## **Criterion A: Planning**

### **Criterion A: Planning**

#### The scenario

The client and the advisor for this Internal Assessment is my chemistry teacher; he wants a program that "tracks[s] the success of our ELL Science students as the progress at [our high school]". Therefore, a program is needed to analyze the successfulness of the past ELL students, and to use the past data to predict the best courses for future students to take, based on the students' marks. The choice of client is appropriate because the client is trying to help his students to be as successful as possible by recommending them to appropriate courses.

## **Rationale for proposed solution**

I have discussed with the client about the available courses students will be taking, and he provided me with many different possibilities of courses. The plan is to feed past student data into machine learning algorithms to find out the probability of getting into Science 10 based on a student's ELLScience and Math mark. The program should also determine the successfulness of past students based on their grades in 20 level and 30 level science courses. Here is a list of the main areas of programming after consulting with my client:

- The client will provide the students' data to me in excel file.
- For each student, correctly record their course marks and output them into a text file for machine learning algorithm to use.
- A success criteria to determine how successful the student is:
  - A. Not successful. (These students did not complete a 20-level science course.)
  - B. Minimally successful. (These students passed a 20-level science course to fulfill the minimum requirement for a high school diploma.)
  - C. Moderately successful. (These students passed a 30-level course with a mark below 75%.)
  - D. Maximally successful. (These students passed a 30-Ivel course with a mark above 75%.)

# After inspecting the data and consulting further with my client, we decided some more restrictions:

A few more questions about my compsci IA:

- 1. You said that you only recommend either Sc10 or Sc24. However, on the swc website, the science course chart shows that a student, after taking Sc14, can go to either Sc10 or Sc24 (attached picture). On the data, some students took ELLSc and Sc14 (attached picture), which I do not understand how. May you explain how the recommendation works?
- 2. When I was assigning students' their successfulness, I found there were some students who passed (ex, physics 30 > 75%) but did not pass (ex, chem 30>75%). Would that student still be considered as Maximally successful, since he passed 1 science course above 75%? I know you said "a 30lv course", but in my opinion, the student should not be considered maximally successful if he only passed 1 course but not the other.
- Ignore the website. From ELL Science a student can go into any one of Science 10, Science 24, or Science 14. Know that only those who fail ELL Science
  enter Science 14, so the main routes forward are Science 10 and Science 24.
- 2. I agree. A student who performs well in a 30-level course but poorly in another is moderately successful, not maximally successful.
- Based on past students' marks, calculate the probability of the possible courses that a student can take that best suits their needs.
- For security, the students' names will be erased so I will not know the students' names.

The programming language that will be used in this Internal Assessment is Java, because I already have previous Java programming experiences, and therefore I already know how to code many key components of the program. For the machine learning algorithm, a program called Octave will be used in this Internal Assessment. This is because Octave is free, which

will be convenient for teachers and myself to install, and it is a programming language that supports machine learning algorithms. The client's computer can install Java and Octave since they are free to use.

#### Success criteria

- The students' data in excel should be read correctly.
- Have error handling for special cases.
- The user can choose to location open and store files.
- Students' successfulness is correctly considered.
- The program is user friendly.
- The client can search the successfulness of past students by searching their names.
- Successfully use the machine learning algorithms to produce probability results that the client has asked based on a user-entered student's grades.
- The client should be able to store data for his interests.

#### Word count: 470

| Criterion A: Planning (6 marks)                                                                                          |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| The success criteria identified in criterion A will be used in criterion D to evaluate the effectiveness of the product. |         |                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Marks                                                                                                                    | Awarded | Description                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| 0                                                                                                                        |         | The response does not reach a standard described by the descriptors below.                                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| 1–2                                                                                                                      |         | An appropriate scenario for investigation for an identified client is stated. The rationale for choosing the proposed product is identified. The criteria for evaluating the success of the product are generally inappropriate.                                            |
| 3–4                                                                                                                      |         | An appropriate scenario for investigation for an identified client, providing evidence of consultation, is stated. The rationale for choosing the proposed product is partially explained and includes some appropriate criteria for evaluating the success of the product. |
| 5–6                                                                                                                      |         | An appropriate scenario for investigation for an identified client, providing evidence of consultation, is described. The rationale for choosing the proposed product is justified and includes a range of appropriate criteria for evaluating the success of the product.  |