

Forage RRT - An Efficient Approach To Task-Space Goal Planning for Redundant Manipulators

I. INTRODUCTION

One of the ultimate goals of motion planning algorithms for manipulators is to allow the manipulator to automatically find a collision-free path in a general environment between any given start configuration and a goal point specified in end-effector space coordinates. The goal is ideally specified in end effector space coordinates because it is almost always the end effector which interacts with the object to be manipulated. The specific configuration of the other links of the manipulator is usually not important as long as it is not in collision with workspace obstacles. Examples of end effector tools which interact with objects include hands, magnets, suction cups, paint sprayers, and welders. Thus, any practical manipulator planner has to convert end-effector space goal coordinates to configuration space goal coordinates, i.e. the configuration of the manipulator which achieves the given end effector goal coordinate.

In the literature, the two main approaches to solving this problem have been to either figure out the configuration-space goal coordinate directly using inverse kinematics or to incorporate the search for the configuration space goal coordinate into the planning. To date, we are not aware of any inverse kinematics algorithm for general n-link manipulators which is complete, fast, and returns a configuration guaranteed to be reachable from the start configuration. Incorporating inverse kinematics into the planning, especially when the planning algorithm is the Rapidly-Exploring Random Tree (RRT) alleviates all of these problems. However, this approach struggles to efficiently solve a certain class of problems, known as bug-trap problems, wherein the approach to the start or goal is largely occluded by an obstacle. If the configuration of the goal were known, this problem can be satisfactorily solved by the Bidirectional RRT.

In this paper, we present the Forage RRT which searches for the goal configuration as part of planning, making it complete, but also tackles bug trap type problems with relative ease. The result is a reliable planner which is fast and consistent at solving a wide range of manipulation problems in environments with obstacles. The main idea behind the approach is to initially explore the manipulator space quickly with a large step-size RRT and then attempt to connect to goal using a small step-size Jt-RRT from promising nodes in the large step-size RRT. We believe the ease of implementing this approach along with its excellent performance on all problems will allow it to be used as a general manipulation planner both in industry and academia.

The layout of this paper will be to present previous work which we build upon as well as other approaches to the same problem, an analysis of the shortcoming of the RRT in bug trap problems, the implementation of the Forage-RRT algorithm, experiments and results compared to other planners having the same problem statement, and finally a discussion of possible improvement to the Forage RRT.

II. RELATED WORK

To date, no complete motion planning algorithms are tractable for high dimensional systems such as manipulators. However, over the last 30 years or so, many algorithms have been presented that solve high dimensional motion planning problems. One of the most famous and most cited approaches is the Artificial Potential Field Method originally presented in [10]. Although this method is fast enough to be used in a single query planner, it depends on obstacles being of a simple geometry and, as [11] points out, suffers from several fundamental issues such as getting stuck in local minima, no passage between closely spaced obstacles, and oscillations in certain conditions. Several attempts have been made (e.g. [5] [7]) to solve these issues by the formulation of new potential functions. However, these functions either take prohibitively long to compute or ignore some of the previously mentioned pitfalls.

[3] introduced Ariadne's Clew Algorithm which was the first algorithm to approximate C-space by sampling. It was resolution complete and respectably fast for most problems. Algorithms based on probabilistic roadmaps, deriving from [1] used the sampling idea to pre-process a roadmap for multi-query problems which allowed subsequent path planning problems to be solved efficiently. Because the preprocessing step takes on the order of minutes, it is not appropriate for our stated goal of a planner for general environments. The Rapidly-Exploring Random Tree (RRT) was introduced by [12] as an efficient resolution-complete sampling-based algorithm. In recent years, this algorithm has been extremely popular and effective in solving many high dimensional planning problems.

Standard RRTs depend on a start and a goal given in configuration space. This allows for a bidirectional algorithm, also presented in [12], which is effective in solving single bug-trap problems, wherein either the goal or start is largely occluded by an obstacle, by growing two trees - sometimes randomly, sometimes toward each other. Since goals are most often not specified in configuration space for manipulation problems, much research has dedicated to finding inverse

kinematics algorithms to transform the goal into configuration space. Some of the most famous ones are given in [8], [9], [4], [13]. None of these algorithms are complete, guaranteed to be reachable from the start configuration, and fast.

The other approach to the inverse kinematics problem is to incorporate it into the planning search. This makes the inverse kinematics complete and guaranteed to be reachable. The first paper to use this approach was [2], which biased the RRT search to be around the nodes already added which were closest in end-effector space to goal. [14] achieved significant speed improvements in this approach by using the Jacobian transpose to take steps in the direction of the goal from the existing tree. This approach, however, is very susceptible to getting stuck when the goal is occluded by an obstacle. Finally, [6] improved on the idea by growing a second end-effector space tree from the goal and using this tree to bias the growth of the configuration-space tree. This approach too struggled to find solutions quickly in bug-trap problems.

III. RRT AND THE BUG-TRAP PROBLEM

IV. FORAGE RRT IMPLEMENTATION

V. EXPERIMENTS AND EVALUATION

VI. DISCUSSION

REFERENCES

- [1] Nancy M Amato and Yan Wu. A randomized roadmap method for path and manipulation planning. In *Robotics and Automation, 1996. Proceedings., 1996 IEEE International Conference on*, volume 1, pages 113–120. IEEE, 1996.
- [2] Dominik Bertram, James Kuffner, Ruediger Dillmann, and Tamim Asfour. An integrated approach to inverse kinematics and path planning for redundant manipulators. In *Robotics and Automation, 2006. ICRA 2006. Proceedings 2006 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 1874–1879. IEEE, 2006.
- [3] Pierre Bessiere, J-M Ahuactzin, E-G Talbi, and Emmanuel Mazer. The ariadne’s clew algorithm: global planning with local methods. In *Intelligent Robots and Systems’ 93, IROS’93. Proceedings of the 1993 IEEE/RSJ International Conference on*, volume 2, pages 1373–1380. IEEE, 1993.
- [4] Pyung Chang. A closed-form solution for inverse kinematics of robot manipulators with redundancy. *Robotics and Automation, IEEE Journal of*, 3(5):393–403, 1987.
- [5] Christopher I Connolly, JB Burns, and R Weiss. Path planning using laplace’s equation. In *Robotics and Automation, 1990. Proceedings., 1990 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 2102–2106. IEEE, 1990.
- [6] Rosen Diankov, Nathan Ratliff, Dave Ferguson, Siddhartha Srinivasa, and James Kuffner. Bispase planning: Concurrent multi-space exploration. *Proceedings of Robotics: Science and Systems IV*, 63, 2008.
- [7] Shuzhi Sam Ge and Yan Juan Cui. New potential functions for mobile robot path planning. *Robotics and Automation, IEEE Transactions on*, 16(5):615–620, 2000.
- [8] Andrew Goldenberg, B Benhabib, and Robert Fenton. A complete generalized solution to the inverse kinematics of robots. *Robotics and Automation, IEEE Journal of*, 1(1):14–20, 1985.
- [9] Allon Guez and Ziauddin Ahmad. Solution to the inverse kinematics problem in robotics by neural networks. In *Neural Networks, 1988., IEEE International Conference on*, pages 617–624. IEEE, 1988.
- [10] Oussama Khatib. Real-time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots. *The international journal of robotics research*, 5(1):90–98, 1986.
- [11] Yoram Koren and Johann Borenstein. Potential field methods and their inherent limitations for mobile robot navigation. In *Robotics and Automation, 1991. Proceedings., 1991 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 1398–1404. IEEE, 1991.
- [12] Steven M LaValle and James J Kuffner Jr. Rapidly-exploring random trees: Progress and prospects. 2000.
- [13] Joey K Parker, Ahmad R Khoogar, and David E Goldberg. Inverse kinematics of redundant robots using genetic algorithms. In *Robotics and Automation, 1989. Proceedings., 1989 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 271–276. IEEE, 1989.
- [14] M Vande Weghe, Dave Ferguson, and Siddhartha S Srinivasa. Randomized path planning for redundant manipulators without inverse kinematics. In *Humanoid Robots, 2007 7th IEEE-RAS International Conference on*, pages 477–482. IEEE, 2007.