

Validation

Total points 6

1.	Sele	ect true statements	1 point
		Performance increase on a fixed cross-validation split guaranties performance increase on any cross-validation split.	
	~	We use validation to estimate the quality of our model	
	~	The logic behind validation split should mimic the logic behind train-test split.	
	~	Underfitting refers to not capturing enough patterns in the data	
		The model, that performs best on the validation set is guaranteed to be the best on the test set.	
2.	con	ally on Kaggle it is allowed to select two final submissions, which will be checked against the private LB and tribute to the competitor's final position. A common practice is to select one submission with a best validation re, and another submission which scored best on Public LB. What is the logic behind this choice?	2 points
	0	Generally, this approach is based on the assumption that validation is rarely valid in competitions. Often it is hard to trust your validation and thus you should account for both cases if the validation will succeed and if the validation will fail.	
	0	Generally, this approach is based on the assumption that people rarely tend to overfit to the Public LB. Almost always you have a lot of data in the test set and it is quite hard to overfit. Indeed, this render validation useless.	
	•	Generally, this approach is based on the assumption that the test data may have a different target distribution compared to the train data. If that would be the true, the submission which was chosen based on Public LB, will perform better. If, otherwise, the above distributions will be similar, the submission which was chosen based on validation scores, will perform better.	
3.	few	appose we have a competition where we are given a dataset of marketing campaigns. Each campaign runs for a weeks and for each day in campaign we have a target - number of new customers involved. Thus the row in a staset looks like	
	Can	npaign_id, Date, {some features}, Number_of_new_customers	
	if a d	set consists of multiple campaigns. For each of them we are given several first days in train data. For example, campaign runs for two weeks, we could have three first days in train set, and all next days will be present in test set. For another campaign, running for weeks, we could have the first 6 days in the train set, and the aining days in the test set.	
	Ider	ntify train/test split in a competition.	
	0	Time-based split	
	•	Combined split	
	O Id-based split		
	0	Random split	
4.	Whi	ch of the following problems you usually can identify without the Leaderboard?	1 point
	☑ Public leaderboard score will be unreliable because of too little data		
	~	Different scores/optimal parameters between folds	
	~	Train and test data are from different distributions	
		Train and test target distribution are from different distributions	
Common Harring of the Lorentze			
Coursera Honor Code Learn more I, Chinmay kumar Das, understand that submitting work that isn't my own may result in permanent failure of this course or deactivation of my Coursera account.			
	Su	Save draft Save draft	