

# Lectures 10: Testing of Hypotheses

*Presented By:*

**Dr. Vinay Kulkarni**

**Aegis**

SCHOOL OF BUSINESS  
SCHOOL OF DATA SCIENCE  
SCHOOL OF TELECOMMUNICATION

# Background

- Random variables
  - Their measurements show variations
  - For no reasons at all
- We are interested in
  - **Expected Values** of random variables
  - But, we may get value “off” from the expected value
  - In such situations, how to decide if the value is:
    - Within the expected / permissible deviation from the mean?
- Such situations are encountered
  - While taking many decisions

# Example

- Based on a study, it has been established that:
  - On an average, blood platelet count lower than 75000 is indicative of a certain disease
- When a patient reports a blood count of 60000
  - Should the doctor start treating the patient?

# Example

- Based on a study, it has been established that:
  - On an average, blood platelet count lower than 75000 is indicative of a certain disease
- When a patient reports a blood count of 60000
  - Should the doctor start treating the patient?
- Questions:
  - Is this value within expected variations?
  - Or is it not?
  - Are there any statistical tests that can help decide?

# Statistical Hypothesis

- Statistical Hypothesis
  - An assumption or a statement
  - About one or two parameters
  - Involving one or more populations
  - May or may not be true
- Testing of Hypotheses
  - Based on data samples
  - Decide whether the hypothesis is true / false
- Example:
  - Hypothesis: The patient is suffering from the disease
  - Hypothesis: platelet count  $< 75000$

# The method of statistical hypotheses

- First of all we assume some hypothesis is correct
  - (Not necessarily the one we believe to be true!)
  - Example: The patient is not suffering from the disease
    - Hypothesis: Platelet count is actually  $\geq 75000$
    - This is known as the **NULL hypothesis**:  $H_0$
    - We have to prove that the platelet count is – beyond doubt – not a random variation of the real value.
- We then carry out a test
  - The goal is to check if the result of the test is beyond the limits of believability
  - If it is, we have to reject our hypothesis.

# Fundamental Concepts: Hypotheses Testing

- **The Null and alternate hypothesis**
  - $H_0$  = Null hypothesis
  - $H_1$  = Alternate hypothesis
- **Possible Decisions**
  - Reject the Null hypothesis
    - This is the Goal: to prove with high probability
  - Do not reject the Null hypothesis

- **The Test Statistic**
  - Numerical value of the test statistic leads us to make the decision
- **The Critical Region (CR) or the Rejection Region (RR)**
  - An interval determined by the selection of appropriate distributions
  - Determines the region related to the test statistic and used to decide the acceptance / rejection of hypotheses
- **Conclusion and interpretation**

# The NULL hypothesis: $H_0$

- We choose the **NULL hypothesis  $H_0$**  to be specific enough and simple enough that we can actually compute the likelihood of any given outcome of our observations
- NULL hypothesis is something that the data is likely to reject
  - Example:
    - Assume : Average mean temperature is 98
    - A sample measurement : 99
      - Data indicates: Observed temperature is not normal
      - Hypothesis  $H_0$  should be “Observed temperature is Normal”



# The “alternate” hypothesis: $H_1$

- The alternate hypothesis is something that we keep in mind, and it is something that we would like to accept in case the null hypothesis gets rejected.
- The alternate hypothesis is usually something that the data will support.
- In our example:
  - $H_1$  : “Temperature is Not normal”

# Stating the Hypothesis

## Stating $H_0$ and $H_1$

### 1. Two tailed test

- $H_0 : P = P_0$  versus  $H_1 : P \neq P_0$

### 2. Left tailed test

- $H_0 : P \geq P_0$  versus  $H_1 : P < P_0$

### 3. Right tailed test

- $H_0 : P \leq P_0$  versus  $H_1 : P > P_0$

# Outcome of Hypothesis Testing

- NULL hypothesis is rejected & Alternate hypothesis is accepted

OR

- NULL hypothesis is accepted & Alternate hypothesis is rejected

# Type I Error

- Consider the following situation:
  - We reject the null hypothesis
  - However, in reality, the null hypothesis is indeed true
  - Therefore, we have rejected the null hypothesis, when, in reality it is true
  - This is called a **TYPE I ERROR**
- **TYPE I errors** → False positives
- Probability of Type I error =  $\alpha$  (the confidence level)
  - Also known as “level of significance”
- Example: A healthy person is considered sick ...

# Type I Error

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha &= P(\text{committing a type I error}), \\ &= P(\text{rejecting } H_0 \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is true}), \\ &= P(\text{rejecting } H_0 \text{ when } H_1 \text{ is false}).\end{aligned}$$

Most common values for  $\alpha$

- 0.01, 0.05, 0.1
- Corresponding with 99%, 95% and 90% confidence levels

# Type II Error

- Type II Error
  - Failing to reject the NULL hypothesis even when in reality it is false
- Probability of Type II Error:  $\beta$ 
  - It is very difficult to calculate this probability
  - It depends on a variety of unknown parameters

$$\begin{aligned}\beta &= P(\text{committing a type II error}), \\ &= P(\text{not rejecting } H_0 \text{ when } H_0 \text{ is false}), \\ &= P(\text{not rejecting } H_0 \text{ when } H_1 \text{ is true}).\end{aligned}$$

# Type I and Type II errors

- We would like to reduce both types of errors
- However, when we reduce probability of Type I error we increase the probability of Type II error
  - By making the test more stringent, we reduce the risk of falsely rejecting the null hypothesis BUT increase the risk of failing to reject it when we should
- That's why the de facto value of 0.05 is so popular
- Note:
  - Selecting a larger sample size minimizes both types of errors

# Size and Power of a test

- The *size* of a test is given by  $\alpha$
- Power of a test is given by  $(1 - \beta)$
- Ideal we want our test to have:
  - Low size AND
  - High power
- The practice of computing the *power* of a test is known as
  - **Power Analysis**



# Hypothesis Testing: Types

- About one parameter
  - One proportion
  - One mean
  - One standard deviation
- About two parameters
  - Two proportions
  - Two means
  - Two standard deviations

# Steps in Hypotheses Testing

## Classical Method

1. Determine and state  $H_0$  and  $H_1$
2. Decide the significance level  $\alpha$  and the critical region
3. Based on the parameter, choose the test statistic
4. Using available data compute the test statistic
5. Make the statistical **Accept** or **Reject** decision based on
  - a) Computed value of the test statistic
  - b) The critical region identified in step 2

# Hypothesis Testing about one proportion

- Characteristics of the proportion

- Best estimate

$$\hat{p} = x/n$$

- Standard deviation

$$\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

- Conditions

- The sample is a simple random sample
    - Sample values are independent of each other
    - $np(1-p) \geq 10$

# Confidence levels and Critical Regions

- The most used values for  $\alpha$  are
  - 0.01, 0.05, 0.1
  - Distribution relevant to proportions
- Test statistic for proportions

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{[p_0(1 - p_0) / n]}} = \frac{x - np_0}{\sqrt{np_0(1 - p_0)}}$$

# Example / Exercise

- Known from past surveys
  - 35% of country's citizens invest abroad
- Current Survey
  - 800 adults were surveyed
  - 320 were found to hold foreign assets
- Government wants to know
  - If the foreign investment is still  $> 35\%$
  - With 10% significance level

# Solution (Classic Method)

- $H_0 : P \leq 0.35$  versus  $H_1 : P > 0.35$ 
  - Right tailed test
- Significance level  $10\% = 0.1$
- Z value associated with  $0.1 = 1.28$  (Normal Dist)
- Test to be done is as follows:
  - Since it is right tailed test
  - Hypothesis  $H_0$  can be accepted if calculated Z value is  $\leq 1.28$  (rejection region  $Z > 1.28$ )
- Calculated Z value
  - $$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{[p_0(1 - p_0) / n]}} = \frac{x - np_0}{\sqrt{np_0(1 - p_0)}} = 2.965$$
  - Since  $Z_{cal} > 1.28$ , Hypothesis is rejected
- Result: Foreign investment still exceeds 35%

# The *p-value*

- The computed probability of getting the observed result, or any result at least as extreme in its difference from what the null hypothesis would imply – is called the *p-value*
- A *p-value* of 0.05 is the de facto standard cut-off between significant and non-significant results
- If this de facto value is used as the critical value, it will result in wrong results 5% of the time

# Steps in Hypotheses Testing: *p-value method*

## p-value method

1. Determine and state  $H_0$  and  $H_1$
2. Decide the significance level  $\alpha$
3. Based on the parameter, choose the test statistic
4. Using available data compute the test statistic and the p-value
  - How to calculate p-value?
5. Make the statistical **Accept** or **Reject** decision based on
  - $\alpha$  and p-value
    - a) p-value less than  $\alpha$  should reject  $H_0$
    - b) p-value greater than  $\alpha$  should not reject  $H_0$



# Solution: p-value method

- For two tailed tests
  - P-value =  $2 * P(Z < Z_{cal})$
- For Left-tailed tests
  - P-value =  $P(Z < Z_{cal})$
- For Right-tailed tests
  - P-value =  $P(Z > Z_{cal})$
- In all these cases, if the computed p-value is < significance level  $\alpha$  the hypothesis is rejected
  - Else, hypothesis is not rejected

# Solution Based on p-value

- $H_0 : P \leq 0.35$  versus  $H_1 : P > 0.35$ 
  - Right tailed test
- Significance level  $10\% = 0.1$
- Calculated Z value
  - $Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{[p_0(1 - p_0) / n]}} = \frac{x - np_0}{\sqrt{np_0(1 - p_0)}} = 2.965$ 
    - From the Normal tables 2.965 corresponds to 0.99848
- $P(Z > Z_{cal}) = 1 - 0.99850 = 0.0015$
- $P(Z > Z_{cal}) = 0.0015 < \text{significance level } 0.1$ 
  - Therefore hypothesis  $P \leq 0.35$  is rejected
- Result: Foreign investment still exceeds 35%

# Hypothesis testing : Single Parameter

- The Mean, when variance is known

- Test statistic  $Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$

- The Mean, when variance is unknown

- Large sample size  $Z = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s / \sqrt{n}}$  (normal dist)

- Small sample size  $T = \frac{\bar{x} - \mu_0}{s / \sqrt{n}}$  (t-distribution)

- The Variance

- Test statistic  $\chi^2 = \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2}$  (chi-squared)

- The Proportion

- Test statistic

$$Z = \frac{\hat{p} - p_0}{\sqrt{[p_0(1-p_0)/n]}} = \frac{x - np_0}{\sqrt{np_0(1-p_0)}}$$

$$\hat{p} = x/n,$$

$$\sigma_{\hat{p}} = \sqrt{\frac{p(1-p)}{n}}$$

# Exercise: 1

- In a medical test, rats were subjected to unit dose of a drug and recording their response times. Based on prior studies, it is known that the rats with no drugs given have a mean response time of 1.2 seconds. The new studies, after drugs, involving 100 rats throw up a mean response time of 1.05 seconds with a standard deviation of 0.5 seconds. Can we conclude that the drug has any effect on the response time? (Level of significance 0.01)

# Solution: 1

- $\mu = 1.2$
- $\bar{x} = 1.05$
- $n = 100$
- $s = 0.5s$
- Data indicates: reduction in time
- $H_0$  : No change in mean time
- $H_1$  : Change in mean time

- Since we are testing equality, test is two tailed
- Since  $n > 30$ , normal distribution assumed
- At 0.01 significance levels, the z limits are
  - 0.005 and 0.995
- $z_{0.005} = -2.576$  and  $z_{0.995} = 2.576$
- Calculated  $z = (\bar{x} - \mu)/(s/\sqrt{n}) = -3$
- Since -3 is less than -2.576, it lies in REJECTION region.
- Therefore  $H_0$  is REJECTED and  $H_1$  is accepted
  - Mean response time has changed from 1.2 seconds with the introduction of the drug
- p-value method
  - Calculated p-value:  $\text{pnorm}(-3) = -0.00135$
  - Since  $|-0.00135| < 0.01$  (significance) p-value is less than significance. Therefore,  $H_0$  is rejected &  $H_1$  accepted

# Problem 2

- A major car manufacturer wants to test a new engine to determine whether it meets new air-pollution standards.
- The mean emission  $m$  of all engines must be less than 20 parts per million of carbon.
- Ten engines are manufactured for testing purposes and the emission level of each is determined to be:  
15.6 16.2 22.2 20.5 16.4 19.4 16.6 17.9 12.7 13.9.
- Does the data supply sufficient evidence to allow the manufacturer to conclude this type of engine meet the pollution standard?
  - Test the hypothesis at a level  $\alpha = 0.01$ .

# Solution: 2

- $n = 10$
- $\bar{x} = 17.14$
- $s = 2.9228$
- $\alpha = 0.01$
- Data indicates: emission  $< 20$  ppm ( $\bar{x} = 17.14$ )
- $H_0$  : emission  $\geq 20$  ppm
- $H_1$  : emission  $< 20$  ppm

- Since we are testing inequality ( $\geq$ ), the test is left tailed
- Since  $n < 30$ , t-distribution assumed with  $\text{dof} = 10 - 1 = 9$
- At 0.01 significance levels, the t limit is:
  - $t_{0.01} = qt(0.01) = -2.82$
- Calculated  $t = (17.14 - 20) / (2.9228 / \sqrt{10}) = -3.09$
- Since -3.09 is less than -2.82, it lies in REJECTION region.
- Therefore  $H_0$  is REJECTED and  $H_1$  is accepted
  - Emission is  $< 20$  ppm
- p-value method
  - Calculated p-value:  $pt(-3.09) = 0.006467$
  - Since  $0.006467 < 0.01$  (significance level) p-value is less than significance level. Therefore,  $H_0$  is rejected &  $H_1$  accepted

# Problem 3

- The National Science foundation, in a survey of 2237 engineering graduate students who earned PhD degrees, found that 607 were US citizens; the majority (1630) of the PhD degrees were awarded to foreign nationals. Conduct a test to determine whether the true percentage of PhD degrees awarded to foreign nationals exceeds 50% at a level  $\alpha = 0.01$ .



# Solution: 3

- $n = 2237$
- $\text{pcap} = 1630/2237 = 0.729$
- $p_0 = 0.5$
- $\alpha = 0.01$
- Data indicates:  $\text{pcap} > 0.5$  (0.729)
- $H_0$  : Proportion of degree to foreigners  $\leq 0.5$
- $H_1$  : Proportion of degree to foreigners  $> 0.5$

- Since we are testing inequality ( $\leq$ ), the test is right tailed
- Since  $n > 30$ , normal distribution assumed
- At 0.01 significance levels, the z limit (for right tailed region) is:
  - $z_{(1-0.01)} = z_{(1-0.01)} = \text{qnorm}(0.99) = 2.3263$
- Calculated  $z = (0.729 - 0.5)/\sqrt{0.729 * (1-0.729)/2237} = 24.37$
- Since 24.37 is greater than 2.3263, it lies in REJECTION region.
- Therefore  $H_0$  is REJECTED and  $H_1$  is accepted
  - Therefore: Proportion of degree to foreigners  $> 0.5$
- p-value method
  - Calculated p-value:  $1 - \text{pnorm}(24.37)$  which is approximately “0”
  - Since p-value is less than significance level, therefore,  $H_0$  is rejected &  $H_1$  accepted

# Assignment Problems

In each of the following cases determine:

– What is the “tail”ness of the hypothesis?

4.1  $H_0: \mu = 5$  versus  $H_1: \mu > 5$ .

4.2  $H_0: p \geq 0.2$  versus  $H_1: p < 0.2$ .

4.3  $H_0: \sigma = 4.5$  versus  $H_1: \sigma \neq 4.5$ .

4.4  $H_0: p \leq 0.75$  versus  $H_1: p > 0.75$ .

4.5  $H_0: \mu \geq 115$  versus  $H_1: \mu < 115$ .

4.6  $H_0: \sigma \leq 5$  versus  $H_1: \sigma > 5$ .

# Assignment Problems

- In each of the following cases:
  - a) Determine the null and alternative hypotheses.
  - b) Explain what it would mean to a type I error, and
  - c) Explain what it would mean to make a type II error.
  
- 4.7 According to the Federal Housing Finance Board, the mean price of a single-family home, in 2003, was \$245,950. A real estate broker believes that because of the credit standing and the interest rate, the mean price has increased since then.
  
- 4.8 According to the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 16% of children aged 6 to 11 years are overweight. A school nurse thinks that the percentage, of 6- to 11-year-olds that is overweight, is higher in her school district.
  
- 4.9 In 2006, the standard deviation of SAT math score for all students taking the exam was 115. A teacher believes that, due to changes to the SAT Reasoning test in 2007, the standard deviation of SAT math scores will increase.

# Assignment Problems

In Exercises 4.12 – 4.14, test the hypothesis using (a) the classical method and then (b) the P-value method. Be sure to verify the requirements of the test, and the conclusions in both methods are the same.

4.12  $H_0: p \geq 0.9$  versus  $H_1: p < 0.9$ ,  $n = 500$ ,  $x = 440$ ,  $\sigma = 0.01$ .

4.13  $H_0: p \leq 0.75$  versus  $H_1: p > 0.75$ ,  $n = 200$ ,  $x = 75$ ,  $\alpha = 0.05$

4.14  $H_0: p = 0.55$  versus  $H_1: p \neq 0.55$ ,  $n = 150$ ,  $x = 785$ ,  $\alpha = 0.10$

4.15 A tomato juice cannery attempts to put 46 ounces in the can. The measuring device puts in  $X$  ounces, a random variable that is normally distributed. If the average content is below 46 ounces, the company may get in trouble with the government inspectors for false labeling. On the other hand if the average content is above 46 ounces, the company will make less profit. In order to determine whether or not the weighing process is operating satisfactorily the plant statistician inspects a simple random sample of 25 cans and finds that  $\bar{x} = 46.18$  ounces with  $S = 0.5$ . What conclusion should the company make, by using  $\alpha = 1\%$ ?

# Assignment Problems

- 4.16 To test  $H_0: \mu \leq 15$  versus  $H_1: \mu > 15$ , a random sample of size 25 is obtained from a population that is known to be normally distributed with  $\sigma = 5$ . a) If the sample mean is determined to be 14.7, compute the test statistic. b) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.05, determine the critical value. c) Draw a normal curve that will show the critical (or rejection) region. d) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis? Why or why not?
- 4.17 To test  $H_0: \mu \geq 40$  versus  $H_1: \mu < 40$ , a random sample of size 25 is obtained from a population that is known to be normally distributed with  $\sigma = 6$ . a) If the sample mean is determined to be 42.3, compute the test statistic. b) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.10, determine the critical value. c) Draw a normal curve that will show the critical (or rejection) region. d) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis? Why or why not?
- 4.18 To test  $H_0: \mu = 100$  versus  $H_1: \mu \neq 100$ , a random sample of size 30 is obtained from a population that is known to be normally distributed with  $\sigma = 7$ . a) If the sample mean is determined to be 42.3, compute the test statistic. b) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.01, determine the critical value. c) Draw a normal curve that will show the critical (or rejection) region. d) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis? Why or why not?
- 4.19 A standard variety of wheat produces, on the average, 30 bushels per acre. A new imported variety is planted on nine randomly selected acre plots. The observed sample average for the new variety is 33.4 bushels per acre, with a standard deviation of 5.1 bushels. Should the new variety be used instead of the standard one, by using 5% level of significance?

# Assignment Problems

- 4.20 To test  $H_0: \mu = 45$  versus  $H_1: \mu \neq 45$ , a random sample of size 40 is obtained from a population that has a standard deviation of  $\sigma = 8$ . a) Does the population need to be normally distributed to compute the P value? b) If the sample mean is determined to be 48.3, compute the p-value, and interpret it. c) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.05, determine the critical value. d) Draw a normal curve that will show the critical (or rejection) region. e) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis? Why or why not?
- 4.21 To test  $H_0: \mu \geq 40$  versus  $H_1: \mu < 40$ , a random sample of size 25 is obtained from a population that is known to be normally distributed. a) If the sample mean is determined to be 42.3, and  $s = 4.3$ , compute the test statistic. b) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.10, determine the critical value. c) Draw a t-distribution curve that will show the critical (or rejection) region. d) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis? Why or why not?
- 4.22 To test  $H_0: \mu = 100$  versus  $H_1: \mu \neq 100$ , a random sample of size 23 is obtained from a population that is known to be normally distributed. a) If the sample mean is determined to be 104.8, with  $s = 9.2$ , compute the test statistic. b) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.01, determine the critical value. c) Draw a t-distribution curve that will show the critical (or rejection) region. d) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis? Why or why not?



# Assignment Problems

- 4.23 To test  $H_0: \mu \geq 20$  versus  $H_1: \mu < 20$ , a simple random sample of size 18 is obtained from a population that is known to be normally distributed. a) If the sample mean is determined to be 18.3, and  $s = 4.3$ , compute the test statistic. b) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.10, determine the critical value. c) Draw a t-distribution curve that will show the critical (or rejection) region. d) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis, use the P-value method? Why or why not?
- 4.24 State the requirements to test a claim regarding a population standard deviation, or a population variance.
- 4.25 Determine the critical value for a right-tailed test of a population standard deviation with 18 degrees of freedom at the 5% level of significance.
- 4.26 Determine the critical values for a two-tailed test of a population variance with 18 degrees of freedom at the 5% level of significance.
- 4.27 To test  $H_0: \sigma \leq 35$  versus  $H_1: \sigma > 35$ , a random sample of  $n = 15$  is obtained from a population that is normally distributed. a) If the sample standard deviation is determined to be  $s = 37.4$ , compute the test statistic. b) If the researcher decides to test this hypothesis at the level of significance of 0.01, determine the critical value. c) Draw a Chi-square distribution that will show the critical (or rejection) region. d) Will the researcher reject the null hypothesis? Why or why not?