

CS 188: Artificial Intelligence Fall 2010

Lecture 19: Hidden Markov Models 11/2/2010

Dan Klein – UC Berkeley


Reasoning over Time

- Often, we want to **reason about a sequence of observations**
 - Speech recognition
 - Robot localization
 - User attention
 - Medical monitoring
- Need to introduce time into our models
- Basic approach: hidden Markov models (HMMs)
- More general: dynamic Bayes' nets

3

Markov Models

- A **Markov model** is a chain-structured BN
 - Each node is identically distributed (stationarity)
 - Value of X at a given time is called the **state**
 - As a BN:




- Parameters: called **transition probabilities** or **dynamics**, specify how the state evolves over time (also, initial probs)

[DEMO: Ghostbusters]

Example: Markov Chain

- Weather:**

- States: $X = \{\text{rain}, \text{sun}\}$
- Transitions:



- Initial distribution: 1.0 sun
- What's the probability distribution after one step?


$$P(X_2 = \text{sun}) = P(X_2 = \text{sun} | X_1 = \text{sun})P(X_1 = \text{sun}) + P(X_2 = \text{sun} | X_1 = \text{rain})P(X_1 = \text{rain})$$

$$0.9 \cdot 1.0 + 0.1 \cdot 0.0 = 0.9$$

6

Mini-Forward Algorithm

- Question: What's $P(X)$ on some day t ?
 - An instance of variable elimination!



$$P(x_t) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_t | x_{t-1}) P(x_{t-1})$$

$$P(x_1) = \text{known}$$

Forward simulation

Example

- From initial observation of sun

$$\begin{matrix} \langle 1.0 \\ 0.0 \rangle & \langle 0.9 \\ 0.1 \rangle & \langle 0.82 \\ 0.18 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \langle 0.5 \\ 0.5 \rangle \end{matrix}$$

$$P(X_1) \quad P(X_2) \quad P(X_3) \quad P(X_\infty)$$

- From initial observation of rain

$$\begin{matrix} \langle 0.0 \\ 1.0 \rangle & \langle 0.1 \\ 0.9 \rangle & \langle 0.18 \\ 0.82 \rangle & \longrightarrow & \langle 0.5 \\ 0.5 \rangle \end{matrix}$$

$$P(X_1) \quad P(X_2) \quad P(X_3) \quad P(X_\infty)$$

9


Stationary Distributions

- If we simulate the chain long enough:
 - What happens?
 - Uncertainty accumulates
 - Eventually, we have no idea what the state is!
- Stationary distributions:
 - For most chains, the distribution we end up in is independent of the initial distribution
 - Called the **stationary distribution** of the chain
 - Usually, can only predict a short time out

[DEMO: Ghostbusters]

Web Link Analysis


- PageRank over a web graph
 - Each web page is a state
 - Initial distribution: uniform over pages
 - Transitions:
 - With prob. c , uniform jump to a random page (dotted lines, not all shown)
 - With prob. $1-c$, follow a random outlink (solid lines)
- Stationary distribution
 - Will spend more time on highly reachable pages
 - E.g. many ways to get to the Acrobat Reader download page
 - Somewhat robust to link spam
 - Google 1.0 returned the set of pages containing all your keywords in decreasing rank, now all search engines use link analysis along with many other factors (rank actually getting less important over time)




11

Hidden Markov Models

- Markov chains not so useful for most agents
 - Eventually you don't know anything anymore
 - Need observations to update your beliefs
- Hidden Markov models (HMMs)
 - Underlying Markov chain over states S
 - You observe outputs (effects) at each time step
 - As a Bayes' net:




Example



- An HMM is defined by:
 - Initial distribution: $P(X_1)$
 - Transitions: $P(X|X_{-1})$
 - Emissions: $P(E|X)$

Ghostbusters HMM

- $P(X_1)$ = uniform
- $P(X|X')$ = usually move clockwise, but sometimes move in a random direction or stay in place
- $P(R_i|X)$ = same sensor model as before: red means close, green means far away.



1/9	1/9	1/9
1/9	1/9	1/9
1/9	1/9	1/9

$P(X_1)$


1/6	1/6	1/2
0	1/6	0
0	0	0

$P(X|X'=\langle 1,2 \rangle)$

[Demo]

Conditional Independence

- HMMs have two important independence properties:
 - Markov hidden process, future depends on past via the present
 - Current observation independent of all else given current state



- Quiz: does this mean that observations are independent given no evidence?
 - [No, correlated by the hidden state]

Real HMM Examples

- Speech recognition HMMs:
 - Observations are acoustic signals (continuous valued)
 - States are specific positions in specific words (so, tens of thousands)
- Machine translation HMMs:
 - Observations are words (tens of thousands)
 - States are translation options (dozens per word)
- Robot tracking:
 - Observations are range readings (continuous)
 - States are positions on a map (continuous)


Filtering / Monitoring

- Filtering, or monitoring, is the task of tracking the distribution $B(X)$ (the belief state) over time
- We start with $B(X)$ in an initial setting, usually uniform
- As time passes, or we get observations, we update $B(X)$
- The Kalman filter was invented in the 60's and first implemented as a method of trajectory estimation for the Apollo program

[Demo]

Example: Robot Localization


Example from Michael Pfeiffer



$t=0$


Sensor model: never more than 1 mistake
Motion model: may not execute action with small prob.

Example: Robot Localization




$t=1$

Example: Robot Localization




$t=2$

Example: Robot Localization




$t=3$

Example: Robot Localization




t=4

Example: Robot Localization



t=5


Inference Recap: Simple Cases



Passage of Time

- Assume we have current belief $P(X | \text{evidence to date})$

$$B(X_t) = P(X_t|e_{1:t})$$



- Then, after one time step passes:

$$P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X_{t+1}|x_t) P(x_t|e_{1:t})$$


- Or, compactly:

$$B'(X_{t+1}) = \sum_{x_t} P(X'|x) B(x_t)$$

- Basic idea: beliefs get "pushed" through the transitions
 - With the "B" notation, we have to be careful about what time step the belief is about, and what evidence it includes

Example: Passage of Time

- As time passes, uncertainty "accumulates"



T = 1

T = 2

T = 5

$$B'(X') = \sum_x P(X'|x) B(x)$$

Transition model: ghosts usually go clockwise

Observation

- Assume we have current belief $P(X | \text{previous evidence})$

$$B'(X_{t+1}) = P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t})$$



- Then:

$$P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t+1}) \propto P(e_{t+1}|X_{t+1}) P(X_{t+1}|e_{1:t})$$


- Or:

$$B(X_{t+1}) \propto P(e|X) B'(X_{t+1})$$


- Basic idea: beliefs reweighted by likelihood of evidence
- Unlike passage of time, we have to renormalize

Example: Observation

- As we get observations, beliefs get reweighted, uncertainty “decreases”




Before observation



After observation

$$B(X) \propto P(e|X)B'(X)$$

Example HMM



The Forward Algorithm

- We are given evidence at each time and want to know

$$B_t(X) = P(X_t|e_{1:t})$$

- We can derive the following updates

$$\begin{aligned}
 P(x_t|e_{1:t}) &\propto_X P(x_t, e_{1:t}) \\
 &= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}, x_t, e_{1:t}) \\
 &= \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}, e_{1:t-1}) P(x_t|x_{t-1}) P(e_t|x_t) \\
 &= P(e_t|x_t) \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_t|x_{t-1}) P(x_{t-1}, e_{1:t-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

We can normalize as we go if we want to have $P(x|e)$ at each time step, or just once at the end...

Online Belief Updates

- Every time step, we start with current $P(X|evidence)$
- We update for time:

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) = \sum_{x_{t-1}} P(x_{t-1}|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(x_t|x_{t-1})$$

- We update for evidence:

$$P(x_t|e_{1:t}) \propto_X P(x_t|e_{1:t-1}) \cdot P(e_t|x_t)$$

- The forward algorithm does both at once (and doesn't normalize)
- Problem: space is $|X|$ and time is $|X|^2$ per time step