all $L \in NP$ we have $L \in P$. In other words, $P = NP$.

Corollary 11.7 If $P \neq NP$, then for all NP-complete languages L we have $L \notin P$.

Tutorial 8

Question 3 $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is partially computable if and only if the graph of f , $L_f = \{(x, y) : x \in X \text{ and } f(x) = y\}$ is CE.

Question 4 A 2 stack NPDA is as powerful as a Turing machine for language acceptance.

Tutorial 9

Question 1 A Turing machine M enumerates a language $L = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ if and only if M can write x_1, x_2, \dots on a one-way write only tape. L is CE if and only if some Turing machine enumerates L . If some Turing machine enumerates $L = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ such that $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots$ for some total order \leq , then L is decidable.

Question 2 Elements of complexity classes can change if we assume the model of Turing machine with one tape.

Question 3 CE languages are closed under union and intersection.

Question 4 If L_1 is decidable and L_2 is CE, then $L_2 - L_1$ is CE and $L_1 - L_2$ is either non-CE or decidable.

Question 5 A co-finite language is a language whose complement is finite. Every finite or co-finite language is decidable. If L is decidable and D is finite, then the symmetric difference of L and D , or $L \Delta D = (L - D) \cup (D - L)$, is decidable. If $L = L(M)$ is CE and undecidable, then the set of inputs on which M does not halt is infinite.

Question 6 If L is a decidable language over Σ , then $\{x \in \Sigma^* : xx \in L\}$ and $\{x \in \Sigma^* : \text{some prefix of } x \text{ is in } L\}$ is decidable.

Question 7 $\{(M_i, w_j, t \in \mathbb{N}) : M_i \text{ accepts } w_j \text{ in } \leq t \text{ time}\}$ is decidable.

Question 8 (Halting Problem) $\{(M_i, w_j) : M_i \text{ halts on input } w_j\}$ is undecidable.

Tutorial 10

Question 1 (State Entry Problem for Turing Machines) $\{(M = (Q, \Sigma, \Gamma, \delta, q_0, B, F), q \in Q, w \in \Sigma^*) : M \text{ will enter } q \text{ on input } w\}$ is undecidable.

Question 2 $L_{fin} = \{M_i : W_i \text{ is finite}\}$ and $L_{inf} = \{M_i : W_i \text{ is infinite}\}$ are non-CE.

Question 3 The following languages are decidable:

- $\{(M, M') : M, M' \text{ are DFAs and } L(M) \cap L(M') = \emptyset\}$
- $\{(G, M) : G \text{ is a CFG, } M \text{ is a DFA and } L(G) \cap L(M) = \emptyset\}$

Moreover, $\{(M_i, M_j) : W_i \cap W_j = \emptyset\}$ is undecidable.