



RAG.AH/N.

14th June 1937.

The Editor of NATURE presents his compliments to Mr. H. A. Krebs and regrets that as he has already sufficient letters to fill the correspondence columns of NATURE for seven or eight weeks, it is undesirable to accept further letters at the present time on account of the delay which must occur in their publication.

If Mr. Krebs does not mind such delay, the Editor is prepared to keep the letter until the congestion is relieved in the hope of making use of it. He returns it now, however, in case Mr. Krebs prefers to submit it for early publication to another periodical.

September 29, 1955

Dr. Solomon A. Berson
Radioisotope Service
Veterans Administration Hospital
130 West Kingsbridge Road
Bronx 68, New York

Dear Dr. Berson:


I regret that the revision of your paper entitled "Insulin-¹³¹I Metabolism in Human Subjects: Demonstration of Insulin Transporting Antibody in the Circulation of Insulin Treated Subjects" is not acceptable for publication in THE JOURNAL OF CLINICAL INVESTIGATION. -----

----- The second major criticism relates to the dogmatic conclusions set forth which are not warranted by the data. The experts in this field have been particularly emphatic in rejecting your positive statement that the "conclusion that the globulin responsible for insulin binding is an acquired antibody appears to be inescapable". They believe that you have not demonstrated an antigen-antibody reaction on the basis of adequate criteria, nor that you have definitely proved that a globulin is responsible for insulin binding, nor that insulin is an antigen. The data you present are indeed suggestive but any more positive claim seems unjustifiable at present.

Sincerely,



Stanley E. Bradley

Stanley E. Bradley, M.D.
Editor-in-Chief





Research Papers are Like Cockroaches, They Never Die...

-Krishna Kant Chintalapudi



Research papers are like cockroaches,
they never die,

If SIGCOMM rejects,
you always have NSDI



Hope rises and falls,
like tides in the ocean,

Yet for every rejection,
arises another conference option,






“Your paper’s motivation is unclear”,
One reviewer says,

But all this really means is that,
the intro just needs to be rephrased

The second reviewer says,
novelty is incremental,


But to the authors' ears,
it's the reviewer who is really mental,






What does not kill you,
makes you stronger,

With each revision,
the evaluation section becomes longer



Mass of weights/g:	Time/s:		Average time:
	Trial 1:	Trial 2:	
250	3.1	2.9	3.0
300	2.5	2.7	2.6
350	1.7	1.8	1.8
400	1.6	1.2	1.4



A research paper endures and defies,
With each resubmission, its spirit revives,

Like a cockroach that unyieldingly survives,
Regardless of how many times the world hopes it dies.