# RUPE
## Use Case Specification

Submitted to:

Asst. Prof. Ma. Rowena C. Solamo
Faculty Member
Department of Computer Science
College of Engineering
University of the Philippines, Diliman

Submitted by:
Dylan Bayona
Annysia Dupaya
Makki Villaluz

In partial fulfillment of academic requirements
for the course
CS 191 Software Engineering I
of the
1st Semester, AY 2019-2020

### *Unique Reference:*

The documents are stored in the https://github.com/chinadupaya/RUPE/tree/master/02-Requirements%20Engineering/Project%20Deliverables referenced with RUPE-8.0-Manage Food Review.pdf.

### *Document Purpose:*

This document is to explain in detail Use-Case 8.0, Manage Food Review, of the RUPE application.

### *Target Audience:*

This document is intended for developers and designers involved in the project as well as any reader interested in reviewing the design of the RUPE system.

### *Revision Control:*

| *Revision Date* | *Person Responsible* | *Version Number* | *Modification* |
|---|---|---|---|
| 09/18/19 | Annysia Dupaya | 1.0 | Initial Document; Filled up information from "Document Purpose" to "Preconditions". |
| 09/20/19 | Annysia Dupaya | 1.1 | Placed the swimlane diagram and all other information below it. |

***Use-Case Name*:** 8.0 Manage Food Review

***Description:*** The administrators are the only ones who can manipulate the food reviews. If they see that a review has been flagged, they can delete the review. This will remove reviews that can be considered malicious or spam.

***Preconditions:*** For this Use-Case to occur, there must first be an existing food review posted within an eatery.

***Flow of Events:***

| *Scenario Name* | *Description* |
|---|---|
| Scenario 1 (Basic Flow)<br>A food review is flagged and deleted. | 1. Food Reviewer views a food review within an eatery.<br>2. Food Reviewer thinks said food review contains spam and flags review.<br>3. Admin gets notified.<br>4. Admin judges whether the food review has malicious content or not.<br>5. If it does, the review is deleted.<br>6. Eatery's database is updated. |
| Scenario 2<br>A food review is flagged but remains in the eatery. | 1. Food Reviewer views a food review within an eatery.<br>2. Food Reviewer thinks said food review contains spam and flags review.<br>3. Admin gets notified.<br>4. Admin judges whether the food review has malicious content or not.<br>5. If it passes the review remains in the eatery. |
| Scenario 3<br>A food review is flagged, remains in the eatery but is flagged more times. | 1. Food Reviewer views a food review within an eatery.<br>2. Food Reviewer thinks said food review contains spam and flags review.<br>3. Admin gets notified.<br>4. Admin judges whether the food review has malicious content or not.<br>5. If it passes the review remains in the eatery.<br>6. The food review gets flagged multiple more times, user is a bot and all flags for that food review will be ignored by the Admin. |

***Activity Diagram of the Flow of Events:***




***Other Diagram:***




***Postcondition:*** NONE

***Relationships:*** NONE

***Special Requirements:*** NONE