RUPE
Use Case Specification

Submitted to:

Asst. Prof. Ma. Rowena C. Solamo
Faculty Member
Department of Computer Science
College of Engineering
University of the Philippines, Diliman

Submitted by:
Dylan Thomas Bayona
Annysia Glynis Dupaya
John Matthew Villaluz

In partial fulfillment of academic requirements
for the course
CS 191 Software Engineering I
of the
1st Semester, AY 2019-2020

Unique Reference:

The documents are stored in the following link:
https://github.com/chinadupaya/RUPE/tree/master/02-Requirements%20Engineering/Project%20Deliverables referenced with 'RUPE-3.0-Flag Food Review..pdf'.

Document Purpose:

The purpose of this document is to illustrate the use-case of flag food reviews. It will provide a description, preconditions, the possible flow of events, and relevant diagrams.

Target Audience:

The target audience of this document includes the developers of the RUPE project and any person who wishes to learn more about the project.

Revision Control:

| Revision Date	Person Responsible	Version Number	Modification
09/23/19	Makki Villaluz	1.0	Initial Document, add the description, preconditions, flow of events, and diagrams
09/23/19	Makki Villaluz	1.1	Add the description, preconditions, flow of events, and diagrams

Use-Case Name: 3.0 Flag Food Review

Description: If a reviewer sees a food review that can be deemed malicious or spam, they can flag it and an admin will be notified. This content can contain text that include but are not limited to: having been made to manipulate the ratings or contain extremely vulgar language. Flagged content that has yet been reviewed will have its content hidden until a reviewer wishes to see it.

Preconditions: This use case requires that there be an already existing food review for it to be flagged..

Flow of Events:

Scenario Name	Description
Scenario 1 (Basic Flow) Malicious food review is flagged by a reviewer and is deleted by an administrator	1. Reviewer views food reviews of an eatery 2. Reviewer flags it because of its malicious contents 3. Flagged food review becomes hidden from view for reviewers 4. Administrator is notified 5. Administrator deems the food review to be malicious 6. Food review is deleted
Scenario 2 (Alternative Flow) Malicious food review is flagged by a reviewer and is not deleted by an administrator	1. Reviewer views food reviews of an eatery 2. Reviewer flags it because of its malicious contents 3. Flagged food review becomes hidden from view for reviewers 4. Administrator is notified 5. Administrator deems the food review to not be malicious 6. Food review is made viewable
Scenario 3 (Alternative Flow) Already flagged review is attempted to be flagged	1. Reviewer views food reviews of an eatery 2. Reviewer looks for previously seen malicious food review 3. Reviewer cannot find said food review because someone has flagged it already

Activity Diagram of the Flow of Events:

Other Diagram:

Postcondition:　　　　NONE

Relationships:　　　　NONE

Special Requirements:　　　NONE